

wwPDB NMR Structure Validation Summary Report (i)

Jun 5, 2023 – 04:25 AM EDT

PDB ID : 2LUC BMRB ID : 18425

Title: Solution Structure of human S100 calcium-binding protein A11

Authors: Hung, K.W.; Chang, Y.M.; Yu, C.

Deposited on : 2012-06-12

This is a wwPDB NMR Structure Validation Summary Report for a publicly released PDB entry.

We welcome your comments at validation@mail.wwpdb.org
A user guide is available at
https://www.wwpdb.org/validation/2017/NMRValidationReportHelp
with specific help available everywhere you see the (i) symbol.

The types of validation reports are described at http://www.wwpdb.org/validation/2017/FAQs#types.

The following versions of software and data (see references (1)) were used in the production of this report:

MolProbity: 4.02b-467

Percentile statistics : 20191225.v01 (using entries in the PDB archive December 25th 2019)

wwPDB-RCI : v 1n 11 5 13 A (Berjanski et al., 2005)

PANAV : Wang et al. (2010)

 $\begin{array}{ccc} wwPDB\text{-}ShiftChecker &: & v1.2 \\ BMRB \ Restraints \ Analysis &: & v1.2 \\ \end{array}$

Ideal geometry (proteins) : Engh & Huber (2001) Ideal geometry (DNA, RNA) : Parkinson et al. (1996)


Validation Pipeline (wwPDB-VP) : 2.33

1 Overall quality at a glance (i)

The following experimental techniques were used to determine the structure: $SOLUTION\ NMR$

The overall completeness of chemical shifts assignment is 39%.

Percentile scores (ranging between 0-100) for global validation metrics of the entry are shown in the following graphic. The table shows the number of entries on which the scores are based.



Metric	Whole archive $(\# \mathrm{Entries})$	$egin{array}{c} { m NMR \ archive} \ (\#{ m Entries}) \end{array}$	
Clashscore	158937	12864	
Ramachandran outliers	154571	11451	
Sidechain outliers	154315	11428	

The table below summarises the geometric issues observed across the polymeric chains and their fit to the experimental data. The red, orange, yellow and green segments indicate the fraction of residues that contain outliers for >=3, 2, 1 and 0 types of geometric quality criteria. A cyan segment indicates the fraction of residues that are not part of the well-defined cores, and a grey segment represents the fraction of residues that are not modelled. The numeric value for each fraction is indicated below the corresponding segment, with a dot representing fractions <=5%

Mol	Chain	Length	Quality of chain				
1	A	105	37%	35%	•	26%	•
1	В	105	36%	36%	•	26%	•



2 Ensemble composition and analysis (i)

This entry contains 20 models. Model 8 is the overall representative, medoid model (most similar to other models). The authors have identified model 1 as representative, based on the following criterion: *lowest energy*.

The following residues are included in the computation of the global validation metrics.

Well-defined (core) protein residues							
Well-defined core Residue range (total) Backbone RMSD (Å) Medoid mode							
1	A:7-A:26, A:33-A:52, A:58-	0.51	8				
	A:95, B:7-B:26, B:33-B:52,						
	B:58-B:95 (156)						

Ill-defined regions of proteins are excluded from the global statistics.

Ligands and non-protein polymers are included in the analysis.

The models can be grouped into 4 clusters and 4 single-model clusters were found.

Cluster number	Models
1	1, 3, 4, 7, 16
2	2, 8, 10, 11, 19
3	6, 12, 14, 17
4	5, 9
Single-model clusters	13; 15; 18; 20



3 Entry composition (i)

There is only 1 type of molecule in this entry. The entry contains 3286 atoms, of which 1644 are hydrogens and 0 are deuteriums.

• Molecule 1 is a protein called Protein S100-A11.

Mol	Chain	Residues		Atoms				Trace	
1	Λ	105	Total	С	Н	N	О	S	0
1 A	105	1643	518	822	135	161	7	U	
1	D	105	Total	С	Н	N	О	S	0
1 B	B 105	1643	518	822	135	161	7	U	




4 Residue-property plots (i)

4.1 Average score per residue in the NMR ensemble

These plots are provided for all protein, RNA, DNA and oligosaccharide chains in the entry. The first graphic is the same as shown in the summary in section 1 of this report. The second graphic shows the sequence where residues are colour-coded according to the number of geometric quality criteria for which they contain at least one outlier: green = 0, yellow = 1, orange = 2 and red = 3 or more. Stretches of 2 or more consecutive residues without any outliers are shown as green connectors. Residues which are classified as ill-defined in the NMR ensemble, are shown in cyan with an underline colour-coded according to the previous scheme. Residues which were present in the experimental sample, but not modelled in the final structure are shown in grey.






