

wwPDB NMR Structure Validation Summary Report (i)

Jun 3, 2023 – 06:08 PM EDT

PDB ID	:	2MUG
BMRB ID	:	25211
Title	:	Protective cellular immunity against P. falciparum malaria merozoite is associ-
		ated with a different P7 and P8 residue orientation in the MHC-peptide-TCR
		complex
Authors	:	Patarroyo, M.; Salazar, L.; Cifuentes, G.; Lozano, J.; Delgado, G.; Rivera, Z.;
		Rosas, J.; Vargas, L.
Deposited on	:	2014-09-09

This is a wwPDB NMR Structure Validation Summary Report for a publicly released PDB entry.

We welcome your comments at validation@mail.wwpdb.org A user guide is available at https://www.wwpdb.org/validation/2017/NMRValidationReportHelp with specific help available everywhere you see the (i) symbol.

The types of validation reports are described at http://www.wwpdb.org/validation/2017/FAQs#types.

The following versions of software and data (see references (1)) were used in the production of this report:

Percentile statistics wwPDB-RCI	: : :	4.02b-467 1.8.5 (274361), CSD as541be (2020) 20191225.v01 (using entries in the PDB archive December 25th 2019) v_1n_11_5_13_A (Berjanski et al., 2005) Wang et al. (2010)
ww PDB-Shift Checker	:	v1.2
BMRB Restraints Analysis Ideal geometry (proteins)		
Ideal geometry (DNA, RNA) Validation Pipeline (wwPDB-VP)	: :	Parkinson et al. (1996) 2.33

1 Overall quality at a glance (i)

The following experimental techniques were used to determine the structure: $SOLUTION\ NMR$

The overall completeness of chemical shifts assignment is 52%.

Percentile scores (ranging between 0-100) for global validation metrics of the entry are shown in the following graphic. The table shows the number of entries on which the scores are based.

Metric	:	Percentile Ranks	V	alue
Clashscore			Contraction of the second seco)
Ramachandran outliers)
Sidechain outliers).3%
	Worse		Better	
	Percentile relativ	ve to all structures		
	Percentile relativ	ve to all NMR structures		
Matria		Whole archive	NMR archiv	ve

Metric	Whole archive $(\#$ Entries)	(# Entries)
Clashscore	158937	12864
Ramachandran outliers	154571	11451
Sidechain outliers	154315	11428

The table below summarises the geometric issues observed across the polymeric chains and their fit to the experimental data. The red, orange, yellow and green segments indicate the fraction of residues that contain outliers for >=3, 2, 1 and 0 types of geometric quality criteria. A cyan segment indicates the fraction of residues that are not part of the well-defined cores, and a grey segment represents the fraction of residues that are not modelled. The numeric value for each fraction is indicated below the corresponding segment, with a dot representing fractions <=5%

Mol	Chain	Length	Quality of chain			
1	А	22	36%	27%	36%	



2 Ensemble composition and analysis (i)

This entry contains 22 models. Model 9 is the overall representative, medoid model (most similar to other models). The authors have identified model 1 as representative, based on the following criterion: *lowest energy*.

The following residues are included in the computation of the global validation metrics.

Well-defined (core) protein residues						
Well-defined core Residue range (total) Backbone RMSD (Å) Medoid model						
1	A:2-A:15 (14)	0.12	9			

Ill-defined regions of proteins are excluded from the global statistics.

Ligands and non-protein polymers are included in the analysis.

The models can be grouped into 3 clusters and 3 single-model clusters were found.

Cluster number	Models
1	2, 4, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 18, 19, 20
2	1, 3, 5, 6, 14, 22
3	7, 15
Single-model clusters	16; 17; 21



3 Entry composition (i)

There is only 1 type of molecule in this entry. The entry contains 349 atoms, of which 176 are hydrogens and 0 are deuteriums.

• Molecule 1 is a protein called Serine-repeat antigen protein.

