

# wwPDB NMR Structure Validation Summary Report (i)

Oct 12, 2023 – 01:21 pm BST

PDB ID : 8A4F BMRB ID : 34736

Title : Human Interleukin-4 mutant - C3T-IL4

Authors: Vaz, D.C.; Rodrigues, J.R.; Mueller, T.D.; Sebald, W.; Redfield, C.; Brito,

R.M.M.

Deposited on : 2022-06-11

This is a wwPDB NMR Structure Validation Summary Report for a publicly released PDB entry.

We welcome your comments at validation@mail.wwpdb.org
A user guide is available at
https://www.wwpdb.org/validation/2017/NMRValidationReportHelp
with specific help available everywhere you see the (i) symbol.

The types of validation reports are described at http://www.wwpdb.org/validation/2017/FAQs#types.

The following versions of software and data (see references (1)) were used in the production of this report:

MolProbity: 4.02b-467

Percentile statistics : 20191225.v01 (using entries in the PDB archive December 25th 2019)

wwPDB-RCI : v 1n 11 5 13 A (Berjanski et al., 2005)

PANAV : Wang et al. (2010)

 $\begin{array}{ccc} wwPDB\text{-}ShiftChecker &: & v1.2 \\ BMRB \ Restraints \ Analysis &: & v1.2 \\ \end{array}$ 

Ideal geometry (proteins) : Engh & Huber (2001) Ideal geometry (DNA, RNA) : Parkinson et al. (1996)


Validation Pipeline (wwPDB-VP) : 2.35.1

# 1 Overall quality at a glance (i)

The following experimental techniques were used to determine the structure:  $SOLUTION\ NMR$ 

The overall completeness of chemical shifts assignment is 45%.

Percentile scores (ranging between 0-100) for global validation metrics of the entry are shown in the following graphic. The table shows the number of entries on which the scores are based.



Metric	Whole archive $(\# \text{Entries})$	$egin{array}{l} { m NMR \ archive} \ (\#{ m Entries}) \end{array}$	
Clashscore	158937	12864	
Ramachandran outliers	154571	11451	
Sidechain outliers	154315	11428	

The table below summarises the geometric issues observed across the polymeric chains and their fit to the experimental data. The red, orange, yellow and green segments indicate the fraction of residues that contain outliers for >=3, 2, 1 and 0 types of geometric quality criteria. A cyan segment indicates the fraction of residues that are not part of the well-defined cores, and a grey segment represents the fraction of residues that are not modelled. The numeric value for each fraction is indicated below the corresponding segment, with a dot representing fractions <=5%

Mol	Chain	Length	Quality of chain			
1	Δ	190	670/	220/	100/	
1	А	129	67%	22%	10%	



# 2 Ensemble composition and analysis (i)

This entry contains 2 models. Identification of well-defined residues and clustering analysis are not possible.



# 3 Entry composition (i)

There is only 1 type of molecule in this entry. The entry contains 2121 atoms, of which 1072 are hydrogens and 0 are deuteriums.

• Molecule 1 is a protein called Interleukin-4.

Mol	Chain	Residues		Atoms					Trace
1	٨	129	Total	С	Н	N	О	S	0
1	A	129	2121	654	1072	192	197	6	U

There is a discrepancy between the modelled and reference sequences:

Chain	Residue	Modelled	Actual	Comment	Reference
A	3	THR	CYS	engineered mutation	UNP P05112




# 4 Residue-property plots (i)

### 4.1 Average score per residue in the NMR ensemble

These plots are provided for all protein, RNA, DNA and oligosaccharide chains in the entry. The first graphic is the same as shown in the summary in section 1 of this report. The second graphic shows the sequence where residues are colour-coded according to the number of geometric quality criteria for which they contain at least one outlier: green = 0, yellow = 1, orange = 2 and red = 3 or more. Stretches of 2 or more consecutive residues without any outliers are shown as green connectors. Residues which are classified as ill-defined in the NMR ensemble, are shown in cyan with an underline colour-coded according to the previous scheme. Residues which were present in the experimental sample, but not modelled in the final structure are shown in grey.


• Molecule 1: Interleukin-4



# 4.2 Residue scores for the representative (author defined) model from the NMR ensemble

The representative model is number 1. Colouring as in section 4.1 above.

