

Full wwPDB NMR Structure Validation Report (i)

Nov 7, 2023 – 07:04 pm GMT

PDB ID : 5MF8 BMRB ID : 34066

Title: NMR solution structure of Harzianin HK-VI in trifluoroethanol

Authors: Kara, S.; Zamora-Carreras, H.; Afonin, S.; Grage, S.L.; Ulrich, A.S.; Jimenez,

M.A.

Deposited on : 2016-11-17

This is a Full wwPDB NMR Structure Validation Report for a publicly released PDB entry.

We welcome your comments at validation@mail.wwpdb.org
A user guide is available at
https://www.wwpdb.org/validation/2017/NMRValidationReportHelp
with specific help available everywhere you see the (i) symbol.

The types of validation reports are described at http://www.wwpdb.org/validation/2017/FAQs#types.

The following versions of software and data (see references (1)) were used in the production of this report:

Cyrange: Kirchner and Güntert (2011)

NmrClust : Kelley et al. (1996)

MolProbity : 4.02b-467

Mogul : 1.8.4, CSD as541be (2020)

Percentile statistics : 20191225.v01 (using entries in the PDB archive December 25th 2019)

wwPDB-RCI : v 1n 11 5 13 A (Berjanski et al., 2005)

PANAV : Wang et al. (2010)

 $\begin{array}{ccc} wwPDB\text{-ShiftChecker} &:& v1.2\\ BMRB \ Restraints \ Analysis &:& v1.2 \end{array}$

Ideal geometry (proteins) : Engh & Huber (2001) Ideal geometry (DNA, RNA) : Parkinson et al. (1996)


Validation Pipeline (wwPDB-VP) : 2.36

1 Overall quality at a glance (i)

The following experimental techniques were used to determine the structure: $SOLUTION\ NMR$

The overall completeness of chemical shifts assignment is 87%.

Percentile scores (ranging between 0-100) for global validation metrics of the entry are shown in the following graphic. The table shows the number of entries on which the scores are based.



Metric	Whole archive	NMR archive	
Metric	$(\# \mathrm{Entries})$	$(\# \mathrm{Entries})$	
Clashscore	158937	12864	
Ramachandran outliers	154571	11451	
Sidechain outliers	154315	11428	

The table below summarises the geometric issues observed across the polymeric chains and their fit to the experimental data. The red, orange, yellow and green segments indicate the fraction of residues that contain outliers for >=3, 2, 1 and 0 types of geometric quality criteria. A cyan segment indicates the fraction of residues that are not part of the well-defined cores, and a grey segment represents the fraction of residues that are not modelled. The numeric value for each fraction is indicated below the corresponding segment, with a dot representing fractions <=5%

Mol	Chain	Length	Quality of chain				
1	A	12	50%	50%			



2 Ensemble composition and analysis (i)

This entry contains 20 models.

Cyrange was unable to find well-defined residues.

Error message: The number of core atoms (7) was below the domain threshold value (8).

NmrClust was unable to cluster the ensemble.

Error message: Wrapper check: not enough residues in core to run NmrClust



3 Entry composition (i)

There is only 1 type of molecule in this entry. The entry contains 185 atoms, of which 102 are hydrogens and 0 are deuteriums.

 \bullet Molecule 1 is a protein called Harzianin HK-VI.

Mol	Chain	Residues	Atoms				Trace	
1	Λ	10	Total	С	Н	N	О	0
1	A	12	185	58	102	12	13	U



4 Residue-property plots (i)

4.1 Average score per residue in the NMR ensemble

These plots are provided for all protein, RNA, DNA and oligosaccharide chains in the entry. The first graphic is the same as shown in the summary in section 1 of this report. The second graphic shows the sequence where residues are colour-coded according to the number of geometric quality criteria for which they contain at least one outlier: green = 0, yellow = 1, orange = 2 and red = 3 or more. Stretches of 2 or more consecutive residues without any outliers are shown as green connectors. Residues which are classified as ill-defined in the NMR ensemble, are shown in cyan with an underline colour-coded according to the previous scheme. Residues which were present in the experimental sample, but not modelled in the final structure are shown in grey.

• Molecule 1: Harzianin HK-VI



4.2 Scores per residue for each member of the ensemble

Colouring as in section 4.1 above.