4.2 Residue scores for the representative (medoid) model from the NMR ensemble


The representative model is number 8. Colouring as in section 4.1 above.

• Molecule 1: Protein S100-A11



• Molecule 1: Protein S100-A11







Refinement protocol and experimental data overview (i) 5



The models were refined using the following method: simulated annealing.

Of the 200 calculated structures, 20 were deposited, based on the following criterion: structures with the lowest energy.

The following table shows the software used for structure solution, optimisation and refinement.

Software name	Classification	Version
ARIA	structure solution	
ARIA	refinement	

The following table shows chemical shift validation statistics as aggregates over all chemical shift files. Detailed validation can be found in section 7 of this report.

Chemical shift file(s)	working_cs.cif
Number of chemical shift lists	1
Total number of shifts	938
Number of shifts mapped to atoms	938
Number of unparsed shifts	0
Number of shifts with mapping errors	0
Number of shifts with mapping warnings	0
Assignment completeness (well-defined parts)	39%



6 Model quality (i)

6.1 Standard geometry (i)

There are no covalent bond-length or bond-angle outliers.

There are no bond-length outliers.

There are no bond-angle outliers.

There are no chirality outliers.

There are no planarity outliers.

6.2 Too-close contacts (i)

In the following table, the Non-H and H(model) columns list the number of non-hydrogen atoms and hydrogen atoms in each chain respectively. The H(added) column lists the number of hydrogen atoms added and optimized by MolProbity. The Clashes column lists the number of clashes averaged over the ensemble.

Mol	Chain	Non-H	H(model)	$\mathbf{H}(\mathbf{added})$	Clashes
1	A	606	599	598	34±5
1	В	606	599	598	34±5
All	All	24240	23960	23920	1125

The all-atom clashscore is defined as the number of clashes found per 1000 atoms (including hydrogen atoms). The all-atom clashscore for this structure is 23.

5 of 256 unique clashes are listed below, sorted by their clash magnitude.

Atom-1	Atom-2	Clash(Å)	$\operatorname{Distance}(\mathring{\mathrm{A}})$	${f Models}$	
Atom-1	Atom-2	Clash(A)	Distance(A)	Worst	Total
1:A:46:GLU:HA	1:B:8:THR:HG21	0.81	1.52	16	19
1:A:24:TYR:HB3	1:A:34:LEU:HD21	0.80	1.53	19	9
1:B:24:TYR:HB3	1:B:34:LEU:HD21	0.80	1.53	19	9
1:A:8:THR:HG21	1:B:46:GLU:HA	0.80	1.54	16	19
1:A:89:MET:SD	1:B:78:SER:HB3	0.75	2.21	11	14

6.3 Torsion angles (i)

6.3.1 Protein backbone (i)

In the following table, the Percentiles column shows the percent Ramachandran outliers of the chain as a percentile score with respect to all PDB entries followed by that with respect to all NMR



entries. The Analysed column shows the number of residues for which the backbone conformation was analysed and the total number of residues.

Mol	Chain	Analysed	Favoured	Allowed	Outliers	S Percenti	
1	A	78/105 (74%)	74±1 (95±1%)	3±1 (4±1%)	1±0 (1±1%)	24	71
1	В	78/105 (74%)	74±1 (95±1%)	3±1 (4±1%)	1±0 (1±1%)	24	71
All	All	3120/4200 (74%)	2975 (95%)	121 (4%)	24 (1%)	24	71

All 2 unique Ramachandran outliers are listed below. They are sorted by the frequency of occurrence in the ensemble.

Mol	Chain	Res	Type	Models (Total)
1	A	68	ASP	12
1	В	68	ASP	12

6.3.2 Protein sidechains (i)

In the following table, the Percentiles column shows the percent sidechain outliers of the chain as a percentile score with respect to all PDB entries followed by that with respect to all NMR entries. The Analysed column shows the number of residues for which the sidechain conformation was analysed and the total number of residues.

