wwPDB NMR Structure Validation Summary Report ⓘ

Jun 4, 2023 – 04:53 PM EDT

PDB ID	:	2L7I
BMRB ID	:	6795
Title	:	The solution structure of the HAMP domain of the hypothetical transmembrane receptor Af1503 (A291F variant)
Authors	:	Coles, M.; Hulko, M.; Martin, J.; Lupas, A.N.
Deposited on	:	2010-12-09

This is a wwPDB NMR Structure Validation Summary Report for a publicly released PDB entry.

We welcome your comments at *validation@mail.wwpdb.org*
A user guide is available at
https://www.wwpdb.org/validation/2017/NMRValidationReportHelp
with specific help available everywhere you see the ⓘ symbol.

The types of validation reports are described at
http://www.wwpdb.org/validation/2017/FAQs#types.

The following versions of software and data (see references ⓘ) were used in the production of this report:

MolProbity	:	4.02b-467
Percentile statistics	:	20191225.v01 (using entries in the PDB archive December 25th 2019)
wwPDB-RCI	:	v_1n_11_5_13_A (Berjanski et al., 2005)
PANAV	:	Wang et al. (2010)
wwPDB-ShiftChecker	:	v1.2
BMRB Restraints Analysis	:	v1.2
Ideal geometry (proteins)	:	Engh & Huber (2001)
Ideal geometry (DNA, RNA)	:	Parkinson et al. (1996)
Validation Pipeline (wwPDB-VP)	:	2.33

1 Overall quality at a glance (i)

The following experimental techniques were used to determine the structure:
SOLUTION NMR

The overall completeness of chemical shifts assignment is 35%.

Percentile scores (ranging between 0-100) for global validation metrics of the entry are shown in the following graphic. The table shows the number of entries on which the scores are based.



Metric	Whole archive (#Entries)	NMR archive (#Entries)
Clashscore	158937	12864
Ramachandran outliers	154571	11451
Sidechain outliers	154315	11428

The table below summarises the geometric issues observed across the polymeric chains and their fit to the experimental data. The red, orange, yellow and green segments indicate the fraction of residues that contain outliers for >=3, 2, 1 and 0 types of geometric quality criteria. A cyan segment indicates the fraction of residues that are not part of the well-defined cores, and a grey segment represents the fraction of residues that are not modelled. The numeric value for each fraction is indicated below the corresponding segment, with a dot representing fractions <=5%

Mol	Chain	Length	Quality of chain
1	A	58	67% 12% 21%
1	B	58	74% 10% 16%

2 Ensemble composition and analysis (i)

This entry contains 18 models. Model 18 is the overall representative, medoid model (most similar to other models). The authors have identified model 1 as representative, based on the following criterion: *minimized average*.

The following residues are included in the computation of the global validation metrics.

Well-defined (core) protein residues			
Well-defined core	**Residue range (total)**	**Backbone RMSD (Å)**	**Medoid model**
1	A:282-A:327, B:283-B:331 (95)	0.18	18

Ill-defined regions of proteins are excluded from the global statistics.

Ligands and non-protein polymers are included in the analysis.

The models can be grouped into 2 clusters. No single-model clusters were found.

Cluster number	**Models**
1	1, 2, 3, 4, 6, 10, 11, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18
2	5, 7, 8, 9, 12, 13

3 Entry composition

There is only 1 type of molecule in this entry. The entry contains 1842 atoms, of which 934 are hydrogens and 0 are deuteriums.

- Molecule 1 is a protein called Uncharacterized protein.

Mol	Chain	Residues	Atoms						Trace
			Total	C	H	N	O	S	
1	A	58	921	279	467	84	89	2	0
1	B	58	921	279	467	84	89	2	0

There are 10 discrepancies between the modelled and reference sequences:

Chain	Residue	Modelled	Actual	Comment	Reference
A	274	GLY	-	expression tag	UNP O28769
A	275	SER	-	expression tag	UNP O28769
A	276	HIS	-	expression tag	UNP O28769
A	277	MET	-	expression tag	UNP O28769
A	291	PHE	ALA	engineered mutation	UNP O28769
B	274	GLY	-	expression tag	UNP O28769
B	275	SER	-	expression tag	UNP O28769
B	276	HIS	-	expression tag	UNP O28769
B	277	MET	-	expression tag	UNP O28769
B	291	PHE	ALA	engineered mutation	UNP O28769