Mol	Chain	Residues		At	oms			Trace
1	٨	22	Total	С	Н	Ν	0	1
	A	22	349	109	176	34	30	1

There are 2 discrepancies between the modelled and reference sequences:

Chain	Residue	Modelled	Actual	Comment	Reference
А	1B	ACE	-	acetylation	UNP P69192
А	21	NH2	-	amidation	UNP P69192



4 Residue-property plots (i)

4.1 Average score per residue in the NMR ensemble

These plots are provided for all protein, RNA, DNA and oligosaccharide chains in the entry. The first graphic is the same as shown in the summary in section 1 of this report. The second graphic shows the sequence where residues are colour-coded according to the number of geometric quality criteria for which they contain at least one outlier: green = 0, yellow = 1, orange = 2 and red = 3 or more. Stretches of 2 or more consecutive residues without any outliers are shown as green connectors. Residues which are classified as ill-defined in the NMR ensemble, are shown in cyan with an underline colour-coded according to the previous scheme. Residues which were present in the experimental sample, but not modelled in the final structure are shown in grey.

• Molecule 1: Serine-repeat antigen protein

Chain A:	36%	27%	36%
ACE18 M1 E2 E5 E5 R6 V7 H1	H15 116 116 118 018 018 018 820 NH221		

4.2 Residue scores for the representative (medoid) model from the NMR ensemble

The representative model is number 9. Colouring as in section 4.1 above.

• Molecule 1: Serine-repeat antigen protein

Chain A:	36%	27%	36%
ACE1B N1 E2 E5 R6 R6 H1 H11	H15 116 117 118 118 119 119 119 1120 11221		



5 Refinement protocol and experimental data overview (i)

The models were refined using the following method: *DGSA-distance geometry simulated annealing.*

Of the 50 calculated structures, 22 were deposited, based on the following criterion: *structures with the least restraint violations*.

The following table shows the software used for structure solution, optimisation and refinement.

Software name	Classification	Version
Insight II	geometry optimization	
Insight II	refinement	

The following table shows chemical shift validation statistics as aggregates over all chemical shift files. Detailed validation can be found in section 7 of this report.

Chemical shift file(s)	working_cs.cif
Number of chemical shift lists	1
Total number of shifts	166
Number of shifts mapped to atoms	163
Number of unparsed shifts	0
Number of shifts with mapping errors	3
Number of shifts with mapping warnings	0
Assignment completeness (well-defined parts)	52%



6 Model quality (i)

6.1 Standard geometry (i)

Bond lengths and bond angles in the following residue types are not validated in this section: NH2, ACE $\,$

The Z score for a bond length (or angle) is the number of standard deviations the observed value is removed from the expected value. A bond length (or angle) with |Z| > 5 is considered an outlier worth inspection. RMSZ is the (average) root-mean-square of all Z scores of the bond lengths (or angles).

Mol	ol Chain	E	Sond lengths	Bond angles		
		RMSZ	$\#Z{>}5$	RMSZ	#Z>5	
1	А	$1.86 {\pm} 0.00$	$2{\pm}0/127~(~1.6{\pm}~0.0\%)$	$1.63 {\pm} 0.01$	$4{\pm}0/172~(~2.3{\pm}~0.1\%)$	
All	All	1.86	44/2794~(~1.6%)	1.63	87/3784~(~2.3%)	

All unique bond outliers are listed below. They are sorted according to the Z-score of the worst occurrence in the ensemble.

Mal	Chain	Dec	Turne	Atoms	7	Observed(Å)	Ideal(Å)	Moo	dels
	Unam	nes	Type	Atoms			Ideal(A)	Worst	Total
1	А	5	GLU	CD-OE2	10.95	1.37	1.25	7	22
1	А	2	GLU	CD-OE2	10.94	1.37	1.25	16	22

All unique angle outliers are listed below. They are sorted according to the Z-score of the worst occurrence in the ensemble.

