

# wwPDB NMR Structure Validation Summary Report (i)

#### Jun 6, 2023 – 06:21 PM EDT

PDB ID	:	2NAZ
BMRB ID	:	18849
Title	:	The solution NMR structure of the C-terminal effector domain of BfmR from
		Acinetobacter baumannii
Authors	:	Olson, A.L.; Thompson, R.J.; Cavanagh, J.; Feldmann, E.A.; Bobay, B.G.
Deposited on	:	2016-01-15

This is a wwPDB NMR Structure Validation Summary Report for a publicly released PDB entry.

We welcome your comments at validation@mail.wwpdb.org A user guide is available at https://www.wwpdb.org/validation/2017/NMRValidationReportHelp with specific help available everywhere you see the (i) symbol.

The types of validation reports are described at http://www.wwpdb.org/validation/2017/FAQs#types.

The following versions of software and data (see references (1)) were used in the production of this report:


MolProbity	:	4.02b-467
Percentile statistics	:	20191225.v01 (using entries in the PDB archive December 25th 2019)
wwPDB-RCI	:	v_1n_11_5_13_A (Berjanski et al., 2005)
PANAV	:	Wang et al. (2010)
wwPDB-ShiftChecker	:	v1.2
BMRB Restraints Analysis	:	v1.2
Ideal geometry (proteins)	:	Engh & Huber (2001)
Ideal geometry (DNA, RNA)	:	Parkinson et al. (1996)
Validation Pipeline (wwPDB-VP)	:	2.33

# 1 Overall quality at a glance (i)

The following experimental techniques were used to determine the structure:  $SOLUTION\ NMR$ 

The overall completeness of chemical shifts assignment is 86%.

Percentile scores (ranging between 0-100) for global validation metrics of the entry are shown in the following graphic. The table shows the number of entries on which the scores are based.



Metric	$egin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	${f NMR}  { m archive} \ (\#{ m Entries})$
Clashscore	158937	12864
Ramachandran outliers	154571	11451
Sidechain outliers	154315	11428

The table below summarises the geometric issues observed across the polymeric chains and their fit to the experimental data. The red, orange, yellow and green segments indicate the fraction of residues that contain outliers for >=3, 2, 1 and 0 types of geometric quality criteria. A cyan segment indicates the fraction of residues that are not part of the well-defined cores, and a grey segment represents the fraction of residues that are not modelled. The numeric value for each fraction is indicated below the corresponding segment, with a dot representing fractions <=5%

Mol	Chain	Length	Quality of chain				
1	А	118	35%	22%	••	31%	8%



# 2 Ensemble composition and analysis (i)

This entry contains 10 models. Model 5 is the overall representative, medoid model (most similar to other models). The authors have identified model 1 as representative, based on the following criterion: *lowest energy*.

The following residues are included in the computation of the global validation metrics.

Well-defined (core) protein residues						
Well-defined core Residue range (total) Backbone RMSD (Å) Medoid model						
1	A:136-A:188, A:204-A:213,	0.18	5			
	A:218-A:221, A:228-A:232					
	(72)					

Ill-defined regions of proteins are excluded from the global statistics.

Ligands and non-protein polymers are included in the analysis.

The models can be grouped into 2 clusters and 2 single-model clusters were found.

Cluster number	Models
1	1, 3, 4, 5, 7, 10
2	2, 9
Single-model clusters	6; 8



# 3 Entry composition (i)

There is only 1 type of molecule in this entry. The entry contains 1752 atoms, of which 873 are hydrogens and 0 are deuteriums.

• Molecule 1 is a protein called Transcriptional regulatory protein RstA.

