



# Full wwPDB NMR Structure Validation Report ⓘ

May 28, 2020 – 11:30 pm BST

PDB ID : 2LUD  
Title : Solution structure of a conformational mutant of the adhesion protein delta-Bd37 from Babesia divergens  
Authors : Murciano, B.; Barthe, P.; Delbecq, S.; Roumestand, C.  
Deposited on : 2012-06-12

This is a Full wwPDB NMR Structure Validation Report for a publicly released PDB entry.

We welcome your comments at [validation@mail.wwpdb.org](mailto:validation@mail.wwpdb.org)

A user guide is available at

<https://www.wwpdb.org/validation/2017/NMRValidationReportHelp>

with specific help available everywhere you see the ⓘ symbol.

---

The following versions of software and data (see [references ⓘ](#)) were used in the production of this report:

Cyrange : Kirchner and Güntert (2011)  
NmrClust : Kelley et al. (1996)  
MolProbity : 4.02b-467  
Percentile statistics : 20191225.v01 (using entries in the PDB archive December 25th 2019)  
RCI : v\_1n\_11\_5\_13\_A (Berjanski et al., 2005)  
PANAV : Wang et al. (2010)  
ShiftChecker : 2.11  
Ideal geometry (proteins) : Engh & Huber (2001)  
Ideal geometry (DNA, RNA) : Parkinson et al. (1996)  
Validation Pipeline (wwPDB-VP) : 2.11


# 1 Overall quality at a glance

The following experimental techniques were used to determine the structure:

*SOLUTION NMR*

The overall completeness of chemical shifts assignment is 79%.

Percentile scores (ranging between 0-100) for global validation metrics of the entry are shown in the following graphic. The table shows the number of entries on which the scores are based.



Metric	Whole archive (#Entries)	NMR archive (#Entries)
Clashscore	158937	12864
Ramachandran outliers	154571	11451
Sidechain outliers	154315	11428

The table below summarises the geometric issues observed across the polymeric chains and their fit to the experimental data. The red, orange, yellow and green segments indicate the fraction of residues that contain outliers for  $\geq 3$ , 2, 1 and 0 types of geometric quality criteria. A cyan segment indicates the fraction of residues that are not part of the well-defined cores, and a grey segment represents the fraction of residues that are not modelled. The numeric value for each fraction is indicated below the corresponding segment, with a dot representing fractions  $\leq 5\%$

Mol	Chain	Length	Quality of chain
1	A	224	82% 10% 8%

## 2 Ensemble composition and analysis i

This entry contains 20 models. Model 6 is the overall representative, medoid model (most similar to other models). The authors have identified model 1 as representative, based on the following criterion: *lowest energy*.

The following residues are included in the computation of the global validation metrics.

Well-defined (core) protein residues			
Well-defined core	Residue range (total)	Backbone RMSD (Å)	Medoid model
1	A:71-A:159, A:170-A:287 (207)	0.67	6

Ill-defined regions of proteins are excluded from the global statistics.

Ligands and non-protein polymers are included in the analysis.

The models can be grouped into 3 clusters and 9 single-model clusters were found.

Cluster number	Models
1	3, 6, 7, 12, 20
2	1, 10, 16
3	13, 14, 18
Single-model clusters	2; 4; 5; 8; 9; 11; 15; 17; 19

### 3 Entry composition

There is only 1 type of molecule in this entry. The entry contains 3505 atoms, of which 1781 are hydrogens and 0 are deuteriums.

- Molecule 1 is a protein called Glycosylphosphatidylinositol-anchored merozoite surface protein.

