

wwPDB NMR Structure Validation Summary Report (i)

Jun 4, 2023 – 09:17 AM EDT

PDB ID : 2LBV BMRB ID : 17577

Title: Siderocalin Q83 reveals a dual ligand binding mode

Authors: Coudevylle, N.; Hoetzinger, M.; Geist, L.; Kontaxis, G.; Bister, K.; Konrat,

R.

Deposited on : 2011-04-07

This is a wwPDB NMR Structure Validation Summary Report for a publicly released PDB entry.

We welcome your comments at validation@mail.wwpdb.org
A user guide is available at
https://www.wwpdb.org/validation/2017/NMRValidationReportHelp
with specific help available everywhere you see the (i) symbol.

The types of validation reports are described at http://www.wwpdb.org/validation/2017/FAQs#types.

The following versions of software and data (see references (1)) were used in the production of this report:

MolProbity: 4.02b-467

Mogul : 1.8.5 (274361), CSD as541be (2020)

buster-report : 1.1.7 (2018)

Percentile statistics : 20191225.v01 (using entries in the PDB archive December 25th 2019)

wwPDB-RCI : v 1n 11 5 13 A (Berjanski et al., 2005)

PANAV : Wang et al. (2010)

 $\begin{array}{ccc} wwPDB\text{-ShiftChecker} &:& v1.2\\ BMRB \ Restraints \ Analysis &:& v1.2 \end{array}$

Ideal geometry (proteins) : Engh & Huber (2001) Ideal geometry (DNA, RNA) : Parkinson et al. (1996)


Validation Pipeline (wwPDB-VP) : 2.33

1 Overall quality at a glance (i)

The following experimental techniques were used to determine the structure: $SOLUTION\ NMR$

The overall completeness of chemical shifts assignment is 70%.

Percentile scores (ranging between 0-100) for global validation metrics of the entry are shown in the following graphic. The table shows the number of entries on which the scores are based.



Metric	Whole archive $(\# \mathrm{Entries})$	m NMR archive $(# m Entries)$
Clashscore	158937	12864
Ramachandran outliers	154571	11451
Sidechain outliers	154315	11428

The table below summarises the geometric issues observed across the polymeric chains and their fit to the experimental data. The red, orange, yellow and green segments indicate the fraction of residues that contain outliers for >=3, 2, 1 and 0 types of geometric quality criteria. A cyan segment indicates the fraction of residues that are not part of the well-defined cores, and a grey segment represents the fraction of residues that are not modelled. The numeric value for each fraction is indicated below the corresponding segment, with a dot representing fractions <=5%

Mol	Chain	Length	Quality of chain			
1	Λ	157	40%	45%	6%	9%



2 Ensemble composition and analysis (i)

This entry contains 20 models. Model 13 is the overall representative, medoid model (most similar to other models). The authors have identified model 1 as representative, based on the following criterion: fewest violations.

The following residues are included in the computation of the global validation metrics.

Well-defined (core) protein residues					
Well-defined core Residue range (total) Backbone RMSD (Å) Medoid mo					
1	A:5-A:66, A:75-A:155 (143)	0.69	13		

Ill-defined regions of proteins are excluded from the global statistics.

Ligands and non-protein polymers are included in the analysis.

The models can be grouped into 4 clusters. No single-model clusters were found.

Cluster number	Models
1	1, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20
2	2, 3, 4, 5, 6
3	11, 12, 13, 14
4	7, 8, 9, 10



3 Entry composition (i)

There are 4 unique types of molecules in this entry. The entry contains 2650 atoms, of which 1313 are hydrogens and 0 are deuteriums.


 \bullet Molecule 1 is a protein called Extracellular fatty acid-binding protein.

Mol	Chain	Residues		Atoms			Trace		
1	Λ	157	Total	С	Н	N	О	S	0
1	A	157	2527	799	1261	213	244	10	0

There is a discrepancy between the modelled and reference sequences:

Chain	Residue	Modelled	Actual	Comment	Reference
A	1	MET	-	expression tag	UNP Q9I9P7

• Molecule 2 is N,N',N''-[(3S,7S,11S)-2,6,10-trioxo-1,5,9-trioxacyclododecane-3,7,11-triyl]tris(2,3-dihydroxybenzamide) (three-letter code: EB4) (formula: $C_{30}H_{27}N_3O_{15}$).




]	Mol	Chain	Residues	Atoms				
	2	Λ	1	Total	С	Н	N	О
	Z	А	1	69	30	21	3	15

• Molecule 3 is GALLIUM (III) ION (three-letter code: GA) (formula: Ga).