Mol	Chain	Residues	Atoms			Trace		
1	٨	109	Total	С	Н	Ν	Ο	0
	A	109	1752	547	873	158	174	0

There are 9 discrepancies between the modelled and reference sequences:

Chain	Residue	Modelled	Actual	Comment	Reference
A	129	MET	-	initiating methionine	UNP D0C9D6
А	239	LEU	-	expression tag	UNP D0C9D6
А	240	GLU	-	expression tag	UNP D0C9D6
А	241	HIS	-	expression tag	UNP D0C9D6
А	242	HIS	-	expression tag	UNP D0C9D6
A	243	HIS	-	expression tag	UNP D0C9D6
А	244	HIS	-	expression tag	UNP D0C9D6
А	245	HIS	-	expression tag	UNP D0C9D6
А	246	HIS	-	expression tag	UNP D0C9D6




# 4 Residue-property plots (i)

# 4.1 Average score per residue in the NMR ensemble

These plots are provided for all protein, RNA, DNA and oligosaccharide chains in the entry. The first graphic is the same as shown in the summary in section 1 of this report. The second graphic shows the sequence where residues are colour-coded according to the number of geometric quality criteria for which they contain at least one outlier: green = 0, yellow = 1, orange = 2 and red = 3 or more. Stretches of 2 or more consecutive residues without any outliers are shown as green connectors. Residues which are classified as ill-defined in the NMR ensemble, are shown in cyan with an underline colour-coded according to the previous scheme. Residues which were present in the experimental sample, but not modelled in the final structure are shown in grey.


• Molecule 1: Transcriptional regulatory protein RstA



# 4.2 Residue scores for the representative (medoid) model from the NMR ensemble

The representative model is number 5. Colouring as in section 4.1 above.

• Molecule 1: Transcriptional regulatory protein RstA





# 5 Refinement protocol and experimental data overview (i)

The models were refined using the following method: *simulated annealing*.

Of the 100 calculated structures, 10 were deposited, based on the following criterion: *structures with the lowest energy*.

The following table shows the software used for structure solution, optimisation and refinement.

Software name	Classification	Version
Sparky	structure solution	
Amber	refinement	12.0

The following table shows chemical shift validation statistics as aggregates over all chemical shift files. Detailed validation can be found in section 7 of this report.

Chemical shift file(s)	working_cs.cif
Number of chemical shift lists	1
Total number of shifts	1202
Number of shifts mapped to atoms	1202
Number of unparsed shifts	0
Number of shifts with mapping errors	0
Number of shifts with mapping warnings	0
Assignment completeness (well-defined parts)	86%



# 6 Model quality (i)

# 6.1 Standard geometry (i)

The Z score for a bond length (or angle) is the number of standard deviations the observed value is removed from the expected value. A bond length (or angle) with |Z| > 5 is considered an outlier worth inspection. RMSZ is the (average) root-mean-square of all Z scores of the bond lengths (or angles).

Mol Chain		E	Sond lengths	Bond angles		
	Unam	RMSZ	$\#Z{>}5$	RMSZ	#Z > 5	
1	А	$0.77 {\pm} 0.01$	$0{\pm}0/592~(~0.0{\pm}~0.0\%)$	$1.37 \pm 0.02$	$6{\pm}1/799~(~0.8{\pm}~0.1\%)$	
All	All	0.77	0/5920 ( $0.0%$ )	1.37	60/7990~(~0.8%)	

Chiral center outliers are detected by calculating the chiral volume of a chiral center and verifying if the center is modelled as a planar moiety or with the opposite hand. A planarity outlier is detected by checking planarity of atoms in a peptide group, atoms in a mainchain group or atoms of a sidechain that are expected to be planar.

Mol	Chain	Chirality	Planarity
1	А	$0.0{\pm}0.0$	$3.0{\pm}0.8$
All	All	0	30

There are no bond-length outliers.

5 of 13 unique angle outliers are listed below. They are sorted according to the Z-score of the worst occurrence in the ensemble.

