WORLDWIDE wwPDB PROTEIN DATA BANK

wwPDB NMR Structure Validation Summary Report (i)

Jun 6, 2023 – 07:16 pm BST

PDB ID	:	4BEH
BMRB ID	:	19086
Title	:	Solution structure of human ribosomal protein P1.P2 heterodimer
Authors	:	Lee, K.M.; Yusa, K.; Chu, L.O.; Wing-Heng Yu, C.; Shaw, P.C.; Oono, M.; Miyoshi, T.; Ito, K.; Wong, K.B.; Uchiumi, T.
Deposited on	:	2013-03-10

This is a wwPDB NMR Structure Validation Summary Report for a publicly released PDB entry.

We welcome your comments at *validation@mail.wwpdb.org*
A user guide is available at
https://www.wwpdb.org/validation/2017/NMRValidationReportHelp
with specific help available everywhere you see the (i) symbol.

The types of validation reports are described at
http://www.wwpdb.org/validation/2017/FAQs#types.

The following versions of software and data (see references (i)) were used in the production of this report:

MolProbity	:	4.02b-467
Percentile statistics	:	20191225.v01 (using entries in the PDB archive December 25th 2019)
wwPDB-RCI	:	v_1n_11_5_13_A (Berjanski et al., 2005)
PANAV	:	Wang et al. (2010)
wwPDB-ShiftChecker	:	v1.2
BMRB Restraints Analysis	:	v1.2
Ideal geometry (proteins)	:	Engh & Huber (2001)
Ideal geometry (DNA, RNA)	:	Parkinson et al. (1996)
Validation Pipeline (wwPDB-VP)	:	2.33

1   Overall quality at a glance ⓘ

The following experimental techniques were used to determine the structure:
SOLUTION NMR

The overall completeness of chemical shifts assignment is 64%.

Percentile scores (ranging between 0-100) for global validation metrics of the entry are shown in the following graphic. The table shows the number of entries on which the scores are based.

Metric	Percentile Ranks	Value
Clashscore		10
Ramachandran outliers		2.8%
Sidechain outliers		8.1%

Worse   *Better*

▮ Percentile relative to all structures

▯ Percentile relative to all NMR structures

Metric	Whole archive (#Entries)	NMR archive (#Entries)
Clashscore	158937	12864
Ramachandran outliers	154571	11451
Sidechain outliers	154315	11428

The table below summarises the geometric issues observed across the polymeric chains and their fit to the experimental data. The red, orange, yellow and green segments indicate the fraction of residues that contain outliers for >=3, 2, 1 and 0 types of geometric quality criteria. A cyan segment indicates the fraction of residues that are not part of the well-defined cores, and a grey segment represents the fraction of residues that are not modelled. The numeric value for each fraction is indicated below the corresponding segment, with a dot representing fractions <=5%

Mol	Chain	Length	Quality of chain
1	A	114	44% 8% • 46%
2	B	116	38% 11% 51%

W O R L D W I D E

wwPDB

PROTEIN DATA BANK

2   Ensemble composition and analysis ⓘ

This entry contains 20 models. Model 8 is the overall representative, medoid model (most similar to other models).

The following residues are included in the computation of the global validation metrics.

Well-defined (core) protein residues			
Well-defined core	Residue range (total)	Backbone RMSD (Å)	Medoid model
1	A:3-A:63, B:203-B:259 (118)	1.13	8

Ill-defined regions of proteins are excluded from the global statistics.

Ligands and non-protein polymers are included in the analysis.

The models can be grouped into 4 clusters and 2 single-model clusters were found.

Cluster number	Models
1	1, 2, 4, 7, 8, 14, 15
2	6, 10, 11, 13
3	5, 12, 16, 18
4	9, 17, 19
Single-model clusters	3; 20

3 Entry composition ⓘ

There are 2 unique types of molecules in this entry. The entry contains 3232 atoms, of which 1601 are hydrogens and 0 are deuteriums.

- Molecule 1 is a protein called 60S ACIDIC RIBOSOMAL PROTEIN P1.

Mol	Chain	Residues	Atoms						Trace
			Total	C	H	N	O	S	
1	A	114	1597	508	789	129	167	4	0

- Molecule 2 is a protein called 60S ACIDIC RIBOSOMAL PROTEIN P2.

Mol	Chain	Residues	Atoms						Trace
			Total	C	H	N	O	S	
2	B	116	1635	506	812	137	178	2	0

There is a discrepancy between the modelled and reference sequences:

| Chain	Residue	Modelled	Actual	Comment	Reference
B	200	ALA	-	expression tag	UNP P05387

4 Residue-property plots ⓘ

4.1 Average score per residue in the NMR ensemble

These plots are provided for all protein, RNA, DNA and oligosaccharide chains in the entry. The first graphic is the same as shown in the summary in section 1 of this report. The second graphic shows the sequence where residues are colour-coded according to the number of geometric quality criteria for which they contain at least one outlier: green = 0, yellow = 1, orange = 2 and red = 3 or more. Stretches of 2 or more consecutive residues without any outliers are shown as green connectors. Residues which are classified as ill-defined in the NMR ensemble, are shown in cyan with an underline colour-coded according to the previous scheme. Residues which were present in the experimental sample, but not modelled in the final structure are shown in grey.