4 Residue-property plots (i)

4.1 Average score per residue in the NMR ensemble

These plots are provided for all protein, RNA, DNA and oligosaccharide chains in the entry. The first graphic is the same as shown in the summary in section 1 of this report. The second graphic shows the sequence where residues are colour-coded according to the number of geometric quality criteria for which they contain at least one outlier: green = 0, yellow = 1, orange = 2 and red = 3 or more. Stretches of 2 or more consecutive residues without any outliers are shown as green connectors. Residues which are classified as ill-defined in the NMR ensemble, are shown in cyan with an underline colour-coded according to the previous scheme. Residues which were present in the experimental sample, but not modelled in the final structure are shown in grey.

- Molecule 1: Uncharacterized protein



- Molecule 1: Uncharacterized protein



4.2 Residue scores for the representative (medoid) model from the NMR ensemble

The representative model is number 18. Colouring as in section 4.1 above.

- Molecule 1: Uncharacterized protein



- Molecule 1: Uncharacterized protein



5 Refinement protocol and experimental data overview (i)

The models were refined using the following method: *simulated annealing*.

Of the 50 calculated structures, 18 were deposited, based on the following criterion: *structures with the least restraint violations*.

The following table shows the software used for structure solution, optimisation and refinement.

Software name	Classification	Version
X-PLOR NIH	structure solution	2.9.7
X-PLOR NIH	refinement	2.9.7

The following table shows chemical shift validation statistics as aggregates over all chemical shift files. Detailed validation can be found in section 7 of this report.

Chemical shift file(s)	working_cs.cif
Number of chemical shift lists	1
Total number of shifts	516
Number of shifts mapped to atoms	516
Number of unparsed shifts	0
Number of shifts with mapping errors	0
Number of shifts with mapping warnings	0
Assignment completeness (well-defined parts)	35%

6 Model quality (i)

6.1 Standard geometry (i)

The Z score for a bond length (or angle) is the number of standard deviations the observed value is removed from the expected value. A bond length (or angle) with $|Z| > 5$ is considered an outlier worth inspection. RMSZ is the (average) root-mean-square of all Z scores of the bond lengths (or angles).

Mol	Chain	Bond lengths		Bond angles	
		RMSZ	#Z>5	RMSZ	#Z>5
1	A	0.98±0.01	4±0/372 (1.1± 0.0%)	0.79±0.01	0±0/500 (0.0± 0.0%)
1	B	0.98±0.00	4±0/390 (1.0± 0.0%)	0.77±0.01	0±0/521 (0.0± 0.0%)
All	All	0.98	144/13716 (1.0%)	0.78	0/18378 (0.0%)

5 of 8 unique bond outliers are listed below. They are sorted according to the Z-score of the worst occurrence in the ensemble.

Mol	Chain	Res	Type	Atoms	Z	Observed(Å)	Ideal(Å)	Models	
								Worst	Total
1	A	291	PHE	CG-CD2	6.02	1.47	1.38	1	18
1	B	291	PHE	CG-CD1	6.01	1.47	1.38	13	18
1	A	291	PHE	CG-CD1	5.97	1.47	1.38	3	18
1	B	291	PHE	CG-CD2	5.96	1.47	1.38	1	18
1	A	291	PHE	CE1-CZ	5.33	1.47	1.37	10	18

There are no bond-angle outliers.

There are no chirality outliers.

There are no planarity outliers.

6.2 Too-close contacts (i)

In the following table, the Non-H and H(model) columns list the number of non-hydrogen atoms and hydrogen atoms in each chain respectively. The H(added) column lists the number of hydrogen atoms added and optimized by MolProbity. The Clashes column lists the number of clashes averaged over the ensemble.

Mol	Chain	Non-H	H(model)	H(added)	Clashes
1	A	368	382	382	3±1
1	B	387	398	398	4±1
All	All	13590	14040	14040	84

The all-atom clashscore is defined as the number of clashes found per 1000 atoms (including hydrogen atoms). The all-atom clashscore for this structure is 3.

5 of 11 unique clashes are listed below, sorted by their clash magnitude.