Mol	Chain	Res	Type	Atoms	Z	$Observed(^{o})$	$Ideal(^{o})$	Moo Worst	dels Total
1	А	149	ARG	NE-CZ-NH1	7.79	124.19	120.30	7	2
1	А	181	ARG	NE-CZ-NH1	7.14	123.87	120.30	1	6
1	А	165	TYR	CB-CG-CD2	-6.81	116.91	121.00	1	10
1	А	177	ARG	NE-CZ-NH1	6.58	123.59	120.30	5	7
1	А	220	ARG	NE-CZ-NH1	6.55	123.58	120.30	5	10

There are no chirality outliers.

5 of 7 unique planar outliers are listed below. They are sorted by the frequency of occurrence in the ensemble.

Mol	Chain	Res	Type	Group	Models (Total)
1	А	229	TYR	Sidechain	10
1	А	156	GLU	Peptide	9
1	А	221	ILE	Peptide	7

Continued on next page...



Continued from previous page...

Mol	Chain	Res	Type	Group	Models (Total)
1	А	165	TYR	Sidechain	1
1	А	181	ARG	Sidechain	1

### 6.2 Too-close contacts (i)

In the following table, the Non-H and H(model) columns list the number of non-hydrogen atoms and hydrogen atoms in each chain respectively. The H(added) column lists the number of hydrogen atoms added and optimized by MolProbity. The Clashes column lists the number of clashes averaged over the ensemble.

Mol	Chain	Non-H	H(model)	H(added)	Clashes
1	A	582	590	590	$13 \pm 2$
All	All	5820	5900	5900	134

The all-atom clashscore is defined as the number of clashes found per 1000 atoms (including hydrogen atoms). The all-atom clashscore for this structure is 11.

	Atom-1	Atom-2	Clash(Å)	Distance(Å)	Models	
	Atom-1	Atom-2	Clash(A)	Distance(A)	Worst	Total
1:A	:184:ILE:HD12	1:A:184:ILE:H	0.59	1.57	7	10
1:1	A:139:PHE:CZ	1:A:172:ALA:HB1	0.57	2.35	10	10
1:4	A:174:ASN:CB	1:A:179:LEU:HD11	0.56	2.31	6	6
1:A	A:160:PHE:CE1	1:A:210:LYS:HE3	0.55	2.36	6	8
1:A	A:139:PHE:CE2	1:A:172:ALA:HB1	0.54	2.37	10	10

5 of 25 unique clashes are listed below, sorted by their clash magnitude.

### 6.3 Torsion angles (i)

#### 6.3.1 Protein backbone (i)

In the following table, the Percentiles column shows the percent Ramachandran outliers of the chain as a percentile score with respect to all PDB entries followed by that with respect to all NMR entries. The Analysed column shows the number of residues for which the backbone conformation was analysed and the total number of residues.

Mol	Chain	Analysed	Favoured	Allowed	Outliers	Pe	erce	entiles
1	А	72/118~(61%)	$62 \pm 1 \ (85 \pm 1\%)$	$8\pm1~(12\pm1\%)$	$2\pm1 (3\pm1\%)$		8	42
All	All	720/1180~(61%)	615 (85%)	85 (12%)	20~(3%)		8	42

All 4 unique Ramachandran outliers are listed below. They are sorted by the frequency of occur-



rence in the ensemble.

Mol	Chain	$\operatorname{Res}$	Type	Models (Total)
1	А	181	ARG	9
1	А	180	SER	6
1	А	159	ASP	4
1	А	208	ARG	1

#### 6.3.2 Protein sidechains (i)

In the following table, the Percentiles column shows the percent sidechain outliers of the chain as a percentile score with respect to all PDB entries followed by that with respect to all NMR entries. The Analysed column shows the number of residues for which the sidechain conformation was analysed and the total number of residues.

Mol	Chain	Analysed	Rotameric	Outliers	Perc	entiles
1	А	63/105~(60%)	$53\pm1$ (84 $\pm2\%$ )	$10\pm1~(16\pm2\%)$	5	41
All	All	630/1050~(60%)	527 (84%)	103~(16%)	5	41

5 of 16 unique residues with a non-rotameric side chain are listed below. They are sorted by the frequency of occurrence in the ensemble.