• Molecule 1: Interleukin-4





#### Refinement protocol and experimental data overview (i) 5



The models were refined using the following method: DGSA-distance geometry simulated annealing.

Of the 200 calculated structures, 2 were deposited, based on the following criterion: structures with the lowest energy.

The following table shows the software used for structure solution, optimisation and refinement.

Software name	Classification	Version
X-PLOR NIH	structure calculation	
X-PLOR NIH	refinement	

The following table shows chemical shift validation statistics as aggregates over all chemical shift files. Detailed validation can be found in section 7 of this report.

Chemical shift file(s)	working_cs.cif
Number of chemical shift lists	1
Total number of shifts	835
Number of shifts mapped to atoms	835
Number of unparsed shifts	0
Number of shifts with mapping errors	0
Number of shifts with mapping warnings	0
Assignment completeness (well-defined parts)	45%



# 6 Model quality (i)

### 6.1 Standard geometry (i)

There are no covalent bond-length or bond-angle outliers.

There are no bond-length outliers.

There are no bond-angle outliers.

There are no chirality outliers.

There are no planarity outliers.

### 6.2 Too-close contacts (i)

In the following table, the Non-H and H(model) columns list the number of non-hydrogen atoms and hydrogen atoms in each chain respectively. The H(added) column lists the number of hydrogen atoms added and optimized by MolProbity. The Clashes column lists the number of clashes averaged over the ensemble.

Mol	Chain	Non-H	H(model)	H(added)	Clashes
1	A	1049	1072	1067	61±5
All	All	2098	2144	2134	122

The all-atom clashscore is defined as the number of clashes found per 1000 atoms (including hydrogen atoms). The all-atom clashscore for this structure is 29.

5 of 116 unique clashes are listed below, sorted by their clash magnitude.

Atom-1	Atom-2	Clash(Å)	Distance(Å)	Models	
Atom-1	Atom-2	Clash(A)	Distance(A)	Worst	Total
1:A:15:ASN:ND2	1:A:16:SER:H	0.66	1.88	2	1
1:A:15:ASN:ND2	1:A:16:SER:N	0.64	2.44	2	1
1:A:69:THR:H	1:A:72:GLN:HE21	0.63	1.35	1	1
1:A:12:LYS:O	1:A:15:ASN:ND2	0.63	2.32	2	1
1:A:8:GLN:NE2	1:A:124:TYR:CD1	0.62	2.68	2	1

# 6.3 Torsion angles (i)

#### 6.3.1 Protein backbone (i)

In the following table, the Percentiles column shows the percent Ramachandran outliers of the chain as a percentile score with respect to all PDB entries followed by that with respect to all NMR entries. The Analysed column shows the number of residues for which the backbone conformation was analysed and the total number of residues.



Mol	Chain	Analysed	Favoured	Allowed	Outliers	Percentiles
1	A	127/129 (98%)	94±2 (74±1%)	22±0 (17±0%)	11±1 (9±1%)	1 12
All	All	254/258 (98%)	189 (74%)	43 (17%)	22 (9%)	1 12

5 of 21 unique Ramachandran outliers are listed below. They are sorted by the frequency of occurrence in the ensemble.

Mol	Chain	Res	Type	Models (Total)
1	A	125	SER	2
1	A	4	ASP	1
1	A	21	LYS	1
1	A	26	GLU	1
1	A	36	SER	1

#### 6.3.2 Protein sidechains (i)

In the following table, the Percentiles column shows the percent sidechain outliers of the chain as a percentile score with respect to all PDB entries followed by that with respect to all NMR entries. The Analysed column shows the number of residues for which the sidechain conformation was analysed and the total number of residues.

Mol	Chain	Analysed	Rotameric	Outliers	Percentiles
1	A	118/118 (100%)	106±0 (90±0%)	12±0 (10±0%)	12 57
All	All	236/236 (100%)	213 (90%)	23 (10%)	12 57

5 of 17 unique residues with a non-rotameric sidechain are listed below. They are sorted by the frequency of occurrence in the ensemble.

Mol	Chain	Res		Models (Total)
1	A	15	ASN	2
1	A	28	THR	2
1	A	30	THR	2
1	A	45	PHE	2
1	A	115	ARG	2

# 6.3.3 RNA (i)

There are no RNA molecules in this entry.



