

Full wwPDB NMR Structure Validation Report (i)

Apr 21, 2024 – 06:13 AM EDT

PDB ID	:	2LWN
BMRB ID	:	18639
Title	:	Solution Structure of Duplex DNA Containing a b-Carba-Fapy-dG Lesion
Authors	:	Zalianyak, T.; de los Santos, C.; Lukin, M.; Attaluri, S.; Johnson, F.
Deposited on	:	2012-08-03

This is a Full wwPDB NMR Structure Validation Report for a publicly released PDB entry.

We welcome your comments at *validation@mail.wwpdb.org* A user guide is available at https://www.wwpdb.org/validation/2017/NMRValidationReportHelp with specific help available everywhere you see the (i) symbol.

The types of validation reports are described at http://www.wwpdb.org/validation/2017/FAQs#types.

The following versions of software and data (see references (1)) were used in the production of this report:

MolProbity	:	4.02b-467
Mogul	:	1.8.5 (274361), CSD as541be (2020)
Percentile statistics	:	20191225.v01 (using entries in the PDB archive December 25th 2019)
wwPDB-RCI	:	v_1n_11_5_13_A (Berjanski et al., 2005)
PANAV	:	Wang et al. (2010)
wwPDB-ShiftChecker	:	v1.2
Ideal geometry (proteins)	:	Engh & Huber (2001)
Ideal geometry (DNA, RNA)		
Validation Pipeline (wwPDB-VP)	:	2.36.2

1 Overall quality at a glance (i)

The following experimental techniques were used to determine the structure: $SOLUTION\ NMR$

The overall completeness of chemical shifts assignment is 31%.

Percentile scores (ranging between 0-100) for global validation metrics of the entry are shown in the following graphic. The table shows the number of entries on which the scores are based.

Metric	С	Percent	ile Ranks	Value
Clashscore				1
	Worse	2		Better
	Perc	centile relative to all structures		
	Perc	centile relative to all NMR structures		
				-
N/ato:	_	Whole archive	NMR archive	

Metric(#Entries)(#Entries)Clashscore15893712864	Metric	whole archive	INMR archive
Clashscore 158937 12864	Metric	$(\# {\rm Entries})$	(# Entries)
	Clashscore	158937	12864

The table below summarises the geometric issues observed across the polymeric chains and their fit to the experimental data. The red, orange, yellow and green segments indicate the fraction of residues that contain outliers for >=3, 2, 1 and 0 types of geometric quality criteria. A cyan segment indicates the fraction of residues that are not part of the well-defined cores, and a grey segment represents the fraction of residues that are not modelled. The numeric value for each fraction is indicated below the corresponding segment, with a dot representing fractions <=5%

Mol	Chain	Length	Qual	ity of chain
1	В	11	27%	73%
2	D	11	45%	55%



2 Ensemble composition and analysis (i)

This entry contains 20 models. This entry does not contain polypeptide chains, therefore identification of well-defined residues and clustering analysis are not possible. All residues are included in the validation scores.



3 Entry composition (i)

There are 2 unique types of molecules in this entry. The entry contains 700 atoms, of which 254 are hydrogens and 0 are deuteriums.

• Molecule 1 is a DNA chain called DNA (5'-D(*CP*GP*TP*AP*C*(LWM)P*CP*AP*TP* GP*C)-3').

Mol	Chain	Residues		1	Atom	s			Trace
1	D	11	Total	С	Н	Ν	0	Р	0
1	D	11	351	107	129	41	64	10	0

• Molecule 2 is a DNA chain called DNA (5'-D(*GP*CP*AP*TP*GP*CP*GP*TP*AP*CP*G)-3').

Mol	Chain	Residues		1	Atom	s			Trace
0	Л	11	Total	С	Η	Ν	0	Р	0
			349	107	125	43	64	10	U



4 Residue-property plots (i)

4.1 Average score per residue in the NMR ensemble

These plots are provided for all protein, RNA, DNA and oligosaccharide chains in the entry. The first graphic is the same as shown in the summary in section 1 of this report. The second graphic shows the sequence where residues are colour-coded according to the number of geometric quality criteria for which they contain at least one outlier: green = 0, yellow = 1, orange = 2 and red = 3 or more. Stretches of 2 or more consecutive residues without any outliers are shown as green connectors. Residues which are classified as ill-defined in the NMR ensemble, are shown in cyan with an underline colour-coded according to the previous scheme. Residues which were present in the experimental sample, but not modelled in the final structure are shown in grey.