Mol	Chain	Residues	Atoms						Trace
			Total	C	H	N	O	S	
1	A	224	3505	1097	1781	283	338	6	0

There are 3 discrepancies between the modelled and reference sequences:


Chain	Residue	Modelled	Actual	Comment	Reference
A	248	ALA	LYS	ENGINEERED MUTATION	UNP Q8T117
A	279	ALA	GLU	ENGINEERED MUTATION	UNP Q8T117
A	283	ALA	ASP	ENGINEERED MUTATION	UNP Q8T117

## 4 Residue-property plots [i](#)

### 4.1 Average score per residue in the NMR ensemble

These plots are provided for all protein, RNA and DNA chains in the entry. The first graphic is the same as shown in the summary in section 1 of this report. The second graphic shows the sequence where residues are colour-coded according to the number of geometric quality criteria for which they contain at least one outlier: green = 0, yellow = 1, orange = 2 and red = 3 or more. Stretches of 2 or more consecutive residues without any outliers are shown as green connectors. Residues which are classified as ill-defined in the NMR ensemble, are shown in cyan with an underline colour-coded according to the previous scheme. Residues which were present in the experimental sample, but not modelled in the final structure are shown in grey.

- Molecule 1: Glycosylphosphatidylinositol-anchored merozoite surface protein




### 4.2 Scores per residue for each member of the ensemble

Colouring as in section 4.1 above.

#### 4.2.1 Score per residue for model 1


- Molecule 1: Glycosylphosphatidylinositol-anchored merozoite surface protein



#### 4.2.2 Score per residue for model 2

- Molecule 1: Glycosylphosphatidylinositol-anchored merozoite surface protein






### 4.2.3 Score per residue for model 3

- Molecule 1: Glycosylphosphatidylinositol-anchored merozoite surface protein


Chain A: 76% 16% 8%



### 4.2.4 Score per residue for model 4

- Molecule 1: Glycosylphosphatidylinositol-anchored merozoite surface protein


Chain A: 75% 17% 8%



### 4.2.5 Score per residue for model 5


- Molecule 1: Glycosylphosphatidylinositol-anchored merozoite surface protein

Chain A: 73% 18% 8%




### 4.2.6 Score per residue for model 6 (medoid)

- Molecule 1: Glycosylphosphatidylinositol-anchored merozoite surface protein




#### 4.2.7 Score per residue for model 7

- Molecule 1: Glycosylphosphatidylinositol-anchored merozoite surface protein




#### 4.2.8 Score per residue for model 8

- Molecule 1: Glycosylphosphatidylinositol-anchored merozoite surface protein




#### 4.2.9 Score per residue for model 9

- Molecule 1: Glycosylphosphatidylinositol-anchored merozoite surface protein




#### 4.2.10 Score per residue for model 10

- Molecule 1: Glycosylphosphatidylinositol-anchored merozoite surface protein




#### 4.2.11 Score per residue for model 11

- Molecule 1: Glycosylphosphatidylinositol-anchored merozoite surface protein




#### 4.2.12 Score per residue for model 12

- Molecule 1: Glycosylphosphatidylinositol-anchored merozoite surface protein



#### 4.2.13 Score per residue for model 13


- Molecule 1: Glycosylphosphatidylinositol-anchored merozoite surface protein






## 4.2.14 Score per residue for model 14

- Molecule 1: Glycosylphosphatidylinositol-anchored merozoite surface protein




## 4.2.15 Score per residue for model 15

- Molecule 1: Glycosylphosphatidylinositol-anchored merozoite surface protein




## 4.2.16 Score per residue for model 16

- Molecule 1: Glycosylphosphatidylinositol-anchored merozoite surface protein




## 4.2.17 Score per residue for model 17

- Molecule 1: Glycosylphosphatidylinositol-anchored merozoite surface protein




### 4.2.18 Score per residue for model 18

- Molecule 1: Glycosylphosphatidylinositol-anchored merozoite surface protein




### 4.2.19 Score per residue for model 19

- Molecule 1: Glycosylphosphatidylinositol-anchored merozoite surface protein



### 4.2.20 Score per residue for model 20

- Molecule 1: Glycosylphosphatidylinositol-anchored merozoite surface protein



## 5 Refinement protocol and experimental data overview (i)

The models were refined using the following method: *molecular dynamics, simulated annealing*.

Of the 600 calculated structures, 20 were deposited, based on the following criterion: *structures with the lowest energy*.

The following table shows the software used for structure solution, optimisation and refinement.

Software name	Classification	Version
CYANA	structure solution	2.1
CNS	refinement	1.2

The following table shows chemical shift validation statistics as aggregates over all chemical shift files. Detailed validation can be found in section 6 of this report.

