

# wwPDB NMR Structure Validation Summary Report (i)

Jun 4, 2023 – 12:34 PM EDT

PDB ID : 2LMB BMRB ID : 18110

Title : Solution Structure of C-terminal RAGE (ctRAGE)

Authors: Rai, V.; Maldonado, A.Y.; Burz, D.S.; Reverdatto, S.; Schmidt, A.; Shekht-

man, A.

Deposited on : 2011-11-29

This is a wwPDB NMR Structure Validation Summary Report for a publicly released PDB entry.

We welcome your comments at validation@mail.wwpdb.org
A user guide is available at
https://www.wwpdb.org/validation/2017/NMRValidationReportHelp
with specific help available everywhere you see the (i) symbol.

The types of validation reports are described at http://www.wwpdb.org/validation/2017/FAQs#types.

The following versions of software and data (see references (1)) were used in the production of this report:

MolProbity: 4.02b-467

Percentile statistics : 20191225.v01 (using entries in the PDB archive December 25th 2019)

wwPDB-RCI : v 1n 11 5 13 A (Berjanski et al., 2005)

PANAV : Wang et al. (2010)

 $\begin{array}{ccc} wwPDB\text{-}ShiftChecker &: & v1.2 \\ BMRB \ Restraints \ Analysis &: & v1.2 \\ \end{array}$ 

Ideal geometry (proteins) : Engh & Huber (2001) Ideal geometry (DNA, RNA) : Parkinson et al. (1996)


Validation Pipeline (wwPDB-VP) : 2.33

# 1 Overall quality at a glance (i)

The following experimental techniques were used to determine the structure:  $SOLUTION\ NMR$ 

The overall completeness of chemical shifts assignment is 59%.

Percentile scores (ranging between 0-100) for global validation metrics of the entry are shown in the following graphic. The table shows the number of entries on which the scores are based.



Metric	Whole archive $(\# \mathrm{Entries})$	$egin{array}{c} { m NMR \ archive} \ { m (\#Entries)} \end{array}$	
Clashscore	158937	12864	
Ramachandran outliers	154571	11451	
Sidechain outliers	154315	11428	

The table below summarises the geometric issues observed across the polymeric chains and their fit to the experimental data. The red, orange, yellow and green segments indicate the fraction of residues that contain outliers for >=3, 2, 1 and 0 types of geometric quality criteria. A cyan segment indicates the fraction of residues that are not part of the well-defined cores, and a grey segment represents the fraction of residues that are not modelled. The numeric value for each fraction is indicated below the corresponding segment, with a dot representing fractions <=5%

Mol	Chain	Length	Quality of chain			
1	Λ	13	160/	F0/	1.40/	650
1	Λ	40	16%	5%	14%	65%



# 2 Ensemble composition and analysis (i)

This entry contains 20 models. Model 6 is the overall representative, medoid model (most similar to other models). The authors have identified model 1 as representative, based on the following criterion: *lowest energy*.

The following residues are included in the computation of the global validation metrics.

Well-defined (core) protein residues					
Well-defined core   Residue range (total)   Backbone RMSD (Å)   Medoid					
1	A:3-A:11 (9)	0.10	6		

Ill-defined regions of proteins are excluded from the global statistics.

Ligands and non-protein polymers are included in the analysis.

The models can be grouped into 3 clusters. No single-model clusters were found.

Cluster number	Models		
1	4, 5, 6, 10, 11, 14, 17, 18		
2	1, 2, 7, 12, 15, 16		
3	3, 8, 9, 13, 19, 20		



# 3 Entry composition (i)

There is only 1 type of molecule in this entry. The entry contains 245 atoms, of which 107 are hydrogens and 0 are deuteriums.

• Molecule 1 is a protein called Advanced glycosylation end product-specific receptor.

Mol	Chain	Residues	Atoms				Trace		
1	Λ	15	Total	С	Н	N	О	S	0
1	1 A	10	245	82	107	34	21	1	U

There is a discrepancy between the modelled and reference sequences:

Chain	Residue	Modelled	Actual	Comment	Reference
A	1	MET	-	initiating methionine	UNP Q15109




# 4 Residue-property plots (i)

#### 4.1 Average score per residue in the NMR ensemble

These plots are provided for all protein, RNA, DNA and oligosaccharide chains in the entry. The first graphic is the same as shown in the summary in section 1 of this report. The second graphic shows the sequence where residues are colour-coded according to the number of geometric quality criteria for which they contain at least one outlier: green = 0, yellow = 1, orange = 2 and red = 3 or more. Stretches of 2 or more consecutive residues without any outliers are shown as green connectors. Residues which are classified as ill-defined in the NMR ensemble, are shown in cyan with an underline colour-coded according to the previous scheme. Residues which were present in the experimental sample, but not modelled in the final structure are shown in grey.

• Molecule 1: Advanced glycosylation end product-specific receptor



# 4.2 Residue scores for the representative (medoid) model from the NMR ensemble

The representative model is number 6. Colouring as in section 4.1 above.

• Molecule 1: Advanced glycosylation end product-specific receptor





## 5 Refinement protocol and experimental data overview (i)

The models were refined using the following method: *simulated annealing*.