Atom-1	Atom-2	Clash(Å)	Distance(Å)	Models	
				Worst	Total
1:A:294:ILE:HG22	1:B:322:LEU:HD11	0.59	1.75	17	3
1:A:315:LEU:HD21	1:B:315:LEU:HD21	0.58	1.75	15	11
1:A:311:GLU:HG2	1:B:284:ILE:HD13	0.57	1.77	4	14
1:A:322:LEU:HD11	1:B:294:ILE:HG22	0.55	1.76	15	2
1:B:299:LEU:HD13	1:B:327:LYS:HA	0.54	1.80	15	13

6.3 Torsion angles (i)

6.3.1 Protein backbone (i)

In the following table, the Percentiles column shows the percent Ramachandran outliers of the chain as a percentile score with respect to all PDB entries followed by that with respect to all NMR entries. The Analysed column shows the number of residues for which the backbone conformation was analysed and the total number of residues.

Mol	Chain	Analysed	Favoured	Allowed	Outliers	Percentiles	
1	A	46/58 (79%)	46±0 (100±0%)	0±0 (0±0%)	0±0 (0±0%)	100	100
1	B	48/58 (83%)	48±0 (100±0%)	0±0 (0±0%)	0±0 (0±0%)	100	100
All	All	1692/2088 (81%)	1692 (100%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	100	100

There are no Ramachandran outliers.

6.3.2 Protein sidechains (i)

In the following table, the Percentiles column shows the percent sidechain outliers of the chain as a percentile score with respect to all PDB entries followed by that with respect to all NMR entries. The Analysed column shows the number of residues for which the sidechain conformation was analysed and the total number of residues.

Mol	Chain	Analysed	Rotameric	Outliers	Percentiles	
1	A	40/50 (80%)	40±0 (100±0%)	0±0 (0±0%)	100	100
1	B	42/50 (84%)	42±0 (100±0%)	0±0 (0±0%)	100	100
All	All	1476/1800 (82%)	1476 (100%)	0 (0%)	100	100

There are no protein residues with a non-rotameric sidechain to report.

6.3.3 RNA (i)

There are no RNA molecules in this entry.

6.4 Non-standard residues in protein, DNA, RNA chains (i)

There are no non-standard protein/DNA/RNA residues in this entry.

6.5 Carbohydrates (i)

There are no monosaccharides in this entry.

6.6 Ligand geometry (i)

There are no ligands in this entry.

6.7 Other polymers (i)

There are no such molecules in this entry.

6.8 Polymer linkage issues (i)

There are no chain breaks in this entry.

7 Chemical shift validation (i)

The completeness of assignment taking into account all chemical shift lists is 35% for the well-defined parts and 32% for the entire structure.

7.1 Chemical shift list 1

File name: working_cs.cif

Chemical shift list name: *hamp_A291F.bmrb*

7.1.1 Bookkeeping (i)

The following table shows the results of parsing the chemical shift list and reports the number of nuclei with statistically unusual chemical shifts.

Total number of shifts	516
Number of shifts mapped to atoms	516
Number of unparsed shifts	0
Number of shifts with mapping errors	0
Number of shifts with mapping warnings	0
Number of shift outliers (ShiftChecker)	1

7.1.2 Chemical shift referencing (i)

The following table shows the suggested chemical shift referencing corrections.

Nucleus	# values	Correction ± precision, *ppm*	Suggested action
$^{13}C_{\alpha}$	52	-0.55 ± 0.17	Should be checked
$^{13}C_{\beta}$	50	0.57 ± 0.24	Should be checked
$^{13}C'$	0	—	None (insufficient data)
^{15}N	48	0.28 ± 0.37	None needed (< 0.5 ppm)

7.1.3 Completeness of resonance assignments (i)

The following table shows the completeness of the chemical shift assignments for the well-defined regions of the structure. The overall completeness is 35%, i.e. 468 atoms were assigned a chemical shift out of a possible 1354. 0 out of 13 assigned methyl groups (LEU and VAL) were assigned stereospecifically.

	Total	^{1}H	^{13}C	^{15}N
Backbone	180/471 (38%)	91/190 (48%)	46/190 (24%)	43/91 (47%)
Sidechain	275/849 (32%)	159/548 (29%)	110/260 (42%)	6/41 (15%)

Continued on next page...

Continued from previous page...