Mol	Chain	Dec	Type	Atoms	7	$Observed(^{o})$	$Ideal(^{o})$	Moo	dels
10101	Unam	nes	Type	Atoms		Observed()	Ideal()	Worst	Total
1	А	6	ARG	NE-CZ-NH1	7.52	124.06	120.30	15	22
1	А	8	HIS	CG-ND1-CE1	-5.38	98.71	105.70	11	22
1	А	15	HIS	CG-ND1-CE1	-5.36	98.74	105.70	4	22
1	А	11	HIS	CG-ND1-CE1	-5.16	99.00	105.70	15	21

There are no chirality outliers.

There are no planarity outliers.

6.2 Too-close contacts (i)

In the following table, the Non-H and H(model) columns list the number of non-hydrogen atoms and hydrogen atoms in each chain respectively. The H(added) column lists the number of hydrogen atoms added and optimized by MolProbity. The Clashes column lists the number of clashes averaged over the ensemble.



Mol	Chain	Non-H	H(model)	H(added)	Clashes
All	All	2706	2684	2684	-

The all-atom clash score is defined as the number of clashes found per 1000 atoms (including hydrogen atoms). The all-atom clash score for this structure is -.

There are no clashes.

6.3 Torsion angles (i)

6.3.1 Protein backbone (i)

In the following table, the Percentiles column shows the percent Ramachandran outliers of the chain as a percentile score with respect to all PDB entries followed by that with respect to all NMR entries. The Analysed column shows the number of residues for which the backbone conformation was analysed and the total number of residues.

Mol	Chain	Analysed	Favoured	Allowed	Outliers	Percent	tiles
1	А	14/22~(64%)	14 ± 0 (98±3%)	$0\pm0~(2\pm3\%)$	0±0 (0±0%)	100	100
All	All	308/484~(64%)	303~(98%)	5(2%)	0 (0%)	100	100

There are no Ramachandran outliers.

6.3.2 Protein sidechains (i)

In the following table, the Percentiles column shows the percent side chain outliers of the chain as a percentile score with respect to all PDB entries followed by that with respect to all NMR entries. The Analysed column shows the number of residues for which the side chain conformation was analysed and the total number of residues.

Mol	Chain	Analysed	Rotameric	Outliers	Perce	ntiles
1	А	14/19~(74%)	$14\pm0 (100\pm1\%)$	0±0 (0±1%)	92	98
All	All	308/418~(74%)	307 (100%)	1 (0%)	92	98

All 1 unique residues with a non-rotameric sidechain are listed below.

Mol	Chain	Res	Type	Models (Total)
1	А	14	LYS	1



6.3.3 RNA (i)

There are no RNA molecules in this entry.

6.4 Non-standard residues in protein, DNA, RNA chains (i)

There are no non-standard protein/DNA/RNA residues in this entry.

6.5 Carbohydrates (i)

There are no monosaccharides in this entry.

6.6 Ligand geometry (i)

There are no ligands in this entry.

6.7 Other polymers (i)

There are no such molecules in this entry.

6.8 Polymer linkage issues (i)

There are no chain breaks in this entry.



7 Chemical shift validation (i)

The completeness of assignment taking into account all chemical shift lists is 52% for the well-defined parts and 53% for the entire structure.

7.1 Chemical shift list 1

File name: working_cs.cif

Chemical shift list name: assigned_chem_shift_list_1

7.1.1 Bookkeeping (i)

The following table shows the results of parsing the chemical shift list and reports the number of nuclei with statistically unusual chemical shifts.

Total number of shifts	166
Number of shifts mapped to atoms	163
Number of unparsed shifts	0
Number of shifts with mapping errors	3
Number of shifts with mapping warnings	0
Number of shift outliers (ShiftChecker)	0

The following assigned chemical shifts were not mapped to the molecules present in the coordinate file.