4.2.1 Score per residue for model 1

• Molecule 1: Harzianin HK-VI



4.2.2 Score per residue for model 2

• Molecule 1: Harzianin HK-VI






4.2.3 Score per residue for model 3

• Molecule 1: Harzianin HK-VI


Chain A: 33% 67%



4.2.4 Score per residue for model 4

• Molecule 1: Harzianin HK-VI


Chain A: 50% 50%



4.2.5 Score per residue for model 5

• Molecule 1: Harzianin HK-VI

Chain A: 42% 58%



4.2.6 Score per residue for model 6

• Molecule 1: Harzianin HK-VI

Chain A: 42% 58%



4.2.7 Score per residue for model 7

• Molecule 1: Harzianin HK-VI

Chain A: 50% 50%





4.2.8 Score per residue for model 8

• Molecule 1: Harzianin HK-VI


Chain A: 50% 50%



4.2.9 Score per residue for model 9

• Molecule 1: Harzianin HK-VI

Chain A: 33% 67%



4.2.10 Score per residue for model 10

• Molecule 1: Harzianin HK-VI

Chain A: 25% 75%



4.2.11 Score per residue for model 11

• Molecule 1: Harzianin HK-VI

Chain A: 33% 67%



4.2.12 Score per residue for model 12

• Molecule 1: Harzianin HK-VI

Chain A: 25% 75%





4.2.13 Score per residue for model 13

• Molecule 1: Harzianin HK-VI

Chain A: 33% 67%



4.2.14 Score per residue for model 14

• Molecule 1: Harzianin HK-VI

Chain A: 67% 33%



4.2.15 Score per residue for model 15

• Molecule 1: Harzianin HK-VI

Chain A: 42% 58%



4.2.16 Score per residue for model 16

• Molecule 1: Harzianin HK-VI

Chain A: 42% 58%



4.2.17 Score per residue for model 17

• Molecule 1: Harzianin HK-VI

Chain A: 67% 33%





4.2.18 Score per residue for model 18

• Molecule 1: Harzianin HK-VI

Chain A: 50% 50%



4.2.19 Score per residue for model 19

• Molecule 1: Harzianin HK-VI

Chain A: 42% 58%



4.2.20 Score per residue for model 20

• Molecule 1: Harzianin HK-VI

Chain A: 25% 75%

ACE1
A2
N3
I4
I5
A6
P7
L8
L9
C9
A10
A10
X12



Refinement protocol and experimental data overview (i) 5



The models were refined using the following method: torsion angle dynamics.

Of the 100 calculated structures, 20 were deposited, based on the following criterion: target function.

The following table shows the software used for structure solution, optimisation and refinement.

Software name	Classification	Version
CYANA	structure calculation	

The following table shows chemical shift validation statistics as aggregates over all chemical shift files. Detailed validation can be found in section 7 of this report.

Chemical shift file(s)	working_cs.cif
Number of chemical shift lists	1
Total number of shifts	144
Number of shifts mapped to atoms	143
Number of unparsed shifts	0
Number of shifts with mapping errors	1
Number of shifts with mapping warnings	0
Assignment completeness (well-defined parts)	87%



6 Model quality (i)

6.1 Standard geometry (i)

Bond lengths and bond angles in the following residue types are not validated in this section: DCL, AIB, ACE

There are no covalent bond-length or bond-angle outliers.

There are no bond-length outliers.

There are no bond-angle outliers.

There are no chirality outliers.

There are no planarity outliers.

6.2 Too-close contacts (i)

In the following table, the Non-H and H(model) columns list the number of non-hydrogen atoms and hydrogen atoms in each chain respectively. The H(added) column lists the number of hydrogen atoms added and optimized by MolProbity. The Clashes column lists the number of clashes averaged over the ensemble.

Mol	Chain	Non-H	H(model)	H(added)	Clashes
1	A	83	102	98	6±1
All	All	1660	2040	1960	120

The all-atom clashscore is defined as the number of clashes found per 1000 atoms (including hydrogen atoms). The all-atom clashscore for this structure is 33.

All unique clashes are listed below, sorted by their clash magnitude.