Of the 1000 calculated structures, 20 were deposited, based on the following criterion: *structures* with the lowest energy.

The following table shows the software used for structure solution, optimisation and refinement.

Software name	Classification	Version
CYANA	refinement	

The following table shows chemical shift validation statistics as aggregates over all chemical shift files. Detailed validation can be found in section 7 of this report.

Chemical shift file(s)	working_cs.cif
Number of chemical shift lists	1
Total number of shifts	371
Number of shifts mapped to atoms	116
Number of unparsed shifts	0
Number of shifts with mapping errors	255
Number of shifts with mapping warnings	0
Assignment completeness (well-defined parts)	59%



# 6 Model quality (i)

### 6.1 Standard geometry (i)

There are no covalent bond-length or bond-angle outliers.

There are no bond-length outliers.

There are no bond-angle outliers.

There are no chirality outliers.

There are no planarity outliers.

### 6.2 Too-close contacts (i)

In the following table, the Non-H and H(model) columns list the number of non-hydrogen atoms and hydrogen atoms in each chain respectively. The H(added) column lists the number of hydrogen atoms added and optimized by MolProbity. The Clashes column lists the number of clashes averaged over the ensemble.

Mol	Chain	Non-H	H(model)	H(added)	Clashes
1	A	84	63	83	0±0
All	All	1680	1260	1660	1

The all-atom clashscore is defined as the number of clashes found per 1000 atoms (including hydrogen atoms). The all-atom clashscore for this structure is 0.

All unique clashes are listed below, sorted by their clash magnitude.

Atom-1	Atom 2	Clock(Å)	$\operatorname{Distance}(\mathring{\mathrm{A}})$	Models	
Atom-1	Atom-2	Clash(A)	Distance(A)	Worst	Total
1:A:6:GLN:NE2	1:A:7:ARG:HE	0.47	2.08	2	1

### 6.3 Torsion angles (i)

#### 6.3.1 Protein backbone (i)

In the following table, the Percentiles column shows the percent Ramachandran outliers of the chain as a percentile score with respect to all PDB entries followed by that with respect to all NMR entries. The Analysed column shows the number of residues for which the backbone conformation was analysed and the total number of residues.

Mol	Mol Chain Analysed		Favoured Allowed		Outliers	Percentiles	
1	A	9/43 (21%)	8±0 (89±0%)	1±0 (11±0%)	0±0 (0±0%)	100 1	.00



Continued from previous page...

Mol	Chain	Analysed	Favoured	Allowed	Outliers	Percentiles
All	All	180/860 (21%)	160 (89%)	20 (11%)	0 (0%)	100 100

There are no Ramachandran outliers.

#### 6.3.2 Protein sidechains (i)

In the following table, the Percentiles column shows the percent sidechain outliers of the chain as a percentile score with respect to all PDB entries followed by that with respect to all NMR entries. The Analysed column shows the number of residues for which the sidechain conformation was analysed and the total number of residues.

Mol	Chain	Analysed	Rotameric	Outliers	Per	centiles
1	A	8/36 (22%)	6±1 (72±13%)	2±1 (28±13%)	2	20
All	All	160/720~(22%)	116 (72%)	44 (28%)	2	20

5 of 7 unique residues with a non-rotameric sidechain are listed below. They are sorted by the frequency of occurrence in the ensemble.

Mol	Chain	Res	Type	Models (Total)
1	A	7	ARG	14
1	A	6	GLN	12
1	A	8	ARG	8
1	A	11	GLU	4
1	A	5	ARG	3

#### 6.3.3 RNA (i)

There are no RNA molecules in this entry.

### 6.4 Non-standard residues in protein, DNA, RNA chains (i)

There are no non-standard protein/DNA/RNA residues in this entry.

### 6.5 Carbohydrates (i)

There are no monosaccharides in this entry.



### 6.6 Ligand geometry (i)

There are no ligands in this entry.

# 6.7 Other polymers (i)

There are no such molecules in this entry.

### 6.8 Polymer linkage issues (i)

There are no chain breaks in this entry.



# 7 Chemical shift validation (i)

The completeness of assignment taking into account all chemical shift lists is 59% for the well-defined parts and 57% for the entire structure.

#### 7.1 Chemical shift list 1

File name: working cs.cif

Chemical shift list name: assigned\_chem\_shift\_list\_1

#### 7.1.1 Bookkeeping (i)

The following table shows the results of parsing the chemical shift list and reports the number of nuclei with statistically unusual chemical shifts.

Total number of shifts	371
Number of shifts mapped to atoms	116
Number of unparsed shifts	0
Number of shifts with mapping errors	255
Number of shifts with mapping warnings	0
Number of shift outliers (ShiftChecker)	1

The following assigned chemical shifts were not mapped to the molecules present in the coordinate file.

• No matching atom found in the structure. First 5 (of 255) occurrences are reported below.