• No matching atom found in the structure. All 3 occurrences are reported below.

List ID	Chain	Bos	Type	Atom	Shift Data		
	Ullalli	nes	туре	Atom	Value	Uncertainty	Ambiguity
1	А	14	LYS	HZ2	7.62	0.02	•
1	А	17	LYS	HZ2	7.62	0.02	•
1	А	20	LYS	HZ2	7.62	0.02	•

7.1.2 Chemical shift referencing (i)

No chemical shift referencing corrections were calculated (not enough data).

7.1.3 Completeness of resonance assignments (i)

The following table shows the completeness of the chemical shift assignments for the well-defined regions of the structure. The overall completeness is 52%, i.e. 113 atoms were assigned a chemical shift out of a possible 216. 0 out of 4 assigned methyl groups (LEU and VAL) were assigned stereospecifically.



	Total	$^{1}\mathrm{H}$	$^{13}\mathrm{C}$	$^{15}\mathbf{N}$
Backbone	28/70~(40%)	28/28~(100%)	0/28~(0%)	0/14~(0%)
Sidechain	75/116~(65%)	75/76~(99%)	0/36~(0%)	0/4~(0%)
Aromatic	10/30~(33%)	10/16~(62%)	0/11~(0%)	0/3~(0%)
Overall	113/216~(52%)	113/120~(94%)	0/75~(0%)	0/21~(0%)


7.1.4 Statistically unusual chemical shifts (i)

There are no statistically unusual chemical shifts.

7.1.5 Random Coil Index (RCI) plots (i)

The image below reports *random coil index* values for the protein chains in the structure. The height of each bar gives a probability of a given residue to be disordered, as predicted from the available chemical shifts and the amino acid sequence. A value above 0.2 is an indication of significant predicted disorder. The colour of the bar shows whether the residue is in the well-defined core (black) or in the ill-defined residue ranges (cyan), as described in section 2 on ensemble composition. If well-defined core and ill-defined regions are not identified then it is shown as gray bars.

Random coil index (RCI) for chain A:





8 NMR restraints analysis (i)

8.1 Conformationally restricting restraints (i)

The following table provides the summary of experimentally observed NMR restraints in different categories. Restraints are classified into different categories based on the sequence separation of the atoms involved.

Description	Value
Total distance restraints	299
Intra-residue (i-j =0)	157
Sequential (i-j =1)	73
Medium range ($ i-j >1$ and $ i-j <5$)	63
Long range $(i-j \ge 5)$	0
Inter-chain	0
Hydrogen bond restraints	6
Disulfide bond restraints	0
Total dihedral-angle restraints	0
Number of unmapped restraints	0
Number of restraints per residue	13.6
Number of long range restraints per residue ¹	0.0

¹Long range hydrogen bonds and disulfide bonds are counted as long range restraints while calculating the number of long range restraints per residue

8.2 Residual restraint violations (i)

This section provides the overview of the restraint violations analysis. The violations are binned as small, medium and large violations based on its absolute value. Average number of violations per model is calculated by dividing the total number of violations in each bin by the size of the ensemble.

8.2.1 Average number of distance violations per model (i)

Distance violations less than 0.1 Å are not included in the calculation.

Bins (Å)	Average number of violations per model	Max (Å)
0.1-0.2 (Small)	7.3	0.2
0.2-0.5 (Medium)	24.8	0.5
>0.5 (Large)	11.2	1.54



8.2.2 Average number of dihedral-angle violations per model (i)

Dihedral-angle violations less than 1° are not included in the calculation. There are no dihedral-angle violations



9 Distance violation analysis (i)


9.1 Summary of distance violations (i)

The following table shows the summary of distance violations in different restraint categories based on the sequence separation of the atoms involved. Each category is further sub-divided into three sub-categories based on the atoms involved. Violations less than 0.1 Å are not included in the statistics.