Mol	Chain	Residues	Atoms
3	A	1	Total Ga 1 1



 \bullet Molecule 4 is ARACHIDONIC ACID (three-letter code: ACD) (formula: $\mathrm{C}_{20}\mathrm{H}_{32}\mathrm{O}_2).$



Mol	Chain	Residues	Atoms			
1	Λ	1	Total	С	Н	О
4 A	1	53	20	31	2	



4 Residue-property plots (i)

4.1 Average score per residue in the NMR ensemble

These plots are provided for all protein, RNA, DNA and oligosaccharide chains in the entry. The first graphic is the same as shown in the summary in section 1 of this report. The second graphic shows the sequence where residues are colour-coded according to the number of geometric quality criteria for which they contain at least one outlier: green = 0, yellow = 1, orange = 2 and red = 3 or more. Stretches of 2 or more consecutive residues without any outliers are shown as green connectors. Residues which are classified as ill-defined in the NMR ensemble, are shown in cyan with an underline colour-coded according to the previous scheme. Residues which were present in the experimental sample, but not modelled in the final structure are shown in grey.


• Molecule 1: Extracellular fatty acid-binding protein



4.2 Residue scores for the representative (medoid) model from the NMR ensemble

The representative model is number 13. Colouring as in section 4.1 above.

• Molecule 1: Extracellular fatty acid-binding protein





5 Refinement protocol and experimental data overview (i)



The models were refined using the following method: *simulated annealing*.

Of the 200 calculated structures, 20 were deposited, based on the following criterion: structures with the least restraint violations.

The following table shows the software used for structure solution, optimisation and refinement.

Software name	Classification	Version	
NMRPipe	refinement		

The following table shows chemical shift validation statistics as aggregates over all chemical shift files. Detailed validation can be found in section 7 of this report.

Chemical shift file(s)	working_cs.cif
Number of chemical shift lists	1
Total number of shifts	1485
Number of shifts mapped to atoms	1485
Number of unparsed shifts	0
Number of shifts with mapping errors	0
Number of shifts with mapping warnings	0
Assignment completeness (well-defined parts)	70%



6 Model quality (i)

6.1 Standard geometry (i)

Bond lengths and bond angles in the following residue types are not validated in this section: GA, EB4, ACD

There are no covalent bond-length or bond-angle outliers.

There are no bond-length outliers.

There are no bond-angle outliers.

There are no chirality outliers.

There are no planarity outliers.

6.2 Too-close contacts (i)

In the following table, the Non-H and H(model) columns list the number of non-hydrogen atoms and hydrogen atoms in each chain respectively. The H(added) column lists the number of hydrogen atoms added and optimized by MolProbity. The Clashes column lists the number of clashes averaged over the ensemble.

Mol	Chain	Non-H	H(model)	H(added)	Clashes
1	A	1160	1157	1157	97±15
2	A	48	21	21	7±2
4	A	22	31	31	26±3
All	All	24620	24180	24180	2083

The all-atom clashscore is defined as the number of clashes found per 1000 atoms (including hydrogen atoms). The all-atom clashscore for this structure is 43.

5 of 460 unique clashes are listed below, sorted by their clash magnitude.

Atom-1	Atom-2	Clash(Å)	Distance(Å)	Models		
Atom-1	Atom-2	Clash(A)	Distance(A)	Worst	Total	
1:A:49:VAL:HG21	4:A:300:ACD:H192	1.00	1.34	15	7	
1:A:39:ILE:HD12	4:A:300:ACD:H201	0.96	1.35	7	20	
4:A:300:ACD:C9	4:A:300:ACD:H191	0.92	1.95	15	7	
1:A:33:LYS:C	1:A:146:LEU:HD23	0.85	1.92	2	3	
1:A:46:GLU:O	1:A:47:LEU:HD23	0.85	1.72	14	4	



6.3 Torsion angles (i)

6.3.1 Protein backbone (i)

In the following table, the Percentiles column shows the percent Ramachandran outliers of the chain as a percentile score with respect to all PDB entries followed by that with respect to all NMR entries. The Analysed column shows the number of residues for which the backbone conformation was analysed and the total number of residues.

Mol	Chain	Analysed Favoured		Allowed	Outliers	Percentiles		
1	A	143/157 (91%)	128±1 (90±1%)	11±1 (8±1%)	4±1 (3±1%)	8 44		
All	All	2860/3140 (91%)	2570 (90%)	217 (8%)	73 (3%)	8 44		

5 of 9 unique Ramachandran outliers are listed below. They are sorted by the frequency of occurrence in the ensemble.

Mol	Chain	Res	_ v <u>-</u>	Models (Total)
1	A	119	PRO	20
1	A	87	VAL	14
1	A	56	PRO	13
1	A	92	TYR	7
1	A	5	ASP	7

6.3.2 Protein sidechains (i)

In the following table, the Percentiles column shows the percent sidechain outliers of the chain as a percentile score with respect to all PDB entries followed by that with respect to all NMR entries. The Analysed column shows the number of residues for which the sidechain conformation was analysed and the total number of residues.