• Molecule 1: DNA (5'-D(*CP*GP*TP*AP*C*(LWM)P*CP*AP*TP*GP*C)-3')

Chain B:	27%	73%	•
C1 C2 C2 C5 C5 C7 C7 T9 C10 C10 C10			
• Molecule 2:	DNA $(5'-D(*GP*CP*AF))$	P*TP*GP*CP*GP*TP*AP*CP*G)-	3')
Chain D:	45%	55%	•
61 62 65 65 65 65 65 65 65 65 65 65 65 65 65			

4.2 Scores per residue for each member of the ensemble

Colouring as in section 4.1 above.

4.2.1 Score per residue for model 1

Chain B:	27%	73%	
C1 C2 C5 C5 C5 C5 C7 C7 C7 C7 C7 C7 C7 C7 C7 C7 C7 C7 C7	C11		
• Molecule 2:	DNA (5'-D(*	*GP*CP*AP*TP*GP*CP*GP*TP	*AP*CP*G)-3')
Chain D:	27%	55%	18%
			



4.2.2 Score per residue for model 2

Chain B:	18%	82%	
C1 C1 C2 C5 C5 C7 C7 C7 C7 C7 C7 C7 C7 C7 C7 C7 C7 C7			
• Molecule 2	DNA (5'-D(*GP*CP*AP*TP*C	GP*CP*GP*TP*AP*CI	P* G)-3')
Chain D:	45%	55%	
<mark>6 1</mark> 174 178 178 178 178 178 178 178 178 178 178			
4.2.3 Scor	e per residue for model 3		
• Molecule 1	DNA (5'-D(*CP*GP*TP*AP*C	C*(LWM)P*CP*AP*TF	P*GP*C)-3')
Chain B:	27%	64%	9%
C1 C1 C2 C2 C5 C7 C7 C7 C7 C7 C7 C7 C7 C7 C7 C7 C7 C7			
• Molecule 2	DNA (5'-D(*GP*CP*AP*TP*C	GP*CP*GP*TP*AP*CI	D *G)-3')

Chain D:	27%	73%
G G G G G G G G		

- 4.2.4 Score per residue for model 4
- Molecule 1: DNA (5'-D(*CP*GP*TP*AP*C*(LWM)P*CP*AP*TP*GP*C)-3')

Chain B:	27%	73%
C1 13 13 13 13 13 13 19 19	C11 C11	
• Molecule 2	2: DNA (5'-D(*	GP*CP*AP*TP*GP*CP*GP*TP*AP*CP*G)-3')
Chain D:	45%	55%
61 C2 C2 65 65 78 78 78 64 67	<mark>6110</mark>	



4.2.5 Score per residue for model 5

• Molecule 1: DNA (5'-D(*CP*GP*TP*AP*C*(LWM)P*CP*AP*TP*GP*C)-3')

Chain B:	45%	55%	
C1 C2 C5 C7 C7 C7 C7 C7 C7 C7 C7 C7 C7 C7 C7 C7	610 C11		
• Molecule 2	2: DNA (5'-D(*GP*C	P*AP*TP*GP*CP*GP*T	'P*AP*CP*G)-3')
Chain D:	36%	45%	18%
G1 A3 A3 G5 G5 G7 A9 A9	G11 G11		

4.2.6 Score per residue for model 6

• Molecule 1: DNA (5'-D(*CP*GP*TP*AP*C*(LWM)P*CP*AP*TP*GP*C)-3')

Chain B:	36%	45%	18%
C1 62 73 73 65 C5 C5 C11 79 C11 C11			

Chain D:	27%	73%
61 C2 65 65 67 610 610 611		

- 4.2.7 Score per residue for model 7
- Molecule 1: DNA (5'-D(*CP*GP*TP*AP*C*(LWM)P*CP*AP*TP*GP*C)-3')