B ostrainta tura	Count	$\%^1$	$Violated^3$			Consis	stently	Violated ⁴
Restraints type	Count		Count	$\%^2$	$\%^1$	Count	$\%^2$	$\%^1$
Intra-residue (i-j =0)	157	52.5	41	26.1	13.7	27	17.2	9.0
Backbone-Backbone	16	5.4	2	12.5	0.7	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Sidechain	87	29.1	25	28.7	8.4	15	17.2	5.0
Sidechain-Sidechain	54	18.1	14	25.9	4.7	12	22.2	4.0
Sequential (i-j =1)	73	24.4	11	15.1	3.7	4	5.5	1.3
Backbone-Backbone	32	10.7	1	3.1	0.3	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Sidechain	37	12.4	9	24.3	3.0	3	8.1	1.0
Sidechain-Sidechain	4	1.3	1	25.0	0.3	1	25.0	0.3
Medium range ($ i-j > 1 \& i-j < 5$)	63	21.1	11	17.5	3.7	4	6.3	1.3
Backbone-Backbone	32	10.7	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Sidechain	24	8.0	8	33.3	2.7	2	8.3	0.7
Sidechain-Sidechain	7	2.3	3	42.9	1.0	2	28.6	0.7
Long range $(i-j \ge 5)$	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Backbone	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Sidechain	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Sidechain-Sidechain	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Inter-chain	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Backbone	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Sidechain	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Sidechain-Sidechain	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Hydrogen bond	6	2.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Disulfide bond	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Total	299	100.0	63	21.1	21.1	35	11.7	11.7
Backbone-Backbone	86	28.8	3	3.5	1.0	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Sidechain	148	49.5	42	28.4	14.0	20	13.5	6.7
Sidechain-Sidechain	65	21.7	18	27.7	6.0	15	23.1	5.0

 1 percentage calculated with respect to the total number of distance restraints, 2 percentage calculated with respect to the number of restraints in a particular restraint category, 3 violated in at least one model, 4 violated in all the models





9.1.1 Bar chart : Distribution of distance restraints and violations (i)

Violated and consistently violated restraints are shown using different hatch patterns in their respective categories. The hydrogen bonds and disulfied bonds are counted in their appropriate category on the x-axis

9.2 Distance violation statistics for each model (i)

The following table provides the distance violation statistics for each model in the ensemble. Violations less than 0.1 Å are not included in the statistics.

Madal ID		Nun	nber o	f viola	ations	5	Maan (Å)	Mor (Å)	SD^6 (Å)	Madian (Å)
Model ID	IR^{1}	SQ^2	MR^3	LR^4	$ IC^5 $	Total	Mean (Å)	Max (Å)	$SD^{*}(A)$	Median (Å)
1	33	6	5	0	0	44	0.37	1.05	0.19	0.3
2	31	6	5	0	0	42	0.37	1.1	0.21	0.32
3	30	5	6	0	0	41	0.39	1.12	0.2	0.33
4	31	4	5	0	0	40	0.39	1.08	0.2	0.32
5	32	6	8	0	0	46	0.39	1.05	0.21	0.32
6	31	6	7	0	0	44	0.38	1.05	0.19	0.32
7	33	8	5	0	0	46	0.39	1.22	0.23	0.32
8	31	5	6	0	0	42	0.37	1.1	0.21	0.32
9	29	5	7	0	0	41	0.39	1.08	0.2	0.33
10	30	6	7	0	0	43	0.38	1.04	0.19	0.33
11	30	6	6	0	0	42	0.38	1.06	0.2	0.32


Continued on next page...