Atom-1	Atom-2	Clash(Å)	$\operatorname{Distance}(\mathring{\mathrm{A}})$	Models	
Atom-1	Atom-2	Clash(A)	Distance(A)	Worst	Total
1:A:2:AIB:HB21	1:A:5:ILE:HG12	0.61	1.72	10	5
1:A:4:ILE:HG22	1:A:8:LEU:CD1	0.60	2.27	20	15
1:A:6:AIB:HB22	1:A:9:LEU:HD12	0.59	1.74	13	1
1:A:6:AIB:HB13	1:A:7:PRO:HD3	0.58	1.76	4	20
1:A:10:AIB:HB13	1:A:11:PRO:HD3	0.58	1.76	15	20
1:A:4:ILE:HG22	1:A:8:LEU:HD12	0.56	1.78	8	10
1:A:10:AIB:HB13	1:A:11:PRO:CD	0.54	2.33	13	20
1:A:6:AIB:HB13	1:A:7:PRO:CD	0.53	2.33	4	20
1:A:1:ACE:H1	1:A:5:ILE:HG13	0.53	1.79	7	1
1:A:8:LEU:O	1:A:12:DCL:HD22	0.49	2.07	12	1
1:A:2:AIB:CB1	1:A:5:ILE:HD12	0.47	2.39	20	1
1:A:6:AIB:CB2	1:A:9:LEU:HD12	0.47	2.40	13	1

Continued on next page...



Continued from previous page...

Atom-1	Atom 2	Clock(Å)	$Distance(\mathring{A})$	Models	
Atom-1	Atom-2	Clash(A)	Distance(A)	Worst	Total
1:A:4:ILE:HG22	1:A:8:LEU:HD11	0.44	1.88	20	1
1:A:9:LEU:C	1:A:9:LEU:HD13	0.42	2.35	5	4

6.3 Torsion angles (i)

6.3.1 Protein backbone (i)

In the following table, the Percentiles column shows the percent Ramachandran outliers of the chain as a percentile score with respect to all PDB entries followed by that with respect to all NMR entries. The Analysed column shows the number of residues for which the backbone conformation was analysed and the total number of residues.

Mol	Chain	Analysed	Favoured	Allowed	Outliers	Percentiles	
1	A	7/12 (58%)	7±0 (100±0%)	0±0 (0±0%)	0±0 (0±0%)	100 1	.00
All	All	140/240 (58%)	140 (100%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	100 1	.00

There are no Ramachandran outliers.

6.3.2 Protein sidechains (i)

In the following table, the Percentiles column shows the percent sidechain outliers of the chain as a percentile score with respect to all PDB entries followed by that with respect to all NMR entries. The Analysed column shows the number of residues for which the sidechain conformation was analysed and the total number of residues.

Mol	Chain	Analysed	Rotameric	Outliers	Percentiles		
1	A	7/7 (100%)	7±1 (94±8%)	0±1 (6±8%)	24 73		
All	All	140/140 (100%)	132 (94%)	8 (6%)	24 73		

All 2 unique residues with a non-rotameric sidechain are listed below. They are sorted by the frequency of occurrence in the ensemble.

Mol	Chain	Res	Type	Models (Total)
1	A	3	ASN	5
1	A	9	LEU	3



6.3.3 RNA (i)

There are no RNA molecules in this entry.

6.4 Non-standard residues in protein, DNA, RNA chains (i)

4 non-standard protein/DNA/RNA residues are modelled in this entry.

In the following table, the Counts columns list the number of bonds for which Mogul statistics could be retrieved, the number of bonds that are observed in the model and the number of bonds that are defined in the chemical component dictionary. The Link column lists molecule types, if any, to which the group is linked. The Z score for a bond length is the number of standard deviations the observed value is removed from the expected value. A bond length with |Z| > 2 is considered an outlier worth inspection. RMSZ is the average root-mean-square of all Z scores of the bond lengths.

Mal	Type	Chain	Pog	Link	Bond lengths		
IVIOI	туре	Chain	nes	Lilik	Counts	RMSZ	#Z>2
1	DCL	A	12	1	7,7,7	0.36 ± 0.01	0±0 (0±0%)
1	AIB	A	2	1	1,5,6	1.07 ± 0.01	0±0 (0±0%)
1	AIB	A	6	1	1,5,6	1.10 ± 0.01	0±0 (0±0%)
1	AIB	A	10	1	1,5,6	1.10 ± 0.01	0±0 (0±0%)

In the following table, the Counts columns list the number of angles for which Mogul statistics could be retrieved, the number of angles that are observed in the model and the number of angles that are defined in the chemical component dictionary. The Link column lists molecule types, if any, to which the group is linked. The Z score for a bond angle is the number of standard deviations the observed value is removed from the expected value. A bond angle with |Z| > 2 is considered an outlier worth inspection. RMSZ is the average root-mean-square of all Z scores of the bond angles.