Mol	Chain	Res	Type	Models (Total)
1	А	139	PHE	10
1	А	161	THR	10
1	А	168	LEU	10
1	А	179	LEU	10
1	А	185	PHE	10

#### 6.3.3 RNA (i)

There are no RNA molecules in this entry.

#### 6.4 Non-standard residues in protein, DNA, RNA chains (i)

There are no non-standard protein/DNA/RNA residues in this entry.

#### 6.5 Carbohydrates (i)

There are no monosaccharides in this entry.



### 6.6 Ligand geometry (i)

There are no ligands in this entry.

### 6.7 Other polymers (i)

There are no such molecules in this entry.

### 6.8 Polymer linkage issues (i)

There are no chain breaks in this entry.



# 7 Chemical shift validation (i)

The completeness of assignment taking into account all chemical shift lists is 86% for the well-defined parts and 78% for the entire structure.

# 7.1 Chemical shift list 1

File name: working\_cs.cif

Chemical shift list name: assigned\_chem\_shift\_list\_1

### 7.1.1 Bookkeeping (i)

The following table shows the results of parsing the chemical shift list and reports the number of nuclei with statistically unusual chemical shifts.

Total number of shifts	1202
Number of shifts mapped to atoms	1202
Number of unparsed shifts	0
Number of shifts with mapping errors	0
Number of shifts with mapping warnings	0
Number of shift outliers (ShiftChecker)	2

#### 7.1.2 Chemical shift referencing (i)

The following table shows the suggested chemical shift referencing corrections.

Nucleus	# values	${\rm Correction}\pm{\rm precision},ppm$	Suggested action
$^{13}C_{\alpha}$	96	$-0.46 \pm 0.09$	None needed ( $< 0.5$ ppm)
$^{13}C_{\beta}$	90	$-0.06 \pm 0.09$	None needed ( $< 0.5$ ppm)
$^{13}C'$	95	$-0.13 \pm 0.23$	None needed ( $< 0.5$ ppm)
$^{15}N$	96	$-1.42 \pm 0.28$	Should be applied

#### 7.1.3 Completeness of resonance assignments (i)

The following table shows the completeness of the chemical shift assignments for the well-defined regions of the structure. The overall completeness is 86%, i.e. 894 atoms were assigned a chemical shift out of a possible 1038. 0 out of 14 assigned methyl groups (LEU and VAL) were assigned stereospecifically.

	Total	$^{1}\mathrm{H}$	$^{13}\mathrm{C}$	$^{15}\mathbf{N}$
Backbone	356/362~(98%)	147/148~(99%)	139/144~(97%)	70/70~(100%)
Sidechain	491/606~(81%)	336/393~(85%)	155/184~(84%)	0/29~(0%)

Continued on next page...



Commutate	Continueu from previous page									
	Total	$^{1}\mathbf{H}$	$^{13}\mathrm{C}$	$^{15}\mathbf{N}$						
Aromatic	47/70~(67%)	30/34~(88%)	17/35~(49%)	0/1~(0%)						
Overall	894/1038~(86%)	513/575~(89%)	311/363~(86%)	70/100~(70%)						

Continued from previous page...

#### 7.1.4 Statistically unusual chemical shifts (i)


The following table lists the statistically unusual chemical shifts. These are statistical measures, and large deviations from the mean do not necessarily imply incorrect assignments. Molecules containing paramagnetic centres or hemes are expected to give rise to anomalous chemical shifts.

List Id	Chain	Res	Type	Atom	Shift, ppm	Expected range, ppm	Z-score
1	А	173	SER	HB2	2.12	2.61 - 5.13	-7.0
1	А	146	ASN	HB2	0.71	1.27 - 4.34	-6.8

#### 7.1.5 Random Coil Index (RCI) plots (1)

The image below reports *random coil index* values for the protein chains in the structure. The height of each bar gives a probability of a given residue to be disordered, as predicted from the available chemical shifts and the amino acid sequence. A value above 0.2 is an indication of significant predicted disorder. The colour of the bar shows whether the residue is in the well-defined core (black) or in the ill-defined residue ranges (cyan), as described in section 2 on ensemble composition. If well-defined core and ill-defined regions are not identified then it is shown as gray bars.

