

wwPDB NMR Structure Validation Summary Report (i)

Mar 5, 2024 – 03:17 pm GMT

PDB ID : 8R6G BMRB ID : 34881

Title : A quadruplex-duplex hybrid with a three-layered chair G-quadruplex topology

Authors : Vianney, Y.M.; Weisz, K.

Deposited on : 2023-11-22

This is a wwPDB NMR Structure Validation Summary Report for a publicly released PDB entry.

We welcome your comments at validation@mail.wwpdb.org
A user guide is available at
https://www.wwpdb.org/validation/2017/NMRValidationReportHelp
with specific help available everywhere you see the (i) symbol.

The types of validation reports are described at http://www.wwpdb.org/validation/2017/FAQs#types.

The following versions of software and data (see references (1)) were used in the production of this report:

Cyrange : Kirchner and Güntert (2011)

NmrClust : Kelley et al. (1996)

MolProbity : 4.02b-467

Mogul: 1.8.4, CSD as541be (2020)

Percentile statistics : 20191225.v01 (using entries in the PDB archive December 25th 2019)

wwPDB-RCI : v 1n 11 5 13 A (Berjanski et al., 2005)

PANAV : Wang et al. (2010)

 $\begin{array}{ccc} wwPDB\text{-ShiftChecker} &:& v1.2\\ BMRB \ Restraints \ Analysis &:& v1.2 \end{array}$

Ideal geometry (proteins) : Engh & Huber (2001) Ideal geometry (DNA, RNA) : Parkinson et al. (1996)


Validation Pipeline (wwPDB-VP) : 2.36

1 Overall quality at a glance (i)

The following experimental techniques were used to determine the structure: $SOLUTION\ NMR$

The overall completeness of chemical shifts assignment is 36%.

Percentile scores (ranging between 0-100) for global validation metrics of the entry are shown in the following graphic. The table shows the number of entries on which the scores are based.



The table below summarises the geometric issues observed across the polymeric chains and their fit to the experimental data. The red, orange, yellow and green segments indicate the fraction of residues that contain outliers for >=3, 2, 1 and 0 types of geometric quality criteria. A cyan segment indicates the fraction of residues that are not part of the well-defined cores, and a grey segment represents the fraction of residues that are not modelled. The numeric value for each fraction is indicated below the corresponding segment, with a dot representing fractions <=5%

Mol	Chain	Length		Quality of chain	
		0.0			
1	A	33	21%	76%	•



2 Ensemble composition and analysis (i)

This entry contains 10 models. This entry does not contain polypeptide chains, therefore identification of well-defined residues and clustering analysis are not possible. All residues are included in the validation scores.



3 Entry composition (i)

There is only 1 type of molecule in this entry. The entry contains 1062 atoms, of which 371 are hydrogens and 0 are deuteriums.

• Molecule 1 is a DNA chain called DNA (33-MER).

Mol	Chain	Residues	Atoms						Trace	
1	Λ	33	Total	Br	С	Н	N	О	Р	0
1	A	ეე	1062	1	325	371	137	196	32	0




4 Residue-property plots (i)

4.1 Average score per residue in the NMR ensemble

These plots are provided for all protein, RNA, DNA and oligosaccharide chains in the entry. The first graphic is the same as shown in the summary in section 1 of this report. The second graphic shows the sequence where residues are colour-coded according to the number of geometric quality criteria for which they contain at least one outlier: green = 0, yellow = 1, orange = 2 and red = 3 or more. Stretches of 2 or more consecutive residues without any outliers are shown as green connectors. Residues which are classified as ill-defined in the NMR ensemble, are shown in cyan with an underline colour-coded according to the previous scheme. Residues which were present in the experimental sample, but not modelled in the final structure are shown in grey.


• Molecule 1: DNA (33-MER)



4.2 Residue scores for the representative (author defined) model from the NMR ensemble

The representative model is number 1. Colouring as in section 4.1 above.

• Molecule 1: DNA (33-MER)





Refinement protocol and experimental data overview (i) 5



The models were refined using the following method: simulated annealing.

Of the 10 calculated structures, 10 were deposited, based on the following criterion: structures with the lowest energy.