Mal	Tuno	Chain	Peg	Link	Bond angles		
IVIOI	Type	Chain	nes	LIIIK	Counts	RMSZ	#Z>2
1	DCL	A	12	1	6,8,8	0.81 ± 0.00	0±0 (0±0%)
1	AIB	A	2	1	2,7,9	0.07 ± 0.01	0±0 (0±0%)
1	AIB	A	6	1	2,7,9	0.07 ± 0.00	0±0 (0±0%)
1	AIB	A	10	1	2,7,9	0.07 ± 0.00	0±0 (0±0%)

In the following table, the Chirals column lists the number of chiral outliers, the number of chiral centers analysed, the number of these observed in the model and the number defined in the chemical component dictionary. Similar counts are reported in the Torsion and Rings columns. '-' means no outliers of that kind were identified.

Mol	Type	Chain	Res	Link	Chirals	Torsions	Rings
1	AIB	A	2	1	-	$0\pm0,2,3,6$	-

Continued on next page...



Continued from previous page...

Mol	Type	Chain	Res	Link	Chirals	Torsions	Rings
1	AIB	A	10	1	-	$0\pm0,2,3,6$	-
1	AIB	A	6	1	-	$0\pm0,2,3,6$	-
1	DCL	A	12	1	-	$0\pm0,6,6,6$	-

There are no bond-length outliers.

There are no bond-angle outliers.

There are no chirality outliers.

There are no torsion outliers.

There are no ring outliers.

6.5 Carbohydrates (i)

There are no monosaccharides in this entry.

6.6 Ligand geometry (i)

There are no ligands in this entry.

6.7 Other polymers (i)

There are no such molecules in this entry.

6.8 Polymer linkage issues (i)

There are no chain breaks in this entry.



7 Chemical shift validation (i)

The completeness of assignment taking into account all chemical shift lists is 87% for the well-defined parts and 87% for the entire structure.

7.1 Chemical shift list 1

File name: working_cs.cif

Chemical shift list name: assigned_chemical_shifts_1

7.1.1 Bookkeeping (i)

The following table shows the results of parsing the chemical shift list and reports the number of nuclei with statistically unusual chemical shifts.

Total number of shifts	144
Number of shifts mapped to atoms	143
Number of unparsed shifts	0
Number of shifts with mapping errors	1
Number of shifts with mapping warnings	0
Number of shift outliers (ShiftChecker)	0

The following assigned chemical shifts were not mapped to the molecules present in the coordinate file.

• No matching atom found in the structure. All 1 occurrences are reported below.

Ligt ID	Chain	Pos	Type	Atom		Shift Dat	a
LIST ID	Chain	rtes	Type	Atom	Value	Shift Dat Uncertainty	Ambiguity
1	A	12	DCL	HN1	7.639	0.001	1

7.1.2 Chemical shift referencing (i)

No chemical shift referencing corrections were calculated (not enough data).

7.1.3 Completeness of resonance assignments (i)

The following table shows the completeness of the chemical shift assignments for the well-defined regions of the structure. The overall completeness is 87%, i.e. 94 atoms were assigned a chemical shift out of a possible 108. 0 out of 2 assigned methyl groups (LEU and VAL) were assigned stereospecifically.



	Total	$^{1}{ m H}$	$^{13}\mathbf{C}$	$^{15}{ m N}$
Backbone	19/31 (61%)	12/12 (100%)	7/14 (50%)	0/5 (0%)
Sidechain	75/77 (97%)	52/52 (100%)	23/24 (96%)	0/1 (0%)
Overall	94/108 (87%)	64/64 (100%)	30/38 (79%)	0/6 (0%)

The following table shows the completeness of the chemical shift assignments for the full structure. The overall completeness is 87%, i.e. 94 atoms were assigned a chemical shift out of a possible 108. 0 out of 2 assigned methyl groups (LEU and VAL) were assigned stereospecifically.

	Total	$^{1}{ m H}$	$^{13}\mathbf{C}$	$^{15}{ m N}$
Backbone	19/31 (61%)	$12/12 \ (100\%)$	7/14 (50%)	0/5 (0%)
Sidechain	75/77 (97%)	52/52 (100%)	23/24 (96%)	0/1 (0%)
Overall	94/108 (87%)	64/64 (100%)	30/38 (79%)	0/6 (0%)


7.1.4 Statistically unusual chemical shifts (i)

There are no statistically unusual chemical shifts.

