

# wwPDB NMR Structure Validation Summary Report (i)

#### Jun 5, 2023 – 09:26 AM EDT

PDB ID	:	2M8D
BMRB ID	:	19248
Title	:	Structure of SRSF1 RRM2 in complex with the RNA 5'-UGAAGGAC-3'
Authors	:	Clery, A.; Sinha, R.; Anczukow, O.; Corrionero, A.; Moursy, A.; Daubner, G.;
		Valcarcel, J.; Krainer, A.R.; Allain, F.H.T.
Deposited on	:	2013-05-17

This is a wwPDB NMR Structure Validation Summary Report for a publicly released PDB entry.

We welcome your comments at *validation@mail.wwpdb.org* A user guide is available at https://www.wwpdb.org/validation/2017/NMRValidationReportHelp with specific help available everywhere you see the (i) symbol.

The types of validation reports are described at http://www.wwpdb.org/validation/2017/FAQs#types.

The following versions of software and data (see references (1)) were used in the production of this report:


MolProbity	:	4.02b-467
Percentile statistics	:	20191225.v01 (using entries in the PDB archive December 25th 2019)
wwPDB-RCI	:	v_1n_11_5_13_A (Berjanski et al., 2005)
PANAV	:	Wang et al. (2010)
wwPDB-ShiftChecker	:	v1.2
BMRB Restraints Analysis	:	v1.2
Ideal geometry (proteins)	:	Engh & Huber (2001)
Ideal geometry (DNA, RNA)	:	Parkinson et al. (1996)
Validation Pipeline (wwPDB-VP)	:	2.33

# 1 Overall quality at a glance (i)

The following experimental techniques were used to determine the structure:  $SOLUTION\ NMR$ 

The overall completeness of chemical shifts assignment is 74%.

Percentile scores (ranging between 0-100) for global validation metrics of the entry are shown in the following graphic. The table shows the number of entries on which the scores are based.



Metric	$egin{array}{c} { m Whole \ archive} \ (\#{ m Entries}) \end{array}$	${f NMR} \ { m archive} \ (\#{ m Entries})$
Clashscore	158937	12864
Ramachandran outliers	154571	11451
Sidechain outliers	154315	11428
RNA backbone	4643	676

The table below summarises the geometric issues observed across the polymeric chains and their fit to the experimental data. The red, orange, yellow and green segments indicate the fraction of residues that contain outliers for >=3, 2, 1 and 0 types of geometric quality criteria. A cyan segment indicates the fraction of residues that are not part of the well-defined cores, and a grey segment represents the fraction of residues that are not modelled. The numeric value for each fraction is indicated below the corresponding segment, with a dot representing fractions <=5%

Mol	Chain	Length	Quality of chain			
1	А	8	75%		25%	
2	В	91	67%	14%	19%	



# 2 Ensemble composition and analysis (i)

This entry contains 16 models. Model 3 is the overall representative, medoid model (most similar to other models). The authors have identified model 1 as representative, based on the following criterion: *lowest energy*.

The following residues are included in the computation of the global validation metrics.

Well-defined (core) protein residues						
Well-defined core Residue range (total) Backbone RMSD (Å) Medoid model						
1	B:117-B:181, B:187-B:195	0.49	3			
	(74)					

Ill-defined regions of proteins are excluded from the global statistics.

Ligands and non-protein polymers are included in the analysis.

The models can be grouped into 2 clusters and 2 single-model clusters were found.

Cluster number	Models		
1	1, 2, 5, 6, 8, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15		
2	3, 4, 10, 16		
Single-model clusters	7; 9		



# 3 Entry composition (i)

There are 2 unique types of molecules in this entry. The entry contains 1692 atoms, of which 795 are hydrogens and 0 are deuteriums.

• Molecule 1 is a RNA chain called RNA (5'-R(\*UP\*GP\*AP\*AP\*GP\*GP\*AP\*C)-3').

Mol	Chain	Residues		A	Aton	ns			Trace
1	٨	0	Total	С	Η	Ν	0	Р	0
	А	0	261	78	89	35	52	7	0

• Molecule 2 is a protein called Serine/arginine-rich splicing factor 1.