The following table shows the software used for structure solution, optimisation and refinement.

Software name	Classification	Version
Amber	structure calculation	18
Amber	refinement	18

The following table shows chemical shift validation statistics as aggregates over all chemical shift files. Detailed validation can be found in section 7 of this report.

Chemical shift file(s)	working_cs.cif
Number of chemical shift lists	1
Total number of shifts	255
Number of shifts mapped to atoms	255
Number of unparsed shifts	0
Number of shifts with mapping errors	0
Number of shifts with mapping warnings	0
Assignment completeness (well-defined parts)	36%



6 Model quality (i)

6.1 Standard geometry (i)

Bond lengths and bond angles in the following residue types are not validated in this section: A1H3G, BGM

The Z score for a bond length (or angle) is the number of standard deviations the observed value is removed from the expected value. A bond length (or angle) with |Z| > 5 is considered an outlier worth inspection. RMSZ is the (average) root-mean-square of all Z scores of the bond lengths (or angles).

Mol	Chain	E	Sond lengths		Bond angles		
MIOI	Chain	RMSZ	#Z>5	RMSZ	#Z>5		
1	A	1.54 ± 0.01	$0\pm0/728~(~0.0\pm~0.0\%)$	2.39 ± 0.02	$55\pm2/1124$ ($4.9\pm$ 0.2%)		
All	All	1.54	0/7280 (0.0%)	2.39	548/11240 (4.9%)		

Chiral center outliers are detected by calculating the chiral volume of a chiral center and verifying if the center is modelled as a planar moiety or with the opposite hand. A planarity outlier is detected by checking planarity of atoms in a peptide group, atoms in a maintenain group or atoms of a sidechain that are expected to be planar.

Mol	Chain	Chirality	Planarity
1	A	0.0 ± 0.0	$3.9{\pm}1.1$
All	All	0	39

There are no bond-length outliers.

5 of 88 unique angle outliers are listed below. They are sorted according to the Z-score of the worst occurrence in the ensemble.

Mol	Chain	Res	Tuno	$_{ m cpe} egin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$		$Observed(^o)$	$Ideal(^{o})$	Mod	dels
WIOI	Chain	nes	Type	Atoms	L	Observed(')	Ideal(*)	Worst	Total
1	A	19	DG	O4'-C1'-N9	12.72	116.90	108.00	2	9
1	A	20	DG	O4'-C1'-N9	9.72	114.81	108.00	3	10
1	A	12	DA	N1-C6-N6	-9.33	113.00	118.60	4	10
1	A	24	DA	N1-C6-N6	-9.27	113.03	118.60	9	10
1	A	8	DA	N1-C6-N6	-9.13	113.12	118.60	5	10

There are no chirality outliers.

5 of 12 unique planar outliers are listed below. They are sorted by the frequency of occurrence in the ensemble.

Mol	Chain	Res	Type	Group	Models (Total)
1	A	20	DG	Sidechain	9

Continued on next page...



Continued from previous page...

	Mol	Chain	Res	Type	Group	Models (Total)
Ī	1	A	5	DG	Sidechain	6
Ī	1	A	16	DG	Sidechain	4
Ī	1	A	28	DT	Sidechain	4
	1	A	11	DC	Sidechain	3

6.2 Too-close contacts (i)

In the following table, the Non-H and H(model) columns list the number of non-hydrogen atoms and hydrogen atoms in each chain respectively. The H(added) column lists the number of hydrogen atoms added and optimized by MolProbity. The Clashes column lists the number of clashes averaged over the ensemble.

Mol	Chain	Non-H	H(model)	H(added)	Clashes
All	All	6910	3710	3590	-

The all-atom clashscore is defined as the number of clashes found per 1000 atoms (including hydrogen atoms). The all-atom clashscore for this structure is -.

There are no clashes.

6.3 Torsion angles (i)

6.3.1 Protein backbone (i)

There are no protein molecules in this entry.

6.3.2 Protein sidechains (i)

There are no protein molecules in this entry.

6.3.3 RNA (i)

There are no RNA molecules in this entry.

6.4 Non-standard residues in protein, DNA, RNA chains (i)

2 non-standard protein/DNA/RNA residues are modelled in this entry.

