

# wwPDB NMR Structure Validation Summary Report (i)

Jun 4, 2023 – 10:43 PM EDT

PDB ID : 2LU0 BMRB ID : 18503

Title : NMR solution structure of the kappa-zeta region of S.cerevisiae group II intron

ai5(gamma)

Authors: Donghi, D.; Pechlaner, M.; Finazzo, C.; Knobloch, B.; Sigel, R.K.O.

Deposited on : 2012-06-05

This is a wwPDB NMR Structure Validation Summary Report for a publicly released PDB entry.

We welcome your comments at validation@mail.wwpdb.org
A user guide is available at
https://www.wwpdb.org/validation/2017/NMRValidationReportHelp
with specific help available everywhere you see the (i) symbol.

The types of validation reports are described at http://www.wwpdb.org/validation/2017/FAQs#types.

The following versions of software and data (see references (1)) were used in the production of this report:

MolProbity: 4.02b-467

Percentile statistics : 20191225.v01 (using entries in the PDB archive December 25th 2019)

wwPDB-RCI : v 1n 11 5 13 A (Berjanski et al., 2005)

PANAV : Wang et al. (2010)

 $\begin{array}{ccc} wwPDB\text{-ShiftChecker} &:& v1.2\\ BMRB \ Restraints \ Analysis &:& v1.2 \end{array}$ 

Ideal geometry (proteins) : Engh & Huber (2001) Ideal geometry (DNA, RNA) : Parkinson et al. (1996)


Validation Pipeline (wwPDB-VP) : 2.33

# 1 Overall quality at a glance (i)

The following experimental techniques were used to determine the structure:  $SOLUTION\ NMR$ 

The overall completeness of chemical shifts assignment is 65%.

Percentile scores (ranging between 0-100) for global validation metrics of the entry are shown in the following graphic. The table shows the number of entries on which the scores are based.



Metric	Whole archive $(\# \mathrm{Entries})$	$rac{ ext{NMR archive}}{ ext{(\#Entries)}}$
Clashscore	158937	12864
RNA backbone	4643	676

The table below summarises the geometric issues observed across the polymeric chains and their fit to the experimental data. The red, orange, yellow and green segments indicate the fraction of residues that contain outliers for >=3, 2, 1 and 0 types of geometric quality criteria. A cyan segment indicates the fraction of residues that are not part of the well-defined cores, and a grey segment represents the fraction of residues that are not modelled. The numeric value for each fraction is indicated below the corresponding segment, with a dot representing fractions <=5%

Mol	Chain	Length		Quality of chain				
1	A	49	12%	47%	41%			



# 2 Ensemble composition and analysis (i)

This entry contains 20 models. This entry does not contain polypeptide chains, therefore identification of well-defined residues and clustering analysis are not possible. All residues are included in the validation scores.



# 3 Entry composition (i)

There is only 1 type of molecule in this entry. The entry contains 1575 atoms, of which 529 are hydrogens and 0 are deuteriums.

• Molecule 1 is a RNA chain called RNA (49-MER).

Mol	Chain	Residues	Atoms				Trace		
1	Λ	49	Total	С	Н	N	О	Р	0
1	А	49	1575	469	529	192	337	48	



# 4 Residue-property plots (i)

#### 4.1 Average score per residue in the NMR ensemble

These plots are provided for all protein, RNA, DNA and oligosaccharide chains in the entry. The first graphic is the same as shown in the summary in section 1 of this report. The second graphic shows the sequence where residues are colour-coded according to the number of geometric quality criteria for which they contain at least one outlier: green = 0, yellow = 1, orange = 2 and red = 3 or more. Stretches of 2 or more consecutive residues without any outliers are shown as green connectors. Residues which are classified as ill-defined in the NMR ensemble, are shown in cyan with an underline colour-coded according to the previous scheme. Residues which were present in the experimental sample, but not modelled in the final structure are shown in grey.

• Molecule 1: RNA (49-MER)



# 4.2 Residue scores for the representative (author defined) model from the NMR ensemble

The representative model is number 1. Colouring as in section 4.1 above.