Mol	Chain	Analysed Rotameric Outliers		Outliers	Percentiles
1	A	124/137 (91%)	103±2 (83±2%)	21±2 (17±2%)	5 41
All	All	2480/2740 (91%)	2069 (83%)	411 (17%)	5 41

5 of 59 unique residues with a non-rotameric sidechain are listed below. They are sorted by the frequency of occurrence in the ensemble.

Mol	Chain	Res	Type	Models (Total)
1	A	36	MET	20
1	A	88	LEU	20
1	A	90	THR	20
1	A	128	ILE	20
1	A	14	TYR	17



6.3.3 RNA (i)

There are no RNA molecules in this entry.

6.4 Non-standard residues in protein, DNA, RNA chains (i)

There are no non-standard protein/DNA/RNA residues in this entry.

6.5 Carbohydrates (i)

There are no monosaccharides in this entry.

6.6 Ligand geometry (i)

Of 3 ligands modelled in this entry, 1 is monoatomic - leaving 2 for Mogul analysis.

In the following table, the Counts columns list the number of bonds for which Mogul statistics could be retrieved, the number of bonds that are observed in the model and the number of bonds that are defined in the chemical component dictionary. The Link column lists molecule types, if any, to which the group is linked. The Z score for a bond length is the number of standard deviations the observed value is removed from the expected value. A bond length with |Z| > 2 is considered an outlier worth inspection. RMSZ is the average root-mean-square of all Z scores of the bond lengths.

Mal	Trunc	Chain	Dag	Timle	Bond lengths		
IVIOI	туре	Chain	nes	Lilik	Counts	RMSZ	#Z>2
2	EB4	A	200	3	51,51,51	0.98 ± 0.00	1±0 (1±0%)
4	ACD	A	300	-	21,21,21	1.74 ± 0.00	4±0 (19±0%)

In the following table, the Counts columns list the number of angles for which Mogul statistics could be retrieved, the number of angles that are observed in the model and the number of angles that are defined in the chemical component dictionary. The Link column lists molecule types, if any, to which the group is linked. The Z score for a bond angle is the number of standard deviations the observed value is removed from the expected value. A bond angle with |Z| > 2 is considered an outlier worth inspection. RMSZ is the average root-mean-square of all Z scores of the bond angles.

Mal	Tuno	Chain	Dec	Tiple		Bond ang	gles
MIOI	туре	Chain	nes	Lilik	Counts	RMSZ	#Z>2
2	EB4	A	200	3	72,72,72	1.03 ± 0.00	5±0 (6±0%)
4	ACD	A	300	-	21,21,21	0.92 ± 0.01	1±0 (3±2%)



In the following table, the Chirals column lists the number of chiral outliers, the number of chiral centers analysed, the number of these observed in the model and the number defined in the chemical component dictionary. Similar counts are reported in the Torsion and Rings columns. '-' means no outliers of that kind were identified.

Mol	Type	Chain	Res	Link	Chirals	Torsions	Rings
2	EB4	A	200	3	-	$0\pm0,51,51,51$	$0\pm0,3,4,4$
4	ACD	A	300	-	-	$0\pm0,19,19,19$	-

All unique bond outliers are listed below. They are sorted according to the Z-score of the worst occurrence in the ensemble.

Mol	Chain	Res	Tuno	Atoma	Z	Observed(Å)	$Ideal(\mathring{A})$	Models	
IVIOI	Chain	nes	Type	Atoms	L	Observed(A)	Ideal(A)	Worst	Total
2	A	200	EB4	C17-C20	5.28	1.39	1.50	3	20
4	A	300	ACD	C9-C8	3.77	1.53	1.31	16	20
4	A	300	ACD	C12-C11	3.76	1.53	1.31	18	20
4	A	300	ACD	C15-C14	3.76	1.53	1.31	8	20
4	A	300	ACD	C6-C5	3.76	1.53	1.31	15	20

5 of 7 unique angle outliers are listed below. They are sorted according to the Z-score of the worst occurrence in the ensemble.

Mol	Chain	Dag	Trino	Atoma	$oxed{Z Observed(^{o}) }$		Ideal(0)	Mod	dels
MIOI	Chain	Res	Type	Atoms	L	Observed(')	$\operatorname{Ideal}({}^{o})$	Worst	Total
2	A	200	EB4	O1-C1-C16	2.53	125.57	121.07	11	20
2	A	200	EB4	O4-C4-C7	2.48	126.08	119.33	12	20
4	A	300	ACD	C3-C2-C1	2.36	108.53	114.47	15	7
2	A	200	EB4	O3-C3-C18	2.24	125.04	121.07	13	20
4	A	300	ACD	C2-C3-C4	2.13	109.43	113.23	13	6

There are no chirality outliers.


There are no torsion outliers.

There are no ring outliers.