T:a4 ID	Clasia.	Das	Т	<b>A 4 2 2 2 2</b>		Shift Data	l	
List ID	Chain	Res	Type	Type	Atom	Value   Uncert	Uncertainty	Ambiguity
1	A	1	MET	HB2	1.898	0.020	2	
1	A	1	MET	HG2	2.16	0.020	1	
1	A	2	TRP	HB2	3.087	0.020	1	
1	A	3	GLN	HB2	1.794	0.020	2	
1	A	3	GLN	HG2	2.052	0.020	1	
1	A	4	ARG	HB2	1.565	0.020	2	
1	A	4	ARG	HD2	3.037	0.020	1	
1	A	5	ARG	HB2	1.644	0.020	1	
1	A	5	ARG	HG2	1.462	0.020	1	
1	A	5	ARG	HD2	2.996	0.020	1	
1	A	6	GLN	HB2	1.891	0.020	2	
1	A	6	GLN	HG2	2.17	0.020	1	
1	A	7	ARG	HB2	1.658	0.020	1	
1	A	7	ARG	HG2	1.481	0.020	1	



Continued from previous page...

	a from pr			A .		Shift Data	1
List ID	Chain	Res	Type	Atom	Value	Uncertainty	Ambiguity
1	A	7	ARG	HD2	3.037	0.020	1
1	A	8	ARG	HB2	1.723	0.020	1
1	A	8	ARG	HG2	1.509	0.020	1
1	A	8	ARG	HD2	3.037	0.020	1
1	A	10	GLU	HB2	1.962	0.020	2
1	A	11	GLU	HB2	1.921	0.020	2
1	A	11	GLU	HG2	2.174	0.020	1
1	A	12	ARG	HB2	1.723	0.020	2
1	A	12	ARG	HG2	1.462	0.020	1
1	A	12	ARG	HD2	3.027	0.020	1
1	A	13	LYS	HB2	1.704	0.020	1
1	A	13	LYS	HG2	1.281	0.020	1
1	A	13	LYS	HD2	1.54	0.020	1
1	A	13	LYS	HE2	2.855	0.020	1
1	A	16	GLU	CA	54.124	0.3	1
1	A	16	GLU	СВ	26.893	0.3	1
1	A	16	GLU	CG	33.089	0.3	1
1	A	16	GLU	Н	8.585	0.020	1
1	A	16	GLU	HA	4.065	0.020	1
1	A	16	GLU	HB2	1.889	0.020	2
1	A	16	GLU	HB3	1.795	0.020	2
1	A	16	GLU	HG2	2.143	0.020	1
1	A	16	GLU	HG3	2.143	0.020	1
1	A	16	GLU	N	119.943	0.3	1
1	A	17	ASN	CA	50.33	0.3	1
1	A	17	ASN	СВ	35.745	0.3	1
1	A	17	ASN	Н	8.21	0.020	1
1	A	17	ASN	HA	4.565	0.020	1
1	A	17	ASN	HB2	2.754	0.020	2
1	A	17	ASN	HB3	2.662	0.020	2
1	A	17	ASN	N	117.894	0.3	1
1	A	18	GLN	CA	52.956	0.3	1
1	A	18	GLN	СВ	26.515	0.3	1
1	A	18	GLN	Н	8.25	0.020	1
1	A	18	GLN	HA	4.077	0.020	1
1	A	18	GLN	HB2	1.96	0.020	2
1	A	18	GLN	HB3	1.81	0.020	2
1	A	18	GLN	HG2	2.173	0.020	1
1	A	18	GLN	HG3	2.173	0.020	1
1	A	18	GLN	N	120.795	0.3	1
1	A	19	GLU	CA	53.929	0.3	1



 $Continued\ from\ previous\ page...$ 

			page	<b>A</b> .		Shift Data	1
List ID	Chain	Res	Type	Atom	Value	Uncertainty	Ambiguity
1	A	19	GLU	СВ	26.591	0.3	1
1	A	19	GLU	CG	32.845	0.3	1
1	A	19	GLU	Н	8.347	0.020	1
1	A	19	GLU	HA	4.081	0.020	1
1	A	19	GLU	HB2	1.946	0.020	2
1	A	19	GLU	HB3	1.81	0.020	2
1	A	19	GLU	HG2	2.148	0.020	1
1	A	19	GLU	HG3	2.148	0.020	1
1	A	19	GLU	N	121.241	0.3	1
1	A	20	GLU	CA	53.864	0.3	1
1	A	20	GLU	СВ	26.818	0.3	1
1	A	20	GLU	CG	33.089	0.3	1
1	A	20	GLU	Н	8.259	0.020	1
1	A	20	GLU	HA	4.065	0.020	1
1	A	20	GLU	HB2	1.826	0.020	1
1	A	20	GLU	HB3	1.826	0.020	1
1	A	20	GLU	HG2	2.118	0.020	1
1	A	20	GLU	HG3	2.118	0.020	1
1	A	20	GLU	N	121.391	0.3	1
1	A	21	GLU	CA	53.605	0.3	1
1	A	21	GLU	СВ	27.045	0.3	1
1	A	21	GLU	CG	32.926	0.3	1
1	A	21	GLU	Н	8.236	0.020	1
1	A	21	GLU	HA	4.197	0.020	1
1	A	21	GLU	HB2	1.99	0.020	2
1	A	21	GLU	HB3	1.831	0.020	2
1	A	21	GLU	HG2	2.2	0.020	1
1	A	21	GLU	HG3	2.2	0.020	1
1	A	21	GLU	N	120.699	0.3	1
1	A	22	GLU	CA	53.378	0.3	1
1	A	22	GLU	CG	32.682	0.3	1
1	A	22	GLU	Н	8.27	0.020	1
1	A	22	GLU	НА	4.072	0.020	1
1	A	22	GLU	HB2	1.918	0.020	2
1	A	22	GLU	НВ3	1.833	0.020	2
1	A	22	GLU	HG2	2.143	0.020	1
1	A	22	GLU	HG3	2.143	0.020	1
1	A	22	GLU	N	121.468	0.3	1
1	A	23	GLU	CA	54.149	0.3	1
1	A	23	GLU	СВ	27.072	0.3	1
1	A	23	GLU	CG	32.845	0.3	1