Chemical shift file(s)	input_cs.cif
Number of chemical shift lists	1
Total number of shifts	2411
Number of shifts mapped to atoms	2411
Number of unparsed shifts	0
Number of shifts with mapping errors	0
Number of shifts with mapping warnings	0
Assignment completeness (well-defined parts)	79%

No validations of the models with respect to experimental NMR restraints is performed at this time.

COVALENT-GEOMETRY INFOmissingINFO

### 5.1 Too-close contacts (i)

In the following table, the Non-H and H(model) columns list the number of non-hydrogen atoms and hydrogen atoms in each chain respectively. The H(added) column lists the number of hydrogen atoms added and optimized by MolProbity. The Clashes column lists the number of clashes averaged over the ensemble.

Mol	Chain	Non-H	H(model)	H(added)	Clashes
1	A	1604	1664	1664	18±2
All	All	32080	33280	33279	361

The all-atom clashscore is defined as the number of clashes found per 1000 atoms (including hydrogen atoms). The all-atom clashscore for this structure is 6.

All unique clashes are listed below, sorted by their clash magnitude.

Atom-1	Atom-2	Clash(Å)	Distance(Å)	Models	
				Worst	Total
1:A:205:MET:HG2	1:A:281:MET:SD	0.63	2.34	12	6
1:A:155:TYR:CE1	1:A:241:PRO:HA	0.60	2.31	16	5
1:A:230:SER:HA	1:A:232:TYR:CE2	0.59	2.32	13	11
1:A:170:ASP:HA	1:A:174:LEU:HD13	0.59	1.72	10	1
1:A:143:VAL:O	1:A:147:LEU:HG	0.58	1.97	11	2
1:A:278:ARG:O	1:A:282:MET:HG2	0.58	1.99	20	1
1:A:205:MET:HA	1:A:281:MET:SD	0.58	2.38	17	3
1:A:138:ILE:HD11	1:A:174:LEU:HG	0.57	1.76	19	2
1:A:155:TYR:CZ	1:A:241:PRO:HA	0.57	2.35	4	3
1:A:131:ALA:O	1:A:135:LYS:HG3	0.56	2.01	16	6
1:A:180:LYS:O	1:A:184:ASP:HB2	0.56	1.99	10	4
1:A:96:PRO:HA	1:A:132:TYR:CE1	0.56	2.36	6	11
1:A:189:GLN:HA	1:A:192:HIS:CD2	0.55	2.37	11	1
1:A:146:ALA:O	1:A:150:LEU:HG	0.55	2.02	20	14
1:A:271:VAL:O	1:A:275:ILE:HG13	0.55	2.02	5	11
1:A:266:ASN:O	1:A:270:LEU:HG	0.55	2.01	6	20
1:A:86:PHE:O	1:A:90:ILE:HG12	0.54	2.03	17	18
1:A:190:GLU:HA	1:A:193:SER:OG	0.54	2.03	3	2
1:A:212:LEU:HD13	1:A:274:LEU:HA	0.54	1.80	6	5
1:A:107:ARG:O	1:A:111:THR:HG22	0.54	2.03	5	1
1:A:234:THR:HG22	1:A:247:TRP:CZ3	0.54	2.38	9	9
1:A:170:ASP:HA	1:A:174:LEU:HD23	0.54	1.80	18	2
1:A:208:GLN:O	1:A:211:GLU:HB3	0.53	2.04	17	3
1:A:201:LEU:O	1:A:205:MET:HG3	0.53	2.04	14	8
1:A:83:ARG:NH2	1:A:117:VAL:HG11	0.53	2.19	9	2
1:A:72:LEU:O	1:A:76:ARG:HG2	0.52	2.03	19	2
1:A:271:VAL:O	1:A:275:ILE:HG12	0.52	2.04	20	2
1:A:104:GLY:O	1:A:107:ARG:HB3	0.52	2.05	16	1
1:A:99:ILE:HG21	1:A:181:PHE:O	0.52	2.05	15	11
1:A:124:GLU:O	1:A:127:LYS:HB3	0.52	2.04	19	2
1:A:185:LEU:O	1:A:189:GLN:HG3	0.51	2.05	7	2
1:A:247:TRP:HE1	1:A:278:ARG:NH1	0.51	2.03	13	1
1:A:74:VAL:O	1:A:78:GLU:HG2	0.51	2.06	6	2
1:A:90:ILE:HG13	1:A:105:TYR:CD1	0.50	2.42	20	2
1:A:79:LEU:O	1:A:83:ARG:HG3	0.50	2.07	5	1
1:A:251:GLU:O	1:A:254:ILE:HB	0.50	2.07	13	2
1:A:96:PRO:HA	1:A:132:TYR:CD1	0.50	2.41	7	5
1:A:230:SER:HA	1:A:232:TYR:CE1	0.50	2.41	9	1
1:A:107:ARG:O	1:A:110:ASP:HB3	0.50	2.07	10	3
1:A:152:ILE:O	1:A:156:GLU:HB2	0.49	2.06	13	1
1:A:130:LYS:HE2	1:A:140:GLY:O	0.49	2.08	8	1
1:A:272:ALA:O	1:A:276:GLU:HG2	0.49	2.06	10	1