	Total	^{1}H	^{13}C	^{15}N
Aromatic	13/34 (38%)	7/18 (39%)	6/14 (43%)	0/2 (0%)
Overall	468/1354 (35%)	257/756 (34%)	162/464 (35%)	49/134 (37%)

7.1.4 Statistically unusual chemical shifts (i)

The following table lists the statistically unusual chemical shifts. These are statistical measures, and large deviations from the mean do not necessarily imply incorrect assignments. Molecules containing paramagnetic centres or hemes are expected to give rise to anomalous chemical shifts.

List Id	Chain	Res	Type	Atom	Shift, *ppm*	Expected range, *ppm*	Z-score
1	A	290	THR	HG1	4.95	0.08 – 2.19	18.1

7.1.5 Random Coil Index (RCI) plots (i)

The image below reports *random coil index* values for the protein chains in the structure. The height of each bar gives a probability of a given residue to be disordered, as predicted from the available chemical shifts and the amino acid sequence. A value above 0.2 is an indication of significant predicted disorder. The colour of the bar shows whether the residue is in the well-defined core (black) or in the ill-defined residue ranges (cyan), as described in section 2 on ensemble composition.If well-defined core and ill-defined regions are not identified then it is shown as gray bars.

Random coil index (RCI) for chain A:



8 NMR restraints analysis (i)

8.1 Conformationally restricting restraints (i)

The following table provides the summary of experimentally observed NMR restraints in different categories. Restraints are classified into different categories based on the sequence separation of the atoms involved.

Description	Value
Total distance restraints	874
Intra-residue (|i-j|=0)	166
Sequential (|i-j|=1)	262
Medium range (|i-j|>1 and |i-j|<5)	204
Long range (|i-j|≥5)	116
Inter-chain	62
Hydrogen bond restraints	64
Disulfide bond restraints	0
Total dihedral-angle restraints	308
Number of unmapped restraints	0
Number of restraints per residue	10.2
Number of long range restraints per residue[1]	1.0

[1]Long range hydrogen bonds and disulfide bonds are counted as long range restraints while calculating the number of long range restraints per residue

8.2 Residual restraint violations (i)

This section provides the overview of the restraint violations analysis. The violations are binned as small, medium and large violations based on its absolute value. Average number of violations per model is calculated by dividing the total number of violations in each bin by the size of the ensemble.

8.2.1 Average number of distance violations per model (i)

Distance violations less than 0.1 Å are not included in the calculation.

Bins (Å)	Average number of violations per model	Max (Å)
0.1-0.2 (Small)	3.2	0.2
0.2-0.5 (Medium)	10.2	0.5
>0.5 (Large)	4.6	0.59

8.2.2 Average number of dihedral-angle violations per model (i)

Dihedral-angle violations less than 1° are not included in the calculation.There are no dihedral-angle violations

9 Distance violation analysis (i)

9.1 Summary of distance violations (i)

The following table shows the summary of distance violations in different restraint categories based on the sequence separation of the atoms involved. Each category is further sub-divided into three sub-categories based on the atoms involved. Violations less than 0.1 Å are not included in the statistics.

Restraints type	Count	%[1]	Violated[3]			Consistently Violated[4]		
			Count	%[2]	%[1]	Count	%[2]	%[1]
Intra-residue (|i-j|=0)	166	19.0	2	1.2	0.2	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Backbone	98	11.2	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Sidechain	64	7.3	2	3.1	0.2	0	0.0	0.0
Sidechain-Sidechain	4	0.5	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Sequential (|i-j|=1)	262	30.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Backbone	186	21.3	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Sidechain	70	8.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Sidechain-Sidechain	6	0.7	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Medium range (|i-j|>1 & |i-j|<5)	204	23.3	4	2.0	0.5	4	2.0	0.5
Backbone-Backbone	112	12.8	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Sidechain	64	7.3	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Sidechain-Sidechain	28	3.2	4	14.3	0.5	4	14.3	0.5
Long range (|i-j|≥5)	116	13.3	6	5.2	0.7	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Backbone	6	0.7	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Sidechain	48	5.5	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Sidechain-Sidechain	62	7.1	6	9.7	0.7	0	0.0	0.0
Inter-chain	62	7.1	8	12.9	0.9	6	9.7	0.7
Backbone-Backbone	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Sidechain	16	1.8	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Sidechain-Sidechain	46	5.3	8	17.4	0.9	6	13.0	0.7
Hydrogen bond	64	7.3	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Disulfide bond	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Total	874	100.0	20	2.3	2.3	10	1.1	1.1
Backbone-Backbone	464	53.1	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Sidechain	264	30.2	2	0.8	0.2	0	0.0	0.0
Sidechain-Sidechain	146	16.7	18	12.3	2.1	10	6.8	1.1