The following is a two-dimensional graphical depiction of Mogul quality analysis of bond lengths, bond angles, torsion angles, and ring geometry for all instances of the Ligand of Interest. In addition, ligands with molecular weight > 250 and outliers as shown on the validation Tables will also be included. For torsion angles, if less then 5% of the Mogul distribution of torsion angles is within 10 degrees of the torsion angle in question, then that torsion angle is considered an outlier. Any bond that is central to one or more torsion angles identified as an outlier by Mogul will be highlighted in the graph. For rings, the root-mean-square deviation (RMSD) between the ring in question and similar rings identified by Mogul is calculated over all ring torsion angles. If the average RMSD is greater than 60 degrees and the minimal RMSD between the ring in question and any Mogul-identified rings is also greater than 60 degrees, then that ring is considered an outlier.



The outliers are highlighted in purple. The color gray indicates Mogul did not find sufficient equivalents in the CSD to analyse the geometry.







6.7 Other polymers (i)

There are no such molecules in this entry.

6.8 Polymer linkage issues (i)

There are no chain breaks in this entry.



7 Chemical shift validation (i)

The completeness of assignment taking into account all chemical shift lists is 70% for the well-defined parts and 68% for the entire structure.

7.1 Chemical shift list 1

File name: working cs.cif

Chemical shift list name: assigned_chem_shift_list_1

7.1.1 Bookkeeping (i)

The following table shows the results of parsing the chemical shift list and reports the number of nuclei with statistically unusual chemical shifts.

Total number of shifts	1485
Number of shifts mapped to atoms	1485
Number of unparsed shifts	0
Number of shifts with mapping errors	0
Number of shifts with mapping warnings	0
Number of shift outliers (ShiftChecker)	4

7.1.2 Chemical shift referencing (i)

The following table shows the suggested chemical shift referencing corrections.

Nucleus	# values	${\rm Correction} \pm {\rm precision}, ppm$	Suggested action
$^{13}\mathrm{C}_{\alpha}$	152	-1.20 ± 0.12	Should be applied
$^{13}C_{\beta}$	145	-0.96 ± 0.14	Should be applied
¹³ C′	144	0.28 ± 0.13	None needed ($< 0.5 \text{ ppm}$)
^{15}N	146	0.40 ± 0.33	None needed ($< 0.5 \text{ ppm}$)

7.1.3 Completeness of resonance assignments (i)

The following table shows the completeness of the chemical shift assignments for the well-defined regions of the structure. The overall completeness is 70%, i.e. 1393 atoms were assigned a chemical shift out of a possible 1998. 0 out of 21 assigned methyl groups (LEU and VAL) were assigned stereospecifically.

	Total	$^{1}\mathrm{H}$	$^{13}\mathbf{C}$	$^{15}{ m N}$
Backbone	683/710 (96%)	273/286 (95%)	$274/286 \ (96\%)$	136/138 (99%)
Sidechain	683/1126 (61%)	400/729~(55%)	280/347 (81%)	3/50 (6%)

Continued on next page...



Continued from previous page...

	Total	$^{1}\mathrm{H}$	$^{13}\mathbf{C}$	$^{15}{ m N}$
Aromatic	27/162 (17%)	8/77 (10%)	17/82 (21%)	2/3 (67%)
Overall	1393/1998 (70%)	681/1092 (62%)	571/715 (80%)	141/191 (74%)

7.1.4 Statistically unusual chemical shifts (i)


The following table lists the statistically unusual chemical shifts. These are statistical measures, and large deviations from the mean do not necessarily imply incorrect assignments. Molecules containing paramagnetic centres or hemes are expected to give rise to anomalous chemical shifts.

List Id	Chain	Res	Type	Atom	Shift, ppm	Expected range, ppm	Z-score
1	A	94	SER	Н	11.42	5.45 - 11.10	5.6
1	A	90	THR	HG21	0.04	0.08 - 2.19	-5.2
1	A	90	THR	HG22	0.04	0.08 - 2.19	-5.2
1	A	90	THR	HG23	0.04	0.08 - 2.19	-5.2

7.1.5 Random Coil Index (RCI) plots (i)

The image below reports random coil index values for the protein chains in the structure. The height of each bar gives a probability of a given residue to be disordered, as predicted from the available chemical shifts and the amino acid sequence. A value above 0.2 is an indication of significant predicted disorder. The colour of the bar shows whether the residue is in the well-defined core (black) or in the ill-defined residue ranges (cyan), as described in section 2 on ensemble composition. If well-defined core and ill-defined regions are not identified then it is shown as gray bars.

Random coil index (RCI) for chain A:





8 NMR restraints analysis (i)

8.1 Conformationally restricting restraints (i)

The following table provides the summary of experimentally observed NMR restraints in different categories. Restraints are classified into different categories based on the sequence separation of the atoms involved.