In the following table, the Counts columns list the number of bonds for which Mogul statistics could be retrieved, the number of bonds that are observed in the model and the number of bonds



that are defined in the chemical component dictionary. The Link column lists molecule types, if any, to which the group is linked. The Z score for a bond length is the number of standard deviations the observed value is removed from the expected value. A bond length with |Z| > 2 is considered an outlier worth inspection. RMSZ is the average root-mean-square of all Z scores of the bond lengths.

Mol	Type	Chain	Dec	Tiple		Bond leng	gths
MIOI	туре	Chain	nes	LIIIK	Counts	RMSZ	#Z>2
1	A1H3G	A	30	1	18,23,24	0.96 ± 0.02	2±0 (8±2%)
1	BGM	A	32	1	19,24,26	1.09 ± 0.01	$1\pm0 \ (5\pm0\%)$

In the following table, the Counts columns list the number of angles for which Mogul statistics could be retrieved, the number of angles that are observed in the model and the number of angles that are defined in the chemical component dictionary. The Link column lists molecule types, if any, to which the group is linked. The Z score for a bond angle is the number of standard deviations the observed value is removed from the expected value. A bond angle with |Z| > 2 is considered an outlier worth inspection. RMSZ is the average root-mean-square of all Z scores of the bond angles.

Mol	Type	Гуре Chain		Link		Bond ang	gles
MIOI	туре	Chain	nes	LIIIK	Counts	RMSZ	#Z>2
1	A1H3G	A	30	1	17,33,36	1.30 ± 0.05	1±0 (5±0%)
1	BGM	A	32	1	17,35,40	1.07 ± 0.02	1±0 (4±2%)

In the following table, the Chirals column lists the number of chiral outliers, the number of chiral centers analysed, the number of these observed in the model and the number defined in the chemical component dictionary. Similar counts are reported in the Torsion and Rings columns. '-' means no outliers of that kind were identified.

Mol	Type	Chain	Res	Link	Chirals	Torsions	Rings
1	A1H3G	A	30	1	-	$0\pm0,3,21,22$	$0\pm0,3,3,3$
1	BGM	A	32	1	-	$0\pm0,2,20,22$	$0\pm0,3,3,3$

All unique bond outliers are listed below. They are sorted according to the Z-score of the worst occurrence in the ensemble.

Mol	Chain	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		Ideal(Å)	Models				
MIOI	Chain	nes	туре	Atoms	Z Observed(A)		Ideal(A)	Worst	Total
1	A	32	BGM	C8-N7	3.02	1.30	1.34	5	10
1	A	30	A1H3G	C2-N1	2.72	1.39	1.33	5	10
1	A	30	A1H3G	C8-N7	2.16	1.30	1.34	5	5

All unique angle outliers are listed below. They are sorted according to the Z-score of the worst occurrence in the ensemble.



Mol	ol Chain Res Type Atoms Z Ob		$Observed(^o)$	$Ideal(^{o})$	Models				
MIOI	Chain	nes	туре	Atoms	L	Observed(*)	Ideal(*)	Worst	Total
1	A	30	A1H3G	C5-C6-N6	4.59	127.33	120.35	2	10
1	A	32	BGM	C2'-C1'-N9	2.26	113.55	116.01	1	7
1	A	32	BGM	C4'-O4'-C1'	2.17	104.22	109.45	3	1

There are no chirality outliers.

There are no torsion outliers.

There are no ring outliers.

6.5 Carbohydrates (i)

There are no monosaccharides in this entry.

6.6 Ligand geometry (i)

There are no ligands in this entry.

6.7 Other polymers (i)

There are no such molecules in this entry.

6.8 Polymer linkage issues (i)

There are no chain breaks in this entry.



7 Chemical shift validation (i)

The completeness of assignment taking into account all chemical shift lists is 36% for the well-defined parts and 36% for the entire structure.

7.1 Chemical shift list 1

File name: working_cs.cif

Chemical shift list name: assigned chem shift list

7.1.1 Bookkeeping (i)

The following table shows the results of parsing the chemical shift list and reports the number of nuclei with statistically unusual chemical shifts.