 $Continued\ from\ previous\ page...$ 

	a from pr			A .		Shift Data	1
List ID	Chain	Res	Type	Atom	Value	Uncertainty	Ambiguity
1	A	23	GLU	Н	8.27	0.020	1
1	A	23	GLU	HA	4.067	0.020	1
1	A	23	GLU	HB2	1.834	0.020	1
1	A	23	GLU	HB3	1.834	0.020	1
1	A	23	GLU	HG2	2.144	0.020	1
1	A	23	GLU	HG3	2.144	0.020	1
1	A	23	GLU	N	121.711	0.3	1
1	A	24	ARG	CA	53.119	0.3	1
1	A	24	ARG	СВ	27.423	0.3	1
1	A	24	ARG	CG	24.226	0.3	1
1	A	24	ARG	CD	40.443	0.3	1
1	A	24	ARG	Н	8.147	0.020	1
1	A	24	ARG	HA	4.124	0.020	1
1	A	24	ARG	HB2	1.704	0.020	2
1	A	24	ARG	HB3	1.621	0.020	2
1	A	24	ARG	HG2	1.462	0.020	1
1	A	24	ARG	HG3	1.462	0.020	1
1	A	24	ARG	HD2	3.027	0.020	1
1	A	24	ARG	HD3	3.027	0.020	1
1	A	24	ARG	N	121.861	0.3	1
1	A	25	ALA	CA	49.876	0.3	1
1	A	25	ALA	СВ	16.075	0.3	1
1	A	25	ALA	Н	8.163	0.020	1
1	A	25	ALA	HA	4.13	0.020	1
1	A	25	ALA	HB1	1.236	0.020	1
1	A	25	ALA	HB2	1.236	0.020	1
1	A	25	ALA	HB3	1.236	0.020	1
1	A	25	ALA	N	124.853	0.3	1
1	A	26	GLU	CA	53.605	0.3	1
1	A	26	GLU	СВ	26.667	0.3	1
1	A	26	GLU	CG	32.926	0.3	1
1	A	26	GLU	Н	8.25	0.020	1
1	A	26	GLU	НА	4.08	0.020	1
1	A	26	GLU	HB2	1.886	0.020	2
1	A	26	GLU	НВ3	1.78	0.020	2
1	A	26	GLU	HG2	2.135	0.020	1
1	A	26	GLU	HG3	2.135	0.020	1
1	A	26	GLU	N	119.357	0.3	1
1	A	27	LEU	CA	52.243	0.3	1
1	A	27	LEU	СВ	39.149	0.3	1
1	A	27	LEU	CG	24.063	0.3	1



Continued from previous page...

T · . ID			page	A 4		Shift Data	1
List ID	Chain	Res	Type	Atom	Value	Uncertainty	Ambiguity
1	A	27	LEU	CD1	22.03	0.3	1
1	A	27	LEU	CD2	20.567	0.3	1
1	A	27	LEU	Н	8.037	0.020	1
1	A	27	LEU	HA	4.171	0.020	1
1	A	27	LEU	HG	1.462	0.020	1
1	A	27	LEU	HD11	0.736	0.020	1
1	A	27	LEU	HD12	0.736	0.020	1
1	A	27	LEU	HD13	0.736	0.020	1
1	A	27	LEU	HD21	0.736	0.020	1
1	A	27	LEU	HD22	0.736	0.020	1
1	A	27	LEU	HD23	0.736	0.020	1
1	A	27	LEU	N	122.281	0.3	1
1	A	28	ASN	CA	50.265	0.3	1
1	A	28	ASN	СВ	35.821	0.3	1
1	A	28	ASN	Н	8.305	0.020	1
1	A	28	ASN	HA	4.535	0.020	1
1	A	28	ASN	HB2	2.703	0.020	2
1	A	28	ASN	HB3	2.602	0.020	2
1	A	28	ASN	N	119.118	0.3	1
1	A	29	GLN	CA	52.957	0.3	1
1	A	29	GLN	СВ	26.061	0.3	1
1	A	29	GLN	Н	8.233	0.020	1
1	A	29	GLN	HA	4.141	0.020	1
1	A	29	GLN	HB2	1.977	0.020	2
1	A	29	GLN	HB3	1.841	0.020	2
1	A	29	GLN	HG2	2.165	0.020	1
1	A	29	GLN	HG3	2.165	0.020	1
1	A	29	GLN	N	120.191	0.3	1
1	A	30	SER	CA	55.518	0.3	1
1	A	30	SER	СВ	60.786	0.3	1
1	A	30	SER	Н	8.248	0.020	1
1	A	30	SER	HA	4.292	0.020	1
1	A	30	SER	HB2	3.73	0.020	1
1	A	30	SER	HB3	3.73	0.020	1
1	A	30	SER	N	116.695	0.3	1
1	A	31	GLU	CA	52.994	0.3	1
1	A	31	GLU	СВ	27.12	0.3	1
1	A	31	GLU	CG	33.495	0.3	1
1	A	31	GLU	Н	8.297	0.020	1
1	A	31	GLU	HA	4.179	0.020	1
1	A	31	GLU	HB2	1.924	0.020	2