Model ID	Number of violations							Mar (Å)	$SD^{6}(\hat{X})$	Madian (Å)
Model ID	IR^1	SQ^2	MR^3	LR^4	IC^5	Total	Mean (Å)	Max (Å)	\mathbf{SD}^{6} (Å)	Median (Å)
12	31	6	5	0	0	42	0.38	1.09	0.21	0.31
13	33	7	6	0	0	46	0.39	1.54	0.26	0.33
14	31	8	5	0	0	44	0.37	1.04	0.19	0.3
15	32	7	8	0	0	47	0.39	1.08	0.21	0.32
16	32	6	8	0	0	46	0.38	1.04	0.19	0.32
17	31	7	5	0	0	43	0.38	1.19	0.21	0.29
18	31	8	5	0	0	44	0.36	1.23	0.21	0.27
19	33	6	4	0	0	43	0.38	1.13	0.21	0.32
20	32	7	5	0	0	44	0.38	1.22	0.23	0.3
21	30	6	5	0	0	41	0.4	1.07	0.22	0.34
22	31	5	5	0	0	41	0.38	1.23	0.21	0.3

Continued from previous page...

 1 Intra-residue restraints, 2 Sequential restraints, 3 Medium range restraints, 4 Long range restraints, 5 Inter-chain restraints, 6 Standard deviation







The mean(dot), median(x) and the standard deviation are shown in blue with respect to the y axis on the right


9.3 Distance violation statistics for the ensemble (i)

Violation analysis may find that some restraints are violated in few models and some are violated in most of models. The following table provides this information as number of violated restraints for a given fraction of the ensemble. In total, 230(IR:116, SQ:62, MR:52, LR:0, IC:0) restraints are not violated in the ensemble.

Nu	mber	of vio	lated	restra	aints	Fraction	n of the ensemble
IR ¹	SQ^2	MR^3	LR^4	IC ⁵	Total	Count^6	%
3	1	0	0	0	4	1	4.5
0	0	3	0	0	3	2	9.1
2	2	0	0	0	4	3	13.6
2	0	0	0	0	2	4	18.2
1	0	2	0	0	3	5	22.7
0	1	0	0	0	1	6	27.3
2	1	0	0	0	3	7	31.8
0	0	1	0	0	1	8	36.4
0	0	0	0	0	0	9	40.9
2	0	0	0	0	2	10	45.5
0	0	0	0	0	0	11	50.0
0	0	0	0	0	0	12	54.5
0	1	0	0	0	1	13	59.1
0	0	0	0	0	0	14	63.6
0	1	0	0	0	1	15	68.2
0	0	1	0	0	1	16	72.7
1	0	0	0	0	1	17	77.3
0	0	0	0	0	0	18	81.8
0	0	0	0	0	0	19	86.4
0	0	0	0	0	0	20	90.9
1	0	0	0	0	1	21	95.5
27	4	4	0	0	35	22	100.0

 1 Intra-residue restraints, 2 Sequential restraints, 3 Medium range restraints, 4 Long range restraints, 5 Inter-chain restraints, 6 Number of models with violations






9.3.1 Bar graph : Distance violation statistics for the ensemble (i)

9.4 Most violated distance restraints in the ensemble (i)

9.4.1 Histogram : Distribution of mean distance violations (i)

The following histogram shows the distribution of the average value of the violation. The average is calculated for each restraint that is violated in more than one model over all the violated models in the ensemble





9.4.2 Table: Most violated distance restraints (i)

The following table provides the mean and the standard deviation of the violations for the 10 worst performing restraints, sorted by number of violated models and the mean violation value. The Key (restraint list ID, restraint ID) is the unique identifier for a given restraint. Rows with same key represent combinatorial or ambiguous restraints and are counted as a single restraint.