Description	Value
Total distance restraints	1368
Intra-residue ($ i-j =0$)	189
Sequential ($ i-j =1$)	490
Medium range ($ i-j >1$ and $ i-j <5$)	145
Long range ($ i-j \ge 5$)	413
Inter-chain	0
Hydrogen bond restraints	128
Disulfide bond restraints	3
Total dihedral-angle restraints	230
Number of unmapped restraints	0
Number of restraints per residue	10.2
Number of long range restraints per residue ¹	3.2

¹Long range hydrogen bonds and disulfide bonds are counted as long range restraints while calculating the number of long range restraints per residue

8.2 Residual restraint violations (i)

This section provides the overview of the restraint violations analysis. The violations are binned as small, medium and large violations based on its absolute value. Average number of violations per model is calculated by dividing the total number of violations in each bin by the size of the ensemble.

8.2.1 Average number of distance violations per model (i)

Distance violations less than 0.1 Å are not included in the calculation.

Bins (Å)	Average number of violations per model	Max (Å)
0.1-0.2 (Small)	2.0	0.2
0.2-0.5 (Medium)	None	None
>0.5 (Large)	2.0	2.25



8.2.2 Average number of dihedral-angle violations per model (i)

Dihedral-angle violations less than 1° are not included in the calculation.

Bins (°)	Average number of violations per model	Max (°)
1.0-10.0 (Small)	55.9	9.9
10.0-20.0 (Medium)	3.0	20.0
>20.0 (Large)	None	None



9 Distance violation analysis (i)

9.1 Summary of distance violations (i)


The following table shows the summary of distance violations in different restraint categories based on the sequence separation of the atoms involved. Each category is further sub-divided into three sub-categories based on the atoms involved. Violations less than 0.1 Å are not included in the statistics.

Destruciate tour	C	% ¹	Vio	lated	3	Consis	tentl	$\overline{ m y~Violated^4}$
Restraints type	Count	70	Count	$\%^2$	$\%^1$	Count	$\%^2$	$\%^1$
Intra-residue (i-j =0)	189	13.8	2	1.1	0.1	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Backbone	4	0.3	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Sidechain	178	13.0	2	1.1	0.1	0	0.0	0.0
Sidechain-Sidechain	7	0.5	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Sequential (i-j =1)	490	35.8	2	0.4	0.1	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Backbone	228	16.7	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Sidechain	233	17.0	2	0.9	0.1	0	0.0	0.0
Sidechain-Sidechain	29	2.1	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Medium range ($ i-j >1 \& i-j <5$)	145	10.6	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Backbone	58	4.2	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Sidechain	52	3.8	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Sidechain-Sidechain	35	2.6	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Long range ($ i-j \ge 5$)	413	30.2	3	0.7	0.2	3	0.7	0.2
Backbone-Backbone	93	6.8	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Sidechain	137	10.0	1	0.7	0.1	1	0.7	0.1
Sidechain-Sidechain	183	13.4	2	1.1	0.1	2	1.1	0.1
Inter-chain	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Backbone	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Sidechain	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Sidechain-Sidechain	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Hydrogen bond	128	9.4	2	1.6	0.1	0	0.0	0.0
Disulfide bond	3	0.2	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Total	1368	100.0	9	0.7	0.7	3	0.2	0.2
Backbone-Backbone	511	37.4	2	0.4	0.1	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Sidechain	600	43.9	5	0.8	0.4	1	0.2	0.1
Sidechain-Sidechain	257	18.8	2	0.8	0.1	2	0.8	0.1

¹ percentage calculated with respect to the total number of distance restraints, ² percentage calculated with respect to the number of restraints in a particular restraint category, ³ violated in at least one model, ⁴ violated in all the models



9.1.1 Bar chart: Distribution of distance restraints and violations (i)



Violated and consistently violated restraints are shown using different hatch patterns in their respective categories. The hydrogen bonds and disulfied bonds are counted in their appropriate category on the x-axis

9.2 Distance violation statistics for each model (i)

The following table provides the distance violation statistics for each model in the ensemble. Violations less than 0.1 Å are not included in the statistics.

MadalID		Nun	nber o	f viola	ations	5	M (8)	M (Å)	\mathbf{SD}^6 (Å)	Madian (Å)
Model ID	IR^1	SQ^2	MR^3	LR^4	IC^5	Total	Mean (Å)	Max (Å)	$SD^*(A)$	Median (Å)
1	0	0	0	4	0	4	0.87	1.63	0.72	0.88
2	1	0	0	3	0	4	0.84	1.7	0.72	0.77
3	1	1	0	3	0	5	0.71	1.81	0.73	0.14
4	1	1	0	3	0	5	0.72	1.83	0.73	0.16
5	1	0	0	3	0	4	0.87	1.83	0.75	0.76
6	1	0	0	3	0	4	0.85	1.7	0.71	0.78
7	2	0	0	3	0	5	0.71	1.75	0.71	0.14
8	1	0	0	3	0	4	0.9	1.98	0.8	0.76
9	1	0	0	3	0	4	0.86	1.8	0.74	0.76
10	1	1	0	3	0	5	0.72	1.88	0.74	0.15
11	0	0	0	3	0	3	1.27	2.25	0.86	1.41

Continued on next page...