Mol	Chain	Analysed	Rotameric Outliers		Perc	entiles
1	A	67/91 (74%)	60±1 (90±2%)	7±1 (10±2%)	11	56
1	В	67/91 (74%)	60±1 (90±2%)	7±1 (10±2%)	11	56
All	All	2680/3640 (74%)	2408 (90%)	272 (10%)	11	56

5 of 40 unique residues with a non-rotameric sidechain are listed below. They are sorted by the frequency of occurrence in the ensemble.

Mol	Chain	Res	Type	Models (Total)
1	A	10	THR	20
1	A	12	ARG	20
1	A	34	LEU	20
1	В	10	THR	20
1	В	12	ARG	20

6.3.3 RNA (i)

There are no RNA molecules in this entry.



6.4 Non-standard residues in protein, DNA, RNA chains (i)

There are no non-standard protein/DNA/RNA residues in this entry.

6.5 Carbohydrates (i)

There are no monosaccharides in this entry.

6.6 Ligand geometry (i)

There are no ligands in this entry.

6.7 Other polymers (i)

There are no such molecules in this entry.

6.8 Polymer linkage issues (i)

There are no chain breaks in this entry.



7 Chemical shift validation (i)

The completeness of assignment taking into account all chemical shift lists is 39% for the well-defined parts and 33% for the entire structure.

7.1 Chemical shift list 1

File name: working cs.cif

Chemical shift list name: assigned_chem_shift_list_1

7.1.1 Bookkeeping (i)

The following table shows the results of parsing the chemical shift list and reports the number of nuclei with statistically unusual chemical shifts.

Total number of shifts	938
Number of shifts mapped to atoms	938
Number of unparsed shifts	0
Number of shifts with mapping errors	0
Number of shifts with mapping warnings	0
Number of shift outliers (ShiftChecker)	1

7.1.2 Chemical shift referencing (i)

The following table shows the suggested chemical shift referencing corrections.

Nucleus	# values	Correction \pm precision, ppm	Suggested action
$^{13}\mathrm{C}_{\alpha}$	91	-0.10 ± 0.15	None needed ($< 0.5 \text{ ppm}$)
$^{13}C_{\beta}$	84	0.29 ± 0.12	None needed (< 0.5 ppm)
¹³ C′	90	-0.29 ± 0.08	None needed ($< 0.5 \text{ ppm}$)
^{15}N	87	0.57 ± 0.23	Should be applied

7.1.3 Completeness of resonance assignments (i)

The following table shows the completeness of the chemical shift assignments for the well-defined regions of the structure. The overall completeness is 39%, i.e. 806 atoms were assigned a chemical shift out of a possible 2078. 0 out of 24 assigned methyl groups (LEU and VAL) were assigned stereospecifically.

	Total	$^{1}{ m H}$	$^{13}\mathbf{C}$	$^{15}{ m N}$
Backbone	390/786 (50%)	158/320~(49%)	156/312 (50%)	76/154 (49%)
Sidechain	416/1118 (37%)	271/730 (37%)	145/356 (41%)	0/32 (0%)

Continued on next page...



Continued from previous page...

	Total	$^{1}\mathrm{H}$	$^{13}\mathbf{C}$	$^{15}{ m N}$
Aromatic	0/174 (0%)	0/86 (0%)	0/84 (0%)	0/4 (0%)
Overall	806/2078~(39%)	$429/1136 \ (38\%)$	301/752 (40%)	76/190 (40%)

7.1.4 Statistically unusual chemical shifts (i)


The following table lists the statistically unusual chemical shifts. These are statistical measures, and large deviations from the mean do not necessarily imply incorrect assignments. Molecules containing paramagnetic centres or hemes are expected to give rise to anomalous chemical shifts.

List Id	Chain	Res	Type	Atom	Shift, ppm	Expected range, ppm	Z-score
1	A	46	GLU	HB3	0.80	0.95 - 3.05	-5.7

7.1.5 Random Coil Index (RCI) plots (i)

The image below reports random coil index values for the protein chains in the structure. The height of each bar gives a probability of a given residue to be disordered, as predicted from the available chemical shifts and the amino acid sequence. A value above 0.2 is an indication of significant predicted disorder. The colour of the bar shows whether the residue is in the well-defined core (black) or in the ill-defined residue ranges (cyan), as described in section 2 on ensemble composition. If well-defined core and ill-defined regions are not identified then it is shown as gray bars.

Random coil index (RCI) for chain A:





8 NMR restraints analysis (i)

8.1 Conformationally restricting restraints (i)

The following table provides the summary of experimentally observed NMR restraints in different categories. Restraints are classified into different categories based on the sequence separation of the atoms involved.