Key	Atom-1	Atom-2	\mathbf{Models}^1	Mean (Å)	SD^1 (Å)	Median (Å)
(2,136)	1:A:5:GLU:H	1:A:5:GLU:HG2	22	1.1	0.07	1.08
(2,136)	1:A:5:GLU:H	1:A:5:GLU:HG3	22	1.1	0.07	1.08
(2,100)	1:A:10:TYR:H	1:A:9:VAL:HG21	22	0.77	0.01	0.78
(2,100)	1:A:10:TYR:H	1:A:9:VAL:HG22	22	0.77	0.01	0.78
(2,100)	1:A:10:TYR:H	1:A:9:VAL:HG23	22	0.77	0.01	0.78
(2,235)	1:A:9:VAL:HG11	1:A:6:ARG:HA	22	0.71	0.03	0.73
(2,235)	1:A:9:VAL:HG12	1:A:6:ARG:HA	22	0.71	0.03	0.73
(2,235)	1:A:9:VAL:HG13	1:A:6:ARG:HA	22	0.71	0.03	0.73
(2,235)	1:A:9:VAL:HG21	1:A:6:ARG:HA	22	0.71	0.03	0.73
(2,235)	1:A:9:VAL:HG22	1:A:6:ARG:HA	22	0.71	0.03	0.73
(2,235)	1:A:9:VAL:HG23	1:A:6:ARG:HA	22	0.71	0.03	0.73
(2,144)	1:A:11:HIS:HD2	1:A:7:VAL:HG11	22	0.66	0.06	0.68
(2,144)	1:A:11:HIS:HD2	1:A:7:VAL:HG12	22	0.66	0.06	0.68
(2,144)	1:A:11:HIS:HD2	1:A:7:VAL:HG13	22	0.66	0.06	0.68
(2,76)	1:A:6:ARG:HH11	1:A:6:ARG:HD2	22	0.63	0.02	0.62
(2,76)	1:A:6:ARG:HH11	1:A:6:ARG:HD3	22	0.63	0.02	0.62

Continued on next page...



Key	Atom-1	Atom-2	\mathbf{Models}^1	Mean (Å)	SD^1 (Å)	Median (Å)
(2,76)	1:A:6:ARG:HH12	1:A:6:ARG:HD2	22	0.63	0.02	0.62
(2,76)	1:A:6:ARG:HH12	1:A:6:ARG:HD3	22	0.63	0.02	0.62
(2,76)	1:A:6:ARG:HH21	1:A:6:ARG:HD2	22	0.63	0.02	0.62
(2,76)	1:A:6:ARG:HH21	1:A:6:ARG:HD3	22	0.63	0.02	0.62
(2,76)	1:A:6:ARG:HH22	1:A:6:ARG:HD2	22	0.63	0.02	0.62
(2,76)	1:A:6:ARG:HH22	1:A:6:ARG:HD3	22	0.63	0.02	0.62
(2,245)	1:A:9:VAL:HG11	1:A:8:HIS:HB2	22	0.61	0.01	0.61
(2,245)	1:A:9:VAL:HG11	1:A:8:HIS:HB3	22	0.61	0.01	0.61
(2,245)	1:A:9:VAL:HG12	1:A:8:HIS:HB2	22	0.61	0.01	0.61
(2,245)	1:A:9:VAL:HG12	1:A:8:HIS:HB3	22	0.61	0.01	0.61
(2,245)	1:A:9:VAL:HG13	1:A:8:HIS:HB2	22	0.61	0.01	0.61
(2,245)	1:A:9:VAL:HG13	1:A:8:HIS:HB3	22	0.61	0.01	0.61
(2,150)	1:A:8:HIS:HD2	1:A:12:ILE:HD11	22	0.61	0.03	0.6
(2,150)	1:A:8:HIS:HD2	1:A:12:ILE:HD12	22	0.61	0.03	0.6
(2,150)	1:A:8:HIS:HD2	1:A:12:ILE:HD13	22	0.61	0.03	0.6
(2,262)	1:A:3:VAL:HG11	1:A:3:VAL:HG21	22	0.58	0.0	0.58
(2,262)	1:A:3:VAL:HG11	1:A:3:VAL:HG22	22	0.58	0.0	0.58
(2,262)	1:A:3:VAL:HG11	1:A:3:VAL:HG23	22	0.58	0.0	0.58
(2,262)	1:A:3:VAL:HG12	1:A:3:VAL:HG21	22	0.58	0.0	0.58
(2,262)	1:A:3:VAL:HG12	1:A:3:VAL:HG22	22	0.58	0.0	0.58
(2,262)	1:A:3:VAL:HG12	1:A:3:VAL:HG23	22	0.58	0.0	0.58
(2,262)	1:A:3:VAL:HG13	1:A:3:VAL:HG21	22	0.58	0.0	0.58
(2,262)	1:A:3:VAL:HG13	1:A:3:VAL:HG22	22	0.58	0.0	0.58
(2,262)	1:A:3:VAL:HG13	1:A:3:VAL:HG23	22	0.58	0.0	0.58
(2,204)	1:A:6:ARG:HG2	1:A:6:ARG:HD2	22	0.56	0.05	0.54
(2,204)	1:A:6:ARG:HG2	1:A:6:ARG:HD3	22	0.56	0.05	0.54
(2,204)	1:A:6:ARG:HG3	1:A:6:ARG:HD2	22	0.56	0.05	0.54
(2,204)	1:A:6:ARG:HG3	1:A:6:ARG:HD3	22	0.56	0.05	0.54
(2,105)	1:A:7:VAL:H	1:A:7:VAL:HG21	22	0.53	0.02	0.52