• Molecule 1: RNA (49-MER)





#### Refinement protocol and experimental data overview (i) 5



The models were refined using the following method: torsion angle dynamics, simulated annealing.

Of the 200 calculated structures, 20 were deposited, based on the following criterion: structures with the lowest energy.

The following table shows the software used for structure solution, optimisation and refinement.

Software name	Classification	Version
CNS	refinement	1.2
CNS	structure solution	1.2
X-PLOR NIH	refinement	2.30
X-PLOR NIH	structure solution	2.30

The following table shows chemical shift validation statistics as aggregates over all chemical shift files. Detailed validation can be found in section 7 of this report.

Chemical shift file(s)	working_cs.cif
Number of chemical shift lists	2
Total number of shifts	957
Number of shifts mapped to atoms	957
Number of unparsed shifts	0
Number of shifts with mapping errors	0
Number of shifts with mapping warnings	0
Assignment completeness (well-defined parts)	65%



# 6 Model quality (i)

## 6.1 Standard geometry (i)

There are no covalent bond-length or bond-angle outliers.

There are no bond-length outliers.

There are no bond-angle outliers.

There are no chirality outliers.

There are no planarity outliers.

## 6.2 Too-close contacts (i)

In the following table, the Non-H and H(model) columns list the number of non-hydrogen atoms and hydrogen atoms in each chain respectively. The H(added) column lists the number of hydrogen atoms added and optimized by MolProbity. The Clashes column lists the number of clashes averaged over the ensemble.

Mol	Chain	Non-H	H(model)	H(added)	Clashes
1	A	1046	529	530	88±10
All	All	20920	10580	10600	1752

The all-atom clashscore is defined as the number of clashes found per 1000 atoms (including hydrogen atoms). The all-atom clashscore for this structure is 56.

5 of 611 unique clashes are listed below, sorted by their clash magnitude.

Atom 1	Atom 2	Clash(Å)	$Distance(\mathring{A})$	${f Models}$	
Atom-1	Atom-2	Clash(A)	Distance(A)	Worst	Total
1:A:11:C:O2'	1:A:23:A:H1'	0.96	1.59	4	8
1:A:18:A:H2'	1:A:19:G:O4'	0.95	1.61	2	15
1:A:36:G:H2'	1:A:37:A:O4'	0.94	1.62	3	8
1:A:34:C:O2'	1:A:35:U:H5'	0.93	1.63	14	7
1:A:1:G:HO5'	1:A:1:G:H8	0.92	1.08	14	10

## 6.3 Torsion angles (i)

#### 6.3.1 Protein backbone (i)

There are no protein molecules in this entry.



#### 6.3.2 Protein sidechains (i)

There are no protein molecules in this entry.

#### 6.3.3 RNA (i)

Mol	Chain	Analysed	Backbone Outliers	Pucker Outliers	Suiteness
1	A	48/49 (98%)	19±2 (40±3%)	$2\pm 2 \ (5\pm 3\%)$	$0.35 \pm 0.04$
All	All	960/980 (98%)	387 (40%)	46 (5%)	0.35

The overall RNA backbone suiteness is 0.35.

5 of 27 unique RNA backbone outliers are listed below:

Mol	Chain	Res	Type	Models (Total)
1	A	17	A	20
1	A	22	A	20
1	A	32	G	20
1	A	7	U	19
1	A	16	A	19

5 of 17 unique RNA pucker outliers are listed below:

Mol	Chain	Res	Type	Models (Total)
1	A	12	A	6
1	A	28	С	6
1	A	5	U	6
1	A	31	С	5
1	A	14	С	3

## 6.4 Non-standard residues in protein, DNA, RNA chains (i)

There are no non-standard protein/DNA/RNA residues in this entry.

## 6.5 Carbohydrates (i)

There are no monosaccharides in this entry.

## 6.6 Ligand geometry (i)

There are no ligands in this entry.



# 6.7 Other polymers (i)

There are no such molecules in this entry.

# 6.8 Polymer linkage issues (i)

There are no chain breaks in this entry.