Description	Value
Total distance restraints	6130
Intra-residue ($ i-j =0$)	1830
Sequential (i-j =1)	1426
Medium range ($ i-j >1$ and $ i-j <5$)	1550
Long range (i-j ≥5)	808
Inter-chain	400
Hydrogen bond restraints	116
Disulfide bond restraints	0
Total dihedral-angle restraints	332
Number of unmapped restraints	0
Number of restraints per residue	30.8
Number of long range restraints per residue ¹	3.9

¹Long range hydrogen bonds and disulfide bonds are counted as long range restraints while calculating the number of long range restraints per residue

8.2 Residual restraint violations (i)

This section provides the overview of the restraint violations analysis. The violations are binned as small, medium and large violations based on its absolute value. Average number of violations per model is calculated by dividing the total number of violations in each bin by the size of the ensemble.

8.2.1 Average number of distance violations per model (i)

Distance violations less than 0.1 Å are not included in the calculation.

Bins (Å)	Average number of violations per model	Max (Å)
0.1-0.2 (Small)	132.6	0.2
0.2-0.5 (Medium)	100.3	0.5
>0.5 (Large)	101.7	3.03



8.2.2 Average number of dihedral-angle violations per model (i)

Dihedral-angle violations less than 1° are not included in the calculation.

Bins (°)	Average number of violations per model	Max (°)
1.0-10.0 (Small)	12.9	5.6
10.0-20.0 (Medium)	None	None
>20.0 (Large)	None	None



9 Distance violation analysis (i)

9.1 Summary of distance violations (i)


The following table shows the summary of distance violations in different restraint categories based on the sequence separation of the atoms involved. Each category is further sub-divided into three sub-categories based on the atoms involved. Violations less than 0.1 Å are not included in the statistics.

Doodnointe tour	Count	% 1	Vi	olated	3	Consis	tentl	${f y}$ Violated 4
Restraints type	Count	70	Count	$\%^2$	$\%^1$	Count	$\%^2$	$\%^1$
Intra-residue (i-j =0)	1830	29.9	56	3.1	0.9	10	0.5	0.2
Backbone-Backbone	238	3.9	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Sidechain	1068	17.4	42	3.9	0.7	6	0.6	0.1
Sidechain-Sidechain	524	8.5	14	2.7	0.2	4	0.8	0.1
Sequential (i-j =1)	1426	23.3	163	11.4	2.7	35	2.5	0.6
Backbone-Backbone	552	9.0	14	2.5	0.2	4	0.7	0.1
Backbone-Sidechain	676	11.0	96	14.2	1.6	21	3.1	0.3
Sidechain-Sidechain	198	3.2	53	26.8	0.9	10	5.1	0.2
Medium range ($ i-j >1 \& i-j <5$)	1550	25.3	259	16.7	4.2	35	2.3	0.6
Backbone-Backbone	484	7.9	36	7.4	0.6	5	1.0	0.1
Backbone-Sidechain	688	11.2	126	18.3	2.1	16	2.3	0.3
Sidechain-Sidechain	378	6.2	97	25.7	1.6	14	3.7	0.2
Long range ($ i-j \ge 5$)	808	13.2	175	21.7	2.9	26	3.2	0.4
Backbone-Backbone	98	1.6	9	9.2	0.1	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Sidechain	394	6.4	76	19.3	1.2	10	2.5	0.2
Sidechain-Sidechain	316	5.2	90	28.5	1.5	16	5.1	0.3
Inter-chain	400	6.5	67	16.8	1.1	4	1.0	0.1
Backbone-Backbone	6	0.1	1	16.7	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Sidechain	120	2.0	9	7.5	0.1	0	0.0	0.0
Sidechain-Sidechain	274	4.5	57	20.8	0.9	4	1.5	0.1
Hydrogen bond	116	1.9	11	9.5	0.2	0	0.0	0.0
Disulfide bond	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Total	6130	100.0	731	11.9	11.9	110	1.8	1.8
Backbone-Backbone	1494	24.4	71	4.8	1.2	9	0.6	0.1
Backbone-Sidechain	2946	48.1	349	11.8	5.7	53	1.8	0.9
Sidechain-Sidechain	1690	27.6	311	18.4	5.1	48	2.8	0.8

¹ percentage calculated with respect to the total number of distance restraints, ² percentage calculated with respect to the number of restraints in a particular restraint category, ³ violated in at least one model, ⁴ violated in all the models



9.1.1 Bar chart: Distribution of distance restraints and violations (i)



Violated and consistently violated restraints are shown using different hatch patterns in their respective categories. The hydrogen bonds and disulfied bonds are counted in their appropriate category on the x-axis

9.2 Distance violation statistics for each model (i)

The following table provides the distance violation statistics for each model in the ensemble. Violations less than 0.1 Å are not included in the statistics.