7.1.5 Random Coil Index (RCI) plots (i)

The image below reports random coil index values for the protein chains in the structure. The height of each bar gives a probability of a given residue to be disordered, as predicted from the available chemical shifts and the amino acid sequence. A value above 0.2 is an indication of significant predicted disorder. The colour of the bar shows whether the residue is in the well-defined core (black) or in the ill-defined residue ranges (cyan), as described in section 2 on ensemble composition. If well-defined core and ill-defined regions are not identified then it is shown as gray bars.

Random coil index (RCI) for chain A:





8 NMR restraints analysis (i)

8.1 Conformationally restricting restraints (i)

The following table provides the summary of experimentally observed NMR restraints in different categories. Restraints are classified into different categories based on the sequence separation of the atoms involved.

Description	Value
Total distance restraints	72
Intra-residue ($ i-j =0$)	32
Sequential (i-j =1)	26
Medium range ($ i-j >1$ and $ i-j <5$)	14
Long range (i-j ≥5)	0
Inter-chain	0
Hydrogen bond restraints	0
Disulfide bond restraints	0
Total dihedral-angle restraints	16
Number of unmapped restraints	8
Number of restraints per residue	7.3
Number of long range restraints per residue ¹	0.0

¹Long range hydrogen bonds and disulfide bonds are counted as long range restraints while calculating the number of long range restraints per residue

8.2 Residual restraint violations (i)

This section provides the overview of the restraint violations analysis. The violations are binned as small, medium and large violations based on its absolute value. Average number of violations per model is calculated by dividing the total number of violations in each bin by the size of the ensemble.

8.2.1 Average number of distance violations per model (i)

Distance violations less than 0.1 Å are not included in the calculation.

Bins (Å)	Average number of violations per model	Max (Å)
0.1-0.2 (Small)	0.6	0.2
0.2-0.5 (Medium)	0.1	0.48
>0.5 (Large)	0.1	1.06



8.2.2 Average number of dihedral-angle violations per model (i)

Dihedral-angle violations less than 1° are not included in the calculation.

$\mathbf{Bins}\;(^{\circ})$	Average number of violations per model	\mathbf{Max} (°)
1.0-10.0 (Small)	0.1	2.47
10.0-20.0 (Medium)	None	None
>20.0 (Large)	None	None



9 Distance violation analysis (i)

9.1 Summary of distance violations (i)


The following table shows the summary of distance violations in different restraint categories based on the sequence separation of the atoms involved. Each category is further sub-divided into three sub-categories based on the atoms involved. Violations less than 0.1 Å are not included in the statistics.

Dogtosinta tuna	Count	% ¹	Vio	\mathbf{lated}^{i}	3	Consis	tentl	${f y}$ Violated 4
Restraints type	Count	70	Count	$\%^2$	$ \%^1$	Count	$\%^2$	$\%^1$
Intra-residue (i-j =0)	32	44.4	2	6.2	2.8	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Backbone	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Sidechain	26	36.1	2	7.7	2.8	0	0.0	0.0
Sidechain-Sidechain	6	8.3	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Sequential (i-j =1)	26	36.1	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Backbone	5	6.9	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Sidechain	17	23.6	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Sidechain-Sidechain	4	5.6	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Medium range ($ i-j >1 \& i-j <5$)	14	19.4	1	7.1	1.4	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Backbone	4	5.6	1	25.0	1.4	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Sidechain	9	12.5	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Sidechain-Sidechain	1	1.4	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Long range ($ i-j \ge 5$)	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Backbone	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Sidechain	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Sidechain-Sidechain	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Inter-chain	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Backbone	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Sidechain	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Sidechain-Sidechain	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Hydrogen bond	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Disulfide bond	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Total	72	100.0	3	4.2	4.2	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Backbone	9	12.5	1	11.1	1.4	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Sidechain	52	72.2	2	3.8	2.8	0	0.0	0.0
Sidechain-Sidechain	11	15.3	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0

 $^{^1}$ percentage calculated with respect to the total number of distance restraints, 2 percentage calculated with respect to the number of restraints in a particular restraint category, 3 violated in at least one model, 4 violated in all the models



9.1.1 Bar chart: Distribution of distance restraints and violations (i)



Violated and consistently violated restraints are shown using different hatch patterns in their respective categories. The hydrogen bonds and disulfied bonds are counted in their appropriate category on the x-axis

9.2 Distance violation statistics for each model (i)

The following table provides the distance violation statistics for each model in the ensemble. Violations less than 0.1 Å are not included in the statistics.