# 6.4 Non-standard residues in protein, DNA, RNA chains (i)

There are no non-standard protein/DNA/RNA residues in this entry.

### 6.5 Carbohydrates (i)

There are no monosaccharides in this entry.

### 6.6 Ligand geometry (i)

There are no ligands in this entry.

### 6.7 Other polymers (i)

There are no such molecules in this entry.

### 6.8 Polymer linkage issues (i)

There are no chain breaks in this entry.



# 7 Chemical shift validation (i)

The completeness of assignment taking into account all chemical shift lists is 45% for the well-defined parts and 45% for the entire structure.

#### 7.1 Chemical shift list 1

File name: working\_cs.cif

Chemical shift list name: ChemicalShifts\_c3tIL4\_pH5\_BMRB-STAR.txt

### 7.1.1 Bookkeeping (i)

The following table shows the results of parsing the chemical shift list and reports the number of nuclei with statistically unusual chemical shifts.

Total number of shifts	835
Number of shifts mapped to atoms	835
Number of unparsed shifts	0
Number of shifts with mapping errors	0
Number of shifts with mapping warnings	0
Number of shift outliers (ShiftChecker)	0

### 7.1.2 Chemical shift referencing (i)

The following table shows the suggested chemical shift referencing corrections.

Nucleus	# values	Correction $\pm$ precision, $ppm$	Suggested action
$^{13}\mathrm{C}_{\alpha}$	0		None (insufficient data)
$^{13}C_{\beta}$	0		None (insufficient data)
<sup>13</sup> C′	0		None (insufficient data)
$^{15}N$	124	$-1.09 \pm 0.35$	Should be applied

### 7.1.3 Completeness of resonance assignments (i)

The following table shows the completeness of the chemical shift assignments for the well-defined regions of the structure. The overall completeness is 45%, i.e. 820 atoms were assigned a chemical shift out of a possible 1836. 0 out of 19 assigned methyl groups (LEU and VAL) were assigned stereospecifically.

	Total	$^{1}\mathrm{H}$	$^{13}\mathbf{C}$	$^{15}{ m N}$
Backbone	375/646 (58%)	251/260~(97%)	0/258~(0%)	124/128 (97%)
Sidechain	443/1060 (42%)	443/683 (65%)	0/325~(0%)	0/52~(0%)

Continued on next page...



Continued from previous page...

	Total	$^{1}\mathrm{H}$	$^{13}\mathbf{C}$	$^{15}{ m N}$
Aromatic	2/130 (2%)	2/64 (3%)	0/55 (0%)	0/11 (0%)
Overall	820/1836 (45%)	696/1007~(69%)	0/638~(0%)	124/191~(65%)


### 7.1.4 Statistically unusual chemical shifts (i)

There are no statistically unusual chemical shifts.

### 7.1.5 Random Coil Index (RCI) plots (i)

The image below reports random coil index values for the protein chains in the structure. The height of each bar gives a probability of a given residue to be disordered, as predicted from the available chemical shifts and the amino acid sequence. A value above 0.2 is an indication of significant predicted disorder. The colour of the bar shows whether the residue is in the well-defined core (black) or in the ill-defined residue ranges (cyan), as described in section 2 on ensemble composition. If well-defined core and ill-defined regions are not identified then it is shown as gray bars.

Random coil index (RCI) for chain A:





# 8 NMR restraints analysis (i)

# 8.1 Conformationally restricting restraints (i)

The following table provides the summary of experimentally observed NMR restraints in different categories. Restraints are classified into different categories based on the sequence separation of the atoms involved.

Description	Value
Total distance restraints	1488
Intra-residue ( $ i-j =0$ )	447
Sequential ( $ i-j =1$ )	540
Medium range ( $ i-j >1$ and $ i-j <5$ )	357
Long range ( i-j ≥5)	56
Inter-chain	0
Hydrogen bond restraints	88
Disulfide bond restraints	0
Total dihedral-angle restraints	102
Number of unmapped restraints	0
Number of restraints per residue	12.3
Number of long range restraints per residue <sup>1</sup>	0.5

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Long range hydrogen bonds and disulfide bonds are counted as long range restraints while calculating the number of long range restraints per residue

### 8.2 Residual restraint violations (i)

This section provides the overview of the restraint violations analysis. The violations are binned as small, medium and large violations based on its absolute value. Average number of violations per model is calculated by dividing the total number of violations in each bin by the size of the ensemble.