Continued from previous page...

Model ID		Nun	nber o	f viola	ations	3	Mean (Å)	Max (Å)	SD^6 (Å)	Median (Å)
Model 1D	IR^1	SQ^2	MR^3	LR^4	IC^5	Total	Mean (A)	Max (A)	$SD^*(A)$	Median (A)
12	0	0	0	3	0	3	1.28	2.25	0.86	1.43
13	0	0	0	3	0	3	1.27	2.23	0.85	1.4
14	0	0	0	3	0	3	1.26	2.23	0.85	1.38
15	1	0	0	5	0	6	0.6	1.57	0.66	0.16
16	0	0	0	3	0	3	1.11	1.61	0.65	1.53
17	0	0	0	4	0	4	0.86	1.61	0.71	0.86
18	0	0	0	4	0	4	0.85	1.61	0.7	0.84
19	0	0	0	4	0	4	0.9	1.67	0.75	0.92
20	0	0	0	4	0	4	0.9	1.69	0.76	0.91

 $^{^1}$ Intra-residue restraints, 2 Sequential restraints, 3 Medium range restraints, 4 Long range restraints, 5 Inter-chain restraints, 6 Standard deviation

9.2.1 Bar graph: Distance Violation statistics for each model (i)



The mean(dot),median(x) and the standard deviation are shown in blue with respect to the y axis on the right



9.3 Distance violation statistics for the ensemble (i)


Violation analysis may find that some restraints are violated in few models and some are violated in most of models. The following table provides this information as number of violated restraints for a given fraction of the ensemble. In total, 1230(IR:187, SQ:488, MR:145, LR:410, IC:0) restraints are not violated in the ensemble.

Nu	$\overline{\mathbf{mber}}$	of vio	lated	restra	aints	Fraction	n of the ensemble
IR^1	SQ^2	MR^3	LR^4	IC^5	Total	Count ⁶	%
0	1	0	0	0	1	1	5.0
0	1	0	0	0	1	2	10.0
0	0	0	0	0	0	3	15.0
0	0	0	0	0	0	4	20.0
1	0	0	0	0	1	5	25.0
1	0	0	0	0	1	6	30.0
0	0	0	0	0	0	7	35.0
0	0	0	0	0	0	8	40.0
0	0	0	0	0	0	9	45.0
0	0	0	0	0	0	10	50.0
0	0	0	0	0	0	11	55.0
0	0	0	0	0	0	12	60.0
0	0	0	0	0	0	13	65.0
0	0	0	0	0	0	14	70.0
0	0	0	0	0	0	15	75.0
0	0	0	0	0	0	16	80.0
0	0	0	0	0	0	17	85.0
0	0	0	0	0	0	18	90.0
0	0	0	0	0	0	19	95.0
0	0	0	3	0	3	20	100.0

 $^{^1}$ Intra-residue restraints, 2 Sequential restraints, 3 Medium range restraints, 4 Long range restraints, 5 Inter-chain restraints, 6 Number of models with violations



9.3.1 Bar graph: Distance violation statistics for the ensemble (i)




9.4 Most violated distance restraints in the ensemble (i)

9.4.1 Histogram: Distribution of mean distance violations (i)

The following histogram shows the distribution of the average value of the violation. The average is calculated for each restraint that is violated in more than one model over all the violated models in the ensemble





9.4.2 Table: Most violated distance restraints (i)

The following table provides the mean and the standard deviation of the violation for each restraint sorted by number of violated models and the mean value. The Key (restraint list ID, restraint ID) is the unique identifier for a given restraint. Rows with same key represent combinatorial or ambiguous restraints and are counted as a single restraint.

Key	Atom-1	Atom-2	\mathbf{Models}^1	Mean (Å)	\mathbf{SD}^1 (Å)	Median (Å)
(2,342)	1:A:92:TYR:OH	4:A:300:ACD:H71	20	1.83	0.23	1.78
(2,343)	1:A:90:THR:OG1	4:A:300:ACD:H71	20	1.45	0.1	1.4
(1,269)	1:A:13:TRP:HZ2	1:A:39:ILE:HA	20	0.16	0.02	0.16
(1,803)	1:A:145:MET:H	1:A:145:MET:HG3	6	0.14	0.02	0.13
(3,59)	1:A:67:LYS:O	1:A:77:TYR:H	6	0.11	0.0	0.11
(1,807)	1:A:146:LEU:H	1:A:146:LEU:HG	5	0.13	0.01	0.13
(1,675)	1:A:109:LEU:HB3	1:A:110:HIS:HA	2	0.11	0.0	0.11


¹Number of violated models, ²Standard deviation

9.5 All violated distance restraints (i)

9.5.1 Histogram: Distribution of distance violations (i)

The following histogram shows the distribution of the absolute value of the violation for all violated restraints in the ensemble.