• Molecule 1: 60S ACIDIC RIBOSOMAL PROTEIN P1



• Molecule 2: 60S ACIDIC RIBOSOMAL PROTEIN P2

## 4.2 Residue scores for the representative (medoid) model from the NMR ensemble

The representative model is number 8. Colouring as in section 4.1 above.

• Molecule 1: 60S ACIDIC RIBOSOMAL PROTEIN P1

• Molecule 2: 60S ACIDIC RIBOSOMAL PROTEIN P2

Chain B:

38%   9%   •   51%

A200 M201 R202 Y203 V204 A205 S206 Y207 L208 A211 G214 N215 I226 L227 D228 R238 V242 L246 I251 G260 K261 L262 A263 S264 V265 P266 A267 G268 G269 A270 V271 A272 V273 S274 A275 A276 P277 G278 S279 A280 A281 P282 A283 A284 G285 S286 A287 P288 A289 A290 A291 E292

E293 K294 K295 D296 E297 K298 K299 E300 E301 S302 S303 E304 S305 D306 D307 D308 M309 G310 F311 G312 L313 F314 D315

5   Refinement protocol and experimental data overview ⓘ

The models were refined using the following method: *ARIA*.

Of the 100 calculated structures, 20 were deposited, based on the following criterion: *LEAST RESTRAINT VIOLATION AND LOWEST ENERGY*.

The following table shows the software used for structure solution, optimisation and refinement.

Software name	Classification	Version
CNS	refinement	1.1
NMRView	structure solution	

The following table shows chemical shift validation statistics as aggregates over all chemical shift files. Detailed validation can be found in section 7 of this report.

Chemical shift file(s)	working_cs.cif
Number of chemical shift lists	2
Total number of shifts	1671
Number of shifts mapped to atoms	1671
Number of unparsed shifts	0
Number of shifts with mapping errors	0
Number of shifts with mapping warnings	0
Assignment completeness (well-defined parts)	64%

6 Model quality (i)

6.1 Standard geometry (i)

There are no covalent bond-length or bond-angle outliers.

There are no bond-length outliers.

There are no bond-angle outliers.

There are no chirality outliers.

There are no planarity outliers.

6.2 Too-close contacts (i)

In the following table, the Non-H and H(model) columns list the number of non-hydrogen atoms and hydrogen atoms in each chain respectively. The H(added) column lists the number of hydrogen atoms added and optimized by MolProbity. The Clashes column lists the number of clashes averaged over the ensemble.

Mol	Chain	Non-H	H(model)	H(added)	Clashes
1	A	454	463	462	10±3
2	B	421	431	431	11±3
All	All	17500	17880	17860	357

The all-atom clashscore is defined as the number of clashes found per 1000 atoms (including hydrogen atoms). The all-atom clashscore for this structure is 10.

5 of 185 unique clashes are listed below, sorted by their clash magnitude.

Atom-1	Atom-2	Clash(Å)	Distance(Å)	Models	
				Worst	Total
1:A:56:ILE:O	1:A:59:LEU:HG	0.72	1.85	8	6
2:B:203:TYR:CE2	2:B:239:LEU:HA	0.70	2.21	6	1
2:B:209:LEU:HD12	2:B:226:ILE:HD12	0.70	1.62	11	1
2:B:204:VAL:O	2:B:208:LEU:HG	0.69	1.87	20	11
1:A:51:LEU:HA	1:A:54:VAL:HG23	0.68	1.65	3	15

6.3 Torsion angles (i)

6.3.1 Protein backbone (i)

In the following table, the Percentiles column shows the percent Ramachandran outliers of the chain as a percentile score with respect to all PDB entries followed by that with respect to all NMR

entries. The Analysed column shows the number of residues for which the backbone conformation was analysed and the total number of residues.

Mol	Chain	Analysed	Favoured	Allowed	Outliers	Percentiles	
1	A	61/114 (54%)	50±3 (82±4%)	9±2 (14±4%)	2±1 (4±2%)	6	35
2	B	57/116 (49%)	50±3 (87±5%)	6±2 (10±4%)	1±1 (2±2%)	10	50
All	All	2360/4600 (51%)	1999 (85%)	294 (12%)	67 (3%)	8	42

5 of 23 unique Ramachandran outliers are listed below. They are sorted by the frequency of occurrence in the ensemble.

Mol	Chain	Res	Type	Models (Total)
1	A	51	LEU	12
1	A	54	VAL	8
1	A	23	VAL	7
1	A	56	ILE	5
2	B	214	GLY	5

6.3.2   Protein sidechains ⓘ

In the following table, the Percentiles column shows the percent sidechain outliers of the chain as a percentile score with respect to all PDB entries followed by that with respect to all NMR entries. The Analysed column shows the number of residues for which the sidechain conformation was analysed and the total number of residues.

Mol	Chain	Analysed	Rotameric	Outliers	Percentiles	
1	A	50/81 (62%)	46±2 (91±3%)	4±2 (9±3%)	13	60
2	B	46/83 (55%)	43±2 (93±4%)	3±2 (7±4%)	18	67
All	All	1920/3280 (59%)	1765 (92%)	155 (8%)	15	63

5 of 49 unique residues with a non-rotameric sidechain are listed below. They are sorted by the frequency of occurrence in the ensemble.

Mol	Chain	Res	Type	Models (Total)
1	A	42	PHE	19
1	A	10	ILE	12
2	B	226	ILE	12
1	A	59	LEU	8
2	B	246	LEU	8

6.3.3   RNA ⓘ

There are no RNA molecules in this entry.