# 8.2.1 Average number of distance violations per model (i)

Distance violations less than 0.1 Å are not included in the calculation.

Bins (Å)	Average number of violations per model	Max (Å)
0.1-0.2 (Small)	74.0	0.2
0.2-0.5 (Medium)	170.5	0.5
>0.5 (Large)	1032.0	8.27



### 8.2.2 Average number of dihedral-angle violations per model (i)

Dihedral-angle violations less than  $1^{\circ}$  are not included in the calculation.

Bins (°)	Average number of violations per model	Max (°)
1.0-10.0 (Small)	4.5	8.54
10.0-20.0 (Medium)	4.0	19.29
>20.0 (Large)	4.5	132.53



# 9 Distance violation analysis (i)

# 9.1 Summary of distance violations (i)


The following table shows the summary of distance violations in different restraint categories based on the sequence separation of the atoms involved. Each category is further sub-divided into three sub-categories based on the atoms involved. Violations less than 0.1 Å are not included in the statistics.

Postpoints type	Count	<b>%</b> <sup>1</sup>	Vi	iolated	3	Consis	tently	$\overline{ ext{Violated}^4}$
Restraints type	Count	70	Count	$\%^2$	$\%^{1}$	Count	$\%^2$	$\%^{1}$
Intra-residue ( i-j =0)	447	30.0	421	94.2	28.3	378	84.6	25.4
Backbone-Backbone	126	8.5	120	95.2	8.1	104	82.5	7.0
Backbone-Sidechain	304	20.4	286	94.1	19.2	259	85.2	17.4
Sidechain-Sidechain	17	1.1	15	88.2	1.0	15	88.2	1.0
Sequential ( i-j =1)	540	36.3	515	95.4	34.6	467	86.5	31.4
Backbone-Backbone	237	15.9	224	94.5	15.1	202	85.2	13.6
Backbone-Sidechain	297	20.0	285	96.0	19.2	261	87.9	17.5
Sidechain-Sidechain	6	0.4	6	100.0	0.4	4	66.7	0.3
Medium range ( $ i-j >1 \&  i-j <5$ )	357	24.0	331	92.7	22.2	297	83.2	20.0
Backbone-Backbone	231	15.5	220	95.2	14.8	195	84.4	13.1
Backbone-Sidechain	114	7.7	104	91.2	7.0	97	85.1	6.5
Sidechain-Sidechain	12	0.8	7	58.3	0.5	5	41.7	0.3
Long range ( $ i-j  \ge 5$ )	56	3.8	54	96.4	3.6	52	92.9	3.5
Backbone-Backbone	8	0.5	6	75.0	0.4	4	50.0	0.3
Backbone-Sidechain	39	2.6	39	100.0	2.6	39	100.0	2.6
Sidechain-Sidechain	9	0.6	9	100.0	0.6	9	100.0	0.6
Inter-chain	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Backbone	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Sidechain	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Sidechain-Sidechain	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Hydrogen bond	88	5.9	35	39.8	2.4	7	8.0	0.5
Disulfide bond	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Total	1488	100.0	1356	91.1	91.1	1201	80.7	80.7
Backbone-Backbone	602	40.5	570	94.7	38.3	505	83.9	33.9
Backbone-Sidechain	842	56.6	749	89.0	50.3	663	78.7	44.6
Sidechain-Sidechain	44	3.0	37	84.1	2.5	33	75.0	2.2

 $<sup>^1</sup>$  percentage calculated with respect to the total number of distance restraints,  $^2$  percentage calculated with respect to the number of restraints in a particular restraint category,  $^3$  violated in at least one model,  $^4$  violated in all the models



### 9.1.1 Bar chart: Distribution of distance restraints and violations (i)



Violated and consistently violated restraints are shown using different hatch patterns in their respective categories. The hydrogen bonds and disulfied bonds are counted in their appropriate category on the x-axis

### 9.2 Distance violation statistics for each model (i)


The following table provides the distance violation statistics for each model in the ensemble. Violations less than 0.1 Å are not included in the statistics.