Chain B:	36%	45%	18%
C1 C2 C2 C2 C2 C7 C7 C7 C1 C1 C1 C1 C1 C1 C1 C1 C1 C1 C1 C1 C1			
• Molecule 2:	DNA (5'-D(*GP*CH	P*AP*TP*GP*CP*GP*T	P*AP*CP*G)-3')
Chain D:	36%	64%	
G1 C2 C2 G5 G5 G1 C10 C10 C10			



4.2.8 Score per residue for model 8

• Molecule 1: DNA (5'-D(*CP*GP*TP*AP*C*(LWM)P*CP*AP*TP*GP*C)-3')

Chain I	3: 18%	82%	
C1 G2 A4 C5 C5 C5 C5	C7 48 610 C11		
• Molec	cule 2: DNA (5'-	D(*GP*CP*AP*TP*GP*CP*GP*	*TP*AP*CP*G)-3')
Chain I): 18%	64%	18%
61 C2 74 74 74 74 74 74 74 74 74 74 74 74 74	67 8 6 611 611		
4.2.9	Score per resi	due for model 9	
• Molec	cule 1: DNA (5'-	D(*CP*GP*TP*AP*C*(LWM)P*	*CP*AP*TP*GP*C)-3')

Chain B:	27%	73%	
C1 C1 C5 C5 C5 C7 C7 C10 C10 C11			

Chain D:	36%	64%	
61 22 23 43 65 65 61 78 78 61 61			

- 4.2.10 Score per residue for model 10
- Molecule 1: DNA (5'-D(*CP*GP*TP*AP*C*(LWM)P*CP*AP*TP*GP*C)-3')

Chain B:	45%	55%
C1 C2 C2 A4 C5 C7 A8	<mark>610</mark> 11	
• Molecule	2: DNA (5'-D(*GP*CP*AP	*TP*GP*CP*GP*TP*AP*CP*G)-3')
Chain D:	45%	55%
G G G G G G G G G G	C 49 11 11	



4.2.11 Score per residue for model 11

• Molecule 1: DNA (5'-D(*CP*GP*TP*AP*C*(LWM)P*CP*AP*TP*GP*C)-3')

Chain B:	36%	36%	27%
C1 C2 C2 C7 C7 C7 C7 C7 C7 C7 C7 C7 C7 C7 C7 C7			
• Molecule 2: DI	NA $(5'-D(*GP*)$	CP*AP*TP*GP*CP*GF	*TP*AP*CP*G)-3')
Chain D:	36%	64%	
G1 G2 G2 G2 G2 G3 G3 G3 G1 G1<			

4.2.12 Score per residue for model 12

• Molecule 1: DNA (5'-D(*CP*GP*TP*AP*C*(LWM)P*CP*AP*TP*GP*C)-3')

Chain B:	36%	55%	9%
C1 C2 A4 A4 C7 C7 A8 A8 C1 C1 C1			

Chain D:	45%	55%
G 1 C 2 C 2 C 2 C 3 C 4 A 3 C 4 A 3 C 4 C 4 C 4 C 4 C 4 C 6 C 7 C 6 C 7 C 7C 7 C 7C 7 C 7 C 7 C 7 C 7 C 7C 7 C 7 C 7 C 7 C 7 C 7 C 7 C 7C 7 C 7 C 7 C 7 C 7 C 7C 7 C 7 C 7 C 7 C 7 C 7C 7 C 7CC 7CC 7CC 7CC 7CC 7CC 7CC 7CC 7CC 7C		

- 4.2.13 Score per residue for model 13
- Molecule 1: DNA (5'-D(*CP*GP*TP*AP*C*(LWM)P*CP*AP*TP*GP*C)-3')

Chain B:	27%	73%
C1 C2 C5 C5 C7 C7 C7 C7 C7 C7 C7 C7 C7 C7 C7 C7 C7	<mark>111</mark>	
• Molecule 2:	: DNA (5'-D(*	GP*CP*AP*TP*GP*CP*GP*TP*AP*CP*G)-3')
Chain D:	27%	73%
61 62 74 65 65 67 67 78 78 79 610	11 11 11	



4.2.14 Score per residue for model 14

• Molecule 1: DNA (5'-D(*CP*GP*TP*AP*C*(LWM)P*CP*AP*TP*GP*C)-3')