Continued from previous page...

	a from pr			A .		Shift Data	1
List ID	Chain	Res	Type	Atom	Value	Uncertainty	Ambiguity
1	A	31	GLU	HB3	1.754	0.020	2
1	A	31	GLU	HG2	2.102	0.020	1
1	A	31	GLU	HG3	2.102	0.020	1
1	A	31	GLU	N	122.324	0.3	1
1	A	32	GLU	CA	53.832	0.3	1
1	A	32	GLU	СВ	27.12	0.3	1
1	A	32	GLU	Н	8.44	0.020	1
1	A	32	GLU	HA	4.08	0.020	1
1	A	32	GLU	HB2	1.838	0.020	1
1	A	32	GLU	HB3	1.838	0.020	1
1	A	32	GLU	HG2	2.113	0.020	1
1	A	32	GLU	HG3	2.113	0.020	1
1	A	32	GLU	N	121.78	0.3	1
1	A	34	GLU	CA	53.335	0.3	1
1	A	34	GLU	СВ	26.893	0.3	1
1	A	34	GLU	CG	32.926	0.3	1
1	A	34	GLU	Н	8.431	0.020	1
1	A	34	GLU	HA	4.08	0.020	1
1	A	34	GLU	HB2	1.893	0.020	2
1	A	34	GLU	HB3	1.785	0.020	2
1	A	34	GLU	HG2	2.166	0.020	1
1	A	34	GLU	HG3	2.166	0.020	1
1	A	34	GLU	N	121.105	0.3	1
1	A	35	ALA	CA	49.681	0.3	1
1	A	35	ALA	СВ	16.151	0.3	1
1	A	35	ALA	Н	8.296	0.020	1
1	A	35	ALA	HA	4.171	0.020	1
1	A	35	ALA	HB1	1.245	0.020	1
1	A	35	ALA	HB2	1.245	0.020	1
1	A	35	ALA	HB3	1.245	0.020	1
1	A	35	ALA	N	125.374	0.3	1
1	A	36	GLY	CA	42.126	0.3	1
1	A	36	GLY	Н	8.247	0.020	1
1	A	36	GLY	HA2	3.8	0.020	1
1	A	36	GLY	HA3	3.8	0.020	1
1	A	36	GLY	N	108.005	0.3	1
1	A	37	GLU	CA	53.363	0.3	1
1	A	37	GLU	СВ	27.196	0.3	1
1	A	37	GLU	CG	33.089	0.3	1
1	A	37	GLU	Н	8.198	0.020	1
1	A	37	GLU	HA	4.171	0.020	1



Continued from previous page...

Continue						Shift Data		
List ID	Chain	Res	Type	Atom	Value	Uncertainty	Ambiguity	
1	A	37	GLU	HB2	1.946	0.020	2	
1	A	37	GLU	HB3	1.795	0.020	2	
1	A	37	GLU	HG2	2.112	0.020	1	
1	A	37	GLU	HG3	2.112	0.020	1	
1	A	37	GLU	N	120.397	0.3	1	
1	A	38	SER	CA	55.356	0.3	1	
1	A	38	SER	СВ	60.635	0.3	1	
1	A	38	SER	Н	8.362	0.020	1	
1	A	38	SER	HA	4.353	0.020	1	
1	A	38	SER	HB2	3.744	0.020	1	
1	A	38	SER	HB3	3.744	0.020	1	
1	A	38	SER	N	116.797	0.3	1	
1	A	39	SER	CA	55.421	0.3	1	
1	A	39	SER	СВ	60.56	0.3	1	
1	A	39	SER	Н	8.378	0.020	1	
1	A	39	SER	HA	4.429	0.020	1	
1	A	39	SER	HB2	3.763	0.020	1	
1	A	39	SER	HB3	3.763	0.020	1	
1	A	39	SER	N	118.032	0.3	1	
1	A	40	THR	CA	58.988	0.3	1	
1	A	40	THR	СВ	66.612	0.3	1	
1	A	40	THR	Н	8.141	0.020	1	
1	A	40	THR	HA	4.292	0.020	1	
1	A	40	THR	НВ	4.164	0.020	1	
1	A	40	THR	HG1	1.075	0.020	1	
1	A	40	THR	N	114.868	0.3	1	
1	A	41	GLY	CA	42.32	0.3	1	
1	A	41	GLY	Н	8.266	0.020	1	
1	A	41	GLY	HA2	3.869	0.020	1	
1	A	41	GLY	HA3	3.869	0.020	1	
1	A	41	GLY	N	110.981	0.3	1	
1	A	42	GLY	CA	41.25	0.3	1	
1	A	42	GLY	Н	8.012	0.020	1	
1	A	42	GLY	HA2	4.02	0.020	2	
1	A	42	GLY	HA3	3.899	0.020	2	
1	A	42	GLY	N	109.356	0.3	1	

### 7.1.2 Chemical shift referencing (i)

The following table shows the suggested chemical shift referencing corrections.