Madal ID		Nun	nber o	f viola	ations	5	Mean (Å)	M (Å)	\mathbf{SD}^6 (Å)	Madian (8)
Model ID	IR^1	SQ^2	MR^3	LR^4	IC^5	Total	Mean (A)	Max (Å)	$SD^*(A)$	Median (Å)
1	18	88	120	84	14	324	0.46	2.51	0.42	0.29
2	20	88	124	88	27	347	0.48	2.83	0.45	0.32
3	18	81	132	88	20	339	0.47	2.8	0.46	0.28
4	16	88	137	93	25	359	0.45	2.59	0.42	0.28
5	20	92	122	86	32	352	0.43	2.87	0.4	0.25
6	23	80	112	87	32	334	0.47	2.82	0.44	0.3
7	14	85	134	84	12	329	0.46	2.61	0.4	0.27
8	22	87	133	92	27	361	0.43	2.8	0.42	0.27
9	14	88	138	78	9	327	0.45	2.87	0.42	0.27
10	22	76	109	78	22	307	0.44	2.76	0.4	0.32
11	16	90	112	80	26	324	0.42	2.82	0.38	0.24

Continued on next page...




Continued from previous page...

Model ID		Nun	nber o	f viola	ations	3	Mean (Å)	Max (Å)	\mathbf{SD}^6 (Å)	Median (Å)
Model 1D	IR^1	SQ^2	MR^3	LR^4	IC^5	Total	Mean (A)	Max (A)	$SD^*(A)$	Median (A)
12	14	90	113	78	16	311	0.38	1.31	0.31	0.24
13	22	87	114	94	22	339	0.46	2.45	0.42	0.27
14	18	87	115	88	26	334	0.41	2.82	0.36	0.27
15	24	73	113	96	28	334	0.41	3.03	0.4	0.23
16	28	77	120	88	19	332	0.42	1.48	0.34	0.25
17	22	79	121	98	24	344	0.47	2.76	0.43	0.29
18	14	92	136	83	10	335	0.43	2.36	0.39	0.24
19	22	91	113	72	29	327	0.43	2.92	0.39	0.27
20	20	85	120	82	25	332	0.45	2.82	0.4	0.29

 $^{^1}$ Intra-residue restraints, 2 Sequential restraints, 3 Medium range restraints, 4 Long range restraints, 5 Inter-chain restraints, 6 Standard deviation

9.2.1 Bar graph: Distance Violation statistics for each model (i)



The mean(dot),median(x) and the standard deviation are shown in blue with respect to the y axis on the right



9.3 Distance violation statistics for the ensemble (i)


Violation analysis may find that some restraints are violated in few models and some are violated in most of models. The following table provides this information as number of violated restraints for a given fraction of the ensemble. In total, 5294(IR:1774, SQ:1263, MR:1291, LR:633, IC:333) restraints are not violated in the ensemble.

Nu	$\overline{\mathbf{mber}}$	of vio	lated	restra	aints	Fraction	n of the ensemble
IR^1	SQ^2	MR^3	LR^4	IC^5	Total	Count ⁶	%
14	36	51	27	21	149	1	5.0
6	9	24	12	4	55	2	10.0
6	12	9	10	3	40	3	15.0
6	6	10	14	4	40	4	20.0
6	1	14	8	4	33	5	25.0
0	6	9	3	4	22	6	30.0
0	1	6	7	4	18	7	35.0
0	0	18	4	1	23	8	40.0
0	5	9	2	2	18	9	45.0
3	5	8	4	0	20	10	50.0
3	8	5	12	3	31	11	55.0
0	2	4	6	9	21	12	60.0
2	2	9	4	0	17	13	65.0
0	4	4	7	0	15	14	70.0
0	1	5	3	0	9	15	75.0
0	5	14	2	0	21	16	80.0
0	4	2	3	2	11	17	85.0
0	9	8	18	0	35	18	90.0
0	12	15	3	2	32	19	95.0
10	35	35	26	4	110	20	100.0