Continued on next page...

*Continued from previous page...*

Atom-1	Atom-2	Clash(Å)	Distance(Å)	Models	
				Worst	Total
1:A:234:THR:HA	1:A:242:MET:HE2	0.49	1.84	1	1
1:A:144:GLU:O	1:A:148:ASP:HB2	0.48	2.08	8	1
1:A:205:MET:HG2	1:A:281:MET:CG	0.48	2.38	10	1
1:A:107:ARG:HA	1:A:110:ASP:OD2	0.48	2.08	12	2
1:A:80:ARG:O	1:A:84:GLU:HG2	0.48	2.07	17	1
1:A:83:ARG:HD2	1:A:112:ASP:OD1	0.48	2.07	18	1
1:A:277:LEU:O	1:A:281:MET:HB2	0.48	2.09	12	3
1:A:186:LYS:O	1:A:189:GLN:HB3	0.48	2.09	1	3
1:A:107:ARG:NH1	1:A:283:ALA:HA	0.48	2.23	2	3
1:A:237:GLU:HB3	1:A:240:ALA:HB2	0.48	1.84	8	1
1:A:71:THR:O	1:A:75:LEU:HG	0.48	2.08	11	4
1:A:212:LEU:O	1:A:216:PHE:HD1	0.48	1.91	19	14
1:A:88:SER:O	1:A:92:LYS:HG2	0.48	2.07	14	1
1:A:82:GLN:O	1:A:86:PHE:HB2	0.48	2.08	12	2
1:A:277:LEU:O	1:A:281:MET:HG2	0.48	2.08	5	1
1:A:75:LEU:O	1:A:79:LEU:HG	0.48	2.09	4	8
1:A:99:ILE:HB	1:A:181:PHE:CG	0.48	2.43	18	5
1:A:116:LYS:HE3	1:A:255:HIS:CE1	0.47	2.44	10	1
1:A:267:LEU:HD12	1:A:268:LYS:N	0.47	2.24	6	2
1:A:147:LEU:O	1:A:151:MET:HG2	0.47	2.09	14	4
1:A:128:LYS:HE2	1:A:128:LYS:HA	0.47	1.85	20	1
1:A:216:PHE:CZ	1:A:274:LEU:HD12	0.47	2.45	20	1
1:A:146:ALA:O	1:A:149:ASN:HB3	0.47	2.10	11	2
1:A:189:GLN:HB3	1:A:238:TYR:CD1	0.47	2.45	2	2
1:A:107:ARG:NH1	1:A:111:THR:HB	0.47	2.25	3	1
1:A:86:PHE:CD2	1:A:108:VAL:HG21	0.47	2.45	4	1
1:A:281:MET:O	1:A:285:LEU:HB2	0.47	2.10	11	1
1:A:142:SER:HB2	1:A:144:GLU:OE1	0.46	2.10	2	1
1:A:112:ASP:OD1	1:A:117:VAL:HB	0.46	2.09	19	2
1:A:107:ARG:CZ	1:A:111:THR:HB	0.46	2.40	3	1
1:A:126:GLY:O	1:A:129:VAL:HB	0.46	2.10	4	1
1:A:133:LEU:O	1:A:136:ILE:HG12	0.46	2.10	9	2
1:A:282:MET:O	1:A:286:TYR:HD1	0.45	1.94	20	1
1:A:128:LYS:HA	1:A:128:LYS:HE2	0.45	1.87	19	3
1:A:175:LYS:O	1:A:179:LEU:HD23	0.45	2.10	3	1
1:A:142:SER:HB3	1:A:144:GLU:OE2	0.45	2.11	7	1
1:A:83:ARG:HD3	1:A:84:GLU:N	0.45	2.27	20	1
1:A:171:SER:H	1:A:174:LEU:HD22	0.45	1.72	16	1
1:A:78:GLU:O	1:A:82:GLN:HG2	0.45	2.12	12	1
1:A:227:GLU:HA	1:A:230:SER:OG	0.45	2.12	19	2
1:A:119:SER:O	1:A:122:VAL:HG12	0.45	2.13	10	2