Model ID	$IR^1$	$egin{array}{c} \mathbf{Nun} \ \mathrm{SQ}^2 \end{array}$	nber o   MR³		ations $\mid { m IC}^5$	Total	Mean (Å)	Max (Å)	$\mathbf{SD}^6$ (Å)	Median (Å)
1	399	497	331	57	0	1284	2.21	8.19	1.99	1.56
2	400	485	330	58	0	1273	2.29	8.27	2.05	1.58

 $<sup>^1</sup>$ Intra-residue restraints,  $^2$ Sequential restraints,  $^3$ Medium range restraints,  $^4$ Long range restraints,  $^5$ Inter-chain restraints,  $^6$ Standard deviation



### 9.2.1 Bar graph: Distance Violation statistics for each model (i)



The mean(dot),median(x) and the standard deviation are shown in blue with respect to the y axis on the right

# 9.3 Distance violation statistics for the ensemble (i)


Violation analysis may find that some restraints are violated in few models and some are violated in most of models. The following table provides this information as number of violated restraints for a given fraction of the ensemble. In total, 79(IR:26, SQ:25, MR:26, LR:2, IC:0) restraints are not violated in the ensemble.

Number of violated restraints						Fraction of the ensemble		
$IR^1$	$SQ^2$	$MR^3$	$LR^4$	$  IC^5  $	Total	Count <sup>6</sup>	%	
43	48	34	2	0	127	1	50.0	
378	467	297	52	0	1194	2	100.0	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Intra-residue restraints, <sup>2</sup>Sequential restraints, <sup>3</sup>Medium range restraints, <sup>4</sup>Long range restraints, <sup>5</sup>Inter-chain restraints, <sup>6</sup> Number of models with violations



### 9.3.1 Bar graph: Distance violation statistics for the ensemble (i)




### 9.4 Most violated distance restraints in the ensemble (i)

### 9.4.1 Histogram: Distribution of mean distance violations (i)

The following histogram shows the distribution of the average value of the violation. The average is calculated for each restraint that is violated in more than one model over all the violated models in the ensemble





### 9.4.2 Table: Most violated distance restraints (i)

The following table provides the mean and the standard deviation of the violations for the 10 worst performing restraints, sorted by number of violated models and the mean violation value. The Key (restraint list ID, restraint ID) is the unique identifier for a given restraint. Rows with same key represent combinatorial or ambiguous restraints and are counted as a single restraint.

Key	Atom-1	Atom-2	$\mathbf{Models}^1$	Mean (Å)	$\mathbf{SD}^1$ (Å)	Median (Å)
(7,331)	1:93:A:LEU:HG	1:93:A:LEU:H	2	8.04	0.22	8.04
(7,170)	1:49:A:ALA:HB1	1:49:A:ALA:H	2	7.77	0.03	7.77
(7,170)	1:49:A:ALA:HB2	1:49:A:ALA:H	2	7.77	0.03	7.77
(7,170)	1:49:A:ALA:HB3	1:49:A:ALA:H	2	7.77	0.03	7.77
(7,21)	1:7:A:LEU:HD21	1:7:A:LEU:H	2	7.76	0.39	7.76
(7,21)	1:7:A:LEU:HD22	1:7:A:LEU:H	2	7.76	0.39	7.76
(7,21)	1:7:A:LEU:HD23	1:7:A:LEU:H	2	7.76	0.39	7.76
(7,42)	1:12:A:LYS:H	1:12:A:LYS:HB2	2	7.74	0.0	7.74
(7,42)	1:12:A:LYS:H	1:12:A:LYS:HB3	2	7.74	0.0	7.74
(7,398)	1:113:A:LEU:HB2	1:113:A:LEU:H	2	7.74	0.0	7.74
(7,398)	1:113:A:LEU:HB3	1:113:A:LEU:H	2	7.74	0.0	7.74
(7,74)	1:19:A:GLU:H	1:19:A:GLU:HG2	2	7.73	0.28	7.73
(7,150)	1:42:A:LYS:HB2	1:42:A:LYS:H	2	7.72	0.02	7.72
(7,47)	1:14:A:LEU:H	1:14:A:LEU:HB2	2	7.72	0.02	7.72
(6,184)	1:42:A:LYS:HB2	1:43:A:GLU:H	2	7.71	0.01	7.71
(7,30)	1:9:A:GLU:H	1:9:A:GLU:HB2	2	7.67	0.03	7.67




<sup>1</sup>Number of violated models, <sup>2</sup>Standard deviation

### 9.5 All violated distance restraints (i)

### 9.5.1 Histogram: Distribution of distance violations (i)

The following histogram shows the distribution of the absolute value of the violation for all violated restraints in the ensemble.