MadalID		Nun	nber o	f viola	ations	3	Magn (Å)	Max (Å)	${ m SD}^6$ (Å)	Modian (Å)
Model ID	IR^1	SQ^2	MR^3	LR^4	IC^5	Total	Mean (Å)	Max (A)	$SD^*(A)$	Median (Å)
1	1	0	0	0	0	1	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.1
2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
7	1	0	0	0	0	1	0.17	0.17	0.0	0.17
8	1	0	1	0	0	2	0.33	0.54	0.21	0.33
9	1	0	1	0	0	2	0.33	0.54	0.21	0.33
10	1	0	0	0	0	1	0.12	0.12	0.0	0.12
11	1	0	0	0	0	1	0.12	0.12	0.0	0.12

Continued on next page...




Continued from previous page...

Model ID		Nun	nber o	f viola	ations	3	Mean (Å)	Morr (Å)	\mathbf{SD}^6 (Å)	Modion (Å)
Model ID	IR^1	SQ^2	MR^3	LR^4	IC^5	Total	Mean (A)	Max (Å)	$SD^*(A)$	Median (Å)
12	1	0	0	0	0	1	0.16	0.16	0.0	0.16
13	2	0	1	0	0	3	0.16	0.2	0.03	0.16
14	1	0	0	0	0	1	0.14	0.14	0.0	0.14
15	1	0	0	0	0	1	0.13	0.13	0.0	0.13
16	1	0	0	0	0	1	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.1
17	1	0	0	0	0	1	0.12	0.12	0.0	0.12
18	0	0	1	0	0	1	0.48	0.48	0.0	0.48
19	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
20	0	0	1	0	0	1	1.06	1.06	0.0	1.06

 $^{^1}$ Intra-residue restraints, 2 Sequential restraints, 3 Medium range restraints, 4 Long range restraints, 5 Inter-chain restraints, 6 Standard deviation

9.2.1 Bar graph: Distance Violation statistics for each model (i)



The mean(dot),median(x) and the standard deviation are shown in blue with respect to the y axis on the right



9.3 Distance violation statistics for the ensemble (i)


Violation analysis may find that some restraints are violated in few models and some are violated in most of models. The following table provides this information as number of violated restraints for a given fraction of the ensemble. In total, 69(IR:30, SQ:26, MR:13, LR:0, IC:0) restraints are not violated in the ensemble.

Nu	I						n of the ensemble
IR^1	SQ^2	MR^3	LR^4	IC^5	Total	Count ⁶	%
1	0	0	0	0	1	1	5.0
0	0	0	0	0	0	2	10.0
0	0	0	0	0	0	3	15.0
0	0	0	0	0	0	4	20.0
0	0	1	0	0	1	5	25.0
0	0	0	0	0	0	6	30.0
0	0	0	0	0	0	7	35.0
0	0	0	0	0	0	8	40.0
0	0	0	0	0	0	9	45.0
0	0	0	0	0	0	10	50.0
0	0	0	0	0	0	11	55.0
1	0	0	0	0	1	12	60.0
0	0	0	0	0	0	13	65.0
0	0	0	0	0	0	14	70.0
0	0	0	0	0	0	15	75.0
0	0	0	0	0	0	16	80.0
0	0	0	0	0	0	17	85.0
0	0	0	0	0	0	18	90.0
0	0	0	0	0	0	19	95.0
0	0	0	0	0	0	20	100.0

 $^{^1}$ Intra-residue restraints, 2 Sequential restraints, 3 Medium range restraints, 4 Long range restraints, 5 Inter-chain restraints, 6 Number of models with violations



9.3.1 Bar graph: Distance violation statistics for the ensemble (i)




9.4 Most violated distance restraints in the ensemble (i)

9.4.1 Histogram: Distribution of mean distance violations (i)

The following histogram shows the distribution of the average value of the violation. The average is calculated for each restraint that is violated in more than one model over all the violated models in the ensemble





9.4.2 Table: Most violated distance restraints (i)

The following table provides the mean and the standard deviation of the violation for each restraint sorted by number of violated models and the mean value. The Key (restraint list ID, restraint ID) is the unique identifier for a given restraint. Rows with same key represent combinatorial or ambiguous restraints and are counted as a single restraint.