6.4   Non-standard residues in protein, DNA, RNA chains ⓘ

There are no non-standard protein/DNA/RNA residues in this entry.

6.5   Carbohydrates ⓘ

There are no monosaccharides in this entry.

6.6   Ligand geometry ⓘ

There are no ligands in this entry.

6.7   Other polymers ⓘ

There are no such molecules in this entry.

6.8   Polymer linkage issues ⓘ

There are no chain breaks in this entry.

7 Chemical shift validation ⓘ

The completeness of assignment taking into account all chemical shift lists is 64% for the well-defined parts and 60% for the entire structure.

7.1 Chemical shift list 1

File name: working_cs.cif

Chemical shift list name: *p1.csh*

7.1.1 Bookkeeping ⓘ

The following table shows the results of parsing the chemical shift list and reports the number of nuclei with statistically unusual chemical shifts.

Total number of shifts	822
Number of shifts mapped to atoms	822
Number of unparsed shifts	0
Number of shifts with mapping errors	0
Number of shifts with mapping warnings	0
Number of shift outliers (ShiftChecker)	0

7.1.2 Chemical shift referencing ⓘ

The following table shows the suggested chemical shift referencing corrections.

Nucleus	# values	Correction ± precision, *ppm*	Suggested action
$^{13}C_\alpha$	106	-0.21 ± 0.09	None needed (< 0.5 ppm)
$^{13}C_\beta$	86	-0.09 ± 0.06	None needed (< 0.5 ppm)
$^{13}C'$	0	—	None (insufficient data)
^{15}N	0	—	None (insufficient data)

7.1.3 Completeness of resonance assignments ⓘ

The following table shows the completeness of the chemical shift assignments for the well-defined regions of the structure. The overall completeness is 33%, i.e. 503 atoms were assigned a chemical shift out of a possible 1539. 0 out of 24 assigned methyl groups (LEU and VAL) were assigned stereospecifically.

	Total	^1H	^{13}C	^{15}N
Backbone	167/592 (28%)	106/241 (44%)	61/236 (26%)	0/115 (0%)
Sidechain	321/880 (36%)	250/580 (43%)	71/279 (25%)	0/21 (0%)

Continued on next page...

Continued from previous page...

|	Total	^{1}H	^{13}C	^{15}N
Aromatic	15/67 (22%)	15/32 (47%)	0/32 (0%)	0/3 (0%)
Overall	503/1539 (33%)	371/853 (43%)	132/547 (24%)	0/139 (0%)

7.1.4 Statistically unusual chemical shifts ⓘ

There are no statistically unusual chemical shifts.

7.1.5 Random Coil Index (RCI) plots ⓘ

The image below reports *random coil index* values for the protein chains in the structure. The height of each bar gives a probability of a given residue to be disordered, as predicted from the available chemical shifts and the amino acid sequence. A value above 0.2 is an indication of significant predicted disorder. The colour of the bar shows whether the residue is in the well-defined core (black) or in the ill-defined residue ranges (cyan), as described in section 2 on ensemble composition.If well-defined core and ill-defined regions are not identified then it is shown as gray bars.

Random coil index (RCI) for chain A:

7.2 Chemical shift list 2

File name: working_cs.cif

Chemical shift list name: *p2.csh*

7.2.1 Bookkeeping ⓘ

The following table shows the results of parsing the chemical shift list and reports the number of nuclei with statistically unusual chemical shifts.

Total number of shifts	849
Number of shifts mapped to atoms	849
Number of unparsed shifts	0
Number of shifts with mapping errors	0
Number of shifts with mapping warnings	0
Number of shift outliers (ShiftChecker)	0

7.2.2   Chemical shift referencing ⓘ

The following table shows the suggested chemical shift referencing corrections.

Nucleus	# values	Correction ± precision, *ppm*	Suggested action
$^{13}C_\alpha$	103	-0.02 ± 0.06	None needed (< 0.5 ppm)
$^{13}C_\beta$	86	0.18 ± 0.08	None needed (< 0.5 ppm)
$^{13}C'$	0	—	None (insufficient data)
^{15}N	0	—	None (insufficient data)

7.2.3   Completeness of resonance assignments ⓘ

The following table shows the completeness of the chemical shift assignments for the well-defined regions of the structure. The overall completeness is 31%, i.e. 476 atoms were assigned a chemical shift out of a possible 1539. 0 out of 24 assigned methyl groups (LEU and VAL) were assigned stereospecifically.

	Total	^1H	^{13}C	^{15}N
Backbone	169/592 (29%)	114/241 (47%)	55/236 (23%)	0/115 (0%)
Sidechain	299/880 (34%)	240/580 (41%)	59/279 (21%)	0/21 (0%)
Aromatic	8/67 (12%)	8/32 (25%)	0/32 (0%)	0/3 (0%)
Overall	476/1539 (31%)	362/853 (42%)	114/547 (21%)	0/139 (0%)

7.2.4   Statistically unusual chemical shifts ⓘ

There are no statistically unusual chemical shifts.

7.2.5   Random Coil Index (RCI) plots ⓘ

The image below reports *random coil index* values for the protein chains in the structure. The height of each bar gives a probability of a given residue to be disordered, as predicted from the available chemical shifts and the amino acid sequence. A value above 0.2 is an indication of significant predicted disorder. The colour of the bar shows whether the residue is in the well-defined core (black) or in the ill-defined residue ranges (cyan), as described in section 2 on ensemble

composition.If well-defined core and ill-defined regions are not identified then it is shown as gray bars.