Random coil index (RCI) for chain A:





# 8 NMR restraints analysis (i)

# 8.1 Conformationally restricting restraints (i)

The following table provides the summary of experimentally observed NMR restraints in different categories. Restraints are classified into different categories based on the sequence separation of the atoms involved.

Description	Value
Total distance restraints	1306
Intra-residue ( i-j =0)	224
Sequential ( i-j =1)	285
Medium range ( $ i-j >1$ and $ i-j <5$ )	259
Long range $( i-j  \ge 5)$	478
Inter-chain	0
Hydrogen bond restraints	60
Disulfide bond restraints	0
Total dihedral-angle restraints	0
Number of unmapped restraints	0
Number of restraints per residue	11.1
Number of long range restraints per residue <sup>1</sup>	4.2

<sup>1</sup>Long range hydrogen bonds and disulfide bonds are counted as long range restraints while calculating the number of long range restraints per residue

### 8.2 Residual restraint violations (i)

This section provides the overview of the restraint violations analysis. The violations are binned as small, medium and large violations based on its absolute value. Average number of violations per model is calculated by dividing the total number of violations in each bin by the size of the ensemble.

#### 8.2.1 Average number of distance violations per model (i)

Distance violations less than 0.1 Å are not included in the calculation.

Bins (Å)	Average number of violations per model	Max (Å)
0.1-0.2 (Small)	24.7	0.2
0.2-0.5 (Medium)	1.1	0.48
>0.5 (Large)	14.9	15.57



#### 8.2.2 Average number of dihedral-angle violations per model (i)

Dihedral-angle violations less than  $1^\circ$  are not included in the calculation. There are no dihedral-angle violations



# 9 Distance violation analysis (i)


# 9.1 Summary of distance violations (i)

The following table shows the summary of distance violations in different restraint categories based on the sequence separation of the atoms involved. Each category is further sub-divided into three sub-categories based on the atoms involved. Violations less than 0.1 Å are not included in the statistics.

Destroints type	Count	$\%^1$	Vie	lated	3	Consis	tently	Violated <sup>4</sup>
Restraints type	Count	/0	Count	$\%^2$	$\%^1$	Count	$\%^2$	$\%^1$
Intra-residue ( i-j =0)	224	17.2	6	2.7	0.5	1	0.4	0.1
Backbone-Backbone	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Sidechain	178	13.6	6	3.4	0.5	1	0.6	0.1
Sidechain-Sidechain	46	3.5	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Sequential ( i-j =1)	285	21.8	11	3.9	0.8	1	0.4	0.1
Backbone-Backbone	91	7.0	4	4.4	0.3	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Sidechain	159	12.2	6	3.8	0.5	1	0.6	0.1
Sidechain-Sidechain	35	2.7	1	2.9	0.1	0	0.0	0.0
Medium range ( $ i-j  > 1 \&  i-j  < 5$ )	259	19.8	8	3.1	0.6	2	0.8	0.2
Backbone-Backbone	73	5.6	3	4.1	0.2	1	1.4	0.1
Backbone-Sidechain	112	8.6	4	3.6	0.3	1	0.9	0.1
Sidechain-Sidechain	74	5.7	1	1.4	0.1	0	0.0	0.0
Long range $( i-j  \ge 5)$	478	36.6	21	4.4	1.6	17	3.6	1.3
Backbone-Backbone	50	3.8	2	4.0	0.2	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Sidechain	203	15.5	19	9.4	1.5	17	8.4	1.3
Sidechain-Sidechain	225	17.2	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Inter-chain	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Backbone	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Sidechain	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Sidechain-Sidechain	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Hydrogen bond	60	4.6	13	21.7	1.0	6	10.0	0.5
Disulfide bond	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Total	1306	100.0	59	4.5	4.5	27	2.1	2.1
Backbone-Backbone	274	21.0	22	8.0	1.7	7	2.6	0.5
Backbone-Sidechain	652	49.9	35	5.4	2.7	20	3.1	1.5
Sidechain-Sidechain	380	29.1	2	0.5	0.2	0	0.0	0.0