Nucleus	# values	Correction $\pm$ precision, $ppm$	Suggested action
$^{13}\mathrm{C}_{\alpha}$	40	$3.41 \pm 0.58$	Should be applied
$^{13}C_{\beta}$	35	$2.84 \pm 2.84$	None needed (imprecise)
<sup>13</sup> C′	0	_	None (insufficient data)
$^{15}N$	40	$-1.95 \pm 0.31$	Should be applied

#### 7.1.3 Completeness of resonance assignments (i)

The following table shows the completeness of the chemical shift assignments for the well-defined regions of the structure. The overall completeness is 59%, i.e. 90 atoms were assigned a chemical shift out of a possible 152. 0 out of 0 assigned methyl groups (LEU and VAL) were assigned stereospecifically.

	Total	$^{1}{ m H}$	$^{13}\mathbf{C}$	$^{15}{ m N}$
Backbone	37/46 (80%)	19/19 (100%)	9/18 (50%)	9/9 (100%)
Sidechain	53/106 (50%)	34/64 (53%)	19/28 (68%)	0/14 (0%)
Overall	90/152 (59%)	53/83 (64%)	28/46 (61%)	9/23 (39%)

#### 7.1.4 Statistically unusual chemical shifts (i)

The following table lists the statistically unusual chemical shifts. These are statistical measures, and large deviations from the mean do not necessarily imply incorrect assignments. Molecules containing paramagnetic centres or hemes are expected to give rise to anomalous chemical shifts.


List Id	Chain	Res	Type	Atom	Shift, $ppm$	Expected range, ppm	Z-score
1	A	1	MET	CG	22.68	25.46 - 38.60	-7.1

### 7.1.5 Random Coil Index (RCI) plots (i)

The image below reports random coil index values for the protein chains in the structure. The height of each bar gives a probability of a given residue to be disordered, as predicted from the available chemical shifts and the amino acid sequence. A value above 0.2 is an indication of significant predicted disorder. The colour of the bar shows whether the residue is in the well-defined core (black) or in the ill-defined residue ranges (cyan), as described in section 2 on ensemble composition. If well-defined core and ill-defined regions are not identified then it is shown as gray bars.

Random coil index (RCI) for chain A:







# 8 NMR restraints analysis (i)

### 8.1 Conformationally restricting restraints (i)

The following table provides the summary of experimentally observed NMR restraints in different categories. Restraints are classified into different categories based on the sequence separation of the atoms involved.

Description	Value
Total distance restraints	283
Intra-residue ( $ i-j =0$ )	82
Sequential ( $ i-j =1$ )	140
Medium range ( $ i-j >1$ and $ i-j <5$ )	38
Long range ( i-j ≥5)	19
Inter-chain	0
Hydrogen bond restraints	4
Disulfide bond restraints	0
Total dihedral-angle restraints	0
Number of unmapped restraints	211
Number of restraints per residue	6.6
Number of long range restraints per residue <sup>1</sup>	0.5

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Long range hydrogen bonds and disulfide bonds are counted as long range restraints while calculating the number of long range restraints per residue

### 8.2 Residual restraint violations (i)

This section provides the overview of the restraint violations analysis. The violations are binned as small, medium and large violations based on its absolute value. Average number of violations per model is calculated by dividing the total number of violations in each bin by the size of the ensemble.

### 8.2.1 Average number of distance violations per model (i)

Distance violations less than 0.1 Å are not included in the calculation.

Bins (Å)	Average number of violations per model	Max (Å)
0.1-0.2 (Small)	3.0	0.2
0.2-0.5 (Medium)	6.5	0.5
>0.5 (Large)	5.3	2.02



### 8.2.2 Average number of dihedral-angle violations per model (i)

Dihedral-angle violations less than  $1^{\circ}$  are not included in the calculation. There are no dihedral-angle violations



# 9 Distance violation analysis (i)

### 9.1 Summary of distance violations (i)


The following table shows the summary of distance violations in different restraint categories based on the sequence separation of the atoms involved. Each category is further sub-divided into three sub-categories based on the atoms involved. Violations less than 0.1 Å are not included in the statistics.