Random coil index (RCI) for chain B:

8 NMR restraints analysis (i)

8.1 Conformationally restricting restraints (i)

The following table provides the summary of experimentally observed NMR restraints in different categories. Restraints are classified into different categories based on the sequence separation of the atoms involved.

Description	Value
Total distance restraints	1369
Intra-residue ($\|i\text{-}j\|$=0)	581
Sequential ($\|i\text{-}j\|$=1)	307
Medium range ($\|i\text{-}j\|$>1 and $\|i\text{-}j\|$<5)	122
Long range ($\|i\text{-}j\|$≥5)	99
Inter-chain	142
Hydrogen bond restraints	118
Disulfide bond restraints	0
Total dihedral-angle restraints	258
Number of unmapped restraints	0
Number of restraints per residue	7.1
Number of long range restraints per residue[1]	0.4

[1]Long range hydrogen bonds and disulfide bonds are counted as long range restraints while calculating the number of long range restraints per residue

8.2 Residual restraint violations (i)

This section provides the overview of the restraint violations analysis. The violations are binned as small, medium and large violations based on its absolute value. Average number of violations per model is calculated by dividing the total number of violations in each bin by the size of the ensemble.

8.2.1 Average number of distance violations per model (i)

Distance violations less than 0.1 Å are not included in the calculation.

Bins (Å)	Average number of violations per model	Max (Å)
0.1-0.2 (Small)	62.6	0.2
0.2-0.5 (Medium)	44.0	0.5
>0.5 (Large)	37.6	4.96

8.2.2   Average number of dihedral-angle violations per model ⓘ

Dihedral-angle violations less than 1° are not included in the calculation.

| Bins (°)	Average number of violations per model	Max (°)
1.0-10.0 (Small)	3.4	9.9
10.0-20.0 (Medium)	0.9	19.7
>20.0 (Large)	27.1	154.7

9 Distance violation analysis (i)

9.1 Summary of distance violations (i)

The following table shows the summary of distance violations in different restraint categories based on the sequence separation of the atoms involved. Each category is further sub-divided into three sub-categories based on the atoms involved. Violations less than 0.1 Å are not included in the statistics.

Restraints type	Count	%[1]	Violated[3]			Consistently Violated[4]		
			Count	%[2]	%[1]	Count	%[2]	%[1]
Intra-residue (|i-j|=0)	581	42.4	94	16.2	6.9	4	0.7	0.3
Backbone-Backbone	139	10.2	18	12.9	1.3	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Sidechain	357	26.1	67	18.8	4.9	1	0.3	0.1
Sidechain-Sidechain	85	6.2	9	10.6	0.7	3	3.5	0.2
Sequential (|i-j|=1)	307	22.4	83	27.0	6.1	3	1.0	0.2
Backbone-Backbone	155	11.3	27	17.4	2.0	1	0.6	0.1
Backbone-Sidechain	138	10.1	43	31.2	3.1	2	1.4	0.1
Sidechain-Sidechain	14	1.0	13	92.9	0.9	0	0.0	0.0
Medium range (|i-j|>1 & |i-j|<5)	122	8.9	47	38.5	3.4	2	1.6	0.1
Backbone-Backbone	44	3.2	3	6.8	0.2	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Sidechain	52	3.8	23	44.2	1.7	1	1.9	0.1
Sidechain-Sidechain	26	1.9	21	80.8	1.5	1	3.8	0.1
Long range (|i-j|≥5)	99	7.2	69	69.7	5.0	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Backbone	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Sidechain	43	3.1	28	65.1	2.0	0	0.0	0.0
Sidechain-Sidechain	56	4.1	41	73.2	3.0	0	0.0	0.0
Inter-chain	142	10.4	96	67.6	7.0	1	0.7	0.1
Backbone-Backbone	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Sidechain	61	4.5	40	65.6	2.9	1	1.6	0.1
Sidechain-Sidechain	81	5.9	56	69.1	4.1	0	0.0	0.0
Hydrogen bond	118	8.6	42	35.6	3.1	1	0.8	0.1
Disulfide bond	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Total	1369	100.0	431	31.5	31.5	11	0.8	0.8
Backbone-Backbone	456	33.3	90	19.7	6.6	2	0.4	0.1
Backbone-Sidechain	651	47.6	201	30.9	14.7	5	0.8	0.4
Sidechain-Sidechain	262	19.1	140	53.4	10.2	4	1.5	0.3

[1] percentage calculated with respect to the total number of distance restraints, [2] percentage calculated with respect to the number of restraints in a particular restraint category, [3] violated in at least one model, [4] violated in all the models

9.1.1 Bar chart : Distribution of distance restraints and violations ⓘ

Violated and consistently violated restraints are shown using different hatch patterns in their respective categories. The hydrogen bonds and disulfied bonds are counted in their appropriate category on the x-axis

9.2 Distance violation statistics for each model ⓘ

The following table provides the distance violation statistics for each model in the ensemble. Violations less than 0.1 Å are not included in the statistics.