Chain B:	27%	73%	
C1 C2 C2 C2 C5 C5 C7 C7 C7 C7 C7 C7 C10 C10	C11		
• Molecule 2:	DNA $(5'-D(*GF))$	P*CP*AP*TP*GP*CP*GP*T	'P*AP*CP*G)-3')
Chain D:	36%	64%	
01 02 05 05 05 05 05 05 05 010 010	611		
4.2.15 Sco	re per residue	for model 15	
• Molecule 1:	DNA (5'-D(*CF	P*GP*TP*AP*C*(LWM)P*C	P*AP*TP*GP*C)-3')
Chain B:	18%	64%	18%



Chain D:	36%	45%	18%
d 174 174 65 65 65 76 71 78 A 9 11 0			

- 4.2.16 Score per residue for model 16
- Molecule 1: DNA (5'-D(*CP*GP*TP*AP*C*(LWM)P*CP*AP*TP*GP*C)-3')

Chain B:	27%	73%
C1 C3 A4 C5 C7 C7 C7 C7	C11 C11	
• Molecule	e 2: DNA (5'-D(*	GP*CP*AP*TP*GP*CP*GP*TP*AP*CP*G)-3')
Chain D:	45%	55%
G1 C2 C2 C5 C6 C6 C6 C6 C6 C7 C7 C6 C7 C7 C7 C7 C7 C7 C7 C7 C7 C7 C7 C7 C7	611 611	



4.2.17 Score per residue for model 17

• Molecule 1: DNA (5'-D(*CP*GP*TP*AP*C*(LWM)P*CP*AP*TP*GP*C)-3')

Chain B:	27%	73%		
C1 13 13 13 13 13 13 13 13 13 13 13 13 13				
• Molecule	2: DNA (5'-D(*GP*CP*AI	P*TP*GP*CP*GP*TP*	[*] AP*CP*G)-3')	
Chain D:	45%	36%	18%	
2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2				

4.2.18 Score per residue for model 18

• Molecule 1: DNA (5'-D(*CP*GP*TP*AP*C*(LWM)P*CP*AP*TP*GP*C)-3')

Chain B:	36%	36%	27%
C1 C2 C2 C2 C5 C5 C1 C10 C11 C11			

• Molecule 2: DNA (5'-D(*GP*CP*AP*TP*GP*CP*GP*TP*AP*CP*G)-3')

Chain D:	18%	82%
G1 C2 C5 G5 G5 T8 T8	6 10 611	

4.2.19 Score per residue for model 19

Chain B:	45%	55%
C1 C2 C5 C5 C5 C5 C5 C1 C1 C11		
• Molecule 2:	DNA (5'-D(*GP*C	P*AP*TP*GP*CP*GP*TP*AP*CP*G)-3')
Chain D:	36%	64%
G1 C2 C2 C2 C2 C2 C1 C2 C1 C1 C2 C1 C2 C1 C2 C1 C2 C2 C2 C2 C2 C2 C2 C2 C2 C2 C2 C2 C2	1	



4.2.20 Score per residue for model 20

Chain B:	45%	55%
C1 C2 C5 C5 C7 C7 A8 C1 C1 C1 C1		
• Molecule 2: DNA	A (5'-D(*GP*CP*AI	P *TP*GP*CP*GP*TP*AP*CP*G)-3')

Chain D:	36%	45%	18%
61 14 14 14 18 18 18 18 11 10 11			



5 Refinement protocol and experimental data overview (i)

The models were refined using the following method: *molecular dynamics*.

Of the 19 calculated structures, 20 were deposited, based on the following criterion: *all calculated structures submitted*.

The following table shows the software used for structure solution, optimisation and refinement.

Software name	Classification	Version
X-PLOR NIH	geometry optimization	
X-PLOR NIH	refinement	
X-PLOR NIH	structure solution	

The following table shows chemical shift validation statistics as aggregates over all chemical shift files. Detailed validation can be found in section 7 of this report.

Chemical shift file(s)	working_cs.cif
Number of chemical shift lists	1
Total number of shifts	150
Number of shifts mapped to atoms	150
Number of unparsed shifts	0
Number of shifts with mapping errors	0
Number of shifts with mapping warnings	0
Assignment completeness (well-defined parts)	31%



6 Model quality (i)

6.1 Standard geometry (i)

Bond lengths and bond angles in the following residue types are not validated in this section: LWM

The Z score for a bond length (or angle) is the number of standard deviations the observed value is removed from the expected value. A bond length (or angle) with |Z| > 5 is considered an outlier worth inspection. RMSZ is the (average) root-mean-square of all Z scores of the bond lengths (or angles).