 $^{^1}$ Intra-residue restraints, 2 Sequential restraints, 3 Medium range restraints, 4 Long range restraints, 5 Inter-chain restraints, 6 Number of models with violations



9.3.1 Bar graph: Distance violation statistics for the ensemble (i)




9.4 Most violated distance restraints in the ensemble (i)

9.4.1 Histogram: Distribution of mean distance violations (i)

The following histogram shows the distribution of the average value of the violation. The average is calculated for each restraint that is violated in more than one model over all the violated models in the ensemble





9.4.2 Table: Most violated distance restraints (i)

The following table provides the mean and the standard deviation of the violations for the 10 worst performing restraints, sorted by number of violated models and the mean violation value. The Key (restraint list ID, restraint ID) is the unique identifier for a given restraint. Rows with same key represent combinatorial or ambiguous restraints and are counted as a single restraint.

Key	Atom-1	Atom-2	\mathbf{Models}^1	Mean (Å)	SD^1 (Å)	Median (Å)
(1,3909)	1:A:82:ASN:HB2	1:A:75:LEU:HB2	20	1.41	0.18	1.34
(1,3909)	1:A:82:ASN:HB2	1:A:77:PHE:HB3	20	1.41	0.18	1.34
(1,3910)	1:B:82:ASN:HB2	1:B:75:LEU:HB2	20	1.4	0.18	1.34
(1,3910)	1:B:82:ASN:HB2	1:B:77:PHE:HB3	20	1.4	0.18	1.34
(1,139)	1:A:58:GLY:HA3	1:A:36:LYS:HE2	20	1.32	0.16	1.33
(1,139)	1:A:58:GLY:HA3	1:A:36:LYS:HE3	20	1.32	0.16	1.33
(1,140)	1:B:58:GLY:HA3	1:B:36:LYS:HE2	20	1.32	0.16	1.33
(1,140)	1:B:58:GLY:HA3	1:B:36:LYS:HE3	20	1.32	0.16	1.33
(2,145)	1:A:15:GLU:HG2	1:A:11:GLU:HG2	20	1.24	0.17	1.26
(2,146)	1:B:15:GLU:HG2	1:B:11:GLU:HG2	20	1.24	0.17	1.25
(1,486)	1:B:59:VAL:H	1:B:62:ARG:HG2	20	1.19	0.09	1.23
(1,485)	1:A:59:VAL:H	1:A:62:ARG:HG2	20	1.19	0.09	1.23
(1,541)	1:A:15:GLU:HG3	1:A:18:ILE:HG22	20	1.16	0.07	1.16
(1,541)	1:A:15:GLU:HG3	1:A:18:ILE:HG21	20	1.16	0.07	1.16
(1,541)	1:A:15:GLU:HG3	1:A:18:ILE:HG23	20	1.16	0.07	1.16
(1,542)	1:B:15:GLU:HG3	1:B:18:ILE:HG22	20	1.16	0.07	1.16




¹Number of violated models, ²Standard deviation

9.5 All violated distance restraints (i)

9.5.1 Histogram: Distribution of distance violations (i)

The following histogram shows the distribution of the absolute value of the violation for all violated restraints in the ensemble.



9.5.2 Table: All distance violations (i)

The following table provides the 10 worst performing restraints, sorted by the violation value. The Key (restraint list ID, restraint ID) is the unique identifier for a given restraint. Rows with same key represent combinatorial or ambiguous restraints and are counted as a single restraint.

Key	Atom-1	Atom-2	Model ID	Violation (Å)
(1,2068)	1:B:13:CYS:HB2	1:A:13:CYS:HB2	15	3.03
(1,2067)	1:A:13:CYS:HB2	1:B:13:CYS:HB2	15	3.03
(1,2068)	1:B:13:CYS:HB2	1:A:13:CYS:HB2	19	2.92
(1,2067)	1:A:13:CYS:HB2	1:B:13:CYS:HB2	19	2.92
(1,2068)	1:B:13:CYS:HB2	1:A:13:CYS:HB2	5	2.87
(1,2067)	1:A:13:CYS:HB2	1:B:13:CYS:HB2	5	2.87
(1,1328)	1:B:34:LEU:HB2	1:B:39:PHE:HB3	9	2.87
(1,1327)	1:A:34:LEU:HB2	1:A:39:PHE:HB3	9	2.86

Continued on next page...



Continued from previous page...