9.5.2 Table: All distance violations (i)

The following table provides the 10 worst performing restraints, sorted by the violation value. The Key (restraint list ID, restraint ID) is the unique identifier for a given restraint. Rows with same key represent combinatorial or ambiguous restraints and are counted as a single restraint.

Key	Atom-1	Atom-2	Model ID	Violation (Å)
(2,342)	1:A:92:TYR:OH	4:A:300:ACD:H71	11	2.25
(2,342)	1:A:92:TYR:OH	4:A:300:ACD:H71	12	2.25
(2,342)	1:A:92:TYR:OH	4:A:300:ACD:H71	13	2.23
(2,342)	1:A:92:TYR:OH	4:A:300:ACD:H71	14	2.23
(2,342)	1:A:92:TYR:OH	4:A:300:ACD:H71	8	1.98
(2,342)	1:A:92:TYR:OH	4:A:300:ACD:H71	10	1.88
(2,342)	1:A:92:TYR:OH	4:A:300:ACD:H71	4	1.83
(2,342)	1:A:92:TYR:OH	4:A:300:ACD:H71	5	1.83
(2,342)	1:A:92:TYR:OH	4:A:300:ACD:H71	3	1.81
(2,342)	1:A:92:TYR:OH	4:A:300:ACD:H71	9	1.8



10 Dihedral-angle violation analysis (i)


10.1 Summary of dihedral-angle violations (i)

The following table provides the summary of dihedral-angle violations in different dihedral-angle types. Violations less than 1° are not included in the calculation.

Angle true	Count	% ¹	${f Violated}^3$			Consistently Violated ⁴		
Angle type			Count	$\%^2$	$\%^1$	Count	$\%^2$	$\%^1$
PHI	115	50.0	57	49.6	24.8	11	9.6	4.8
PSI	115	50.0	64	55.7	27.8	7	6.1	3.0
Total	230	100.0	121	52.6	52.6	18	7.8	7.8

 $^{^1}$ percentage calculated with respect to total number of dihedral-angle restraints, 2 percentage calculated with respect to number of restraints in a particular dihedral-angle type, 3 violated in at least one model, 4 violated in all the models

10.1.1 Bar chart: Distribution of dihedral-angles and violations (i)



Violated and consistently violated restraints are shown using different hatch patterns in their respective categories




10.2 Dihedral-angle violation statistics for each model (i)

The following table provides the dihedral-angle violation statistics for each model in the ensemble. Violations less than 1° are not included in the statistics.

Model ID	Number of violations			Mean (°)	Mov (°)	SD (°)	Median (°)	
Wiodei 1D	PHI	II PSI Total		Mean ()	$\mathbf{Max} \ (^{\circ})$	\mathbf{SD} (°)	Median ()	
1	22	29	51	4.66	13.8	3.47	3.6	
2	31	31	62	3.69	19.7	2.93	2.85	
3	34	35	69	3.45	20.0	2.98	2.3	
4	33	31	64	3.36	16.7	2.6	2.4	
5	32	34	66	3.41	14.5	2.41	2.7	
6	31	36	67	3.33	16.2	2.51	2.7	
7	35	31	66	3.25	10.7	2.12	2.55	
8	33	31	64	3.31	10.9	2.13	2.6	
9	33	31	64	3.28	10.7	2.02	2.6	
10	33	29	62	3.28	11.0	2.05	2.8	
11	28	28	56	3.45	11.1	2.27	2.85	
12	23	28	51	3.65	11.2	2.34	2.8	
13	26	27	53	3.45	10.8	2.32	2.7	
14	25	27	52	3.51	11.4	2.36	2.85	
15	24	35	59	4.07	13.9	3.23	2.9	
16	24	29	53	4.56	16.1	3.54	3.6	
17	23	31	54	4.46	16.3	3.55	3.4	
18	22	29	51	4.64	14.2	3.41	3.8	
19	23	33	56	4.53	16.1	3.41	3.3	
20	25	33	58	4.47	14.7	3.39	3.2	



10.2.1 Bar graph: Dihedral violation statistics for each model (i)



The mean(dot), median(x) and the standard deviation are shown in blue with respect to the y axis on the right

10.3 Dihedral-angle violation statistics for the ensemble (i)

Violation analysis may find that some restraints are violated in very few models and some are violated in most of models. The following table provides this information as number of violated restraints for a given fraction of ensemble.

Num	ıber o	f violated restraints	Fraction of the ensemble			
PHI	PSI	Total	Count ¹	%		
6	5	11	1	5.0		
3	2	5	2	10.0		
3	3	6	3	15.0		
3	5	8	4	20.0		
2	6	8	5	25.0		
5	3	8	6	30.0		
5	8	13	7	35.0		
3	1	4	8	40.0		
4	3	7	9	45.0		
1	2	3	10	50.0		
0	2	2	11	55.0		

Continued on next page...




Continued from previous page...