Mal	Mol Chain B		Sond lengths	1	Bond angles
	Unain	RMSZ	$\#Z{>}5$	RMSZ	#Z>5
1	В	1.25 ± 0.03	$3{\pm}0/221~(~1.4{\pm}~0.0\%)$	$1.92{\pm}0.03$	$7{\pm}1/336~(~2.2{\pm}~0.4\%)$
2	D	$1.14{\pm}0.02$	$2{\pm}0/251$ ($0.8{\pm}$ $0.0\%)$	1.85 ± 0.05	$7{\pm}1/386~(~1.9{\pm}~0.4\%)$
All	All	1.19	100/9440~(~1.1%)	1.88	294/14440~(~2.0%)

All unique bond outliers are listed below. They are sorted according to the Z-score of the worst occurrence in the ensemble.

Mol	Chain	Res	Turne	Atoma	Z	Observed(Å)	Ideal(Å)	Models	
	Unam	nes	Type	Atoms		Observed(A)	Ideal(A)	Worst	Total
1	В	4	DA	C4'-C3'	8.09	1.61	1.53	19	20
1	В	3	DT	C5-C7	7.28	1.54	1.50	19	20
2	D	8	DT	C5-C7	6.87	1.54	1.50	12	20
2	D	4	DT	C5-C7	6.75	1.54	1.50	8	20
1	В	9	DT	C5-C7	6.66	1.54	1.50	18	20

All unique angle outliers are listed below. They are sorted according to the Z-score of the worst occurrence in the ensemble.

Mol	Chain	Res	Type	Atoms	Z	Observed(°)	$Ideal(^{o})$	Mod	lels
	Ullaili	nes	туре	Atoms		Observed()	Ideal()	Worst	Total
2	D	7	DG	O4'-C1'-N9	11.69	116.18	108.00	13	20
1	В	11	DC	O4'-C1'-N1	7.92	113.55	108.00	15	2
2	D	11	DG	O4'-C1'-N9	7.60	113.32	108.00	1	3
2	D	5	DG	P-O3'-C3'	6.98	128.07	119.70	14	6
2	D	6	DC	P-O3'-C3'	6.62	127.65	119.70	1	7
1	В	3	DT	C6-C5-C7	-6.26	119.14	122.90	7	14
1	В	9	DT	C6-C5-C7	-6.20	119.18	122.90	6	20
2	D	8	DT	C6-C5-C7	-6.13	119.22	122.90	6	14
2	D	6	DC	N1-C2-O2	6.09	122.56	118.90	9	20
2	D	1	DG	O4'-C4'-C3'	6.08	109.65	106.00	6	2
2	D	7	DG	O4'-C1'-C2'	-6.08	101.03	105.90	13	1

Continued on next page...



Mol	Chain	Res	Tune	Atoms	Z	Observed(°)	$Ideal(^{o})$	Moo	dels
10101	Unain	nes	Type	Atoms		Observed()	Ideal()	Worst	Total
2	D	7	DG	C1'-O4'-C4'	-6.07	104.03	110.10	7	2
2	D	2	DC	N1-C2-O2	5.91	122.45	118.90	14	19
1	В	11	DC	N1-C2-O2	5.86	122.42	118.90	9	12
1	В	1	DC	O4'-C4'-C3'	5.81	109.49	106.00	1	1
1	В	1	DC	N1-C2-O2	5.81	122.39	118.90	1	15
1	В	5	DC	N1-C2-O2	5.79	122.38	118.90	6	19
1	В	10	DG	O4'-C1'-C2'	-5.79	101.27	105.90	2	2
2	D	10	DC	N1-C2-O2	5.78	122.37	118.90	9	19
2	D	4	DT	C6-C5-C7	-5.76	119.45	122.90	13	20
1	В	3	DT	O4'-C4'-C3'	5.71	109.43	106.00	12	12
2	D	1	DG	O4'-C1'-C2'	-5.56	101.45	105.90	1	1
1	В	9	DT	O4'-C1'-C2'	-5.53	101.48	105.90	19	13
1	В	7	DC	P-O3'-C3'	5.48	126.28	119.70	18	1
2	D	11	DG	O4'-C1'-C2'	-5.47	101.52	105.90	18	4
1	В	7	DC	N1-C2-O2	5.46	122.17	118.90	20	18
1	В	4	DA	O4'-C1'-N9	5.38	111.77	108.00	18	1
2	D	1	DG	P-O3'-C3'	5.37	126.14	119.70	13	7
1	В	7	DC	O4'-C4'-C3'	5.33	109.20	106.00	16	1
2	D	2	DC	O4'-C4'-C3'	5.29	109.17	106.00	8	2
1	В	9	DT	C3'-C2'-C1'	5.22	108.76	102.50	19	14
1	В	8	DA	O4'-C1'-N9	5.15	111.60	108.00	8	1
1	В	11	DC	O4'-C4'-C3'	5.08	109.05	106.00	8	1