 $^1$  percentage calculated with respect to the total number of distance restraints,  $^2$  percentage calculated with respect to the number of restraints in a particular restraint category,  $^3$  violated in at least one model,  $^4$  violated in all the models





#### 9.1.1 Bar chart : Distribution of distance restraints and violations (i)

Violated and consistently violated restraints are shown using different hatch patterns in their respective categories. The hydrogen bonds and disulfied bonds are counted in their appropriate category on the x-axis

#### 9.2 Distance violation statistics for each model (i)


The following table provides the distance violation statistics for each model in the ensemble. Violations less than 0.1 Å are not included in the statistics.


Model ID		Number of violations $Mean (Å)$				Maan (Å)	Max (Å)	$SD^6$ (Å)	Median (Å)	
Model ID	$IR^1$	$SQ^2$	$MR^3$	$LR^4$	$  IC^5  $	Total	Mean (A)	Max (A)	$SD^{*}(A)$	Median (A)
1	4	3	10	21	0	38	3.2	15.43	4.78	0.18
2	2	7	14	22	0	45	2.74	15.52	4.54	0.15
3	2	6	11	20	0	39	3.14	15.5	4.76	0.16
4	4	3	11	21	0	39	3.15	15.52	4.77	0.16
5	3	7	10	20	0	40	3.07	15.5	4.73	0.17
6	4	6	12	19	0	41	3.01	15.52	4.68	0.18
7	3	5	12	22	0	42	2.94	15.54	4.65	0.17
8	4	4	15	21	0	44	2.79	15.44	4.56	0.16
9	2	5	10	21	0	38	3.24	15.56	4.8	0.18
10	2	5	11	23	0	41	3.01	15.57	4.71	0.17

<sup>1</sup>Intra-residue restraints, <sup>2</sup>Sequential restraints, <sup>3</sup>Medium range restraints, <sup>4</sup>Long range restraints,



<sup>5</sup>Inter-chain restraints, <sup>6</sup>Standard deviation





The mean(dot), median(x) and the standard deviation are shown in blue with respect to the y axis on the right

### 9.3 Distance violation statistics for the ensemble (i)

Violation analysis may find that some restraints are violated in few models and some are violated in most of models. The following table provides this information as number of violated restraints for a given fraction of the ensemble. In total, 1200(IR:218, SQ:274, MR:251, LR:457, IC:0) restraints are not violated in the ensemble.

Nu	mber	of vio	lated	Fraction of the ensemble			
$IR^1$	$SQ^2$	$MR^3$	$LR^4$	$  IC^5  $	Total	$\operatorname{Count}^6$	%
2	3	0	0	0	5	1	10.0
1	0	3	0	0	4	2	20.0
0	1	2	2	0	5	3	30.0
0	2	0	0	0	2	4	40.0


Continued on next page...



	Number of violated restraints         Fraction of the ensemble											
Nu	mber	of vio	lated	Fraction of the ensemble								
$IR^1$	$SQ^2$	$MR^3$	$LR^4$	IC <sup>5</sup>	Total	$\operatorname{Count}^6$	%					
0	2	0	0	0	2	5	50.0					
0	0	0	1	0	1	6	60.0					
0	0	0	0	0	0	7	70.0					
2	1	0	0	0	3	8	80.0					
0	1	1	1	0	3	9	90.0					
1	1	2	17	0	21	10	100.0					

Continued from previous page..