*Continued on next page...*

*Continued from previous page...*

Atom-1	Atom-2	Clash(Å)	Distance(Å)	Models	
				Worst	Total
1:A:202:LEU:HA	1:A:205:MET:SD	0.45	2.52	10	1
1:A:186:LYS:O	1:A:190:GLU:HG2	0.44	2.11	15	2
1:A:247:TRP:HE1	1:A:278:ARG:NH2	0.44	2.10	5	1
1:A:150:LEU:O	1:A:154:VAL:HG23	0.44	2.12	18	6
1:A:171:SER:O	1:A:175:LYS:HG3	0.44	2.13	8	1
1:A:278:ARG:HG3	1:A:278:ARG:HH11	0.44	1.72	19	1
1:A:107:ARG:HD2	1:A:282:MET:HB3	0.44	1.89	16	1
1:A:116:LYS:HE2	1:A:255:HIS:NE2	0.44	2.27	19	1
1:A:91:ILE:O	1:A:128:LYS:HE3	0.44	2.12	4	1
1:A:147:LEU:O	1:A:151:MET:HB2	0.44	2.13	11	1
1:A:99:ILE:HB	1:A:181:PHE:CD2	0.43	2.48	4	1
1:A:225:THR:HB	1:A:229:ILE:CD1	0.43	2.42	10	1
1:A:83:ARG:NH2	1:A:87:LEU:HD22	0.43	2.29	15	1
1:A:118:ASP:HB2	1:A:121:LYS:HG2	0.43	1.89	6	1
1:A:247:TRP:HA	1:A:247:TRP:CE3	0.43	2.47	9	2
1:A:153:LYS:HD3	1:A:156:GLU:OE1	0.43	2.14	4	2
1:A:132:TYR:O	1:A:135:LYS:HB3	0.43	2.13	8	1
1:A:211:GLU:O	1:A:214:LYS:HB3	0.43	2.14	12	3
1:A:111:THR:O	1:A:114:LEU:HD12	0.43	2.13	1	1
1:A:211:GLU:OE1	1:A:215:LYS:HE2	0.43	2.13	2	1
1:A:283:ALA:O	1:A:287:GLY:HA3	0.43	2.14	11	1
1:A:171:SER:O	1:A:175:LYS:HG2	0.43	2.14	11	1
1:A:118:ASP:CG	1:A:121:LYS:HG2	0.42	2.34	7	1
1:A:85:ALA:O	1:A:89:GLU:HG3	0.42	2.14	11	1
1:A:202:LEU:O	1:A:205:MET:HG2	0.42	2.13	19	1
1:A:115:LEU:O	1:A:115:LEU:HD23	0.42	2.14	5	1
1:A:233:LEU:O	1:A:242:MET:HG2	0.42	2.14	1	1
1:A:225:THR:OG1	1:A:229:ILE:HG12	0.42	2.14	1	2
1:A:269:ALA:O	1:A:273:GLU:HG2	0.42	2.15	10	1
1:A:99:ILE:HG21	1:A:185:LEU:HB2	0.42	1.92	2	1
1:A:192:HIS:CD2	1:A:196:LYS:HD2	0.42	2.49	10	1
1:A:209:HIS:CD2	1:A:278:ARG:HG3	0.42	2.50	2	1
1:A:232:TYR:HH	1:A:247:TRP:HE3	0.42	1.56	13	1
1:A:151:MET:CE	1:A:151:MET:HA	0.42	2.44	18	1
1:A:189:GLN:HA	1:A:189:GLN:OE1	0.42	2.14	20	1
1:A:80:ARG:O	1:A:84:GLU:HB2	0.42	2.15	12	1
1:A:185:LEU:O	1:A:189:GLN:HG2	0.41	2.15	17	1
1:A:99:ILE:O	1:A:103:VAL:HG22	0.41	2.15	16	2
1:A:72:LEU:O	1:A:76:ARG:HG3	0.41	2.15	13	1
1:A:206:LYS:NZ	1:A:206:LYS:HB3	0.41	2.30	5	1
1:A:143:VAL:O	1:A:147:LEU:HD13	0.41	2.15	4	1