Key	Atom-1	Atom-2	${f Models}^1$	Mean (Å)	\mathbf{SD}^1 (Å)	Median (Å)
(1,51)	1:8:A:LEU:HA	1:8:A:LEU:HB2	12	0.13	0.02	0.12
(1,51)	1:8:A:LEU:HA	1:8:A:LEU:HB3	12	0.13	0.02	0.12
(2,3)	1:1:A:ACE:H1	1:4:A:ILE:H	5	0.56	0.28	0.54


¹Number of violated models, ²Standard deviation

9.5 All violated distance restraints (i)

9.5.1 Histogram: Distribution of distance violations (i)

The following histogram shows the distribution of the absolute value of the violation for all violated restraints in the ensemble.





9.5.2 Table: All distance violations (i)

The following table lists the absolute value of the violation for each restraint in the ensemble sorted by its value. The Key (restraint list ID, restraint ID) is the unique identifier for a given restraint. Rows with same key represent combinatorial or ambiguous restraints and are counted as a single restraint.

Key	Atom-1	Atom-2	Model ID	Violation (Å)
(2,3)	1:1:A:ACE:H1	1:4:A:ILE:H	20	1.06
(2,3)	1:1:A:ACE:H1	1:4:A:ILE:H	8	0.54
(2,3)	1:1:A:ACE:H1	1:4:A:ILE:H	9	0.54
(2,3)	1:1:A:ACE:H1	1:4:A:ILE:H	18	0.48
(2,3)	1:1:A:ACE:H1	1:4:A:ILE:H	13	0.2
(1,51)	1:8:A:LEU:HA	1:8:A:LEU:HB2	7	0.17
(1,51)	1:8:A:LEU:HA	1:8:A:LEU:HB3	7	0.17
(1,51)	1:8:A:LEU:HA	1:8:A:LEU:HB2	12	0.16
(1,51)	1:8:A:LEU:HA	1:8:A:LEU:HB3	12	0.16
(1,51)	1:8:A:LEU:HA	1:8:A:LEU:HB2	13	0.16
(1,51)	1:8:A:LEU:HA	1:8:A:LEU:HB3	13	0.16
(1,51)	1:8:A:LEU:HA	1:8:A:LEU:HB2	14	0.14
(1,51)	1:8:A:LEU:HA	1:8:A:LEU:HB3	14	0.14
(1,51)	1:8:A:LEU:HA	1:8:A:LEU:HB2	15	0.13
(1,51)	1:8:A:LEU:HA	1:8:A:LEU:HB3	15	0.13
(1,56)	1:9:A:LEU:HA	1:9:A:LEU:HB2	13	0.12

Continued on next page...



Continued from previous page...

Key	Atom-1	Atom-2	Model ID	Violation (Å)
(1,56)	1:9:A:LEU:HA	1:9:A:LEU:HB3	13	0.12
(1,51)	1:8:A:LEU:HA	1:8:A:LEU:HB2	8	0.12
(1,51)	1:8:A:LEU:HA	1:8:A:LEU:HB3	8	0.12
(1,51)	1:8:A:LEU:HA	1:8:A:LEU:HB2	9	0.12
(1,51)	1:8:A:LEU:HA	1:8:A:LEU:HB3	9	0.12
(1,51)	1:8:A:LEU:HA	1:8:A:LEU:HB2	10	0.12
(1,51)	1:8:A:LEU:HA	1:8:A:LEU:HB3	10	0.12
(1,51)	1:8:A:LEU:HA	1:8:A:LEU:HB2	11	0.12
(1,51)	1:8:A:LEU:HA	1:8:A:LEU:HB3	11	0.12
(1,51)	1:8:A:LEU:HA	1:8:A:LEU:HB2	17	0.12
(1,51)	1:8:A:LEU:HA	1:8:A:LEU:HB3	17	0.12
(1,51)	1:8:A:LEU:HA	1:8:A:LEU:HB2	1	0.1
(1,51)	1:8:A:LEU:HA	1:8:A:LEU:HB3	1	0.1
(1,51)	1:8:A:LEU:HA	1:8:A:LEU:HB2	16	0.1
(1,51)	1:8:A:LEU:HA	1:8:A:LEU:HB3	16	0.1



10 Dihedral-angle violation analysis (i)


10.1 Summary of dihedral-angle violations (i)

The following table provides the summary of dihedral-angle violations in different dihedral-angle types. Violations less than 1° are not included in the calculation.

