

Dec 5, 2020 - 03:46 PM EST

EM	ÍDB ID	:	EMD-1342		
Title : Structures of modified eEF2 80S		:	Structures of modified eEF2 80S ribosome complexes reveal the role of GTP		
			hydrolysis in translocation.		
Authors		:	Taylor, D.J.; Nilsson, J.; Merrill, A.R.; Andersen, G.R.; Nissen, P.; Frank, J.		
Deposited on					
Resolution		:	11.30 Å(reported)		
_					
	This is a Full wwPDB EM Validation Report for a publicly released PDB entry.				

We welcome your comments at *validation@mail.wwpdb.org* A user guide is available at https://www.wwpdb.org/validation/2017/EMMapValidationReportHelp with specific help available everywhere you see the (i) symbol.

EMDB validation analysis : 0.0.0.dev61 Validation Pipeline (wwPDB-VP) : 2.15.1

The following versions of software and data (see references (1)) were used in the production of this report:

1 Experimental information (i)

Property	Value	Source
EM reconstruction method	SINGLE PARTICLE	Depositor
Imposed symmetry	Not Provided	
Number of particles used	93807	Depositor
Resolution determination method	FSC 0.5 CUT-OFF	Depositor
CTF correction method	CTF correction of 3D map	Depositor
Microscope	FEI TECNAI F30	Depositor
Voltage (kV)	300	Depositor
Electron dose $(e^-/\text{\AA}^2)$	25	Depositor
Minimum defocus (nm)	1.0	Depositor
Maximum defocus (nm)	4.2	Depositor
Magnification	37642.0	Depositor
Image detector	KODAK SO-163 FILM	Depositor
Maximum map value	298.997	Depositor
Minimum map value	-88.671	Depositor
Average map value	8.121	Depositor
Map value standard deviation	27.037	Depositor
Recommended contour level	71.3	Depositor
Map size (Å)	381.3, 381.3, 381.3	wwPDB
Map dimensions	205, 205, 205	wwPDB
Map angles $(^{\circ})$	90, 90, 90	wwPDB
Pixel spacing (Å)	1.86, 1.86, 1.86	Depositor




2 Map visualisation (i)

This section contains visualisations of the EMDB entry EMD-1342. These allow visual inspection of the internal detail of the map and identification of artifacts.

No raw map or half-maps were deposited for this entry and therefore no images, graphs, etc. pertaining to the raw map can be shown.

2.1 Orthogonal projections (i)


2.1.1 Primary map




The images above show the map projected in three orthogonal directions.

2.2 Central slices (i)


2.2.1 Primary map



X Index: 102



Y Index: 102




Z Index: 102



The images above show central slices of the map in three orthogonal directions.

2.3 Largest variance slices (i)

2.3.1 Primary map



X Index: 122


Y Index: 106

Z Index: 95

The images above show the largest variance slices of the map in three orthogonal directions.

2.4 Orthogonal surface views (i)

2.4.1 Primary map



The images above show the 3D surface view of the map at the recommended contour level 71.3. These images, in conjunction with the slice images, may facilitate assessment of whether an appropriate contour level has been provided.



2.5 Mask visualisation (i)


This section was not generated. No masks/segmentation were deposited.



3 Map analysis (i)

This section contains the results of statistical analysis of the map.


3.1 Map-value distribution (i)



The map-value distribution is plotted in 128 intervals along the x-axis. The y-axis is logarithmic. A spike in this graph at zero usually indicates that the volume has been masked.



3.2 Volume estimate (i)




The volume at the recommended contour level is 2908 $\rm nm^3;$ this corresponds to an approximate mass of 2627 kDa.

The volume estimate graph shows how the enclosed volume varies with the contour level. The recommended contour level is shown as a vertical line and the intersection between the line and the curve gives the volume of the enclosed surface at the given level.



3.3 Rotationally averaged power spectrum (i)



*Reported resolution corresponds to spatial frequency of 0.088 $\mathrm{\AA^{-1}}$



4 Fourier-Shell correlation (i)

This section was not generated. No FSC curve or half-maps provided.