[1] percentage calculated with respect to the total number of distance restraints, [2] percentage calculated with respect to the number of restraints in a particular restraint category, [3] violated in at least one model, [4] violated in all the models

9.1.1 Bar chart : Distribution of distance restraints and violations (i)



Violated and consistently violated restraints are shown using different hatch patterns in their respective categories. The hydrogen bonds and disulfied bonds are counted in their appropriate category on the x-axis

9.2 Distance violation statistics for each model (i)

The following table provides the distance violation statistics for each model in the ensemble. Violations less than 0.1 Å are not included in the statistics.

Model ID	Number of violations						Mean (Å)	Max (Å)	SD[6] (Å)	Median (Å)
	IR[1]	SQ[2]	MR[3]	LR[4]	IC[5]	Total				
1	2	0	4	5	8	19	0.36	0.59	0.15	0.42
2	1	0	4	2	8	15	0.4	0.58	0.13	0.43
3	1	0	4	5	7	17	0.39	0.58	0.14	0.43
4	2	0	4	5	8	19	0.37	0.59	0.14	0.36
5	1	0	4	6	8	19	0.35	0.59	0.16	0.32
6	2	0	4	0	8	14	0.42	0.59	0.12	0.44
7	2	0	4	4	8	18	0.39	0.59	0.14	0.44
8	1	0	4	6	8	19	0.38	0.59	0.14	0.42
9	2	0	4	6	8	20	0.35	0.59	0.16	0.37
10	2	0	4	3	7	16	0.38	0.58	0.15	0.42
11	2	0	4	6	8	20	0.36	0.59	0.15	0.38

Continued on next page...

Continued from previous page...

Model ID	Number of violations						Mean (Å)	Max (Å)	SD[6] (Å)	Median (Å)
	IR[1]	SQ[2]	MR[3]	LR[4]	IC[5]	Total				
12	2	0	4	6	8	20	0.37	0.59	0.15	0.36
13	2	0	4	5	8	19	0.37	0.59	0.14	0.36
14	2	0	4	6	8	20	0.35	0.59	0.16	0.38
15	1	0	4	5	8	18	0.37	0.59	0.14	0.38
16	1	0	4	2	8	15	0.4	0.59	0.15	0.42
17	2	0	4	3	8	17	0.37	0.59	0.15	0.4
18	0	0	4	6	8	18	0.37	0.59	0.16	0.4

[1]Intra-residue restraints, [2]Sequential restraints, [3]Medium range restraints, [4]Long range restraints, [5]Inter-chain restraints, [6]Standard deviation

9.2.1 Bar graph : Distance Violation statistics for each model ⓘ



The mean(dot),median(x) and the standard deviation are shown in blue with respect to the y axis on the right

9.3 Distance violation statistics for the ensemble (i)

Violation analysis may find that some restraints are violated in few models and some are violated in most of models. The following table provides this information as number of violated restraints for a given fraction of the ensemble. In total, 790(IR:164, SQ:262, MR:200, LR:110, IC:54) restraints are not violated in the ensemble.

Number of violated restraints						Fraction of the ensemble	
IR[1]	SQ[2]	MR[3]	LR[4]	IC[5]	Total	Count[6]	%
0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.6
0	0	0	0	0	0	2	11.1
0	0	0	0	0	0	3	16.7
0	0	0	0	0	0	4	22.2
0	0	0	0	0	0	5	27.8
0	0	0	0	0	0	6	33.3
0	0	0	0	0	0	7	38.9
0	0	0	0	0	0	8	44.4
0	0	0	0	0	0	9	50.0
0	0	0	0	0	0	10	55.6
0	0	0	1	0	1	11	61.1
0	0	0	2	0	2	12	66.7
1	0	0	1	0	2	13	72.2
0	0	0	0	0	0	14	77.8
1	0	0	0	0	1	15	83.3
0	0	0	1	0	1	16	88.9
0	0	0	1	2	3	17	94.4
0	0	4	0	6	10	18	100.0