Number of violated restraints			Fraction of the ensemble			
PHI	PSI	Total	Count ¹	%		
2	1	3	12	60.0		
3	3	6	13	65.0		
2	3	5	14	70.0		
0	2	2	15	75.0		
1	4	5	16	80.0		
1	2	3	17	85.0		
0	0	0	18	90.0		
2	2	4	19	95.0		
11	7	18	20	100.0		

¹ Number of models with violations

10.3.1 Bar graph: Dihedral-angle Violation statistics for the ensemble (i)




10.4 Most violated dihedral-angle restraints in the ensemble (i)

10.4.1 Histogram: Distribution of mean dihedral-angle violations (i)

The following histogram shows the distribution of the average value of the violation. The average is calculated for each restraint that is violated in more than one model over all the violated models



in the ensemble



10.4.2 Table: Most violated dihedral-angle restraints (i)

The following table provides the mean and the standard deviation of the violations for the 10 worst performing restraints, sorted by number of violated models and the mean violation value. The Key (restraint list ID, restraint ID) is the unique identifier for a given restraint.

Key	Atom-1	Atom-2	Atom-3	Atom-4	\mathbf{Models}^1	Mean	\mathbf{SD}^2	Median
(1,43)	1:A:33:LYS:C	1:A:34:MET:N	1:A:34:MET:CA	1:A:34:MET:C	20	11.91	5.06	13.7
(1,14)	1:A:13:TRP:N	1:A:13:TRP:CA	1:A:13:TRP:C	1:A:14:TYR:N	20	10.32	0.61	10.5
(1,101)	1:A:75:VAL:C	1:A:76:TYR:N	1:A:76:TYR:CA	1:A:76:TYR:C	20	9.05	3.76	10.95
(1,100)	1:A:75:VAL:N	1:A:75:VAL:CA	1:A:75:VAL:C	1:A:76:TYR:N	20	8.51	1.18	8.65
(1,97)	1:A:73:GLY:C	1:A:74:GLU:N	1:A:74:GLU:CA	1:A:74:GLU:C	20	6.5	3.12	4.6
(1,54)	1:A:39:ILE:N	1:A:39:ILE:CA	1:A:39:ILE:C	1:A:40:SER:N	20	5.4	1.04	5.8
(1,117)	1:A:85:VAL:N	1:A:85:VAL:CA	1:A:85:VAL:C	1:A:86:GLU:N	20	5.36	2.38	4.8
(1,206)	1:A:140:ASP:N	1:A:140:ASP:CA	1:A:140:ASP:C	1:A:141:GLU:N	20	5.04	1.22	4.9
(1,47)	1:A:35:ALA:C	1:A:36:MET:N	1:A:36:MET:CA	1:A:36:MET:C	20	4.76	0.85	4.9
(1,160)	1:A:110:HIS:C	1:A:111:MET:N	1:A:111:MET:CA	1:A:111:MET:C	20	4.46	0.61	4.55


 $^{^1}$ Number of violated models, $^2\mathrm{Standard}$ deviation, All angle values are in degree (°)



10.5 All violated dihedral-angle restraints (i)

10.5.1 Histogram: Distribution of violations (i)

The following histogram shows the distribution of the absolute value of the violation for all violated restraints in the ensemble.



10.5.2 Table: All violated dihedral-angle restraints (i)

The following table provides the list of violations for the 10 worst performing restraints, sorted by the violation value. The Key (restraint list ID, restraint ID) is the unique identifier for a given restraint.

Key	Atom-1	Atom-2	Atom-3	Atom-4	Model ID	Violation (°)
(1,43)	1:A:33:LYS:C	1:A:34:MET:N	1:A:34:MET:CA	1:A:34:MET:C	3	20.0
(1,43)	1:A:33:LYS:C	1:A:34:MET:N	1:A:34:MET:CA	1:A:34:MET:C	2	19.7
(1,43)	1:A:33:LYS:C	1:A:34:MET:N	1:A:34:MET:CA	1:A:34:MET:C	4	16.7
(1,43)	1:A:33:LYS:C	1:A:34:MET:N	1:A:34:MET:CA	1:A:34:MET:C	17	16.3
(1,43)	1:A:33:LYS:C	1:A:34:MET:N	1:A:34:MET:CA	1:A:34:MET:C	6	16.2
(1,43)	1:A:33:LYS:C	1:A:34:MET:N	1:A:34:MET:CA	1:A:34:MET:C	16	16.1
(1,43)	1:A:33:LYS:C	1:A:34:MET:N	1:A:34:MET:CA	1:A:34:MET:C	19	16.1
(1,43)	1:A:33:LYS:C	1:A:34:MET:N	1:A:34:MET:CA	1:A:34:MET:C	20	14.7
(1,43)	1:A:33:LYS:C	1:A:34:MET:N	1:A:34:MET:CA	1:A:34:MET:C	5	14.5
(1,43)	1:A:33:LYS:C	1:A:34:MET:N	1:A:34:MET:CA	1:A:34:MET:C	18	14.2