*Continued on next page...*

Continued from previous page...

Atom-1	Atom-2	Clash(Å)	Distance(Å)	Models	
				Worst	Total
1:A:148:ASP:O	1:A:152:ILE:HG13	0.41	2.16	12	1
1:A:171:SER:HB3	1:A:174:LEU:HD23	0.41	1.93	20	1
1:A:128:LYS:HA	1:A:128:LYS:CE	0.41	2.46	19	1
1:A:256:GLY:O	1:A:260:SER:HB2	0.41	2.16	6	1
1:A:170:ASP:HA	1:A:174:LEU:HD12	0.41	1.93	7	1
1:A:196:LYS:O	1:A:196:LYS:HD3	0.41	2.15	4	1
1:A:226:SER:O	1:A:229:ILE:HG13	0.41	2.15	7	1
1:A:86:PHE:O	1:A:89:GLU:HB3	0.41	2.16	19	1
1:A:114:LEU:HD13	1:A:275:ILE:HG12	0.41	1.92	7	1
1:A:138:ILE:HD11	1:A:174:LEU:HD22	0.41	1.93	9	1
1:A:76:ARG:O	1:A:80:ARG:HG3	0.41	2.16	15	1
1:A:76:ARG:HB2	1:A:276:GLU:OE2	0.41	2.15	1	1
1:A:234:THR:HA	1:A:242:MET:CE	0.41	2.46	20	1
1:A:171:SER:HB3	1:A:173:GLU:OE2	0.40	2.16	10	1
1:A:124:GLU:O	1:A:128:LYS:HG2	0.40	2.16	11	1
1:A:107:ARG:HD2	1:A:282:MET:CB	0.40	2.46	16	1
1:A:189:GLN:HG2	1:A:238:TYR:CD1	0.40	2.51	5	1
1:A:115:LEU:HD13	1:A:115:LEU:O	0.40	2.16	2	1
1:A:245:ALA:O	1:A:249:LYS:HG2	0.40	2.16	9	1
1:A:170:ASP:OD1	1:A:175:LYS:HG2	0.40	2.16	6	1
1:A:187:ALA:O	1:A:191:LEU:HG	0.40	2.16	9	2
1:A:74:VAL:O	1:A:78:GLU:HG3	0.40	2.17	15	1
1:A:142:SER:HB3	1:A:144:GLU:OE1	0.40	2.16	4	1
1:A:119:SER:O	1:A:123:ASP:HB2	0.40	2.16	17	1
1:A:112:ASP:HA	1:A:117:VAL:CG2	0.40	2.46	19	1
1:A:209:HIS:CE1	1:A:234:THR:HB	0.40	2.52	13	1
1:A:209:HIS:O	1:A:213:LEU:HG	0.40	2.17	5	1
1:A:155:TYR:CD1	1:A:241:PRO:HA	0.40	2.51	14	1

## 5.2 Torsion angles [i](#)

### 5.2.1 Protein backbone [i](#)

In the following table, the Percentiles column shows the percent Ramachandran outliers of the chain as a percentile score with respect to all PDB entries followed by that with respect to all NMR entries. The Analysed column shows the number of residues for which the backbone conformation was analysed and the total number of residues.