[1]Intra-residue restraints, [2]Sequential restraints, [3]Medium range restraints, [4]Long range restraints, [5]Inter-chain restraints, [6] Number of models with violations

9.3.1 Bar graph : Distance violation statistics for the ensemble (i)



9.4 Most violated distance restraints in the ensemble (i)

9.4.1 Histogram : Distribution of mean distance violations (i)

The following histogram shows the distribution of the average value of the violation. The average is calculated for each restraint that is violated in more than one model over all the violated models in the ensemble



9.4.2 Table: Most violated distance restraints (i)

The following table provides the mean and the standard deviation of the violations for the 10 worst performing restraints, sorted by number of violated models and the mean violation value. The Key (restraint list ID, restraint ID) is the unique identifier for a given restraint. Rows with same key represent combinatorial or ambiguous restraints and are counted as a single restraint.

Key	Atom-1	Atom-2	Models[1]	Mean (Å)	SD[1] (Å)	Median (Å)
(1,747)	1:A:291:PHE:HZ	1:B:319:ILE:HD11	18	0.58	0.01	0.59
(1,747)	1:A:291:PHE:HZ	1:B:319:ILE:HD12	18	0.58	0.01	0.59
(1,747)	1:A:291:PHE:HZ	1:B:319:ILE:HD13	18	0.58	0.01	0.59
(1,778)	1:B:291:PHE:HZ	1:A:319:ILE:HD11	18	0.58	0.01	0.59
(1,778)	1:B:291:PHE:HZ	1:A:319:ILE:HD12	18	0.58	0.01	0.59
(1,778)	1:B:291:PHE:HZ	1:A:319:ILE:HD13	18	0.58	0.01	0.59
(1,758)	1:A:291:PHE:HD1	1:B:322:LEU:HD11	18	0.51	0.09	0.54
(1,758)	1:A:291:PHE:HD1	1:B:322:LEU:HD12	18	0.51	0.09	0.54
(1,758)	1:A:291:PHE:HD1	1:B:322:LEU:HD13	18	0.51	0.09	0.54
(1,789)	1:B:291:PHE:HD1	1:A:322:LEU:HD11	18	0.5	0.06	0.5
(1,789)	1:B:291:PHE:HD1	1:A:322:LEU:HD12	18	0.5	0.06	0.5
(1,789)	1:B:291:PHE:HD1	1:A:322:LEU:HD13	18	0.5	0.06	0.5
(2,74)	1:B:323:ARG:HE	1:B:320:GLU:OE2	18	0.49	0.06	0.52
(2,37)	1:A:323:ARG:HH21	1:A:320:GLU:OE1	18	0.47	0.08	0.48
(2,36)	1:A:323:ARG:HE	1:A:320:GLU:OE2	18	0.44	0.06	0.45
(1,784)	1:B:291:PHE:HE2	1:A:315:LEU:HD11	18	0.43	0.01	0.43

Continued on next page...

Continued from previous page...

Key	Atom-1	Atom-2	Models[1]	Mean (Å)	SD[1] (Å)	Median (Å)
(1,784)	1:B:291:PHE:HE2	1:A:315:LEU:HD12	18	0.43	0.01	0.43
(1,784)	1:B:291:PHE:HE2	1:A:315:LEU:HD13	18	0.43	0.01	0.43
(1,753)	1:A:291:PHE:HE2	1:B:315:LEU:HD11	18	0.43	0.01	0.43
(1,753)	1:A:291:PHE:HE2	1:B:315:LEU:HD12	18	0.43	0.01	0.43
(1,753)	1:A:291:PHE:HE2	1:B:315:LEU:HD13	18	0.43	0.01	0.43
(2,75)	1:B:323:ARG:HH21	1:B:320:GLU:OE1	18	0.41	0.13	0.44

[1]Number of violated models, [2]Standard deviation

9.5 All violated distance restraints

9.5.1 Histogram : Distribution of distance violations

The following histogram shows the distribution of the absolute value of the violation for all violated restraints in the ensemble.