A 1 - 4	Carret	071		lated ³	Consis	${ m nsistently\ Violated^4}$		
Angle type	Count	$\%^1$	Count	$\%^2$	$\%^1$	Count	$\%^2$	\% ¹
PSI	7	43.8	1	14.3	6.2	0	0.0	0.0
PHI	9	56.2	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Total	16	100.0	1	6.2	6.2	0	0.0	0.0

 $^{^1}$ percentage calculated with respect to total number of dihedral-angle restraints, 2 percentage calculated with respect to number of restraints in a particular dihedral-angle type, 3 violated in at least one model, 4 violated in all the models

10.1.1 Bar chart: Distribution of dihedral-angles and violations (i)



Violated and consistently violated restraints are shown using different hatch patterns in their respective categories




10.2 Dihedral-angle violation statistics for each model (i)

The following table provides the dihedral-angle violation statistics for each model in the ensemble. Violations less than 1° are not included in the statistics.

Model ID	Nun	nber o	f violations	Mean (°)	Mov (°)	SD (°)	Modian (°)
Wiodei 1D	PSI	PHI	Total	Mean ()	$\mathbf{Max} (^{\circ})$	\mathbf{SD} (°)	\mid Median (°) \mid
1	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
2	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
3	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
4	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
5	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
6	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
7	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
8	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
9	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
10	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
11	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
12	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
13	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
14	1	0	1	2.47	2.47	0.0	2.47
15	1	0	1	2.42	2.42	0.0	2.42
16	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
17	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
18	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
19	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
20	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0



10.2.1 Bar graph: Dihedral violation statistics for each model (i)



The mean(dot), median(x) and the standard deviation are shown in blue with respect to the y axis on the right

10.3 Dihedral-angle violation statistics for the ensemble (i)

Violation analysis may find that some restraints are violated in very few models and some are violated in most of models. The following table provides this information as number of violated restraints for a given fraction of ensemble.

Nun	nber o	f violated restraints	Fractio	n of the ensemble
PSI	PHI	Total	Count ¹	%
0	0	0	1	5.0
1	0	1	2	10.0
0	0	0	3	15.0
0	0	0	4	20.0
0	0	0	5	25.0
0	0	0	6	30.0
0	0	0	7	35.0
0	0	0	8	40.0
0	0	0	9	45.0
0	0	0	10	50.0
0	0	0	11	55.0

Continued on next page...




Continued from previous page...

Nun	nber o	f violated restraints	Fraction of the ensemble			
PSI	PHI	Total	Count ¹	%		
0	0	0	12	60.0		
0	0	0	13	65.0		
0	0	0	14	70.0		
0	0	0	15	75.0		
0	0	0	16	80.0		
0	0	0	17	85.0		
0	0	0	18	90.0		
0	0	0	19	95.0		
0	0	0	20	100.0		

¹ Number of models with violations

10.3.1 Bar graph: Dihedral-angle Violation statistics for the ensemble (i)




10.4 Most violated dihedral-angle restraints in the ensemble (i)

10.4.1 Histogram: Distribution of mean dihedral-angle violations (i)

The following histogram shows the distribution of the average value of the violation. The average is calculated for each restraint that is violated in more than one model over all the violated models



in the ensemble



10.4.2 Table: Most violated dihedral-angle restraints (i)

The following table provides the mean and the standard deviation of the violation for each restraint sorted by number of violated models and the mean value. The Key (restraint list ID, restraint ID) is the unique identifier for a given restraint.

Key	Atom-1	Atom-2	Atom-3	Atom-4	$\mathbf{Models}^{\scriptscriptstyle 1}$	Mean	\mathbf{SD}^2	Median
(1,13)	1:9:A:LEU:N	1:9:A:LEU:CA	1:9:A:LEU:C	1:10:A:AIB:N	2	2.45	0.03	2.45

¹ Number of violated models, ²Standard deviation, All angle values are in degree (°)

10.5 All violated dihedral-angle restraints (i)

10.5.1 Histogram: Distribution of violations (i)

The following histogram shows the distribution of the absolute value of the violation for all violated restraints in the ensemble.

Data insufficient to plot histogram

10.5.2 Table: All violated dihedral-angle restraints (i)

The following table lists the absolute value of the violation for each restraint in the ensemble sorted by its value. The Key (restraint list ID, restraint ID) is the unique identifier for a given restraint.



Key	Atom-1	Atom-2	Atom-3	Atom-4	Model ID	Violation (°)
(1,13)	1:9:A:LEU:N	1:9:A:LEU:CA	1:9:A:LEU:C	1:10:A:AIB:N	14	2.47
(1,13)	1:9:A:LEU:N	1:9:A:LEU:CA	1:9:A:LEU:C	1:10:A:AIB:N	15	2.42