 $^{1}$ Intra-residue restraints,  $^{2}$ Sequential restraints,  $^{3}$ Medium range restraints,  $^{4}$ Long range restraints,  $^{5}$ Inter-chain restraints,  $^{6}$  Number of models with violations




#### 9.3.1 Bar graph : Distance violation statistics for the ensemble (i)

# 9.4 Most violated distance restraints in the ensemble (i)

### 9.4.1 Histogram : Distribution of mean distance violations (i)

The following histogram shows the distribution of the average value of the violation. The average is calculated for each restraint that is violated in more than one model over all the violated models in the ensemble





#### 9.4.2 Table: Most violated distance restraints (i)

The following table provides the mean and the standard deviation of the violations for the 10 worst performing restraints, sorted by number of violated models and the mean violation value. The Key (restraint list ID, restraint ID) is the unique identifier for a given restraint. Rows with same key represent combinatorial or ambiguous restraints and are counted as a single restraint.

Key	Atom-1	Atom-2	$Models^1$	Mean (Å)	$SD^1$ (Å)	Median (Å)
(1,1229)	1:A:232:VAL:HG21	1:A:162:SER:H	10	15.51	0.04	15.52
(1,1229)	1:A:232:VAL:HG22	1:A:162:SER:H	10	15.51	0.04	15.52
(1,1229)	1:A:232:VAL:HG23	1:A:162:SER:H	10	15.51	0.04	15.52
(1,1230)	1:A:232:VAL:HG21	1:A:166:ASP:H	10	12.69	0.04	12.68
(1,1230)	1:A:232:VAL:HG22	1:A:166:ASP:H	10	12.69	0.04	12.68
(1,1230)	1:A:232:VAL:HG23	1:A:166:ASP:H	10	12.69	0.04	12.68
(1,1227)	1:A:232:VAL:HG21	1:A:160:PHE:H	10	12.58	0.04	12.58
(1,1227)	1:A:232:VAL:HG22	1:A:160:PHE:H	10	12.58	0.04	12.58
(1,1227)	1:A:232:VAL:HG23	1:A:160:PHE:H	10	12.58	0.04	12.58
(1,1228)	1:A:232:VAL:HG21	1:A:161:THR:H	10	12.13	0.05	12.14
(1,1228)	1:A:232:VAL:HG22	1:A:161:THR:H	10	12.13	0.05	12.14
(1,1228)	1:A:232:VAL:HG23	1:A:161:THR:H	10	12.13	0.05	12.14
(1,1225)	1:A:232:VAL:HG21	1:A:146:ASN:H	10	11.61	0.1	11.62
(1,1225)	1:A:232:VAL:HG22	1:A:146:ASN:H	10	11.61	0.1	11.62
(1,1225)	1:A:232:VAL:HG23	1:A:146:ASN:H	10	11.61	0.1	11.62
(1,1226)	1:A:232:VAL:HG21	1:A:150:SER:H	10	10.86	0.04	10.84

Continued on next page...



Key	Atom-1	Atom-2	$Models^1$	Mean (Å)	$SD^1$ (Å)	Median (Å)
(1,1226)	1:A:232:VAL:HG22	1:A:150:SER:H	10	10.86	0.04	10.84
(1,1226)	1:A:232:VAL:HG23	1:A:150:SER:H	10	10.86	0.04	10.84
(1,1231)	1:A:232:VAL:HG21	1:A:170:LEU:H	10	10.08	0.05	10.09
(1,1231)	1:A:232:VAL:HG22	1:A:170:LEU:H	10	10.08	0.05	10.09
(1,1231)	1:A:232:VAL:HG23	1:A:170:LEU:H	10	10.08	0.05	10.09
(4,6)	1:A:232:VAL:HG21	1:A:149:ARG:H	10	9.44	0.07	9.44
(4,6)	1:A:232:VAL:HG22	1:A:149:ARG:H	10	9.44	0.07	9.44
(4,6)	1:A:232:VAL:HG23	1:A:149:ARG:H	10	9.44	0.07	9.44
(4,11)	1:A:232:VAL:HG21	1:A:165:TYR:H	10	6.24	0.06	6.26
(4,11)	1:A:232:VAL:HG22	1:A:165:TYR:H	10	6.24	0.06	6.26
(4,11)	1:A:232:VAL:HG23	1:A:165:TYR:H	10	6.24	0.06	6.26
(4,7)	1:A:232:VAL:HG21	1:A:151:VAL:H	10	6.05	0.04	6.04


Continued from previous page...