Model ID	Number of violations						Mean (Å)	Max (Å)	SD[6] (Å)	Median (Å)
	IR[1]	SQ[2]	MR[3]	LR[4]	IC[5]	Total				
1	37	23	27	25	32	144	0.4	3.36	0.5	0.22
2	31	19	33	39	25	147	0.6	3.63	0.81	0.23
3	30	20	30	29	29	138	0.5	3.47	0.63	0.24
4	30	20	31	35	37	153	0.55	3.1	0.64	0.22
5	33	21	21	31	33	139	0.52	3.45	0.66	0.23
6	29	18	31	27	37	142	0.48	2.68	0.57	0.23
7	34	18	30	40	39	161	0.64	4.96	0.83	0.25
8	36	22	32	36	26	152	0.52	2.7	0.63	0.23
9	32	22	30	33	31	148	0.63	3.72	0.84	0.24
10	36	16	29	35	35	151	0.64	4.94	0.84	0.24
11	37	19	29	32	29	146	0.49	2.52	0.55	0.23

Continued on next page...

Continued from previous page...

| Model ID | Number of violations | | | | | | Mean (Å) | Max (Å) | SD[6] (Å) | Median (Å) |
	IR[1]	SQ[2]	MR[3]	LR[4]	IC[5]	Total				
12	33	21	26	25	28	133	0.44	2.09	0.48	0.21
13	30	19	29	27	30	135	0.42	2.44	0.5	0.22
14	25	23	27	26	28	129	0.45	2.7	0.6	0.2
15	37	26	31	32	28	154	0.55	3.06	0.7	0.22
16	41	24	33	33	29	160	0.56	3.51	0.73	0.22
17	28	18	26	36	27	135	0.7	3.72	0.91	0.25
18	37	19	30	26	37	149	0.47	3.42	0.57	0.24
19	33	25	26	30	24	138	0.48	2.73	0.56	0.23
20	26	21	29	24	30	130	0.37	2.38	0.42	0.22

[1]Intra-residue restraints, [2]Sequential restraints, [3]Medium range restraints, [4]Long range restraints,
[5]Inter-chain restraints, [6]Standard deviation

9.2.1 Bar graph : Distance Violation statistics for each model ⓘ

The mean(dot),median(x) and the standard deviation are shown in blue with respect to the y axis
on the right

9.3   Distance violation statistics for the ensemble ⓘ

Violation analysis may find that some restraints are violated in few models and some are violated in most of models. The following table provides this information as number of violated restraints for a given fraction of the ensemble. In total, 862(IR:487, SQ:224, MR:75, LR:30, IC:46) restraints are not violated in the ensemble.

Number of violated restraints						Fraction of the ensemble	
IR[1]	SQ[2]	MR[3]	LR[4]	IC[5]	Total	Count[6]	%
17	31	6	9	20	83	1	5.0
13	12	7	5	10	47	2	10.0
10	5	6	4	6	31	3	15.0
7	6	0	1	8	22	4	20.0
2	5	4	6	8	25	5	25.0
6	3	3	6	3	21	6	30.0
1	1	0	3	4	9	7	35.0
3	3	0	3	6	15	8	40.0
1	2	3	2	5	13	9	45.0
7	1	1	3	6	18	10	50.0
5	0	0	0	3	8	11	55.0
4	2	3	4	3	16	12	60.0
5	4	0	1	1	11	13	65.0
1	1	3	4	5	14	14	70.0
1	1	4	1	3	10	15	75.0
2	1	2	3	2	10	16	80.0
2	0	0	8	1	11	17	85.0
2	1	2	3	0	8	18	90.0
1	1	1	3	1	7	19	95.0
4	3	2	0	1	10	20	100.0

[1]Intra-residue restraints, [2]Sequential restraints, [3]Medium range restraints, [4]Long range restraints, [5]Inter-chain restraints, [6] Number of models with violations

9.3.1 Bar graph : Distance violation statistics for the ensemble ⓘ

9.4 Most violated distance restraints in the ensemble ⓘ

9.4.1 Histogram : Distribution of mean distance violations ⓘ

The following histogram shows the distribution of the average value of the violation. The average is calculated for each restraint that is violated in more than one model over all the violated models in the ensemble

9.4.2   Table: Most violated distance restraints ⓘ

The following table provides the mean and the standard deviation of the violations for the 10 worst performing restraints, sorted by number of violated models and the mean violation value. The Key (restraint list ID, restraint ID) is the unique identifier for a given restraint. Rows with same key represent combinatorial or ambiguous restraints and are counted as a single restraint.

Key	Atom-1	Atom-2	Models[1]	Mean (Å)	SD[1] (Å)	Median (Å)
(1,699)	2:B:243:ILE:HD12	2:B:246:LEU:HD12	20	0.77	0.72	0.32
(1,699)	2:B:243:ILE:HD12	2:B:246:LEU:HD11	20	0.77	0.72	0.32
(1,699)	2:B:243:ILE:HD12	2:B:246:LEU:HD13	20	0.77	0.72	0.32
(1,699)	2:B:243:ILE:HD11	2:B:246:LEU:HD12	20	0.77	0.72	0.32
(1,699)	2:B:243:ILE:HD11	2:B:246:LEU:HD11	20	0.77	0.72	0.32
(1,699)	2:B:243:ILE:HD11	2:B:246:LEU:HD13	20	0.77	0.72	0.32
(1,699)	2:B:243:ILE:HD13	2:B:246:LEU:HD12	20	0.77	0.72	0.32
(1,699)	2:B:243:ILE:HD13	2:B:246:LEU:HD11	20	0.77	0.72	0.32
(1,699)	2:B:243:ILE:HD13	2:B:246:LEU:HD13	20	0.77	0.72	0.32
(1,623)	2:B:209:LEU:HD21	1:A:11:TYR:HA	20	0.73	0.84	0.32
(1,623)	2:B:209:LEU:HD23	1:A:11:TYR:HA	20	0.73	0.84	0.32
(1,623)	2:B:209:LEU:HD22	1:A:11:TYR:HA	20	0.73	0.84	0.32
(1,619)	2:B:209:LEU:HD12	2:B:209:LEU:HD11	20	0.26	0.0	0.26
(1,619)	2:B:209:LEU:HD12	2:B:209:LEU:HD13	20	0.26	0.0	0.26
(1,619)	2:B:209:LEU:HD12	2:B:209:LEU:HD11	20	0.26	0.0	0.26
(1,619)	2:B:209:LEU:HD11	2:B:209:LEU:HD13	20	0.26	0.0	0.26