### 9.5.2 Table: All distance violations (i)

The following table provides the 10 worst performing restraints, sorted by the violation value. The Key (restraint list ID, restraint ID) is the unique identifier for a given restraint. Rows with same key represent combinatorial or ambiguous restraints and are counted as a single restraint.

Key	Atom-1	Atom-2	Model ID	Violation (Å)
(7,331)	1:93:A:LEU:HG	1:93:A:LEU:H	2	8.27
(7,314)	1:90:A:LEU:HG	1:90:A:LEU:H	2	8.26
(7,49)	1:14:A:LEU:H	1:14:A:LEU:HD11	1	8.19
(7,49)	1:14:A:LEU:H	1:14:A:LEU:HD12	1	8.19
(7,49)	1:14:A:LEU:H	1:14:A:LEU:HD13	1	8.19
(7,21)	1:7:A:LEU:HD21	1:7:A:LEU:H	2	8.15
(7,21)	1:7:A:LEU:HD22	1:7:A:LEU:H	2	8.15
(7,21)	1:7:A:LEU:HD23	1:7:A:LEU:H	2	8.15

Continued on next page...



### Continued from previous page...

Key	Atom-1	Atom-2	Model ID	Violation (Å)
(6,420)	1:100:A:PRO:HB2	1:101:A:VAL:H	2	8.03
(7,291)	1:85:A:ARG:HG2	1:85:A:ARG:H	2	8.01
(7,74)	1:19:A:GLU:H	1:19:A:GLU:HG2	1	8.01
(7,19)	1:7:A:LEU:HG	1:7:A:LEU:H	1	7.9
(6,427)	1:102:A:LYS:HG2	1:103:A:GLU:H	2	7.9
(6,427)	1:102:A:LYS:HG3	1:103:A:GLU:H	2	7.9
(7,333)	1:93:A:LEU:HD21	1:93:A:LEU:H	2	7.82



# 10 Dihedral-angle violation analysis (i)


### 10.1 Summary of dihedral-angle violations (i)

The following table provides the summary of dihedral-angle violations in different dihedral-angle types. Violations less than 1° are not included in the calculation.

A l - 4	Count % <sup>1</sup>		Vie	${f Violated}^3$		Consistently Violated <sup>4</sup>		
Angle type	Count	70	Count $ \%^2 $		$\frac{1}{\%}$	Count	$\%^2$	$\%^1$
PHI	102	100.0	20	19.6	19.6	6	5.9	5.9
Total	102	100.0	20	19.6	19.6	6	5.9	5.9

 $<sup>^1</sup>$  percentage calculated with respect to total number of dihedral-angle restraints,  $^2$  percentage calculated with respect to number of restraints in a particular dihedral-angle type,  $^3$  violated in at least one model,  $^4$  violated in all the models

#### 10.1.1 Bar chart: Distribution of dihedral-angles and violations (i)



Violated and consistently violated restraints are shown using different hatch patterns in their respective categories




### 10.2 Dihedral-angle violation statistics for each model (i)

The following table provides the dihedral-angle violation statistics for each model in the ensemble. Violations less than 1° are not included in the statistics.

Model ID	Num	ber of violations	$oxed{  ext{Mean (°)}  ext{ Max (°)} }$		SD (°)	Median (°)	
Model 1D	PHI	Total	Mean ()	Max ()	SD ( )	Median ( )	
1	13	13	29.46	126.17	37.14	13.66	
2	13	13	36.43	132.53	41.37	14.93	

#### 10.2.1 Bar graph: Dihedral violation statistics for each model (i)



The mean(dot), median(x) and the standard deviation are shown in blue with respect to the y axis on the right

# 10.3 Dihedral-angle violation statistics for the ensemble (i)

Violation analysis may find that some restraints are violated in very few models and some are violated in most of models. The following table provides this information as number of violated restraints for a given fraction of ensemble.