 $^1\mathrm{Number}$  of violated models,  $^2\mathrm{Standard}$  deviation

### 9.5 All violated distance restraints (i)

#### 9.5.1 Histogram : Distribution of distance violations (i)

The following histogram shows the distribution of the absolute value of the violation for all violated restraints in the ensemble.





#### 9.5.2 Table : All distance violations (i)

The following table provides the 10 worst performing restraints, sorted by the violation value. The Key (restraint list ID, restraint ID) is the unique identifier for a given restraint. Rows with same key represent combinatorial or ambiguous restraints and are counted as a single restraint.

Key	Atom-1	Atom-2	Model ID	Violation (Å)
(1,1229)	1:A:232:VAL:HG21	1:A:162:SER:H	10	15.57
(1,1229)	1:A:232:VAL:HG22	1:A:162:SER:H	10	15.57
(1,1229)	1:A:232:VAL:HG23	1:A:162:SER:H	10	15.57
(1,1229)	1:A:232:VAL:HG21	1:A:162:SER:H	9	15.56
(1,1229)	1:A:232:VAL:HG22	1:A:162:SER:H	9	15.56
(1,1229)	1:A:232:VAL:HG23	1:A:162:SER:H	9	15.56
(1,1229)	1:A:232:VAL:HG21	1:A:162:SER:H	7	15.54
(1,1229)	1:A:232:VAL:HG22	1:A:162:SER:H	7	15.54
(1,1229)	1:A:232:VAL:HG23	1:A:162:SER:H	7	15.54
(1,1229)	1:A:232:VAL:HG21	1:A:162:SER:H	2	15.52
(1,1229)	1:A:232:VAL:HG22	1:A:162:SER:H	2	15.52
(1,1229)	1:A:232:VAL:HG23	1:A:162:SER:H	2	15.52
(1,1229)	1:A:232:VAL:HG21	1:A:162:SER:H	4	15.52
(1,1229)	1:A:232:VAL:HG22	1:A:162:SER:H	4	15.52
(1,1229)	1:A:232:VAL:HG23	1:A:162:SER:H	4	15.52
(1,1229)	1:A:232:VAL:HG21	1:A:162:SER:H	6	15.52
(1,1229)	1:A:232:VAL:HG22	1:A:162:SER:H	6	15.52
(1,1229)	1:A:232:VAL:HG23	1:A:162:SER:H	6	15.52
(1,1229)	1:A:232:VAL:HG21	1:A:162:SER:H	3	15.5
(1,1229)	1:A:232:VAL:HG22	1:A:162:SER:H	3	15.5
(1,1229)	1:A:232:VAL:HG23	1:A:162:SER:H	3	15.5
(1,1229)	1:A:232:VAL:HG21	1:A:162:SER:H	5	15.5
(1,1229)	1:A:232:VAL:HG22	1:A:162:SER:H	5	15.5
(1,1229)	1:A:232:VAL:HG23	1:A:162:SER:H	5	15.5
(1,1229)	1:A:232:VAL:HG21	1:A:162:SER:H	8	15.44
(1,1229)	1:A:232:VAL:HG22	1:A:162:SER:H	8	15.44
(1,1229)	1:A:232:VAL:HG23	1:A:162:SER:H	8	15.44
(1,1229)	1:A:232:VAL:HG21	1:A:162:SER:H	1	15.43



# 10 Dihedral-angle violation analysis (i)

Dihedral angle analysis failed due to data error in the dihedral angle restraints, possibly missing target value