Mol	Chain	Analysed	Favoured	Allowed	Outliers	Percentiles
1	A	207/224 (92%)	197±3 (95±1%)	9±2 (4±1%)	1±1 (1±1%)	29 74

Continued on next page...

*Continued from previous page...*

Mol	Chain	Analysed	Favoured	Allowed	Outliers	Percentiles
All	All	4140/4480 (92%)	3931 (95%)	186 (4%)	23 (1%)	29 74

All 11 unique Ramachandran outliers are listed below. They are sorted by the frequency of occurrence in the ensemble.

Mol	Chain	Res	Type	Models (Total)
1	A	118	ASP	5
1	A	94	ASP	4
1	A	264	PRO	3
1	A	236	PRO	2
1	A	227	GLU	2
1	A	197	GLY	2
1	A	265	ALA	1
1	A	226	SER	1
1	A	230	SER	1
1	A	241	PRO	1
1	A	242	MET	1

## 5.2.2 Protein sidechains [i](#)

In the following table, the Percentiles column shows the percent sidechain outliers of the chain as a percentile score with respect to all PDB entries followed by that with respect to all NMR entries. The Analysed column shows the number of residues for which the sidechain conformation was analysed and the total number of residues.

Mol	Chain	Analysed	Rotameric	Outliers	Percentiles
1	A	174/187 (93%)	167±2 (96±1%)	7±2 (4±1%)	36 84
All	All	3480/3740 (93%)	3347 (96%)	133 (4%)	36 84

All 53 unique residues with a non-rotameric sidechain are listed below. They are sorted by the frequency of occurrence in the ensemble.

Mol	Chain	Res	Type	Models (Total)
1	A	282	MET	14
1	A	199	GLU	8
1	A	102	LEU	8
1	A	242	MET	7
1	A	144	GLU	7
1	A	112	ASP	6
1	A	83	ARG	5
1	A	281	MET	4

*Continued on next page...*



*Continued from previous page...*

Mol	Chain	Res	Type	Models (Total)
1	A	151	MET	4
1	A	276	GLU	4
1	A	258	LEU	3
1	A	189	GLN	3
1	A	227	GLU	3
1	A	114	LEU	3
1	A	179	LEU	2
1	A	278	ARG	2
1	A	188	GLU	2
1	A	215	LYS	2
1	A	206	LYS	2
1	A	80	ARG	2
1	A	225	THR	2
1	A	173	GLU	2
1	A	107	ARG	2
1	A	86	PHE	2
1	A	111	THR	2
1	A	139	ARG	2
1	A	120	THR	2
1	A	115	LEU	2
1	A	110	ASP	2
1	A	98	THR	1
1	A	209	HIS	1
1	A	191	LEU	1
1	A	186	LYS	1
1	A	274	LEU	1
1	A	128	LYS	1
1	A	268	LYS	1
1	A	228	ASP	1
1	A	82	GLN	1
1	A	149	ASN	1
1	A	177	LEU	1
1	A	285	LEU	1
1	A	101	GLN	1
1	A	72	LEU	1
1	A	251	GLU	1
1	A	243	ASN	1
1	A	94	ASP	1
1	A	220	THR	1
1	A	122	VAL	1
1	A	76	ARG	1
1	A	84	GLU	1

*Continued on next page...*

*Continued from previous page...*

Mol	Chain	Res	Type	Models (Total)
1	A	100	LEU	1
1	A	130	LYS	1
1	A	246	LYS	1

### 5.2.3 RNA [i](#)

There are no RNA molecules in this entry.

### 5.3 Non-standard residues in protein, DNA, RNA chains [i](#)

There are no non-standard protein/DNA/RNA residues in this entry.

### 5.4 Carbohydrates [i](#)

There are no carbohydrates in this entry.

### 5.5 Ligand geometry [i](#)

There are no ligands in this entry.

### 5.6 Other polymers [i](#)

There are no such molecules in this entry.

### 5.7 Polymer linkage issues [i](#)

There are no chain breaks in this entry.

## 6 Chemical shift validation [i](#)

The completeness of assignment taking into account all chemical shift lists is 79% for the well-defined parts and 78% for the entire structure.