Continued from previous page...

There are no chirality outliers.

There are no planarity outliers.

6.2 Too-close contacts (i)

In the following table, the Non-H and H(model) columns list the number of non-hydrogen atoms and hydrogen atoms in each chain respectively. The H(added) column lists the number of hydrogen atoms added and optimized by MolProbity. The Clashes column lists the number of clashes averaged over the ensemble.

Mol	Chain	Non-H	H(model)	H(added)	Clashes
1	В	222	129	129	1±1
2	D	224	125	125	0±1
All	All	8920	5080	5080	18

The all-atom clashscore is defined as the number of clashes found per 1000 atoms (including hydrogen atoms). The all-atom clashscore for this structure is 1.



Atom-1	Atom-2	Clash(Å)	Distance(Å)	Mod	lels
Atom-1	Atom-2	Clash(A)	Distance(A)	Worst	Total
1:B:1:DC:HO5'	1:B:1:DC:H6	0.67	1.31	3	2
2:D:6:DC:H4'	2:D:7:DG:OP1	0.52	2.04	17	4
1:B:6:LWM:H4'	1:B:7:DC:OP1	0.50	2.06	18	1
1:B:5:DC:H4'	1:B:6:LWM:OP2	0.50	2.07	18	5
2:D:6:DC:H2"	2:D:7:DG:O5'	0.43	2.13	5	1
1:B:1:DC:H6	1:B:1:DC:O5'	0.42	1.94	3	1
1:B:10:DG:C2	2:D:3:DA:C2	0.41	3.08	8	1
1:B:1:DC:C6	1:B:1:DC:O5'	0.41	2.71	12	1
2:D:10:DC:H2"	2:D:11:DG:O5'	0.41	2.16	5	1
2:D:1:DG:C2	2:D:2:DC:C2	0.40	3.09	8	1

All unique clashes are listed below, sorted by their clash magnitude.

6.3 Torsion angles (i)

6.3.1 Protein backbone (i)

There are no protein molecules in this entry.

6.3.2 Protein sidechains (i)

There are no protein molecules in this entry.

6.3.3 RNA (i)

There are no RNA molecules in this entry.

6.4 Non-standard residues in protein, DNA, RNA chains (i)

1 non-standard protein/DNA/RNA residue is modelled in this entry.

In the following table, the Counts columns list the number of bonds for which Mogul statistics could be retrieved, the number of bonds that are observed in the model and the number of bonds that are defined in the chemical component dictionary. The Link column lists molecule types, if any, to which the group is linked. The Z score for a bond length is the number of standard deviations the observed value is removed from the expected value. A bond length with |Z| > 2 is considered an outlier worth inspection. RMSZ is the average root-mean-square of all Z scores of the bond lengths.

ſ	Mol	Tuno	Chain	Dog	Link		Bond lengths		
	IVIOI	туре	Unam	nes	LIIIK	Counts	RMSZ	#Z>2	
	1	LWM	В	6	1	20,24,25	$0.69{\pm}0.03$	0±0 (0±0%)	



In the following table, the Counts columns list the number of angles for which Mogul statistics could be retrieved, the number of angles that are observed in the model and the number of angles that are defined in the chemical component dictionary. The Link column lists molecule types, if any, to which the group is linked. The Z score for a bond angle is the number of standard deviations the observed value is removed from the expected value. A bond angle with |Z| > 2 is considered an outlier worth inspection. RMSZ is the average root-mean-square of all Z scores of the bond angles.