9.5.2 Table : All distance violations

The following table provides the 10 worst performing restraints, sorted by the violation value. The Key (restraint list ID, restraint ID) is the unique identifier for a given restraint. Rows with same key represent combinatorial or ambiguous restraints and are counted as a single restraint.

Key	Atom-1	Atom-2	Model ID	Violation (Å)
(1,789)	1:B:291:PHE:HD1	1:A:322:LEU:HD11	12	0.59
(1,789)	1:B:291:PHE:HD1	1:A:322:LEU:HD12	12	0.59
(1,789)	1:B:291:PHE:HD1	1:A:322:LEU:HD13	12	0.59
(1,789)	1:B:291:PHE:HD1	1:A:322:LEU:HD11	15	0.59
(1,789)	1:B:291:PHE:HD1	1:A:322:LEU:HD12	15	0.59
(1,789)	1:B:291:PHE:HD1	1:A:322:LEU:HD13	15	0.59
(1,778)	1:B:291:PHE:HZ	1:A:319:ILE:HD11	5	0.59
(1,778)	1:B:291:PHE:HZ	1:A:319:ILE:HD12	5	0.59
(1,778)	1:B:291:PHE:HZ	1:A:319:ILE:HD13	5	0.59
(1,778)	1:B:291:PHE:HZ	1:A:319:ILE:HD11	6	0.59
(1,778)	1:B:291:PHE:HZ	1:A:319:ILE:HD12	6	0.59
(1,778)	1:B:291:PHE:HZ	1:A:319:ILE:HD13	6	0.59
(1,778)	1:B:291:PHE:HZ	1:A:319:ILE:HD11	7	0.59
(1,778)	1:B:291:PHE:HZ	1:A:319:ILE:HD12	7	0.59
(1,778)	1:B:291:PHE:HZ	1:A:319:ILE:HD13	7	0.59
(1,778)	1:B:291:PHE:HZ	1:A:319:ILE:HD11	8	0.59
(1,778)	1:B:291:PHE:HZ	1:A:319:ILE:HD12	8	0.59
(1,778)	1:B:291:PHE:HZ	1:A:319:ILE:HD13	8	0.59
(1,778)	1:B:291:PHE:HZ	1:A:319:ILE:HD11	9	0.59
(1,778)	1:B:291:PHE:HZ	1:A:319:ILE:HD12	9	0.59
(1,778)	1:B:291:PHE:HZ	1:A:319:ILE:HD13	9	0.59
(1,778)	1:B:291:PHE:HZ	1:A:319:ILE:HD11	11	0.59
(1,778)	1:B:291:PHE:HZ	1:A:319:ILE:HD12	11	0.59
(1,778)	1:B:291:PHE:HZ	1:A:319:ILE:HD13	11	0.59
(1,778)	1:B:291:PHE:HZ	1:A:319:ILE:HD11	12	0.59
(1,778)	1:B:291:PHE:HZ	1:A:319:ILE:HD12	12	0.59
(1,778)	1:B:291:PHE:HZ	1:A:319:ILE:HD13	12	0.59
(1,778)	1:B:291:PHE:HZ	1:A:319:ILE:HD11	13	0.59

10 Dihedral-angle violation analysis (i)

10.1 Summary of dihedral-angle violations (i)

The following table provides the summary of dihedral-angle violations in different dihedral-angle types. Violations less than 1° are not included in the calculation.

Angle type	Count	%[1]	Violated[3]			Consistently Violated[4]		
			Count	%[2]	%[1]	Count	%[2]	%[1]
PHI	106	34.4	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
CHI2	28	9.1	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
CHI1	70	22.7	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
PSI	104	33.8	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Total	308	100.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0

[1] percentage calculated with respect to total number of dihedral-angle restraints, [2] percentage calculated with respect to number of restraints in a particular dihedral-angle type, [3] violated in at least one model, [4] violated in all the models

10.1.1 Bar chart : Distribution of dihedral-angles and violations (i)



Violated and consistently violated restraints are shown using different hatch patterns in their respective categories

10.2 Dihedral-angle violation statistics for each model (i)

No violations found

10.3 Dihedral-angle violation statistics for the ensemble (i)

No violations found

10.4 Most violated dihedral-angle restraints in the ensemble (i)

No violations found

10.5 All violated dihedral-angle restraints (i)

No violations found