Continued on next page...

Continued from previous page...

| Key	Atom-1	Atom-2	Models[1]	Mean (Å)	SD[1] (Å)	Median (Å)
(1,619)	2:B:209:LEU:HD12	2:B:209:LEU:HD13	20	0.26	0.0	0.26
(1,619)	2:B:209:LEU:HD11	2:B:209:LEU:HD13	20	0.26	0.0	0.26
(1,619)	2:B:209:LEU:HD22	2:B:209:LEU:HD23	20	0.26	0.0	0.26
(1,619)	2:B:209:LEU:HD21	2:B:209:LEU:HD23	20	0.26	0.0	0.26
(1,619)	2:B:209:LEU:HD22	2:B:209:LEU:HD23	20	0.26	0.0	0.26
(1,619)	2:B:209:LEU:HD21	2:B:209:LEU:HD23	20	0.26	0.0	0.26
(1,637)	2:B:217:SER:HA	2:B:216:SER:HB2	20	0.24	0.05	0.24
(1,637)	2:B:217:SER:HA	2:B:216:SER:HB3	20	0.24	0.05	0.24
(1,609)	2:B:208:LEU:HD12	2:B:208:LEU:HD11	20	0.24	0.0	0.24
(1,609)	2:B:208:LEU:HD12	2:B:208:LEU:HD11	20	0.24	0.0	0.24
(1,609)	2:B:208:LEU:HD11	2:B:208:LEU:HD13	20	0.24	0.0	0.24
(1,609)	2:B:208:LEU:HD11	2:B:208:LEU:HD13	20	0.24	0.0	0.24
(1,609)	2:B:208:LEU:HD22	2:B:208:LEU:HD21	20	0.24	0.0	0.24
(1,609)	2:B:208:LEU:HD22	2:B:208:LEU:HD23	20	0.24	0.0	0.24
(1,609)	2:B:208:LEU:HD22	2:B:208:LEU:HD21	20	0.24	0.0	0.24
(1,609)	2:B:208:LEU:HD21	2:B:208:LEU:HD23	20	0.24	0.0	0.24
(1,609)	2:B:208:LEU:HD22	2:B:208:LEU:HD23	20	0.24	0.0	0.24
(1,609)	2:B:208:LEU:HD21	2:B:208:LEU:HD23	20	0.24	0.0	0.24
(1,704)	2:B:243:ILE:HG22	2:B:240:ASN:HA	20	0.24	0.04	0.23
(1,704)	2:B:243:ILE:HG21	2:B:240:ASN:HA	20	0.24	0.04	0.23
(1,704)	2:B:243:ILE:HG23	2:B:240:ASN:HA	20	0.24	0.04	0.23
(1,558)	1:A:75:ALA:HA	1:A:76:PRO:HG2	20	0.23	0.04	0.22
(1,558)	1:A:75:ALA:HA	1:A:76:PRO:HG3	20	0.23	0.04	0.22
(2,44)	1:A:42:PHE:H	1:A:40:GLU:O	20	0.19	0.04	0.18
(1,775)	2:B:277:PRO:HA	2:B:278:GLY:HA2	20	0.19	0.03	0.17
(1,775)	2:B:277:PRO:HA	2:B:278:GLY:HA3	20	0.19	0.03	0.17
(1,460)	1:A:28:ILE:HD12	1:A:28:ILE:HA	20	0.14	0.02	0.14

[1]Number of violated models, [2]Standard deviation

9.5 All violated distance restraints (i)

9.5.1 Histogram : Distribution of distance violations (i)

The following histogram shows the distribution of the absolute value of the violation for all violated restraints in the ensemble.

9.5.2   Table : All distance violations ⓘ

The following table provides the 10 worst performing restraints, sorted by the violation value. The
Key (restraint list ID, restraint ID) is the unique identifier for a given restraint. Rows with same
key represent combinatorial or ambiguous restraints and are counted as a single restraint.