Key	Atom-1	Atom-2	Model ID	Violation (Å)
(1,2068)	1:B:13:CYS:HB2	1:A:13:CYS:HB2	2	2.83
(1,2067)	1:A:13:CYS:HB2	1:B:13:CYS:HB2	2	2.83



10 Dihedral-angle violation analysis (i)


10.1 Summary of dihedral-angle violations (i)

The following table provides the summary of dihedral-angle violations in different dihedral-angle types. Violations less than 1° are not included in the calculation.

Angle tree	Count	$\%^{1}$	${f Violated}^3$			Consistently Violated ⁴		
Angle type	Count	70	Count	$\%^2$	$\%^1$	Count	$\%^2$	$\%^1$
PSI	166	50.0	34	20.5	10.2	0	0.0	0.0
PHI	166	50.0	24	14.5	7.2	0	0.0	0.0
Total	332	100.0	58	17.5	17.5	0	0.0	0.0

 $^{^1}$ percentage calculated with respect to total number of dihedral-angle restraints, 2 percentage calculated with respect to number of restraints in a particular dihedral-angle type, 3 violated in at least one model, 4 violated in all the models

10.1.1 Bar chart: Distribution of dihedral-angles and violations (i)



Violated and consistently violated restraints are shown using different hatch patterns in their respective categories




10.2 Dihedral-angle violation statistics for each model (i)

The following table provides the dihedral-angle violation statistics for each model in the ensemble. Violations less than 1° are not included in the statistics.

Model ID	Number of violations			Mean (°)	Mov (°)	SD (°)	Modian (°)
Wiodei 1D	PSI	PHI	Total	Mean ()	$\mathbf{Max} (^{\circ})$	\mathbf{SD} (°)	\mid Median (°) \mid
1	12	4	16	2.04	3.8	0.81	1.85
2	7	6	13	1.67	2.6	0.55	1.4
3	4	2	6	1.52	1.7	0.16	1.55
4	9	8	17	2.26	4.7	1.12	2.0
5	8	4	12	1.72	2.5	0.4	1.55
6	3	5	8	1.38	1.9	0.32	1.25
7	6	6	12	2.67	5.0	1.24	2.55
8	10	6	16	2.17	3.5	0.66	2.1
9	6	8	14	1.44	2.5	0.42	1.3
10	9	4	13	2.19	3.1	0.55	2.1
11	4	4	8	1.85	2.5	0.52	1.9
12	12	6	18	2.17	4.8	1.18	1.85
13	12	6	18	2.46	5.1	1.13	2.15
14	5	10	15	1.79	3.9	0.85	1.4
15	4	6	10	2.18	5.6	1.67	1.35
16	10	3	13	1.39	1.8	0.21	1.3
17	6	4	10	3.15	4.7	0.95	2.95
18	6	6	12	2.83	3.7	0.75	3.1
19	7	4	11	1.81	3.8	0.92	1.6
20	7	9	16	1.77	2.9	0.57	1.65



10.2.1 Bar graph: Dihedral violation statistics for each model (i)



The mean(dot), median(x) and the standard deviation are shown in blue with respect to the y axis on the right

10.3 Dihedral-angle violation statistics for the ensemble (i)

Violation analysis may find that some restraints are violated in very few models and some are violated in most of models. The following table provides this information as number of violated restraints for a given fraction of ensemble.

Nun	nber o	f violated restraints	Fraction of the ensemble			
PSI	PHI	Total	Count ¹	%		
10	12	22	1	5.0		
1	4	5	2	10.0		
8	0	8	3	15.0		
3	1	4	4	20.0		
4	0	4	5	25.0		
0	1	1	6	30.0		
1	0	1	7	35.0		
1	0	1	8	40.0		
1	2	3	9	45.0		
1	0	1	10	50.0		
3	0	3	11	55.0		

Continued on next page...




Continued from previous page...

Nun	nber o	f violated restraints	Fraction of the ensemble		
PSI	PHI	Total	Count ¹	%	
1	0	1	12	60.0	
0	0	0	13	65.0	
0	1	1	14	70.0	
0	1	1	15	75.0	
0	0	0	16	80.0	
0	2	2	17	85.0	
0	0	0	18	90.0	
0	0	0	19	95.0	
0	0	0	20	100.0	

¹ Number of models with violations

10.3.1 Bar graph: Dihedral-angle Violation statistics for the ensemble (i)




10.4 Most violated dihedral-angle restraints in the ensemble (i)

10.4.1 Histogram: Distribution of mean dihedral-angle violations (i)

The following histogram shows the distribution of the average value of the violation. The average is calculated for each restraint that is violated in more than one model over all the violated models



in the ensemble



10.4.2 Table: Most violated dihedral-angle restraints (i)

The following table provides the mean and the standard deviation of the violations for the 10 worst performing restraints, sorted by number of violated models and the mean violation value. The Key (restraint list ID, restraint ID) is the unique identifier for a given restraint.