Mol	Chain	Residues		Atoms				Trace	
0	D	01	Total	С	Н	Ν	0	S	0
	D	91	1431	446	706	137	138	4	0

There is a discrepancy between the modelled and reference sequences:

Chain	Residue	Modelled	Actual	Comment	Reference
В	106	MET	-	initiating methionine	UNP Q07955



# 4 Residue-property plots (i)

### 4.1 Average score per residue in the NMR ensemble

These plots are provided for all protein, RNA, DNA and oligosaccharide chains in the entry. The first graphic is the same as shown in the summary in section 1 of this report. The second graphic shows the sequence where residues are colour-coded according to the number of geometric quality criteria for which they contain at least one outlier: green = 0, yellow = 1, orange = 2 and red = 3 or more. Stretches of 2 or more consecutive residues without any outliers are shown as green connectors. Residues which are classified as ill-defined in the NMR ensemble, are shown in cyan with an underline colour-coded according to the previous scheme. Residues which were present in the experimental sample, but not modelled in the final structure are shown in grey.

• Molecule 1: RNA (5'-R(\*UP\*GP\*AP\*AP\*GP\*GP\*AP\*C)-3')

Chain A:	75%	25%
U1 62 84 85 86 87 87 87 87		
• Molecule 2: Serine/argin	ine-rich splicing factor 1	
Chain B:	67%	14% 19%
M106 A107 P108 P108 G110 G110 G111 F111 F111 S116 D136 D136 D136 H140	V147 147 154 171 177 177 177 177 177 177 177 177 17	9615

# 4.2 Residue scores for the representative (medoid) model from the NMR ensemble

The representative model is number 3. Colouring as in section 4.1 above.

• Molecule 1: RNA (5'-R(\*UP\*GP\*AP\*AP\*GP\*GP\*AP\*C)-3')

Chain A:	12%	50%		38%	
U1 G2 A3 G5 G5 G6 C8 C8					
• Molecule	2: Serine/arg	ginine-rich splicing	; factor 1		
Chain B:		66%	14%	• 19%	)
M106 A107 P108 R119 G110 Y111 Y111 G113	P114 P115 S116 N123 V123 L128 L128 S131	D136 L137 H140 V147 T157 R173 R173	N177 N177 F180 S182 S182 E188 E188 E188 E186 E186 E186 D195 G196		



# 5 Refinement protocol and experimental data overview (i)

The models were refined using the following method: *simulated annealing*.

Of the 50 calculated structures, 16 were deposited, based on the following criterion: *structures with the lowest energy*.

The following table shows the software used for structure solution, optimisation and refinement.

Software name	Classification	Version
Amber	refinement	

The following table shows chemical shift validation statistics as aggregates over all chemical shift files. Detailed validation can be found in section 7 of this report.

Chemical shift file(s)	working_cs.cif
Number of chemical shift lists	1
Total number of shifts	998
Number of shifts mapped to atoms	998
Number of unparsed shifts	0
Number of shifts with mapping errors	0
Number of shifts with mapping warnings	0
Assignment completeness (well-defined parts)	74%



# 6 Model quality (i)

# 6.1 Standard geometry (i)

The Z score for a bond length (or angle) is the number of standard deviations the observed value is removed from the expected value. A bond length (or angle) with |Z| > 5 is considered an outlier worth inspection. RMSZ is the (average) root-mean-square of all Z scores of the bond lengths (or angles).

Mol	Chain	B	ond lengths	Bond angles		
	Ullaill	RMSZ	$\#Z{>}5$	RMSZ	#Z > 5	
1	А	$1.03 \pm 0.02$	$0{\pm}0/193~(~0.0{\pm}~0.0\%)$	$1.61 \pm 0.09$	$3{\pm}1/300~(~1.0{\pm}~0.4\%)$	
2	В	$0.52{\pm}0.01$	$0{\pm}0/611~(~0.0{\pm}~0.0\%)$	$0.89 {\pm} 0.02$	$0{\pm}0/824~(~0.1{\pm}~0.1\%)$	
All	All	0.68	0/12864 ( $0.0%$ )	1.13	54/17984~(~0.3%)	

Chiral center outliers are detected by calculating the chiral volume of a chiral center and verifying if the center is modelled as a planar moiety or with the opposite hand. A planarity outlier is detected by checking planarity of atoms in a peptide group, atoms in a mainchain group or atoms of a sidechain that are expected to be planar.

Mol	Chain	Chirality	Planarity
1	А	$0.0{\pm}0.0$	$0.1{\pm}0.2$
All	All	0	1

There are no bond-length outliers.

 $5~{\rm of}~12$  unique angle outliers are listed below. They are sorted according to the Z-score of the worst occurrence in the ensemble.

Mol	Chain	Res	Turne	Atoms Z		Observed(°)	$Ideal(^{o})$	Models	
	Unam	nes	Type	Atoms		Observeu()	Ideal()	Worst	Total
1	А	6	G	O4'-C1'-N9	10.39	116.51	108.20	9	15
1	А	1	U	O4'-C1'-N1	7.36	114.09	108.20	14	4
1	А	2	G	O4'-C1'-N9	7.14	113.91	108.20	14	6
1	А	5	G	O4'-C1'-N9	6.70	113.56	108.20	6	3
1	А	7	А	O4'-C1'-N9	6.52	113.42	108.20	2	9

There are no chirality outliers.

All unique planar outliers are listed below.

Mol	Chain	Res	Type	Group	Models (Total)
1	А	3	A	Sidechain	1



### 6.2 Too-close contacts (i)

In the following table, the Non-H and H(model) columns list the number of non-hydrogen atoms and hydrogen atoms in each chain respectively. The H(added) column lists the number of hydrogen atoms added and optimized by MolProbity. The Clashes column lists the number of clashes averaged over the ensemble.

Mol	Chain	Non-H	H(model)	H(added)	Clashes
1	А	172	89	89	2±1
2	В	600	592	592	$6\pm 2$
All	All	12352	10896	10896	102

The all-atom clashscore is defined as the number of clashes found per 1000 atoms (including hydrogen atoms). The all-atom clashscore for this structure is 4.

5 of 28 unique clashes are listed below, sorted by their clash magnitude.

Atom-1	Atom-2	Clash(Å)	Distance(Å)	Models		
Atom-1	Atom-2	Clash(A)	Distance(A)	Worst	Total	
1:A:7:A:N6	2:B:136:ASP:OD1	0.62	2.33	8	16	
2:B:170:TYR:CE2	2:B:174:LYS:HE3	0.57	2.34	4	9	
2:B:123:VAL:HG13	2:B:168:MET:SD	0.56	2.41	2	1	
2:B:180:PHE:C	2:B:180:PHE:CD1	0.56	2.79	12	1	
2:B:140:HIS:CE1	2:B:180:PHE:HA	0.54	2.38	16	12	

### 6.3 Torsion angles (i)

#### 6.3.1 Protein backbone (i)

In the following table, the Percentiles column shows the percent Ramachandran outliers of the chain as a percentile score with respect to all PDB entries followed by that with respect to all NMR entries. The Analysed column shows the number of residues for which the backbone conformation was analysed and the total number of residues.

Mol	Chain	Analysed	Analysed Favoured Allowed		Outliers	Pe	erc	entiles
2	В	74/91~(81%)	$61\pm2$ (82 $\pm3\%$ )	$11\pm2~(14\pm3\%)$	$3\pm1~(4\pm2\%)$		6	34
All	All	1184/1456~(81%)	971 (82%)	169 (14%)	44 (4%)		6	34

5 of 14 unique Ramachandran outliers are listed below. They are sorted by the frequency of occurrence in the ensemble.

Mol	Chain	Res	Type	Models (Total)
2	В	195	ASP	13
2	В	117	ARG	6



Continued from previous page...

Mol	Chain	Res	Type	Models (Total)
2	В	122	ARG	5
2	В	154	ARG	5
2	В	118	ARG	4

#### 6.3.2 Protein sidechains (i)

In the following table, the Percentiles column shows the percent sidechain outliers of the chain as a percentile score with respect to all PDB entries followed by that with respect to all NMR entries. The Analysed column shows the number of residues for which the sidechain conformation was analysed and the total number of residues.

Mol	Chain	Analysed	Rotameric	Outliers	Perce	entiles
2	В	65/77~(84%)	$58\pm2$ (89 $\pm2\%$ )	$7\pm2~(11\pm2\%)$	10	54
All	All	1040/1232~(84%)	926 (89%)	114 (11%)	10	54

5 of 22 unique residues with a non-rotameric side chain are listed below. They are sorted by the frequency of occurrence in the ensemble.

Mol	Chain	Res	Type	Models (Total)
2	В	123	VAL	16
2	В	147	VAL	15
2	В	137	LEU	13
2	В	177	ASN	8
2	В	172	VAL	8

#### 6.3.3 RNA (i)

Mol	Chain	Analysed	Backbone Outliers	Pucker Outliers	Suiteness
1	А	7/8~(88%)	$5\pm1~(66\pm19\%)$	$2\pm1$ (30 $\pm16\%$ )	$0.08 \pm 0.08$
All	All	119/128~(93%)	74 (62%)	34 (29%)	0.08

The overall RNA backbone suiteness is 0.08.

5 of 7 unique RNA backbone outliers are listed below:

Mol	Chain	Res	Type	Models (Total)
1	А	3	А	14
1	А	5	G	13
1	А	7	А	12
1	А	8	С	10



Continued from previous page...

Mol	Chain	Res	Type	Models (Total)
1	А	4	A	9

5 of 6 unique RNA pucker outliers are listed below:

Mol	Chain	Res	Type	Models (Total)
1	А	3	A	13
1	А	1	U	7
1	А	6	G	5
1	А	2	G	4
1	А	7	А	4

### 6.4 Non-standard residues in protein, DNA, RNA chains (i)

There are no non-standard protein/DNA/RNA residues in this entry.

### 6.5 Carbohydrates (i)

There are no monosaccharides in this entry.

### 6.6 Ligand geometry (i)

There are no ligands in this entry.

### 6.7 Other polymers (i)

There are no such molecules in this entry.

### 6.8 Polymer linkage issues (i)

There are no chain breaks in this entry.



# 7 Chemical shift validation (i)

The completeness of assignment taking into account all chemical shift lists is 74% for the well-defined parts and 72% for the entire structure.

## 7.1 Chemical shift list 1

File name: working\_cs.cif

Chemical shift list name: assigned\_chem\_shift\_list\_1

#### 7.1.1 Bookkeeping (i)

The following table shows the results of parsing the chemical shift list and reports the number of nuclei with statistically unusual chemical shifts.

Total number of shifts	998
Number of shifts mapped to atoms	998
Number of unparsed shifts	0
Number of shifts with mapping errors	0
Number of shifts with mapping warnings	0
Number of shift outliers (ShiftChecker)	2

#### 7.1.2 Chemical shift referencing (i)

The following table shows the suggested chemical shift referencing corrections.

Nucleus	# values	${\rm Correction}\pm{\rm precision},ppm$	Suggested action
$^{13}C_{\alpha}$	83	$-0.21 \pm 0.18$	None needed ( $< 0.5$ ppm)
$^{13}C_{\beta}$	76	$-0.24 \pm 0.09$	None needed ( $< 0.5$ ppm)
$^{13}C'$	0		None (insufficient data)
<sup>15</sup> N	83	$1.31 \pm 0.43$	Should be applied

#### 7.1.3 Completeness of resonance assignments (i)

The following table shows the completeness of the chemical shift assignments for the well-defined regions of the structure. The overall completeness is 74%, i.e. 883 atoms were assigned a chemical shift out of a possible 1189. 0 out of 14 assigned methyl groups (LEU and VAL) were assigned stereospecifically.

	Total	$^{1}\mathrm{H}$	$^{13}\mathrm{C}$	$^{15}\mathbf{N}$	
Backbone	294/371~(79%)	150/151~(99%)	72/148~(49%)	72/72~(100%)	
Sidechain	475/590~(81%)	325/378~(86%)	145/177~(82%)	5/35~(14%)	



	$j \cdot \cdot$			
	Total	$^{1}\mathbf{H}$	$^{13}\mathrm{C}$	$^{15}\mathbf{N}$
Aromatic	56/75~(75%)	34/36~(94%)	21/37~(57%)	1/2~(50%)
Sugar	46/88~(52%)	46/48~(96%)	0/40~(0%)	0/0 (%)
Base	12/65~(18%)	12/41~(29%)	0/13~(0%)	0/11~(0%)
Overall	883/1189 (74%)	567/654~(87%)	238/415~(57%)	78/120~(65%)

Continued from previous page...

#### 7.1.4 Statistically unusual chemical shifts (i)


The following table lists the statistically unusual chemical shifts. These are statistical measures, and large deviations from the mean do not necessarily imply incorrect assignments. Molecules containing paramagnetic centres or hemes are expected to give rise to anomalous chemical shifts.

List Id	Chain	Res	Type	Atom	Shift, $ppm$	Shift, $ppm$   Expected range, $ppm$	
1	В	135	GLN	HG3	-1.40	0.91-3.68	-13.3
1	В	135	GLN	HG2	0.53	1.01 - 3.62	-6.8

#### 7.1.5 Random Coil Index (RCI) plots (i)

The image below reports *random coil index* values for the protein chains in the structure. The height of each bar gives a probability of a given residue to be disordered, as predicted from the available chemical shifts and the amino acid sequence. A value above 0.2 is an indication of significant predicted disorder. The colour of the bar shows whether the residue is in the well-defined core (black) or in the ill-defined residue ranges (cyan), as described in section 2 on ensemble composition. If well-defined core and ill-defined regions are not identified then it is shown as gray bars.

Random coil index (RCI) for chain B:





# 8 NMR restraints analysis (i)

# 8.1 Conformationally restricting restraints (i)

The following table provides the summary of experimentally observed NMR restraints in different categories. Restraints are classified into different categories based on the sequence separation of the atoms involved.

Description	Value
Total distance restraints	1508
Intra-residue ( i-j =0)	304
Sequential ( i-j =1)	367
Medium range ( $ i-j >1$ and $ i-j <5$ )	195
Long range $( i-j  \ge 5)$	560
Inter-chain	36
Hydrogen bond restraints	46
Disulfide bond restraints	0
Total dihedral-angle restraints	0
Number of unmapped restraints	0
Number of restraints per residue	15.2
Number of long range restraints per residue <sup>1</sup>	5.9

<sup>1</sup>Long range hydrogen bonds and disulfide bonds are counted as long range restraints while calculating the number of long range restraints per residue

## 8.2 Residual restraint violations (i)

This section provides the overview of the restraint violations analysis. The violations are binned as small, medium and large violations based on its absolute value. Average number of violations per model is calculated by dividing the total number of violations in each bin by the size of the ensemble.

#### 8.2.1 Average number of distance violations per model (i)

Distance violations less than 0.1 Å are not included in the calculation.

Bins (Å)	Average number of violations per model	Max (Å)
0.1-0.2 (Small)	32.8	0.2
0.2-0.5 (Medium)	10.6	0.5
>0.5 (Large)	81.9	19.13



#### 8.2.2 Average number of dihedral-angle violations per model (i)

Dihedral-angle violations less than  $1^\circ$  are not included in the calculation. There are no dihedral-angle violations



# 9 Distance violation analysis (i)


# 9.1 Summary of distance violations (i)

The following table shows the summary of distance violations in different restraint categories based on the sequence separation of the atoms involved. Each category is further sub-divided into three sub-categories based on the atoms involved. Violations less than 0.1 Å are not included in the statistics.

Destroints type	Count	$\%^1$	$Violated^3$			Consis	tently	$Violated^4$
Restraints type	$\operatorname{Count}$	70	Count	$\%^2$	$\%^1$	Count	$\%^2$	$\%^1$
Intra-residue ( i-j =0)	304	20.2	33	10.9	2.2	5	1.6	0.3
Backbone-Backbone	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Sidechain	235	15.6	18	7.7	1.2	2	0.9	0.1
Sidechain-Sidechain	69	4.6	15	21.7	1.0	3	4.3	0.2
Sequential ( i-j =1)	367	24.3	40	10.9	2.7	5	1.4	0.3
Backbone-Backbone	89	5.9	5	5.6	0.3	1	1.1	0.1
Backbone-Sidechain	205	13.6	23	11.2	1.5	3	1.5	0.2
Sidechain-Sidechain	73	4.8	12	16.4	0.8	1	1.4	0.1
Medium range ( $ i-j  > 1 \&  i-j  < 5$ )	195	12.9	19	9.7	1.3	8	4.1	0.5
Backbone-Backbone	54	3.6	5	9.3	0.3	1	1.9	0.1
Backbone-Sidechain	93	6.2	12	12.9	0.8	7	7.5	0.5
Sidechain-Sidechain	48	3.2	2	4.2	0.1	0	0.0	0.0
Long range $( i-j  \ge 5)$	560	37.1	93	16.6	6.2	75	13.4	5.0
Backbone-Backbone	85	5.6	22	25.9	1.5	14	16.5	0.9
Backbone-Sidechain	261	17.3	41	15.7	2.7	33	12.6	2.2
Sidechain-Sidechain	214	14.2	30	14.0	2.0	28	13.1	1.9
Inter-chain	36	2.4	11	30.6	0.7	3	8.3	0.2
Backbone-Backbone	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Sidechain	5	0.3	2	40.0	0.1	0	0.0	0.0
Sidechain-Sidechain	31	2.1	9	29.0	0.6	3	9.7	0.2
Hydrogen bond	46	3.1	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Disulfide bond	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Total	1508	100.0	196	13.0	13.0	96	6.4	6.4
Backbone-Backbone	272	18.0	32	11.8	2.1	16	5.9	1.1
Backbone-Sidechain	801	53.1	96	12.0	6.4	45	5.6	3.0
Sidechain-Sidechain	435	28.8	68	15.6	4.5	35	8.0	2.3

 $^1$  percentage calculated with respect to the total number of distance restraints,  $^2$  percentage calculated with respect to the number of restraints in a particular restraint category,  $^3$  violated in at least one model,  $^4$  violated in all the models





#### 9.1.1 Bar chart : Distribution of distance restraints and violations (i)

Violated and consistently violated restraints are shown using different hatch patterns in their respective categories. The hydrogen bonds and disulfied bonds are counted in their appropriate category on the x-axis

#### 9.2 Distance violation statistics for each model (i)

The following table provides the distance violation statistics for each model in the ensemble. Violations less than 0.1 Å are not included in the statistics.


Model ID		Nun	nber o	f viola	ations	;	Mean (Å)	Max (Å)	$\mathbf{SD}^{6}$ (Å)	Median (Å)
Model ID	$\mathrm{IR}^{1}$	$SQ^2$	$MR^3$	$LR^4$	$  IC^5  $	Total				
1	12	15	9	80	8	124	4.46	17.51	4.75	3.16
2	10	13	10	80	8	121	4.53	17.51	4.7	3.3
3	14	16	10	80	8	128	4.28	17.29	4.6	2.86
4	15	12	10	80	6	123	4.6	17.82	4.86	3.29
5	12	19	10	80	8	129	4.37	17.8	4.83	2.57
6	10	15	11	81	6	123	4.39	16.99	4.55	3.46
7	12	16	9	80	6	123	4.49	17.46	4.69	3.39
8	13	15	10	80	9	127	4.31	17.65	4.69	2.98
9	13	19	12	83	8	135	4.07	17.35	4.64	2.38
10	13	17	12	79	6	127	4.48	18.31	4.91	2.9
11	9	10	9	77	9	114	4.67	17.4	4.49	3.64



MadalID	Number of violations						Mean (Å)	Max (Å)	$SD^6$ (Å)	Median (Å)
Model ID	$\mathrm{IR}^{1}$	$SQ^2$	$MR^3$	$LR^4$	$IC^5$	Total	Mean (A)	an $(A)$ Max $(A)$		Median (A)
12	11	11	10	83	7	122	4.81	19.13	5.11	3.34
13	17	15	8	81	9	130	4.39	18.17	4.88	2.62
14	10	17	14	78	7	126	4.24	17.17	4.55	3.0
15	16	17	11	80	5	129	4.34	17.67	4.77	2.63
16	10	19	11	79	5	124	4.42	17.46	4.65	3.35

Continued from previous page...

 $^1$ Intra-residue restraints,  $^2$ Sequential restraints,  $^3$ Medium range restraints,  $^4$ Long range restraints,  $^5$ Inter-chain restraints,  $^6$ Standard deviation





The mean(dot), median(x) and the standard deviation are shown in blue with respect to the y axis on the right




### 9.3 Distance violation statistics for the ensemble (i)

Violation analysis may find that some restraints are violated in few models and some are violated in most of models. The following table provides this information as number of violated restraints for a given fraction of the ensemble. In total, 1266(IR:271, SQ:327, MR:176, LR:467, IC:25) restraints are not violated in the ensemble.

Nu	Number of violated restraints						Fraction of the ensemble		
$IR^1$	$SQ^2$	$MR^3$	LR <sup>4</sup>	$  IC^5  $	Total	$\operatorname{Count}^6$	%		
10	9	1	6	0	26	1	6.2		
2	5	5	4	1	17	2	12.5		
3	5	2	2	0	12	3	18.8		
4	5	0	0	0	9	4	25.0		
1	0	1	0	2	4	5	31.2		
1	1	0	0	1	3	6	37.5		
1	1	1	1	0	4	7	43.8		
2	2	0	2	0	6	8	50.0		
0	2	1	0	1	4	9	56.2		
2	0	0	1	0	3	10	62.5		
1	2	0	0	0	3	11	68.8		
0	0	0	0	0	0	12	75.0		
1	0	0	1	2	4	13	81.2		
0	2	0	0	1	3	14	87.5		
0	1	0	1	0	2	15	93.8		
5	5	8	75	3	96	16	100.0		

 $^1$ Intra-residue restraints,  $^2$ Sequential restraints,  $^3$ Medium range restraints,  $^4$ Long range restraints,  $^5$ Inter-chain restraints,  $^6$  Number of models with violations






#### 9.3.1 Bar graph : Distance violation statistics for the ensemble (i)

#### 9.4 Most violated distance restraints in the ensemble (i)

#### 9.4.1 Histogram : Distribution of mean distance violations (i)

The following histogram shows the distribution of the average value of the violation. The average is calculated for each restraint that is violated in more than one model over all the violated models in the ensemble





#### 9.4.2 Table: Most violated distance restraints (i)

The following table provides the mean and the standard deviation of the violations for the 10 worst performing restraints, sorted by number of violated models and the mean violation value. The Key (restraint list ID, restraint ID) is the unique identifier for a given restraint. Rows with same key represent combinatorial or ambiguous restraints and are counted as a single restraint.

Key	Atom-1	Atom-2	$\mathbf{Models}^1$	Mean (Å)	$SD^1$ (Å)	Median (Å)
(1,408)	2:B:169:THR:HB	2:B:181:ARG:HD2	16	17.46	0.39	17.51
(1,408)	2:B:169:THR:HB	2:B:181:ARG:HD3	16	17.46	0.39	17.51
(1,907)	2:B:170:TYR:HA	2:B:181:ARG:HG2	16	17.36	0.69	17.13
(1,692)	2:B:170:TYR:HB2	2:B:181:ARG:HG2	16	16.0	0.8	15.72
(1,601)	2:B:170:TYR:HA	2:B:181:ARG:HD2	16	15.81	0.47	15.78
(1,601)	2:B:170:TYR:HA	2:B:181:ARG:HD3	16	15.81	0.47	15.78
(1,1031)	2:B:169:THR:HG21	2:B:181:ARG:HG2	16	15.6	0.36	15.52
(1,1031)	2:B:169:THR:HG22	2:B:181:ARG:HG2	16	15.6	0.36	15.52
(1,1031)	2:B:169:THR:HG23	2:B:181:ARG:HG2	16	15.6	0.36	15.52
(1,602)	2:B:169:THR:HA	2:B:181:ARG:HD2	16	14.76	0.31	14.8
(1,602)	2:B:169:THR:HA	2:B:181:ARG:HD3	16	14.76	0.31	14.8
(1,635)	2:B:181:ARG:HG3	2:B:174:LYS:HE2	16	14.56	0.57	14.56
(1,635)	2:B:181:ARG:HG3	2:B:174:LYS:HE3	16	14.56	0.57	14.56
(1,909)	2:B:181:ARG:HG2	2:B:174:LYS:H	16	13.54	0.57	13.4
(1,1030)	2:B:169:THR:HG21	2:B:181:ARG:HD2	16	13.49	0.27	13.55
(1,1030)	2:B:169:THR:HG21	2:B:181:ARG:HD3	16	13.49	0.27	13.55



Key	Atom-1	Atom-2	$\mathbf{Models}^1$	Mean (Å)	$SD^1$ (Å)	Median (Å)
(1,1030)	2:B:169:THR:HG22	2:B:181:ARG:HD2	16	13.49	0.27	13.55
(1,1030)	2:B:169:THR:HG22	2:B:181:ARG:HD3	16	13.49	0.27	13.55
(1,1030)	2:B:169:THR:HG23	2:B:181:ARG:HD2	16	13.49	0.27	13.55
(1,1030)	2:B:169:THR:HG23	2:B:181:ARG:HD3	16	13.49	0.27	13.55
(1,913)	2:B:181:ARG:HG3	2:B:174:LYS:H	16	13.37	0.43	13.28


Continued from previous page...

<sup>1</sup>Number of violated models, <sup>2</sup>Standard deviation

### 9.5 All violated distance restraints (i)

#### 9.5.1 Histogram : Distribution of distance violations (i)

The following histogram shows the distribution of the absolute value of the violation for all violated restraints in the ensemble.



#### 9.5.2 Table : All distance violations (i)

The following table provides the 10 worst performing restraints, sorted by the violation value. The Key (restraint list ID, restraint ID) is the unique identifier for a given restraint. Rows with same key represent combinatorial or ambiguous restraints and are counted as a single restraint.



Key	Atom-1	Atom-2	Model ID	Violation (Å)
(1,907)	2:B:170:TYR:HA	2:B:181:ARG:HG2	12	19.13
(1,907)	2:B:170:TYR:HA	2:B:181:ARG:HG2	10	18.31
(1,907)	2:B:170:TYR:HA	2:B:181:ARG:HG2	13	18.17
(1,692)	2:B:170:TYR:HB2	2:B:181:ARG:HG2	12	18.01
(1,408)	2:B:169:THR:HB	2:B:181:ARG:HD2	12	17.87
(1,408)	2:B:169:THR:HB	2:B:181:ARG:HD3	12	17.87
(1,408)	2:B:169:THR:HB	2:B:181:ARG:HD2	13	17.85
(1,408)	2:B:169:THR:HB	2:B:181:ARG:HD3	13	17.85
(1,408)	2:B:169:THR:HB	2:B:181:ARG:HD2	10	17.83
(1,408)	2:B:169:THR:HB	2:B:181:ARG:HD3	10	17.83
(1,907)	2:B:170:TYR:HA	2:B:181:ARG:HG2	4	17.82
(1,408)	2:B:169:THR:HB	2:B:181:ARG:HD2	5	17.8
(1,408)	2:B:169:THR:HB	2:B:181:ARG:HD3	5	17.8
(1,907)	2:B:170:TYR:HA	2:B:181:ARG:HG2	5	17.74



# 10 Dihedral-angle violation analysis (i)

Dihedral angle analysis failed due to data error in the dihedral angle restraints, possibly missing target value