Key	Atom-1	Atom-2	Model ID	Violation (Å)
(1,614)	2:B:208:LEU:HD22	2:B:207:TYR:HD1	7	4.96
(1,614)	2:B:208:LEU:HD21	2:B:207:TYR:HD1	7	4.96
(1,614)	2:B:208:LEU:HD23	2:B:207:TYR:HD1	7	4.96
(1,614)	2:B:208:LEU:HD22	2:B:207:TYR:HD1	10	4.94
(1,614)	2:B:208:LEU:HD21	2:B:207:TYR:HD1	10	4.94
(1,614)	2:B:208:LEU:HD23	2:B:207:TYR:HD1	10	4.94
(1,732)	2:B:254:VAL:HG12	2:B:207:TYR:HD1	9	3.72
(1,732)	2:B:254:VAL:HG11	2:B:207:TYR:HD1	9	3.72
(1,732)	2:B:254:VAL:HG13	2:B:207:TYR:HD1	9	3.72
(1,732)	2:B:254:VAL:HG12	2:B:207:TYR:HD1	17	3.72
(1,732)	2:B:254:VAL:HG11	2:B:207:TYR:HD1	17	3.72
(1,732)	2:B:254:VAL:HG13	2:B:207:TYR:HD1	17	3.72
(1,705)	2:B:243:ILE:HG21	2:B:207:TYR:HE2	10	3.69
(1,705)	2:B:243:ILE:HG23	2:B:207:TYR:HE2	10	3.69
(1,681)	2:B:234:ALA:HB2	2:B:203:TYR:HE2	17	3.64
(1,681)	2:B:234:ALA:HB1	2:B:203:TYR:HE2	17	3.64
(1,681)	2:B:234:ALA:HB3	2:B:203:TYR:HE2	17	3.64

Continued on next page...

Continued from previous page...

| Key	Atom-1	Atom-2	Model ID	Violation (Å)
(1,732)	2:B:254:VAL:HG12	2:B:207:TYR:HD1	2	3.63
(1,732)	2:B:254:VAL:HG11	2:B:207:TYR:HD1	2	3.63
(1,732)	2:B:254:VAL:HG13	2:B:207:TYR:HD1	2	3.63
(1,698)	2:B:243:ILE:HD12	2:B:207:TYR:HD2	9	3.62
(1,698)	2:B:243:ILE:HD11	2:B:207:TYR:HD2	9	3.62
(1,698)	2:B:243:ILE:HD13	2:B:207:TYR:HD2	9	3.62
(1,698)	2:B:243:ILE:HD12	2:B:207:TYR:HD2	10	3.59
(1,698)	2:B:243:ILE:HD11	2:B:207:TYR:HD2	10	3.59
(1,698)	2:B:243:ILE:HD13	2:B:207:TYR:HD2	10	3.59
(1,732)	2:B:254:VAL:HG12	2:B:207:TYR:HD1	10	3.52

10 Dihedral-angle violation analysis ⓘ

10.1 Summary of dihedral-angle violations ⓘ

The following table provides the summary of dihedral-angle violations in different dihedral-angle types. Violations less than 1° are not included in the calculation.

Angle type	Count	$\%^1$	Violated[3]			Consistently Violated[4]		
			Count	$\%^2$	$\%^1$	Count	$\%^2$	$\%^1$
PHI	186	72.1	92	49.5	35.7	2	1.1	0.8
PSI	72	27.9	4	5.6	1.6	0	0.0	0.0
Total	258	100.0	96	37.2	37.2	2	0.8	0.8

[1] percentage calculated with respect to total number of dihedral-angle restraints, [2] percentage calculated with respect to number of restraints in a particular dihedral-angle type, [3] violated in at least one model, [4] violated in all the models

10.1.1 Bar chart : Distribution of dihedral-angles and violations ⓘ

Violated and consistently violated restraints are shown using different hatch patterns in their respective categories

10.2   Dihedral-angle violation statistics for each model   ⓘ

The following table provides the dihedral-angle violation statistics for each model in the ensemble. Violations less than 1° are not included in the statistics.

Model ID	Number of violations			Mean (°)	Max (°)	SD (°)	Median (°)
	PHI	PSI	Total				
1	28	2	30	67.88	153.4	44.43	63.85
2	29	1	30	59.75	145.7	38.9	57.85
3	32	3	35	63.51	146.0	37.87	59.0
4	27	2	29	69.98	149.0	42.22	59.9
5	26	1	27	64.59	142.2	34.18	59.0
6	23	2	25	58.06	150.7	38.68	54.1
7	37	3	40	64.06	154.7	42.54	61.95
8	21	1	22	77.82	149.9	37.52	74.65
9	30	3	33	71.06	154.3	42.7	71.4
10	32	2	34	66.34	148.3	44.13	60.5
11	24	0	24	68.15	141.0	42.33	56.4
12	35	0	35	73.19	147.4	37.91	66.4
13	27	0	27	70.57	143.0	38.81	66.2
14	31	3	34	65.28	150.5	42.17	55.8
15	36	2	38	63.13	136.9	34.85	58.85
16	28	1	29	64.3	133.7	39.95	58.9
17	35	2	37	59.71	150.6	36.81	56.3
18	31	2	33	65.56	154.3	39.07	58.5
19	28	0	28	69.15	151.0	44.83	63.55
20	37	1	38	65.51	151.2	35.18	57.95

10.2.1   Bar graph : Dihedral violation statistics for each model   ⓘ

The mean(dot),median(x) and the standard deviation are shown in blue with respect to the y axis on the right

10.3   Dihedral-angle violation statistics for the ensemble   ⓘ

Violation analysis may find that some restraints are violated in very few models and some are violated in most of models. The following table provides this information as number of violated restraints for a given fraction of ensemble.

Number of violated restraints			Fraction of the ensemble	
PHI	PSI	Total	Count[1]	%
10	0	10	1	5.0
12	0	12	2	10.0
9	1	10	3	15.0
8	0	8	4	20.0
8	0	8	5	25.0
14	0	14	6	30.0
5	1	6	7	35.0
3	1	4	8	40.0
3	0	3	9	45.0
2	0	2	10	50.0
2	0	2	11	55.0

Continued on next page...

Continued from previous page...

Number of violated restraints			Fraction of the ensemble	
PHI	PSI	Total	Count[1]	%
2	0	2	12	60.0
2	1	3	13	65.0
2	0	2	14	70.0
1	0	1	15	75.0
2	0	2	16	80.0
4	0	4	17	85.0
0	0	0	18	90.0
1	0	1	19	95.0
2	0	2	20	100.0

[1] Number of models with violations

### 10.3.1 Bar graph : Dihedral-angle Violation statistics for the ensemble (i)

10.4 Most violated dihedral-angle restraints in the ensemble (i)

10.4.1 Histogram : Distribution of mean dihedral-angle violations (i)

The following histogram shows the distribution of the average value of the violation. The average is calculated for each restraint that is violated in more than one model over all the violated models

in the ensemble

10.4.2   Table: Most violated dihedral-angle restraints ⓘ

The following table provides the mean and the standard deviation of the violations for the 10 worst performing restraints, sorted by number of violated models and the mean violation value. The Key (restraint list ID, restraint ID) is the unique identifier for a given restraint.

| Key	Atom-1	Atom-2	Atom-3	Atom-4	Models[1]	Mean	SD[2]	Median
(1,168)	1:A:69:ALA:C	1:A:70:PRO:N	1:A:70:PRO:CA	1:A:70:PRO:C	20	98.57	10.91	98.3
(1,180)	1:A:81:ALA:C	1:A:82:PRO:N	1:A:82:PRO:CA	1:A:82:PRO:C	20	65.54	8.06	66.85
(1,179)	1:A:80:PRO:C	1:A:81:ALA:N	1:A:81:ALA:CA	1:A:81:ALA:C	19	142.68	10.02	145.7
(1,239)	2:B:294:LYS:C	2:B:295:LYS:N	2:B:295:LYS:CA	2:B:295:LYS:C	17	136.48	14.42	138.5
(1,235)	2:B:290:ALA:C	2:B:291:ALA:N	2:B:291:ALA:CA	2:B:291:ALA:C	17	126.89	18.15	130.4
(1,190)	1:A:92:LYS:C	1:A:93:LYS:N	1:A:93:LYS:CA	1:A:93:LYS:C	17	124.22	17.03	128.4
(1,252)	2:B:307:ASP:C	2:B:308:ASP:N	2:B:308:ASP:CA	2:B:308:ASP:C	17	110.55	17.73	106.5
(1,167)	1:A:68:PRO:C	1:A:69:ALA:N	1:A:69:ALA:CA	1:A:69:ALA:C	16	124.96	14.8	127.95
(1,176)	1:A:77:ALA:C	1:A:78:GLY:N	1:A:78:GLY:CA	1:A:78:GLY:C	16	44.72	29.93	45.55
(1,240)	2:B:295:LYS:C	2:B:296:ASP:N	2:B:296:ASP:CA	2:B:296:ASP:C	15	113.91	19.1	118.9

[1] Number of violated models, [2]Standard deviation, All angle values are in degree (°)

10.5 All violated dihedral-angle restraints ⓘ

10.5.1 Histogram : Distribution of violations ⓘ

The following histogram shows the distribution of the absolute value of the violation for all violated restraints in the ensemble.

10.5.2 Table: All violated dihedral-angle restraints ⓘ

The following table provides the list of violationsfor the 10 worst performing restraints, sorted by the violation value. The Key (restraint list ID, restraint ID) is the unique identifier for a given restraint.

Key	Atom-1	Atom-2	Atom-3	Atom-4	Model ID	Violation (°)
(1,179)	1:A:80:PRO:C	1:A:81:ALA:N	1:A:81:ALA:CA	1:A:81:ALA:C	7	154.7
(1,235)	2:B:290:ALA:C	2:B:291:ALA:N	2:B:291:ALA:CA	2:B:291:ALA:C	9	154.3
(1,179)	1:A:80:PRO:C	1:A:81:ALA:N	1:A:81:ALA:CA	1:A:81:ALA:C	18	154.3
(1,239)	2:B:294:LYS:C	2:B:295:LYS:N	2:B:295:LYS:CA	2:B:295:LYS:C	1	153.4
(1,239)	2:B:294:LYS:C	2:B:295:LYS:N	2:B:295:LYS:CA	2:B:295:LYS:C	9	151.2
(1,239)	2:B:294:LYS:C	2:B:295:LYS:N	2:B:295:LYS:CA	2:B:295:LYS:C	20	151.2
(1,179)	1:A:80:PRO:C	1:A:81:ALA:N	1:A:81:ALA:CA	1:A:81:ALA:C	19	151.0
(1,239)	2:B:294:LYS:C	2:B:295:LYS:N	2:B:295:LYS:CA	2:B:295:LYS:C	6	150.7
(1,179)	1:A:80:PRO:C	1:A:81:ALA:N	1:A:81:ALA:CA	1:A:81:ALA:C	17	150.6
(1,239)	2:B:294:LYS:C	2:B:295:LYS:N	2:B:295:LYS:CA	2:B:295:LYS:C	14	150.5