### 6.1 Chemical shift list 1

File name: input\_cs.cif

Chemical shift list name: *assigned\_chem\_shift\_list\_1*

#### 6.1.1 Bookkeeping [i](#)

The following table shows the results of parsing the chemical shift list and reports the number of nuclei with statistically unusual chemical shifts.

Total number of shifts	2411
Number of shifts mapped to atoms	2411
Number of unparsed shifts	0
Number of shifts with mapping errors	0
Number of shifts with mapping warnings	0
Number of shift outliers (ShiftChecker)	3

#### 6.1.2 Chemical shift referencing [i](#)

The following table shows the suggested chemical shift referencing corrections.

Nucleus	# values	Correction $\pm$ precision, ppm	Suggested action
$^{13}\text{C}_\alpha$	218	$-0.71 \pm 0.11$	Should be applied
$^{13}\text{C}_\beta$	200	$-0.21 \pm 0.07$	None needed ( $< 0.5$ ppm)
$^{13}\text{C}'$	213	$-0.49 \pm 0.07$	None needed ( $< 0.5$ ppm)
$^{15}\text{N}$	204	$0.61 \pm 0.17$	Should be applied

#### 6.1.3 Completeness of resonance assignments [i](#)

The following table shows the completeness of the chemical shift assignments for the well-defined regions of the structure. The overall completeness is 79%, i.e. 1984 atoms were assigned a chemical shift out of a possible 2516. 0 out of 46 assigned methyl groups (LEU and VAL) were assigned stereospecifically.

	Total	$^1\text{H}$	$^{13}\text{C}$	$^{15}\text{N}$
Backbone	987/1025 (96%)	389/409 (95%)	405/414 (98%)	193/202 (96%)
Sidechain	937/1365 (69%)	686/791 (87%)	248/528 (47%)	3/46 (7%)

*Continued on next page...*

Continued from previous page...

	<b>Total</b>	<b><sup>1</sup>H</b>	<b><sup>13</sup>C</b>	<b><sup>15</sup>N</b>
Aromatic	60/126 (48%)	59/67 (88%)	0/55 (0%)	1/4 (25%)
Overall	1984/2516 (79%)	1134/1267 (90%)	653/997 (65%)	197/252 (78%)

The following table shows the completeness of the chemical shift assignments for the full structure. The overall completeness is 78%, i.e. 2089 atoms were assigned a chemical shift out of a possible 2695. 0 out of 48 assigned methyl groups (LEU and VAL) were assigned stereospecifically.

	<b>Total</b>	<b><sup>1</sup>H</b>	<b><sup>13</sup>C</b>	<b><sup>15</sup>N</b>
Backbone	1046/1108 (94%)	411/442 (93%)	431/448 (96%)	204/218 (94%)
Sidechain	983/1447 (68%)	718/839 (86%)	262/560 (47%)	3/48 (6%)
Aromatic	60/140 (43%)	59/75 (79%)	0/59 (0%)	1/6 (17%)
Overall	2089/2695 (78%)	1188/1356 (88%)	693/1067 (65%)	208/272 (76%)

#### 6.1.4 Statistically unusual chemical shifts [i](#)

The following table lists the statistically unusual chemical shifts. These are statistical measures, and large deviations from the mean do not necessarily imply incorrect assignments. Molecules containing paramagnetic centres or hemes are expected to give rise to anomalous chemical shifts.

Mol	Chain	Res	Type	Atom	Shift, ppm	Expected range, ppm	Z-score
1	A	244	ALA	H	12.11	11.19 – 5.19	6.5
1	A	95	GLY	HA2	1.70	5.87 – 2.07	-6.0
1	A	285	LEU	HB2	-0.21	3.32 – -0.08	-5.4

#### 6.1.5 Random Coil Index (RCI) plots [i](#)

The image below reports *random coil index* values for the protein chains in the structure. The height of each bar gives a probability of a given residue to be disordered, as predicted from the available chemical shifts and the amino acid sequence. A value above 0.2 is an indication of significant predicted disorder. The colour of the bar shows whether the residue is in the well-defined core (black) or in the ill-defined residue ranges (cyan), as described in section 2 on ensemble composition.

Random coil index (RCI) for chain A:

