

# wwPDB NMR Structure Validation Summary Report (i)

#### Jun 5, 2023 – 10:27 AM EDT

PDB ID	:	2MAF
BMRB ID	:	19343
Title	:	Solution structure of opa60 from n. gonorrhoeae
Authors	:	Columbus, L.; Fox, D.A.; Larsson, P.; Lo, R.H.; Kroncke, B.M.; Kasson, P.M.
Deposited on	:	2013-07-08

This is a wwPDB NMR Structure Validation Summary Report for a publicly released PDB entry.

We welcome your comments at *validation@mail.wwpdb.org* A user guide is available at https://www.wwpdb.org/validation/2017/NMRValidationReportHelp with specific help available everywhere you see the (i) symbol.

The types of validation reports are described at http://www.wwpdb.org/validation/2017/FAQs#types.

The following versions of software and data (see references (1)) were used in the production of this report:


MolProbity	:	4.02b-467
Percentile statistics	:	20191225.v01 (using entries in the PDB archive December 25th 2019)
wwPDB-RCI	:	v_1n_11_5_13_A (Berjanski et al., 2005)
PANAV	:	Wang et al. $(2010)$
wwPDB-ShiftChecker	:	v1.2
BMRB Restraints Analysis	:	v1.2
Ideal geometry (proteins)	:	Engh & Huber (2001)
Ideal geometry (DNA, RNA)	:	Parkinson et al. (1996)
Validation Pipeline (wwPDB-VP)	:	2.33

# 1 Overall quality at a glance (i)

The following experimental techniques were used to determine the structure:  $SOLUTION\ NMR$ 

The overall completeness of chemical shifts assignment is 29%.

Percentile scores (ranging between 0-100) for global validation metrics of the entry are shown in the following graphic. The table shows the number of entries on which the scores are based.



Metric	Whole archive (#Entries)	${f NMR}  ext{ archive} \ (\#  ext{Entries})$
Clashscore	158937	12864
Ramachandran outliers	154571	11451
Sidechain outliers	154315	11428

The table below summarises the geometric issues observed across the polymeric chains and their fit to the experimental data. The red, orange, yellow and green segments indicate the fraction of residues that contain outliers for >=3, 2, 1 and 0 types of geometric quality criteria. A cyan segment indicates the fraction of residues that are not part of the well-defined cores, and a grey segment represents the fraction of residues that are not modelled. The numeric value for each fraction is indicated below the corresponding segment, with a dot representing fractions <=5%

Mol	Chain	Length	Quality of chain			
1	А	238	35%	•	60%	<del>.</del>



# 2 Ensemble composition and analysis (i)

This entry contains 20 models. The atoms present in the NMR models are not consistent. Some calculations may have failed as a result. All residues are included in the validation scores. Model 20 is the overall representative, medoid model (most similar to other models). The authors have identified model 1 as representative, based on the following criterion: *closest to the average*.

The following residues are included in the computation of the global validation metrics.

Well-defined (core) protein residues							
Well-defined core	Backbone RMSD (Å)	Medoid model					
1	A:7-A:15, A:55-A:72,	1.62	20				
	A:117-A:142, A:190-A:217,						
	A:230-A:238 (90)						

Ill-defined regions of proteins are excluded from the global statistics.

Ligands and non-protein polymers are included in the analysis.

The models can be grouped into 2 clusters and 4 single-model clusters were found.

Cluster number	Models
1	1, 2, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 12, 13, 14, 19, 20
2	16, 17
Single-model clusters	3; 11; 15; 18



# 3 Entry composition (i)

There is only 1 type of molecule in this entry. The entry contains 3718 atoms, of which 1830 are hydrogens and 0 are deuteriums.

• Molecule 1 is a protein called Opacity protein opA60.

Mol	Chain	Residues	Atoms					Trace
1	1 A	າວວ	Total	С	Н	Ν	Ο	0
		A 233	3718	1185	1830	352	351	0




# 4 Residue-property plots (i)

# 4.1 Average score per residue in the NMR ensemble

These plots are provided for all protein, RNA, DNA and oligosaccharide chains in the entry. The first graphic is the same as shown in the summary in section 1 of this report. The second graphic shows the sequence where residues are colour-coded according to the number of geometric quality criteria for which they contain at least one outlier: green = 0, yellow = 1, orange = 2 and red = 3 or more. Stretches of 2 or more consecutive residues without any outliers are shown as green connectors. Residues which are classified as ill-defined in the NMR ensemble, are shown in cyan with an underline colour-coded according to the previous scheme. Residues which were present in the experimental sample, but not modelled in the final structure are shown in grey.


• Molecule 1: Opacity protein opA60



# 4.2 Residue scores for the representative (medoid) model from the NMR ensemble

The representative model is number 20. Colouring as in section 4.1 above.

• Molecule 1: Opacity protein opA60





# 5 Refinement protocol and experimental data overview (i)

The models were refined using the following method: *molecular dynamics*.

Of the 400 calculated structures, 20 were deposited, based on the following criterion: *structures with the lowest energy*.

The following table shows the software used for structure solution, optimisation and refinement.

Software name	Classification	Version
X-PLOR NIH	structure solution	2.31
GROMACS	refinement	4.5

The following table shows chemical shift validation statistics as aggregates over all chemical shift files. Detailed validation can be found in section 7 of this report.

Chemical shift file(s)	working_cs.cif
Number of chemical shift lists	1
Total number of shifts	530
Number of shifts mapped to atoms	506
Number of unparsed shifts	0
Number of shifts with mapping errors	24
Number of shifts with mapping warnings	0
Assignment completeness (well-defined parts)	29%



# 6 Model quality (i)

# 6.1 Standard geometry (i)

The Z score for a bond length (or angle) is the number of standard deviations the observed value is removed from the expected value. A bond length (or angle) with |Z| > 5 is considered an outlier worth inspection. RMSZ is the (average) root-mean-square of all Z scores of the bond lengths (or angles).

Mol	Chain	B	Sond lengths	Bond angles		
	RMSZ		$\#Z{>}5$	RMSZ	#Z > 5	
1	А	$0.83 {\pm} 0.01$	$0{\pm}0/732~(~0.0{\pm}~0.0\%)$	$1.27 \pm 0.09$	$8{\pm}2/992~(~0.8{\pm}~0.2\%)$	
All	All	0.83	0/14640~(~0.0%)	1.28	166/19840~(~0.8%)	

Chiral center outliers are detected by calculating the chiral volume of a chiral center and verifying if the center is modelled as a planar moiety or with the opposite hand. A planarity outlier is detected by checking planarity of atoms in a peptide group, atoms in a mainchain group or atoms of a sidechain that are expected to be planar.

Mol	Chain	Chirality	Planarity
1	А	$0.0{\pm}0.0$	$2.4{\pm}1.8$
All	All	0	48

There are no bond-length outliers.

5 of 28 unique angle outliers are listed below. They are sorted according to the Z-score of the worst occurrence in the ensemble.

Mol	Mol Chain		Chain Res Type		Atoms Z	Observed(°)	$Ideal(^{o})$	Moo	
10101	Chain	1005	турс	11001115		Observed()	fucui()	Worst	Total
1	А	237	ARG	NE-CZ-NH2	-15.32	112.64	120.30	18	11
1	А	237	ARG	NE-CZ-NH1	14.65	127.63	120.30	16	14
1	А	235	ARG	NE-CZ-NH1	14.54	127.57	120.30	7	15
1	А	213	ARG	NE-CZ-NH1	13.87	127.24	120.30	4	15
1	А	66	ARG	NE-CZ-NH1	12.87	126.74	120.30	11	17

There are no chirality outliers.

5 of 15 unique planar outliers are listed below. They are sorted by the frequency of occurrence in the ensemble.

Mol	Chain	Res	Type	Group	Models (Total)
1	А	235	ARG	Sidechain	7
1	А	237	ARG	Sidechain	6
1	А	66	ARG	Sidechain	5

Continued on next page...



Continued from previous page...

Mol	Chain	Res	Type	Group	Models (Total)
1	А	132	LYS	Peptide	5
1	А	10	TYR	Sidechain	4

### 6.2 Too-close contacts (i)

In the following table, the Non-H and H(model) columns list the number of non-hydrogen atoms and hydrogen atoms in each chain respectively. The H(added) column lists the number of hydrogen atoms added and optimized by MolProbity. The Clashes column lists the number of clashes averaged over the ensemble.

Mol	Chain	Non-H	H(model)	H(added)	Clashes
1	A	711	693	693	$0\pm1$
All	All	14220	13860	13860	4

The all-atom clashscore is defined as the number of clashes found per 1000 atoms (including hydrogen atoms). The all-atom clashscore for this structure is 0.

Atom 1	Atom-1 Atom-2		Distance(Å)	Models	
Atom-1			Distance(A)	Worst	Total
1:A:213:ARG:HH21	1:A:215:HIS:CE1	0.55	2.20	19	1
1:A:132:LYS:HZ3	1:A:201:ASP:CG	0.45	2.14	8	1
1:A:132:LYS:HZ1	1:A:201:ASP:CG	0.42	2.18	19	1
1:A:124:ASP:HB3	1:A:134:TYR:CE2	0.41	2.51	8	1

All unique clashes are listed below, sorted by their clash magnitude.

# 6.3 Torsion angles (i)

#### 6.3.1 Protein backbone (i)

In the following table, the Percentiles column shows the percent Ramachandran outliers of the chain as a percentile score with respect to all PDB entries followed by that with respect to all NMR entries. The Analysed column shows the number of residues for which the backbone conformation was analysed and the total number of residues.

Mol	Chain	Analysed	Favoured	Allowed	Outliers	Percentiles
1	А	89/238~(37%)	$84\pm2$ (94 $\pm3\%$ )	$4\pm2~(5\pm2\%)$	1±1 (1±1%)	20 68
All	All	1780/4760~(37%)	1676 (94%)	86 (5%)	18 (1%)	20 68

5 of 10 unique Ramachandran outliers are listed below. They are sorted by the frequency of occurrence in the ensemble.



Mol	Chain	Res	Type	Models (Total)
1	А	217	TRP	3
1	А	55	ARG	3
1	А	231	SER	3
1	А	214	TYR	2
1	А	230	ALA	2

#### 6.3.2 Protein sidechains (i)

In the following table, the Percentiles column shows the percent sidechain outliers of the chain as a percentile score with respect to all PDB entries followed by that with respect to all NMR entries. The Analysed column shows the number of residues for which the sidechain conformation was analysed and the total number of residues.

Mol	Chain	Analysed	Rotameric	Outliers	Percentiles	
1	А	67/202~(33%)	$64 \pm 1 (95 \pm 2\%)$	$3\pm1~(5\pm2\%)$	31 79	
All	All	1340/4040 (33%)	1278 (95%)	62 (5%)	31 79	

5 of 31 unique residues with a non-rotameric side chain are listed below. They are sorted by the frequency of occurrence in the ensemble.

Mol	Chain	Res	Type	Models (Total)
1	А	132	LYS	6
1	А	237	ARG	4
1	А	129	ASP	4
1	А	61	ASP	4
1	А	213	ARG	3

#### 6.3.3 RNA (i)

There are no RNA molecules in this entry.

### 6.4 Non-standard residues in protein, DNA, RNA chains (i)

There are no non-standard protein/DNA/RNA residues in this entry.

#### 6.5 Carbohydrates (i)

There are no monosaccharides in this entry.



### 6.6 Ligand geometry (i)

There are no ligands in this entry.

# 6.7 Other polymers (i)

There are no such molecules in this entry.

### 6.8 Polymer linkage issues (i)

There are no chain breaks in this entry.



# 7 Chemical shift validation (i)

The completeness of assignment taking into account all chemical shift lists is 29% for the well-defined parts and 16% for the entire structure.

### 7.1 Chemical shift list 1

File name: working\_cs.cif

Chemical shift list name: assigned\_chemical\_shifts\_1

#### 7.1.1 Bookkeeping (i)

The following table shows the results of parsing the chemical shift list and reports the number of nuclei with statistically unusual chemical shifts.

Total number of shifts	530
Number of shifts mapped to atoms	506
Number of unparsed shifts	0
Number of shifts with mapping errors	24
Number of shifts with mapping warnings	0
Number of shift outliers (ShiftChecker)	1

The following assigned chemical shifts were not mapped to the molecules present in the coordinate file.

• No matching atom found in the structure. First 5 (of 24) occurrences are reported below.

List ID	Chain	Dec	Trune	Atom		Shift Data	1
	Chain	Res	Type	Atom	Value	Uncertainty	Ambiguity
1	А	1	ALA	С	176.276	0.3	1
1	А	1	ALA	CA	52.212	0.3	1
1	А	1	ALA	CB	18.18	0.3	1
1	А	1	ALA	Н	8.45	0.02	1
1	А	1	ALA	Ν	124.3	0.3	1
1	А	2	SER	С	173.344	0.3	1
1	А	2	SER	CA	58.09	0.3	1
1	A	2	SER	CB	63.397	0.3	1
1	А	2	SER	Н	8.331	0.02	1
1	А	2	SER	Ν	114.032	0.3	1
1	А	3	GLU	С	174.91	0.3	1
1	А	3	GLU	CA	56.313	0.3	1
1	А	3	GLU	CB	28.61	0.3	1
1	А	3	GLU	Н	8.593	0.02	1

Continued on next page...



List ID	Chain	Dec	Trune	Atom		Shift Data	l I
LISU ID	Chain	Res	Type	Atom	Value	Uncertainty	Ambiguity
1	A	3	GLU	Ν	121.974	0.3	1
1	А	4	ASP	С	175.573	0.3	1
1	А	4	ASP	CA	55.831	0.3	1
1	A	4	ASP	CB	40.464	0.3	1
1	А	4	ASP	Н	8.366	0.02	1
1	А	4	ASP	Ν	120.071	0.3	1
1	А	5	GLY	С	173.431	0.3	1
1	А	5	GLY	CA	44.81	0.3	1
1	А	5	GLY	Н	8.55	0.02	1
1	А	5	GLY	Ν	110.031	0.3	1

Continued from previous page...

#### 7.1.2 Chemical shift referencing (i)

The following table shows the suggested chemical shift referencing corrections.

Nucleus	# values	${\rm Correction}\pm{\rm precision},ppm$	Suggested action
$^{13}C_{\alpha}$	113	$0.51 \pm 0.11$	Should be applied
$^{13}C_{\beta}$	93	$0.43 \pm 0.22$	None needed ( $< 0.5$ ppm)
$^{13}C'$	112	$2.14 \pm 0.17$	Should be applied
<sup>15</sup> N	106	$0.83 \pm 0.41$	Should be applied

#### 7.1.3 Completeness of resonance assignments (i)

The following table shows the completeness of the chemical shift assignments for the well-defined regions of the structure. The overall completeness is 29%, i.e. 361 atoms were assigned a chemical shift out of a possible 1234. 0 out of 15 assigned methyl groups (LEU and VAL) were assigned stereospecifically.

	Total	$^{1}\mathbf{H}$	$^{13}\mathrm{C}$	$^{15}\mathbf{N}$
Backbone	298/457~(65%)	73/190~(38%)	152/180~(84%)	73/87 (84%)
Sidechain	63/615~(10%)	0/404~(0%)	63/183~(34%)	0/28~(0%)
Aromatic	0/162~(0%)	0/77~(0%)	0/82~(0%)	0/3~(0%)
Overall	361/1234~(29%)	73/671~(11%)	215/445~(48%)	73/118~(62%)

#### 7.1.4 Statistically unusual chemical shifts (i)

The following table lists the statistically unusual chemical shifts. These are statistical measures, and large deviations from the mean do not necessarily imply incorrect assignments. Molecules containing paramagnetic centres or hemes are expected to give rise to anomalous chemical shifts.




List Id	Chain	Res	Type	Atom	Shift, $ppm$	Expected range, ppm	Z-score
1	А	8	GLY	С	183.19	164.92 - 182.89	5.2

#### 7.1.5 Random Coil Index (RCI) plots (1)

The image below reports *random coil index* values for the protein chains in the structure. The height of each bar gives a probability of a given residue to be disordered, as predicted from the available chemical shifts and the amino acid sequence. A value above 0.2 is an indication of significant predicted disorder. The colour of the bar shows whether the residue is in the well-defined core (black) or in the ill-defined residue ranges (cyan), as described in section 2 on ensemble composition. If well-defined core and ill-defined regions are not identified then it is shown as gray bars.

Random coil index (RCI) for chain A:





# 8 NMR restraints analysis (i)

# 8.1 Conformationally restricting restraints (i)

The following table provides the summary of experimentally observed NMR restraints in different categories. Restraints are classified into different categories based on the sequence separation of the atoms involved.

Description	Value
Total distance restraints	502
Intra-residue ( i-j =0)	2
Sequential ( i-j =1)	146
Medium range ( $ i-j >1$ and $ i-j <5$ )	34
Long range $( i-j  \ge 5)$	84
Inter-chain	0
Hydrogen bond restraints	236
Disulfide bond restraints	0
Total dihedral-angle restraints	128
Number of unmapped restraints	0
Number of restraints per residue	2.6
Number of long range restraints per residue <sup>1</sup>	1.3

<sup>1</sup>Long range hydrogen bonds and disulfide bonds are counted as long range restraints while calculating the number of long range restraints per residue

# 8.2 Residual restraint violations (i)

This section provides the overview of the restraint violations analysis. The violations are binned as small, medium and large violations based on its absolute value. Average number of violations per model is calculated by dividing the total number of violations in each bin by the size of the ensemble.

#### 8.2.1 Average number of distance violations per model (i)

Distance violations less than 0.1 Å are not included in the calculation.

Bins (Å)	Average number of violations per model	Max (Å)
0.1-0.2 (Small)	4.2	0.2
0.2-0.5 (Medium)	9.9	0.5
>0.5 (Large)	35.1	5.12



#### 8.2.2 Average number of dihedral-angle violations per model (i)

Dihedral-angle violations less than  $1^\circ$  are not included in the calculation.

Bins $(^{\circ})$	Average number of violations per model	Max ( $^{\circ}$ )
1.0-10.0 (Small)	10.9	10.0
10.0-20.0 (Medium)	4.2	20.0
>20.0 (Large)	1.4	91.4



# 9 Distance violation analysis (i)


# 9.1 Summary of distance violations (i)

The following table shows the summary of distance violations in different restraint categories based on the sequence separation of the atoms involved. Each category is further sub-divided into three sub-categories based on the atoms involved. Violations less than 0.1 Å are not included in the statistics.

Bestroints type	Count	$\%^1$	Vi	iolated	3	Consis	tently	Violated <sup>4</sup>
Restraints type	Count	70-	Count	$\%^2$	$\%^1$	Count	$\%^2$	$\%^1$
Intra-residue ( i-j =0)	2	0.4	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Backbone	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Sidechain	2	0.4	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Sidechain-Sidechain	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Sequential ( i-j =1)	146	29.1	8	5.5	1.6	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Backbone	118	23.5	6	5.1	1.2	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Sidechain	28	5.6	2	7.1	0.4	0	0.0	0.0
Sidechain-Sidechain	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Medium range ( $ i-j  > 1 \&  i-j  < 5$ )	34	6.8	26	76.5	5.2	4	11.8	0.8
Backbone-Backbone	26	5.2	18	69.2	3.6	4	15.4	0.8
Backbone-Sidechain	8	1.6	8	100.0	1.6	0	0.0	0.0
Sidechain-Sidechain	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Long range $( i-j  \ge 5)$	84	16.7	34	40.5	6.8	6	7.1	1.2
Backbone-Backbone	60	12.0	20	33.3	4.0	4	6.7	0.8
Backbone-Sidechain	24	4.8	14	58.3	2.8	2	8.3	0.4
Sidechain-Sidechain	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Inter-chain	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Backbone	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Sidechain	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Sidechain-Sidechain	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Hydrogen bond	236	47.0	96	40.7	19.1	8	3.4	1.6
Disulfide bond	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Total	502	100.0	164	32.7	32.7	18	3.6	3.6
Backbone-Backbone	440	87.6	140	31.8	27.9	16	3.6	3.2
Backbone-Sidechain	62	12.4	24	38.7	4.8	2	3.2	0.4
Sidechain-Sidechain	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0

 $^1$  percentage calculated with respect to the total number of distance restraints,  $^2$  percentage calculated with respect to the number of restraints in a particular restraint category,  $^3$  violated in at least one model,  $^4$  violated in all the models





#### 9.1.1 Bar chart : Distribution of distance restraints and violations (i)

Violated and consistently violated restraints are shown using different hatch patterns in their respective categories. The hydrogen bonds and disulfied bonds are counted in their appropriate category on the x-axis

### 9.2 Distance violation statistics for each model (i)

The following table provides the distance violation statistics for each model in the ensemble. Violations less than 0.1 Å are not included in the statistics.

Madal ID		Nun	nber o	f viola	ations	;	Maan (Å)	Mor (Å)	$SD^6$ (Å)	Madian (Å)
Model ID	$\mathrm{IR}^{1}$	$SQ^2$	$MR^3$	$LR^4$	$  IC^5  $	Total	Mean (Å)	Max (Å)	$SD^{*}(A)$	Median (Å)
1	0	0	8	28	0	36	1.07	4.49	1.18	0.57
2	0	2	6	28	0	36	1.4	3.79	0.82	1.15
3	0	0	16	30	0	46	1.14	3.08	0.92	0.94
4	0	0	12	48	0	60	1.14	4.39	1.1	0.68
5	0	0	10	42	0	52	1.26	3.99	0.98	0.84
6	0	2	10	46	0	58	1.28	3.22	0.9	1.02
7	0	0	14	36	0	50	1.19	3.57	0.86	1.01
8	0	0	12	48	0	60	1.06	3.55	0.86	0.97
9	0	0	16	38	0	54	1.64	4.79	1.19	1.34
10	0	0	10	31	0	41	1.14	3.69	1.02	0.88
11	0	2	12	27	0	41	1.13	3.54	0.75	1.15


Continued on next page...




Madal ID		Nun	nber o	f viola	ations	5	Mean (Å)	Max (Å)	$SD^6$ (Å)	Median (Å)
Model ID	$\mathrm{IR}^{1}$	$SQ^2$	$MR^3$	$LR^4$	$IC^5$	Total	Mean (A)	Max (A)	$SD^*(A)$	Median (A)
12	0	4	12	54	0	70	1.23	4.24	0.96	0.98
13	0	0	16	26	0	42	1.16	3.15	0.8	0.92
14	0	2	10	38	0	50	1.49	5.12	1.21	1.05
15	0	2	16	38	0	56	1.2	3.47	0.97	0.92
16	0	0	18	36	0	54	1.19	3.49	0.84	1.18
17	0	0	12	30	0	42	1.09	3.56	0.83	0.94
18	0	2	16	30	0	48	1.04	3.54	0.81	0.7
19	0	2	14	26	0	42	1.29	4.11	1.08	0.94
20	0	0	16	32	0	48	1.03	3.93	0.94	0.81

Continued from previous page...

 $^1$ Intra-residue restraints,  $^2$ Sequential restraints,  $^3$ Medium range restraints,  $^4$ Long range restraints,  $^5$ Inter-chain restraints,  $^6$ Standard deviation





The mean(dot), median(x) and the standard deviation are shown in blue with respect to the y axis on the right




## 9.3 Distance violation statistics for the ensemble (i)

Violation analysis may find that some restraints are violated in few models and some are violated in most of models. The following table provides this information as number of violated restraints for a given fraction of the ensemble. In total, 198(IR:2, SQ:138, MR:8, LR:50, IC:0) restraints are not violated in the ensemble.

Nu		of vio	lated	restra	aints	Fractio	n of the ensemble
$IR^1$	$SQ^2$	$MR^3$	LR <sup>4</sup>	IC <sup>5</sup>	Total	$\operatorname{Count}^6$	%
0	6	4	4	0	14	1	5.0
0	0	0	6	0	6	2	10.0
0	0	2	4	0	6	3	15.0
0	0	2	6	0	8	4	20.0
0	0	0	2	0	2	5	25.0
0	2	2	0	0	4	6	30.0
0	0	4	0	0	4	7	35.0
0	0	0	2	0	2	8	40.0
0	0	0	2	0	2	9	45.0
0	0	0	0	0	0	10	50.0
0	0	0	0	0	0	11	55.0
0	0	4	0	0	4	12	60.0
0	0	0	0	0	0	13	65.0
0	0	2	2	0	4	14	70.0
0	0	0	0	0	0	15	75.0
0	0	0	0	0	0	16	80.0
0	0	0	0	0	0	17	85.0
0	0	0	0	0	0	18	90.0
0	0	2	0	0	2	19	95.0
0	0	4	6	0	10	20	100.0

 $^{1}$ Intra-residue restraints,  $^{2}$ Sequential restraints,  $^{3}$ Medium range restraints,  $^{4}$ Long range restraints,  $^{5}$ Inter-chain restraints,  $^{6}$  Number of models with violations






#### 9.3.1 Bar graph : Distance violation statistics for the ensemble (i)

### 9.4 Most violated distance restraints in the ensemble (i)

#### 9.4.1 Histogram : Distribution of mean distance violations (i)

The following histogram shows the distribution of the average value of the violation. The average is calculated for each restraint that is violated in more than one model over all the violated models in the ensemble





#### 9.4.2 Table: Most violated distance restraints (i)

The following table provides the mean and the standard deviation of the violations for the 10 worst performing restraints, sorted by number of violated models and the mean violation value. The Key (restraint list ID, restraint ID) is the unique identifier for a given restraint. Rows with same key represent combinatorial or ambiguous restraints and are counted as a single restraint.

Key	Atom-1	Atom-2	$\mathbf{Models}^1$	Mean (Å)	$SD^1$ (Å)	Median (Å)
(2,96)	1:A:237:ARG:O	1:A:205:LYS:H	20	3.28	0.4	3.36
(2,216)	1:A:237:ARG:O	1:A:205:LYS:H	20	3.28	0.4	3.36
(2,26)	1:A:124:ASP:O	1:A:64:GLY:H	20	2.92	1.15	3.03
(2,146)	1:A:124:ASP:O	1:A:64:GLY:H	20	2.92	1.15	3.03
(1,28)	1:A:125:PHE:H	1:A:132:LYS:H	20	1.83	0.48	1.76
(1,161)	1:A:125:PHE:H	1:A:132:LYS:H	20	1.83	0.48	1.76
(2,95)	1:A:237:ARG:O	1:A:205:LYS:N	20	1.68	0.54	1.66
(2,215)	1:A:237:ARG:O	1:A:205:LYS:N	20	1.68	0.54	1.66
(2,25)	1:A:124:ASP:O	1:A:64:GLY:N	20	1.64	0.87	1.68
(2,145)	1:A:124:ASP:O	1:A:64:GLY:N	20	1.64	0.87	1.68


 $^1\mathrm{Number}$  of violated models,  $^2\mathrm{Standard}$  deviation



# 9.5 All violated distance restraints (i)

### 9.5.1 Histogram : Distribution of distance violations (i)

The following histogram shows the distribution of the absolute value of the violation for all violated restraints in the ensemble.



### 9.5.2 Table : All distance violations (i)

The following table provides the 10 worst performing restraints, sorted by the violation value. The Key (restraint list ID, restraint ID) is the unique identifier for a given restraint. Rows with same key represent combinatorial or ambiguous restraints and are counted as a single restraint.

Key	Atom-1	Atom-2	Model ID	Violation (Å)
(2,120)	1:A:232:LEU:O	1:A:15:LEU:H	14	5.12
(2,26)	1:A:124:ASP:O	1:A:64:GLY:H	9	4.79
(2,146)	1:A:124:ASP:O	1:A:64:GLY:H	9	4.79
(2,26)	1:A:124:ASP:O	1:A:64:GLY:H	1	4.49
(2,146)	1:A:124:ASP:O	1:A:64:GLY:H	1	4.49
(2,118)	1:A:15:LEU:O	1:A:232:LEU:H	4	4.39
(2,117)	1:A:15:LEU:O	1:A:232:LEU:N	4	4.25
(2,118)	1:A:15:LEU:O	1:A:232:LEU:H	12	4.24
(1,266)	1:A:238:PHE:HD1	1:A:8:GLY:H	12	4.24
(1,266)	1:A:238:PHE:HD2	1:A:8:GLY:H	12	4.24
(1,133)	1:A:238:PHE:HD1	1:A:8:GLY:H	12	4.24



# 10 Dihedral-angle violation analysis (i)


# 10.1 Summary of dihedral-angle violations (i)

The following table provides the summary of dihedral-angle violations in different dihedral-angle types. Violations less than  $1^{\circ}$  are not included in the calculation.

Angle trine	Count	$\%^1$	Vie	olated	3	Consis	tent	y Violated <sup>4</sup>
Angle type	Count	70	Count	$\%^2$	$\%^1$	Count	$\%^2$	$\%^1$
PHI	64	50.0	41	64.1	32.0	0	0.0	0.0
PSI	64	50.0	41	64.1	32.0	0	0.0	0.0
Total	128	100.0	82	64.1	64.1	0	0.0	0.0

 $^1$  percentage calculated with respect to total number of dihedral-angle restraints,  $^2$  percentage calculated with respect to number of restraints in a particular dihedral-angle type,  $^3$  violated in at least one model,  $^4$  violated in all the models

#### 10.1.1 Bar chart : Distribution of dihedral-angles and violations (i)



Violated and consistently violated restraints are shown using different hatch patterns in their respective categories





# 10.2 Dihedral-angle violation statistics for each model (i)


The following table provides the dihedral-angle violation statistics for each model in the ensemble. Violations less than  $1^{\circ}$  are not included in the statistics.

Model ID	Number of violations		$M_{oop}$ (°)	$M_{ov}$ (°)	SD (°)	Madian (°)		
Model ID	PHI	PSI	Total	Mean $(^{\circ})$	Max (°)	$SD(^{\circ})$	Median ( $^{\circ}$ )	
1	10	7	17	8.45	20.5	6.76	5.4	
2	7	8	15	10.41	27.4	7.19	7.4	
3	5	10	15	16.05	50.6	12.33	16.3	
4	10	14	24	7.62	37.6	7.79	4.75	
5	8	12	20	8.97	21.4	5.99	8.2	
6	6	12	18	9.46	29.9	6.36	8.4	
7	6	7	13	7.6	19.5	5.59	6.3	
8	8	4	12	9.73	24.3	6.24	8.75	
9	8	8	16	7.51	17.8	4.77	6.45	
10	4	5	9	11.2	20.3	6.37	9.8	
11	8	5	13	6.93	23.9	5.93	5.7	
12	11	9	20	12.44	49.7	11.23	8.25	
13	7	7	14	9.02	22.6	6.23	8.25	
14	10	11	21	13.11	91.4	18.18	9.2	
15	10	12	22	10.55	56.9	11.22	6.8	
16	6	7	13	8.24	19.1	4.57	7.2	
17	8	12	20	12.07	50.9	11.53	9.9	
18	14	9	23	7.94	23.4	6.05	6.9	
19	8	7	15	12.45	91.1	21.44	6.2	
20	4	8	12	7.38	19.8	5.08	6.95	









The mean(dot), median(x) and the standard deviation are shown in blue with respect to the y axis on the right

#### Dihedral-angle violation statistics for the ensemble (i) 10.3

Violation analysis may find that some restraints are violated in very few models and some are violated in most of models. The following table provides this information as number of violated restraints for a given fraction of ensemble.

Num	ber o	f violated restraints	Fraction of the ensemble		
PHI	PSI	Total	$\operatorname{Count}^1$	%	
10	10	20	1	5.0	
5	6	11	2	10.0	
7	5	12	3	15.0	
5	6	11	4	20.0	
5	4	9	5	25.0	
2	2	4	6	30.0	
2	2	4	7	35.0	
1	2	3	8	40.0	
3	1	4	9	45.0	
0	0	0	10	50.0	
1	0	1	11	55.0	

Continued on next page...



PHI


PSI

		f violated restraints	Fraction of the ensemble		
PHI	PSI	Total	$\operatorname{Count}^1$	%	
0	0	0	12	60.0	
0	2	2	13	65.0	
0	0	0	14	70.0	
0	0	0	15	75.0	
0	1	1	16	80.0	
0	0	0	17	85.0	
0	0	0	18	90.0	
0	0	0	19	95.0	
0	0	0	20	100.0	

Continued from previous page...

 $^{1}$  Number of models with violations






# 10.4 Most violated dihedral-angle restraints in the ensemble (i)

#### 10.4.1 Histogram : Distribution of mean dihedral-angle violations (i)

The following histogram shows the distribution of the average value of the violation. The average is calculated for each restraint that is violated in more than one model over all the violated models



in the ensemble



#### 10.4.2 Table: Most violated dihedral-angle restraints (i)

The following table provides the mean and the standard deviation of the violations for the 10 worst performing restraints, sorted by number of violated models and the mean violation value. The Key (restraint list ID, restraint ID) is the unique identifier for a given restraint.

Key	Atom-1	Atom-2	Atom-3	Atom-4	$\mathbf{Models}^1$	Mean	$SD^2$	Median
(1,24)	1:A:62:PHE:N	1:A:62:PHE:CA	1:A:62:PHE:C	1:A:63:GLY:N	16	29.48	29.2	14.3
(1,128)	1:A:237:ARG:N	1:A:237:ARG:CA	1:A:237:ARG:C	1:A:238:PHE:N	13	12.05	6.08	11.5
(1,30)	1:A:68:ALA:N	1:A:68:ALA:CA	1:A:68:ALA:C	1:A:69:ALA:N	13	7.36	3.42	7.4
(1,1)	1:A:6:GLY:C	1:A:7:ARG:N	1:A:7:ARG:CA	1:A:7:ARG:C	11	17.15	8.42	19.6
(1,85)	1:A:190:VAL:C	1:A:191:GLY:N	1:A:191:GLY:CA	1:A:191:GLY:C	9	14.04	6.79	15.4
(1,53)	1:A:129:ASP:C	1:A:130:LYS:N	1:A:130:LYS:CA	1:A:130:LYS:C	9	12.2	14.42	7.0
(1,123)	1:A:234:VAL:C	1:A:235:ARG:N	1:A:235:ARG:CA	1:A:235:ARG:C	9	10.89	4.76	11.0
(1,74)	1:A:158:THR:N	1:A:158:THR:CA	1:A:158:THR:C	1:A:159:THR:N	9	5.04	2.68	5.0
(1,23)	1:A:61:ASP:C	1:A:62:PHE:N	1:A:62:PHE:CA	1:A:62:PHE:C	8	10.84	8.68	10.5
(1,96)	1:A:198:VAL:N	1:A:198:VAL:CA	1:A:198:VAL:C	1:A:199:GLY:N	8	9.28	4.44	8.5


<sup>1</sup> Number of violated models, <sup>2</sup>Standard deviation, All angle values are in degree (°)



### 10.5 All violated dihedral-angle restraints (i)

#### 10.5.1 Histogram : Distribution of violations (i)

The following histogram shows the distribution of the absolute value of the violation for all violated restraints in the ensemble.



#### 10.5.2 Table: All violated dihedral-angle restraints (i)

The following table provides the list of violations for the 10 worst performing restraints, sorted by the violation value. The Key (restraint list ID, restraint ID) is the unique identifier for a given restraint.

Key	Atom-1	Atom-2	Atom-3	Atom-4	Model ID	Violation ( $^{\circ}$ )
(1,24)	1:A:62:PHE:N	1:A:62:PHE:CA	1:A:62:PHE:C	1:A:63:GLY:N	14	91.4
(1,24)	1:A:62:PHE:N	1:A:62:PHE:CA	1:A:62:PHE:C	1:A:63:GLY:N	19	91.1
(1,24)	1:A:62:PHE:N	1:A:62:PHE:CA	1:A:62:PHE:C	1:A:63:GLY:N	15	56.9
(1,24)	1:A:62:PHE:N	1:A:62:PHE:CA	1:A:62:PHE:C	1:A:63:GLY:N	17	50.9
(1,24)	1:A:62:PHE:N	1:A:62:PHE:CA	1:A:62:PHE:C	1:A:63:GLY:N	3	50.6
(1,53)	1:A:129:ASP:C	1:A:130:LYS:N	1:A:130:LYS:CA	1:A:130:LYS:C	12	49.7
(1,24)	1:A:62:PHE:N	1:A:62:PHE:CA	1:A:62:PHE:C	1:A:63:GLY:N	4	37.6
(1,118)	1:A:211:GLY:N	1:A:211:GLY:CA	1:A:211:GLY:C	1:A:212:TYR:N	17	30.0
(1,1)	1:A:6:GLY:C	1:A:7:ARG:N	1:A:7:ARG:CA	1:A:7:ARG:C	6	29.9
(1,100)	1:A:201:ASP:N	1:A:201:ASP:CA	1:A:201:ASP:C	1:A:202:ILE:N	3	27.7