Continued from previous page...


¹Number of violated models, ²Standard deviation

9.5 All violated distance restraints (i)

9.5.1 Histogram : Distribution of distance violations (i)

The following histogram shows the distribution of the absolute value of the violation for all violated restraints in the ensemble.





9.5.2 Table : All distance violations (i)

The following table provides the 10 worst performing restraints, sorted by the violation value. The Key (restraint list ID, restraint ID) is the unique identifier for a given restraint. Rows with same key represent combinatorial or ambiguous restraints and are counted as a single restraint.

Key	Atom-1	Atom-2	Model ID	Violation (Å)
(2,163)	1:A:1:ASN:HD21	1:A:1:ASN:H	13	1.54
(2,136)	1:A:5:GLU:H	1:A:5:GLU:HG2	18	1.23
(2,136)	1:A:5:GLU:H	1:A:5:GLU:HG3	18	1.23
(2,136)	1:A:5:GLU:H	1:A:5:GLU:HG2	22	1.23
(2,136)	1:A:5:GLU:H	1:A:5:GLU:HG3	22	1.23
(2,136)	1:A:5:GLU:H	1:A:5:GLU:HG2	7	1.22
(2,136)	1:A:5:GLU:H	1:A:5:GLU:HG3	7	1.22
(2,136)	1:A:5:GLU:H	1:A:5:GLU:HG2	20	1.22
(2,136)	1:A:5:GLU:H	1:A:5:GLU:HG3	20	1.22
(2,136)	1:A:5:GLU:H	1:A:5:GLU:HG2	17	1.19
(2,136)	1:A:5:GLU:H	1:A:5:GLU:HG3	17	1.19
(2,136)	1:A:5:GLU:H	1:A:5:GLU:HG2	19	1.13
(2,136)	1:A:5:GLU:H	1:A:5:GLU:HG3	19	1.13
(2,136)	1:A:5:GLU:H	1:A:5:GLU:HG2	3	1.12
(2,136)	1:A:5:GLU:H	1:A:5:GLU:HG3	3	1.12
(2,136)	1:A:5:GLU:H	1:A:5:GLU:HG2	2	1.1
(2,136)	1:A:5:GLU:H	1:A:5:GLU:HG3	2	1.1

Continued on next page...



Continued from previous page...

Key	Atom-1	Atom-2	Model ID	Violation (Å)
(2,136)	1:A:5:GLU:H	1:A:5:GLU:HG2	8	1.1



10 Dihedral-angle violation analysis (i)

Dihedral angle analysis failed due to data error in the dihedral angle restraints, possibly missing target value