Mol	Tuno	Chain	Dog	Link	Bond angles			
IVIOI	Type	Chain	nes		Counts	RMSZ	#Z>2	
1	LWM	В	6	1	20,33,36	$1.30{\pm}0.06$	2±0 (10±0%)	

In the following table, the Chirals column lists the number of chiral outliers, the number of chiral centers analysed, the number of these observed in the model and the number defined in the chemical component dictionary. Similar counts are reported in the Torsion and Rings columns. '-' means no outliers of that kind were identified.

Mol	Type	Chain	Res	Link	Chirals	Torsions	Rings
1	LWM	В	6	1	-	$0\pm0,8,24,25$	$0\pm 0,2,2,2$

There are no bond-length outliers.

All unique angle outliers are listed below. They are sorted according to the Z-score of the worst occurrence in the ensemble.

Mol	Chain	$\mathbf{Res} \mathbf{Type} \mathbf{Atoms} \mathbf{Z} \mathbf{Observed}(^{o})$	Type	Atoma	7	Observed ⁽⁰⁾	$Ideal(^{o})$	Models	
	Unam		Observed()	Ideal()	Worst	Total			
1	В	6	LWM	O2-C5-N4	3.87	118.43	124.62	3	20
1	В	6	LWM	C4'-C6'-C1'	3.43	109.41	103.76	18	20

There are no chirality outliers.

There are no torsion outliers.

There are no ring outliers.

6.5 Carbohydrates (i)

There are no monosaccharides in this entry.

6.6 Ligand geometry (i)

There are no ligands in this entry.



6.7 Other polymers (i)

There are no such molecules in this entry.

6.8 Polymer linkage issues (i)

There are no chain breaks in this entry.



7 Chemical shift validation (i)

The completeness of assignment taking into account all chemical shift lists is 31% for the well-defined parts and 31% for the entire structure.

7.1 Chemical shift list 1

File name: working_cs.cif

Chemical shift list name: assigned_chem_shift_list_1

7.1.1 Bookkeeping (i)

The following table shows the results of parsing the chemical shift list and reports the number of nuclei with statistically unusual chemical shifts.

Total number of shifts	150
Number of shifts mapped to atoms	150
Number of unparsed shifts	0
Number of shifts with mapping errors	0
Number of shifts with mapping warnings	0
Number of shift outliers (ShiftChecker)	0

7.1.2 Chemical shift referencing (i)

No chemical shift referencing corrections were calculated (not enough data).

7.1.3 Completeness of resonance assignments (i)

The following table shows the completeness of the chemical shift assignments for the well-defined regions of the structure. The overall completeness is 31%, i.e. 130 atoms were assigned a chemical shift out of a possible 418. 0 out of 0 assigned methyl groups (LEU and VAL) were assigned stereospecifically.

	Total	$^{1}\mathrm{H}$	$^{13}\mathrm{C}$	15 N
Sugar	99/252~(39%)	99/147~(67%)	0/105~(0%)	$0/0 \ (\%)$
Base	31/166~(19%)	31/103~(30%)	0/36~(0%)	0/27~(0%)
Overall	130/418~(31%)	130/250~(52%)	0/141~(0%)	0/27~(0%)

The following table shows the completeness of the chemical shift assignments for the full structure. The overall completeness is 31%, i.e. 130 atoms were assigned a chemical shift out of a possible 418. 0 out of 0 assigned methyl groups (LEU and VAL) were assigned stereospecifically.



	Total	$^{1}\mathbf{H}$	$^{13}\mathrm{C}$	$^{15}\mathbf{N}$
Sugar	99/252~(39%)	99/147~(67%)	0/105~(0%)	0/0 (%)
Base	31/166~(19%)	31/103~(30%)	0/36~(0%)	0/27~(0%)
Overall	130/418~(31%)	130/250~(52%)	0/141~(0%)	0/27~(0%)

7.1.4 Statistically unusual chemical shifts (i)

There are no statistically unusual chemical shifts.

7.1.5 Random Coil Index (RCI) plots (i)

No random coil index (RCI) plot could be generated from the current chemical shift list. RCI is only applicable to proteins