Key	Atom-1	Atom-2	Atom-3	Atom-4	${f Models}^1$	Mean	$\mathbf{S}\mathbf{D}^2$	Median
(1,190)	1:B:33:THR:C	1:B:34:LEU:N	1:B:34:LEU:CA	1:B:34:LEU:C	17	2.68	1.33	2.5
(1,24)	1:A:33:THR:C	1:A:34:LEU:N	1:A:34:LEU:CA	1:A:34:LEU:C	17	2.66	1.31	2.5
(1,183)	1:B:23:LYS:C	1:B:24:TYR:N	1:B:24:TYR:CA	1:B:24:TYR:C	15	2.3	0.89	2.1
(1,17)	1:A:23:LYS:C	1:A:24:TYR:N	1:A:24:TYR:CA	1:A:24:TYR:C	14	2.36	0.9	2.0
(1,118)	1:A:45:THR:N	1:A:45:THR:CA	1:A:45:THR:C	1:A:46:GLU:N	12	1.65	0.52	1.5
(1,305)	1:B:70:ASN:N	1:B:70:ASN:CA	1:B:70:ASN:C	1:B:71:SER:N	11	2.11	0.44	2.1
(1,139)	1:A:70:ASN:N	1:A:70:ASN:CA	1:A:70:ASN:C	1:A:71:SER:N	11	2.08	0.38	2.2
(1,284)	1:B:45:THR:N	1:B:45:THR:CA	1:B:45:THR:C	1:B:46:GLU:N	11	1.69	0.41	1.7
(1,104)	1:A:28:ASP:N	1:A:28:ASP:CA	1:A:28:ASP:C	1:A:29:GLY:N	10	2.39	1.04	2.25
(1,270)	1:B:28:ASP:N	1:B:28:ASP:CA	1:B:28:ASP:C	1:B:29:GLY:N	9	2.6	1.02	2.3


¹ Number of violated models, ²Standard deviation, All angle values are in degree (°)



10.5 All violated dihedral-angle restraints (i)

10.5.1 Histogram: Distribution of violations (i)

The following histogram shows the distribution of the absolute value of the violation for all violated restraints in the ensemble.



10.5.2 Table: All violated dihedral-angle restraints (i)

The following table provides the list of violations for the 10 worst performing restraints, sorted by the violation value. The Key (restraint list ID, restraint ID) is the unique identifier for a given restraint.

Key	Atom-1	Atom-2	Atom-3	Atom-4	Model ID	Violation (°)
(1,271)	1:B:29:GLY:N	1:B:29:GLY:CA	1:B:29:GLY:C	1:B:30:TYR:N	15	5.6
(1,105)	1:A:29:GLY:N	1:A:29:GLY:CA	1:A:29:GLY:C	1:A:30:TYR:N	15	5.4
(1,190)	1:B:33:THR:C	1:B:34:LEU:N	1:B:34:LEU:CA	1:B:34:LEU:C	13	5.1
(1,271)	1:B:29:GLY:N	1:B:29:GLY:CA	1:B:29:GLY:C	1:B:30:TYR:N	7	5.0
(1,24)	1:A:33:THR:C	1:A:34:LEU:N	1:A:34:LEU:CA	1:A:34:LEU:C	13	5.0
(1,24)	1:A:33:THR:C	1:A:34:LEU:N	1:A:34:LEU:CA	1:A:34:LEU:C	12	4.8
(1,190)	1:B:33:THR:C	1:B:34:LEU:N	1:B:34:LEU:CA	1:B:34:LEU:C	12	4.8
(1,270)	1:B:28:ASP:N	1:B:28:ASP:CA	1:B:28:ASP:C	1:B:29:GLY:N	17	4.7
(1,190)	1:B:33:THR:C	1:B:34:LEU:N	1:B:34:LEU:CA	1:B:34:LEU:C	4	4.7
(1,24)	1:A:33:THR:C	1:A:34:LEU:N	1:A:34:LEU:CA	1:A:34:LEU:C	4	4.6