Num	ber of violated restraints	Fractio	n of the ensemble
PHI	Total	Count <sup>1</sup>	%
14	14	1	50.0

Continued on next page...




Continued from previous page...

Num	ber of violated restraints	Fraction of the ensemble		
PHI	Total	Count <sup>1</sup>	%	
6	6	2	100.0	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Number of models with violations

### 10.3.1 Bar graph: Dihedral-angle Violation statistics for the ensemble (i)




# 10.4 Most violated dihedral-angle restraints in the ensemble (i)

### 10.4.1 Histogram: Distribution of mean dihedral-angle violations (i)

The following histogram shows the distribution of the average value of the violation. The average is calculated for each restraint that is violated in more than one model over all the violated models in the ensemble





#### 10.4.2 Table: Most violated dihedral-angle restraints (i)

The following table provides the mean and the standard deviation of the violation for each restraint sorted by number of violated models and the mean value. The Key (restraint list ID, restraint ID) is the unique identifier for a given restraint.

Key	Atom-1	Atom-2	Atom-3	Atom-4	$\mathbf{Models}^1$	Mean	$\mathbf{SD}^2$	Median
(1,1)	1:3:A:THR:C	1:4:A:ASP:N	1:4:A:ASP:CA	1:4:A:ASP:C	2	85.46	13.8	85.46
(1,80)	1:59:A:HIS:C	1:60:A:GLU:N	1:60:A:GLU:CA	1:60:A:GLU:C	2	72.64	59.89	72.64
(1,76)	1:20:A:GLN:C	1:21:A:LYS:N	1:21:A:LYS:CA	1:21:A:LYS:C	2	67.07	59.1	67.07
(1,73)	1:126:A:LYS:C	1:127:A:CYS:N	1:127:A:CYS:CA	1:127:A:CYS:C	2	62.57	16.62	62.57
(1,90)	1:28:A:THR:C	1:29:A:VAL:N	1:29:A:VAL:CA	1:29:A:VAL:C	2	21.16	7.98	21.16
(1,91)	1:30:A:THR:C	1:31:A:ASP:N	1:31:A:ASP:CA	1:31:A:ASP:C	2	10.86	8.43	10.86


<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Number of violated models, <sup>2</sup>Standard deviation, All angle values are in degree (°)

### 10.5 All violated dihedral-angle restraints (i)

### 10.5.1 Histogram: Distribution of violations (i)

The following histogram shows the distribution of the absolute value of the violation for all violated restraints in the ensemble.





### 10.5.2 Table: All violated dihedral-angle restraints (i)

The following table provides the list of violations for the 10 worst performing restraints, sorted by the violation value. The Key (restraint list ID, restraint ID) is the unique identifier for a given restraint.

Key	Atom-1	Atom-2	Atom-3	Atom-4	Model ID	Violation (°)
(1,80)	1:59:A:HIS:C	1:60:A:GLU:N	1:60:A:GLU:CA	1:60:A:GLU:C	2	132.53
(1,76)	1:20:A:GLN:C	1:21:A:LYS:N	1:21:A:LYS:CA	1:21:A:LYS:C	1	126.17
(1,1)	1:3:A:THR:C	1:4:A:ASP:N	1:4:A:ASP:CA	1:4:A:ASP:C	2	99.26
(1,74)	1:127:A:CYS:C	1:128:A:SER:N	1:128:A:SER:CA	1:128:A:SER:C	2	90.7
(1,73)	1:126:A:LYS:C	1:127:A:CYS:N	1:127:A:CYS:CA	1:127:A:CYS:C	1	79.18
(1,1)	1:3:A:THR:C	1:4:A:ASP:N	1:4:A:ASP:CA	1:4:A:ASP:C	1	71.66
(1,73)	1:126:A:LYS:C	1:127:A:CYS:N	1:127:A:CYS:CA	1:127:A:CYS:C	2	45.95
(1,90)	1:28:A:THR:C	1:29:A:VAL:N	1:29:A:VAL:CA	1:29:A:VAL:C	1	29.14
(1,99)	1:97:A:ASN:C	1:98:A:SER:N	1:98:A:SER:CA	1:98:A:SER:C	2	26.36
(1,91)	1:30:A:THR:C	1:31:A:ASP:N	1:31:A:ASP:CA	1:31:A:ASP:C	2	19.29