# 7 Chemical shift validation (i)

The completeness of assignment taking into account all chemical shift lists is 65% for the well-defined parts and 65% for the entire structure.

#### 7.1 Chemical shift list 1

File name: working cs.cif

Chemical shift list name: chem\_shifts\_withoutMg

#### 7.1.1 Bookkeeping (i)

The following table shows the results of parsing the chemical shift list and reports the number of nuclei with statistically unusual chemical shifts.

Total number of shifts	636
Number of shifts mapped to atoms	636
Number of unparsed shifts	0
Number of shifts with mapping errors	0
Number of shifts with mapping warnings	0
Number of shift outliers (ShiftChecker)	1

#### 7.1.2 Chemical shift referencing (i)

No chemical shift referencing corrections were calculated (not enough data).

## 7.1.3 Completeness of resonance assignments (i)

The following table shows the completeness of the chemical shift assignments for the well-defined regions of the structure. The overall completeness is 62%, i.e. 590 atoms were assigned a chemical shift out of a possible 948. 0 out of 0 assigned methyl groups (LEU and VAL) were assigned stereospecifically.

	Total	$^{1}\mathbf{H}$	$^{13}\mathbf{C}$	$^{15}{ m N}$
Sugar	366/539~(68%)	$214/294 \ (73\%)$	152/245~(62%)	0/0 (%)
Base	224/409~(55%)	113/236 (48%)	71/85 (84%)	40/88 (45%)
Overall	590/948 (62%)	327/530~(62%)	223/330~(68%)	40/88 (45%)

## 7.1.4 Statistically unusual chemical shifts (i)

The following table lists the statistically unusual chemical shifts. These are statistical measures, and large deviations from the mean do not necessarily imply incorrect assignments. Molecules con-



taining paramagnetic centres or hemes are expected to give rise to anomalous chemical shifts.

List Id	Chain	Res	Type	Atom	Shift, ppm	Expected range, ppm	Z-score
1	A	31	С	H5'	2.71	2.74 - 5.79	-5.1

#### 7.1.5 Random Coil Index (RCI) plots (i)

No random coil index(RCI) plot could be generated from the current chemical shift list. RCI is only applicable to proteins

#### 7.2 Chemical shift list 2

File name: working\_cs.cif

Chemical shift list name: chem\_shifts\_withMg

#### 7.2.1 Bookkeeping (i)

The following table shows the results of parsing the chemical shift list and reports the number of nuclei with statistically unusual chemical shifts.

Total number of shifts	321
Number of shifts mapped to atoms	321
Number of unparsed shifts	0
Number of shifts with mapping errors	0
Number of shifts with mapping warnings	0
Number of shift outliers (ShiftChecker)	1

## 7.2.2 Chemical shift referencing (i)

No chemical shift referencing corrections were calculated (not enough data).

## 7.2.3 Completeness of resonance assignments (i)

The following table shows the completeness of the chemical shift assignments for the well-defined regions of the structure. The overall completeness is 34%, i.e. 315 atoms were assigned a chemical shift out of a possible 928. 0 out of 0 assigned methyl groups (LEU and VAL) were assigned stereospecifically.

	Total	$^{1}\mathbf{H}$	$^{13}\mathbf{C}$	$^{15}{ m N}$
Sugar	115/539 (21%)	97/294 (33%)	18/245 (7%)	0/0 (%)
Base	200/389 (51%)	$115/236 \ (49\%)$	60/85 (71%)	25/68 (37%)
Overall	315/928 (34%)	212/530 (40%)	78/330 (24%)	25/68 (37%)



#### 7.2.4 Statistically unusual chemical shifts (i)

The following table lists the statistically unusual chemical shifts. These are statistical measures, and large deviations from the mean do not necessarily imply incorrect assignments. Molecules containing paramagnetic centres or hemes are expected to give rise to anomalous chemical shifts.

List Id	Chain	Res	Type	Atom	Shift, $ppm$	Expected range, ppm	Z-score
2	A	19	G	H1'	3.69	4.09 - 7.23	-6.3

#### 7.2.5 Random Coil Index (RCI) plots (i)

No random coil index(RCI) plot could be generated from the current chemical shift list. RCI is only applicable to proteins



# 8 NMR restraints analysis (i)

## 8.1 Conformationally restricting restraints (i)

The following table provides the summary of experimentally observed NMR restraints in different categories. Restraints are classified into different categories based on the sequence separation of the atoms involved.

Description	Value
Total distance restraints	931
Intra-residue ( $ i-j =0$ )	256
Sequential ( i-j =1)	420
Medium range ( $ i-j >1$ and $ i-j <5$ )	38
Long range ( i-j ≥5)	217
Inter-chain	0
Hydrogen bond restraints	0
Disulfide bond restraints	0
Total dihedral-angle restraints	276
Number of unmapped restraints	0
Number of restraints per residue	24.6
Number of long range restraints per residue <sup>1</sup>	4.4

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Long range hydrogen bonds and disulfide bonds are counted as long range restraints while calculating the number of long range restraints per residue

## 8.2 Residual restraint violations (i)

This section provides the overview of the restraint violations analysis. The violations are binned as small, medium and large violations based on its absolute value. Average number of violations per model is calculated by dividing the total number of violations in each bin by the size of the ensemble.

## 8.2.1 Average number of distance violations per model (i)

Distance violations less than 0.1 Å are not included in the calculation.

Bins (Å)	Average number of violations per model	Max (Å)
0.1-0.2 (Small)	0.5	0.16
0.2-0.5 (Medium)	0.1	0.23
>0.5 (Large)	None	None



## 8.2.2 Average number of dihedral-angle violations per model (i)

Dihedral-angle violations less than  $1^{\circ}$  are not included in the calculation.

Bins (°)	Average number of violations per model	Max (°)
1.0-10.0 (Small)	0.3	2.4
10.0-20.0 (Medium)	None	None
>20.0 (Large)	None	None



# 9 Distance violation analysis (i)

## 9.1 Summary of distance violations (i)


The following table shows the summary of distance violations in different restraint categories based on the sequence separation of the atoms involved. Each category is further sub-divided into three sub-categories based on the atoms involved. Violations less than 0.1 Å are not included in the statistics.

Dantuninta tema	C	<b>%</b> <sup>1</sup>	Vio	lated	3	Consis	stently Violated <sup>4</sup>	
Restraints type	Count	70	Count	$\%^2$	$\%^1$	Count	$\%^2$	$\%^1$
Intra-residue ( i-j =0)	256	27.5	4	1.6	0.4	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Backbone	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Sidechain	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Sidechain-Sidechain	256	27.5	4	1.6	0.4	0	0.0	0.0
Sequential ( i-j =1)	420	45.1	3	0.7	0.3	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Backbone	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Sidechain	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Sidechain-Sidechain	420	45.1	3	0.7	0.3	0	0.0	0.0
Medium range ( $ i-j >1 \&  i-j <5$ )	38	4.1	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Backbone	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Sidechain	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Sidechain-Sidechain	38	4.1	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Long range ( $ i-j  \ge 5$ )	217	23.3	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Backbone	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Sidechain	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Sidechain-Sidechain	217	23.3	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Inter-chain	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Backbone	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Sidechain	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Sidechain-Sidechain	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Hydrogen bond	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Disulfide bond	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Total	931	100.0	7	0.8	0.8	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Backbone	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Sidechain	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Sidechain-Sidechain	931	100.0	7	0.8	0.8	0	0.0	0.0

 $<sup>^1</sup>$  percentage calculated with respect to the total number of distance restraints,  $^2$  percentage calculated with respect to the number of restraints in a particular restraint category,  $^3$  violated in at least one model,  $^4$  violated in all the models



#### 9.1.1 Bar chart: Distribution of distance restraints and violations (i)



Violated and consistently violated restraints are shown using different hatch patterns in their respective categories. The hydrogen bonds and disulfied bonds are counted in their appropriate category on the x-axis

## 9.2 Distance violation statistics for each model (i)

The following table provides the distance violation statistics for each model in the ensemble. Violations less than 0.1 Å are not included in the statistics.

MadalID		Nun	nber o	f viola	ations	5	Mean (Å)	Max (Å)	${ m SD}^6$ (Å)	Madian (Å)
Model ID	$IR^1$	$SQ^2$	$MR^3$	$LR^4$	$IC^5$	Total	Mean (A)	Max (A)	$SD^*(A)$	Median (Å)
1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
2	0	1	0	0	0	1	0.12	0.12	0.0	0.12
3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
6	1	0	0	0	0	1	0.11	0.11	0.0	0.11
7	1	0	0	0	0	1	0.15	0.15	0.0	0.15
8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
10	2	0	0	0	0	2	0.15	0.16	0.01	0.15
11	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

Continued on next page...




Continued from previous page...

Model ID		Nun	nber o	f viola	ations	3	Mean (Å)	Max (Å)	$\mathbf{SD}^6$ (Å)	Median (Å)
Model 1D	$IR^1$	$SQ^2$	$MR^3$	$LR^4$	$IC^5$	Total	Mean (A)	Max (A)	$SD^*(A)$	Median (A)
12	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
13	0	1	0	0	0	1	0.12	0.12	0.0	0.12
14	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
16	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
17	0	1	0	0	0	1	0.23	0.23	0.0	0.23
18	1	0	0	0	0	1	0.12	0.12	0.0	0.12
19	2	0	0	0	0	2	0.12	0.13	0.01	0.12
20	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

 $<sup>^1</sup>$ Intra-residue restraints,  $^2$ Sequential restraints,  $^3$ Medium range restraints,  $^4$ Long range restraints,  $^5$ Inter-chain restraints,  $^6$ Standard deviation

#### 9.2.1 Bar graph: Distance Violation statistics for each model (i)



The mean(dot),median(x) and the standard deviation are shown in blue with respect to the y axis on the right



#### 9.3 Distance violation statistics for the ensemble (i)


Violation analysis may find that some restraints are violated in few models and some are violated in most of models. The following table provides this information as number of violated restraints for a given fraction of the ensemble. In total, 924(IR:252, SQ:417, MR:38, LR:217, IC:0) restraints are not violated in the ensemble.

Nu	$\overline{\mathbf{mber}}$	of vio	lated	restra	aints	Fraction	Fraction of the ensemble		
$IR^1$	$SQ^2$	$MR^3$	$LR^4$	$IC^5$	Total	Count <sup>6</sup>	%		
3	3	0	0	0	6	1	5.0		
0	0	0	0	0	0	2	10.0		
0	0	0	0	0	0	3	15.0		
1	0	0	0	0	1	4	20.0		
0	0	0	0	0	0	5	25.0		
0	0	0	0	0	0	6	30.0		
0	0	0	0	0	0	7	35.0		
0	0	0	0	0	0	8	40.0		
0	0	0	0	0	0	9	45.0		
0	0	0	0	0	0	10	50.0		
0	0	0	0	0	0	11	55.0		
0	0	0	0	0	0	12	60.0		
0	0	0	0	0	0	13	65.0		
0	0	0	0	0	0	14	70.0		
0	0	0	0	0	0	15	75.0		
0	0	0	0	0	0	16	80.0		
0	0	0	0	0	0	17	85.0		
0	0	0	0	0	0	18	90.0		
0	0	0	0	0	0	19	95.0		
0	0	0	0	0	0	20	100.0		

 $<sup>^1</sup>$ Intra-residue restraints,  $^2$ Sequential restraints,  $^3$ Medium range restraints,  $^4$ Long range restraints,  $^5$ Inter-chain restraints,  $^6$  Number of models with violations



#### 9.3.1 Bar graph: Distance violation statistics for the ensemble (i)




## 9.4 Most violated distance restraints in the ensemble (i)

## 9.4.1 Histogram: Distribution of mean distance violations (i)

The following histogram shows the distribution of the average value of the violation. The average is calculated for each restraint that is violated in more than one model over all the violated models in the ensemble





#### 9.4.2 Table: Most violated distance restraints (i)

The following table provides the mean and the standard deviation of the violation for each restraint sorted by number of violated models and the mean value. The Key (restraint list ID, restraint ID) is the unique identifier for a given restraint. Rows with same key represent combinatorial or ambiguous restraints and are counted as a single restraint.

Key	Atom-1	Atom-2	${f Models}^1$	Mean (Å)	$  \mathbf{SD}^1 (\mathbf{\mathring{A}})  $	Median (Å)
(1,84)	1:A:6:A:H3'	1:A:6:A:H8	4	0.13	0.02	0.12


<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Number of violated models, <sup>2</sup>Standard deviation

## 9.5 All violated distance restraints (i)

## 9.5.1 Histogram : Distribution of distance violations (i)

The following histogram shows the distribution of the absolute value of the violation for all violated restraints in the ensemble.





#### 9.5.2 Table: All distance violations (i)

The following table provides the 10 worst performing restraints, sorted by the violation value. The Key (restraint list ID, restraint ID) is the unique identifier for a given restraint. Rows with same key represent combinatorial or ambiguous restraints and are counted as a single restraint.

Key	Atom-1	Atom-2	Model ID	Violation (Å)
(1,398)	1:A:33:G:H1'	1:A:34:C:H6	17	0.23
(1,84)	1:A:6:A:H3'	1:A:6:A:H8	10	0.16
(1,627)	1:A:21:G:H2'	1:A:21:G:H8	7	0.15
(1,187)	1:A:16:A:H3'	1:A:16:A:H8	10	0.14
(1,84)	1:A:6:A:H3'	1:A:6:A:H8	19	0.13
(1,84)	1:A:6:A:H3'	1:A:6:A:H8	18	0.12
(1,596)	1:A:13:A:H1'	1:A:14:C:H6	2	0.12
(1,489)	1:A:44:A:H2'	1:A:45:G:H8	13	0.12
(1,84)	1:A:6:A:H3'	1:A:6:A:H8	6	0.11
(1,463)	1:A:43:A:H3'	1:A:43:A:H8	19	0.11



# 10 Dihedral-angle violation analysis (i)

## 10.1 Summary of dihedral-angle violations (i)


The following table provides the summary of dihedral-angle violations in different dihedral-angle types. Violations less than 1° are not included in the calculation.

Angle true	Count	$\%^{1}$	Vio	lated	3	Consis	tentl	${f y}$ Violated $^4$
Angle type	Count	70	Count	$\%^2$	$\%^1$	Count	$\%^2$	$\%^1$
NU2	42	15.2	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
EPSILON	21	7.6	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
NU1	42	15.2	1	2.4	0.4	0	0.0	0.0
DELTA	42	15.2	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
ALPHA	21	7.6	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
GAMMA	26	9.4	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
ZETA	20	7.2	1	5.0	0.4	0	0.0	0.0
BETA	24	8.7	1	4.2	0.4	0	0.0	0.0
CHI	38	13.8	1	2.6	0.4	0	0.0	0.0
Total	276	100.0	4	1.4	1.4	0	0.0	0.0

 $<sup>^1</sup>$  percentage calculated with respect to total number of dihedral-angle restraints,  $^2$  percentage calculated with respect to number of restraints in a particular dihedral-angle type,  $^3$  violated in at least one model,  $^4$  violated in all the models



#### 10.1.1 Bar chart: Distribution of dihedral-angles and violations (i)



Violated and consistently violated restraints are shown using different hatch patterns in their respective categories


## 10.2 Dihedral-angle violation statistics for each model (i)

The following table provides the dihedral-angle violation statistics for each model in the ensemble. Violations less than 1° are not included in the statistics.

Model ID				N	umber of v	violations					Mean (°)	Max (°)	SD (°)	Median (°)
Model 1D	NU2	EPSILON	NU1	DELTA	ALPHA	GAMMA	ZETA	BETA	CHI	Total	Mean ()	Max ( )	SD ( )	Median ( )
1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	1.4	1.4	0.0	1.4
2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	1.1	1.1	0.0	1.1
4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	2.0	2.0	0.0	2.0
6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
10	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1.7	1.7	0.0	1.7
11	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
12	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
13	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	1.2	1.2	0.0	1.2
14	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	2.4	2.4	0.0	2.4
15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
16	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
17	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
18	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
19	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
20	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0



#### 10.2.1 Bar graph: Dihedral violation statistics for each model (i)



The mean(dot), median(x) and the standard deviation are shown in blue with respect to the y axis on the right

## 10.3 Dihedral-angle violation statistics for the ensemble (i)

Violation analysis may find that some restraints are violated in very few models and some are violated in most of models. The following table provides this information as number of violated restraints for a given fraction of ensemble.

Number of violated restraints											n of the ensemble
NU2	EPSILON	NU1	DELTA	ALPHA	GAMMA	ZETA	BETA	CHI	Total	Count <sup>1</sup>	%
0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	2	1	5.0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	2	2	10.0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	15.0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	20.0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	25.0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	30.0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	35.0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	8	40.0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	9	45.0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	10	50.0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	11	55.0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	12	60.0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	13	65.0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	14	70.0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	15	75.0

Continued on next page...




Continued from previous page...

	Number of violated restraints										Fraction of the ensemble		
NU2	EPSILON	NU1	DELTA	ALPHA	GAMMA	ZETA	BETA	CHI	Total	$Count^1$	%		
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	16	80.0		
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	17	85.0		
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	18	90.0		
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	19	95.0		
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	20	100.0		

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Number of models with violations

#### 10.3.1 Bar graph: Dihedral-angle Violation statistics for the ensemble (i)




## 10.4 Most violated dihedral-angle restraints in the ensemble (i)

#### 10.4.1 Histogram: Distribution of mean dihedral-angle violations (i)

The following histogram shows the distribution of the average value of the violation. The average is calculated for each restraint that is violated in more than one model over all the violated models in the ensemble





#### 10.4.2 Table: Most violated dihedral-angle restraints (i)

The following table provides the mean and the standard deviation of the violation for each restraint sorted by number of violated models and the mean value. The Key (restraint list ID, restraint ID) is the unique identifier for a given restraint.

Key	Atom-1	Atom-2	Atom-3	Atom-4	$\mathbf{Models}^1$	Mean	$\mathbf{SD}^2$	Median
(1,24)	1:A:32:G:O4'	1:A:32:G:C1'	1:A:32:G:N9	1:A:32:G:C4	2	2.2	0.2	2.2
(1,255)	1:A:45:G:P	1:A:45:G:O5'	1:A:45:G:C5'	1:A:45:G:C4'	2	1.15	0.05	1.15


<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Number of violated models, <sup>2</sup>Standard deviation, All angle values are in degree (°)

## 10.5 All violated dihedral-angle restraints (i)

## 10.5.1 Histogram : Distribution of violations (i)

The following histogram shows the distribution of the absolute value of the violation for all violated restraints in the ensemble.





#### 10.5.2 Table: All violated dihedral-angle restraints (i)

The following table lists the absolute value of the violation for each restraint in the ensemble sorted by its value. The Key (restraint list ID, restraint ID) is the unique identifier for a given restraint.

Key	Atom-1	Atom-2	Atom-3	Atom-4	Model ID	Violation (°)
(1,24)	1:A:32:G:O4'	1:A:32:G:C1'	1:A:32:G:N9	1:A:32:G:C4	14	2.4
(1,24)	1:A:32:G:O4'	1:A:32:G:C1'	1:A:32:G:N9	1:A:32:G:C4	5	2.0
(1,118)	1:A:30:U:O4'	1:A:30:U:C1'	1:A:30:U:C2'	1:A:30:U:C3'	10	1.7
(1,245)	1:A:39:A:C3'	1:A:39:A:O3'	1:A:40:G:P	1:A:40:G:O5'	1	1.4
(1,255)	1:A:45:G:P	1:A:45:G:O5'	1:A:45:G:C5'	1:A:45:G:C4'	13	1.2
(1,255)	1:A:45:G:P	1:A:45:G:O5'	1:A:45:G:C5'	1:A:45:G:C4'	3	1.1