Dantuninta tama	Count	<b>%</b> <sup>1</sup>	Vio	${f Violated}^3$			tently	$\overline{ m Violated}^4$
Restraints type	Count	70	Count	$\%^2$	$ \%^1$	Count	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\%^1$
Intra-residue ( i-j =0)	82	29.0	9	11.0	3.2	1	1.2	0.4
Backbone-Backbone	1	0.4	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Sidechain	81	28.6	9	11.1	3.2	1	1.2	0.4
Sidechain-Sidechain	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Sequential ( i-j =1)	140	49.5	12	8.6	4.2	4	2.9	1.4
Backbone-Backbone	62	21.9	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Sidechain	70	24.7	11	15.7	3.9	4	5.7	1.4
Sidechain-Sidechain	8	2.8	1	12.5	0.4	0	0.0	0.0
Medium range ( $ i-j >1 \&  i-j <5$ )	38	13.4	2	5.3	0.7	2	5.3	0.7
Backbone-Backbone	14	4.9	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Sidechain	18	6.4	2	11.1	0.7	2	11.1	0.7
Sidechain-Sidechain	6	2.1	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Long range ( $ i-j  \ge 5$ )	19	6.7	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Backbone	3	1.1	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Sidechain	12	4.2	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Sidechain-Sidechain	4	1.4	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Inter-chain	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Backbone	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Sidechain	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Sidechain-Sidechain	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Hydrogen bond	4	1.4	1	25.0	0.4	0	0.0	0.0
Disulfide bond	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Total	283	100.0	24	8.5	8.5	7	2.5	2.5
Backbone-Backbone	84	29.7	1	1.2	0.4	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Sidechain	181	64.0	22	12.2	7.8	7	3.9	2.5
Sidechain-Sidechain	18	6.4	1	5.6	0.4	0	0.0	0.0

 $<sup>^1</sup>$  percentage calculated with respect to the total number of distance restraints,  $^2$  percentage calculated with respect to the number of restraints in a particular restraint category,  $^3$  violated in at least one model,  $^4$  violated in all the models



#### 9.1.1 Bar chart: Distribution of distance restraints and violations (i)



Violated and consistently violated restraints are shown using different hatch patterns in their respective categories. The hydrogen bonds and disulfied bonds are counted in their appropriate category on the x-axis

### 9.2 Distance violation statistics for each model (i)

The following table provides the distance violation statistics for each model in the ensemble. Violations less than 0.1 Å are not included in the statistics.

MadalID		Nun	nber o	f viola	ations	5	Maan (Å)	Mar (Å)	${ m SD}^6$ (Å)	Madian (Å)	
Model ID	$IR^1$	$SQ^2$	$MR^3$	$LR^4$	$IC^5$	Total	Mean (Å)	Max (Å)	$\mathbf{SD}^6$ (Å)	Median (Å)	
1	5	9	2	1	0	17	0.51	1.93	0.51	0.37	
2	4	8	2	1	0	15	0.5	1.88	0.55	0.24	
3	4	7	2	0	0	13	0.56	1.88	0.57	0.26	
4	4	8	2	0	0	14	0.68	2.01	0.57	0.43	
5	5	10	2	0	0	17	0.62	1.98	0.54	0.4	
6	5	10	2	0	0	17	0.7	1.96	0.6	0.33	
7	2	8	2	1	0	13	0.56	1.94	0.57	0.3	
8	5	6	2	0	0	13	0.62	1.85	0.54	0.45	
9	3	8	2	0	0	13	0.74	1.91	0.6	0.46	
10	5	8	2	0	0	15	0.7	2.01	0.58	0.35	
11	2	10	2	1	0	15	0.85	1.98	0.61	0.77	




Continued from previous page...

Model ID		Nun	nber o	f viola	ations	3	Mean (Å)	Max (Å)	$SD^6$ (Å)	Median (Å)
Model 1D	$IR^1$	$SQ^2$	$MR^3$	$LR^4$	$IC^5$	Total		Max (A)	(A)	Median (A)
12	3	9	2	1	0	15	0.62	1.96	0.52	0.43
13	3	9	2	0	0	14	0.67	1.89	0.54	0.4
14	4	7	2	1	0	14	0.61	2.01	0.59	0.32
15	4	9	2	1	0	16	0.51	1.9	0.52	0.29
16	4	9	2	1	0	16	0.6	1.9	0.56	0.4
17	6	10	2	0	0	18	0.58	2.02	0.55	0.29
18	5	7	2	0	0	14	0.64	2.02	0.56	0.4
19	2	6	2	1	0	11	0.75	1.9	0.56	0.65
20	4	9	2	0	0	15	0.53	1.93	0.54	0.28

 $<sup>^1</sup>$ Intra-residue restraints,  $^2$ Sequential restraints,  $^3$ Medium range restraints,  $^4$ Long range restraints,  $^5$ Inter-chain restraints,  $^6$ Standard deviation

#### 9.2.1 Bar graph: Distance Violation statistics for each model (i)



The mean(dot),median(x) and the standard deviation are shown in blue with respect to the y axis on the right



#### 9.3 Distance violation statistics for the ensemble (i)


Violation analysis may find that some restraints are violated in few models and some are violated in most of models. The following table provides this information as number of violated restraints for a given fraction of the ensemble. In total, 256(IR:73, SQ:128, MR:36, LR:19, IC:0) restraints are not violated in the ensemble.

Nu	$\overline{\mathbf{mber}}$	of vio	lated	Fraction of the ensemble			
$IR^1$	$SQ^2$	$MR^3$	$LR^4$	$IC^5$	Total	Count <sup>6</sup>	%
1	0	0	0	0	1	1	5.0
0	1	0	0	0	1	2	10.0
1	0	0	0	0	1	3	15.0
1	1	0	0	0	2	4	20.0
0	0	0	0	0	0	5	25.0
1	0	0	0	0	1	6	30.0
0	1	0	0	0	1	7	35.0
0	0	0	0	0	0	8	40.0
0	0	0	0	0	0	9	45.0
2	1	0	0	0	3	10	50.0
1	0	0	0	0	1	11	55.0
0	1	0	0	0	1	12	60.0
0	0	0	0	0	0	13	65.0
1	0	0	0	0	1	14	70.0
0	1	0	0	0	1	15	75.0
0	0	0	0	0	0	16	80.0
0	0	0	0	0	0	17	85.0
0	1	0	0	0	1	18	90.0
0	1	0	0	0	1	19	95.0
1	4	2	0	0	7	20	100.0

 $<sup>^1</sup>$ Intra-residue restraints,  $^2$ Sequential restraints,  $^3$ Medium range restraints,  $^4$ Long range restraints,  $^5$ Inter-chain restraints,  $^6$  Number of models with violations



#### 9.3.1 Bar graph: Distance violation statistics for the ensemble (i)




### 9.4 Most violated distance restraints in the ensemble (i)

### 9.4.1 Histogram: Distribution of mean distance violations (i)

The following histogram shows the distribution of the average value of the violation. The average is calculated for each restraint that is violated in more than one model over all the violated models in the ensemble





#### 9.4.2 Table: Most violated distance restraints (i)

The following table provides the mean and the standard deviation of the violations for the 10 worst performing restraints, sorted by number of violated models and the mean violation value. The Key (restraint list ID, restraint ID) is the unique identifier for a given restraint. Rows with same key represent combinatorial or ambiguous restraints and are counted as a single restraint.

Key	Atom-1	Atom-2	$\mathbf{Models}^1$	Mean (Å)	$\mathrm{SD}^1$ (Å)	Median (Å)
(1,10)	1:A:4:ARG:H	1:A:7:ARG:HB3	20	1.94	0.05	1.94
(2,242)	1:A:6:GLN:H	1:A:7:ARG:HB3	20	1.63	0.01	1.63
(2,128)	1:A:4:ARG:HA	1:A:5:ARG:HB3	20	1.05	0.02	1.05
(2,240)	1:A:3:GLN:H	1:A:3:GLN:HB3	20	0.44	0.02	0.45
(1,11)	1:A:6:GLN:H	1:A:8:ARG:HB3	20	0.35	0.07	0.34
(2,237)	1:A:8:ARG:HG3	1:A:9:GLY:H	20	0.27	0.02	0.28
(2,155)	1:A:6:GLN:HA	1:A:7:ARG:HG3	20	0.2	0.04	0.23
(2,127)	1:A:4:ARG:HA	1:A:5:ARG:HG3	19	0.54	0.4	0.26
(2,204)	1:A:5:ARG:HG3	1:A:6:GLN:H	18	0.67	0.15	0.64
(1,7)	1:A:11:GLU:HG3	1:A:12:ARG:HE	15	0.86	0.48	0.71


<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Number of violated models, <sup>2</sup>Standard deviation



### 9.5 All violated distance restraints (i)

#### 9.5.1 Histogram: Distribution of distance violations (i)

The following histogram shows the distribution of the absolute value of the violation for all violated restraints in the ensemble.



#### 9.5.2 Table : All distance violations (i)

The following table provides the 10 worst performing restraints, sorted by the violation value. The Key (restraint list ID, restraint ID) is the unique identifier for a given restraint. Rows with same key represent combinatorial or ambiguous restraints and are counted as a single restraint.

Key	Atom-1	Atom-2	Model ID	Violation (Å)
(1,10)	1:A:4:ARG:H	1:A:7:ARG:HB3	17	2.02
(1,10)	1:A:4:ARG:H	1:A:7:ARG:HB3	18	2.02
(1,10)	1:A:4:ARG:H	1:A:7:ARG:HB3	4	2.01
(1,10)	1:A:4:ARG:H	1:A:7:ARG:HB3	10	2.01
(1,10)	1:A:4:ARG:H	1:A:7:ARG:HB3	14	2.01
(1,10)	1:A:4:ARG:H	1:A:7:ARG:HB3	5	1.98
(1,10)	1:A:4:ARG:H	1:A:7:ARG:HB3	11	1.98
(1,10)	1:A:4:ARG:H	1:A:7:ARG:HB3	6	1.96
(1,10)	1:A:4:ARG:H	1:A:7:ARG:HB3	12	1.96
(1,10)	1:A:4:ARG:H	1:A:7:ARG:HB3	7	1.94



# 10 Dihedral-angle violation analysis (i)

Dihedral angle analysis failed due to data error in the dihedral angle restraints, possibly missing target value