Total number of shifts	255
Number of shifts mapped to atoms	255
Number of unparsed shifts	0
Number of shifts with mapping errors	0
Number of shifts with mapping warnings	0
Number of shift outliers (ShiftChecker)	0

The following errors were found when reading this chemical shift list.

• Chemical shift has been reported more than once. All 1 occurrences are reported below.

List ID	Chain	Roc	Type Atom		Shift Data Value Uncertainty Ambiguity				
LIST ID	Chain	rtes	Type	Atom	Value	Uncertainty	Ambiguity		
1	A	32	BGM		2.686	0.001	1		

7.1.2 Chemical shift referencing (i)

No chemical shift referencing corrections were calculated (not enough data).

7.1.3 Completeness of resonance assignments (i)

The following table shows the completeness of the chemical shift assignments for the well-defined regions of the structure. The overall completeness is 36%, i.e. 223 atoms were assigned a chemical shift out of a possible 624. 0 out of 0 assigned methyl groups (LEU and VAL) were assigned stereospecifically.



	Total	$^{1}\mathrm{H}$	$^{13}\mathbf{C}$	$^{15}{ m N}$
Sugar	$124/372 \ (33\%)$	124/217 (57%)	0/155~(0%)	0/0 (%)
Base	99/252 (39%)	65/159 (41%)	34/46 (74%)	0/47 (0%)
Overall	223/624 (36%)	189/376~(50%)	34/201 (17%)	0/47~(0%)

7.1.4 Statistically unusual chemical shifts (i)

There are no statistically unusual chemical shifts.

7.1.5 Random Coil Index (RCI) plots (i)

No random coil index(RCI) plot could be generated from the current chemical shift list. RCI is only applicable to proteins



8 NMR restraints analysis (i)

8.1 Conformationally restricting restraints (i)

The following table provides the summary of experimentally observed NMR restraints in different categories. Restraints are classified into different categories based on the sequence separation of the atoms involved.

Description	Value
Total distance restraints	470
Intra-residue (i-j =0)	133
Sequential (i-j =1)	174
Medium range ($ i-j >1$ and $ i-j <5$)	8
Long range (i-j ≥5)	75
Inter-chain	0
Hydrogen bond restraints	80
Disulfide bond restraints	0
Total dihedral-angle restraints	332
Number of unmapped restraints	40
Number of restraints per residue	24.3
Number of long range restraints per residue ¹	4.3

¹Long range hydrogen bonds and disulfide bonds are counted as long range restraints while calculating the number of long range restraints per residue

8.2 Residual restraint violations (i)

This section provides the overview of the restraint violations analysis. The violations are binned as small, medium and large violations based on its absolute value. Average number of violations per model is calculated by dividing the total number of violations in each bin by the size of the ensemble.

8.2.1 Average number of distance violations per model (i)

Distance violations less than 0.1 Å are not included in the calculation.

Bins (Å)	Average number of violations per model	Max (Å)
0.1-0.2 (Small)	4.5	0.2
0.2-0.5 (Medium)	0.7	0.33
>0.5 (Large)	None	None



8.2.2 Average number of dihedral-angle violations per model (i)

Dihedral-angle violations less than 1° are not included in the calculation.

Bins $(^{\circ})$	Average number of violations per model	$\mathbf{Max} \ (^{\circ})$
1.0-10.0 (Small)	13.6	9.83
10.0-20.0 (Medium)	3.1	17.95
>20.0 (Large)	1.0	32.71



9 Distance violation analysis (i)

9.1 Summary of distance violations (i)


The following table shows the summary of distance violations in different restraint categories based on the sequence separation of the atoms involved. Each category is further sub-divided into three sub-categories based on the atoms involved. Violations less than 0.1 Å are not included in the statistics.

Destroints tune	Count	% ¹	Vio	lated	3	Consis	tentl	${ m y~Violated^4}$
Restraints type	Count	70	Count	$\%^2$	$\%^1$	Count	$\%^2$	$\%^1$
Intra-residue (i-j =0)	133	28.3	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Backbone	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Sidechain	133	28.3	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Sidechain-Sidechain	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Sequential (i-j =1)	174	37.0	12	6.9	2.6	2	1.1	0.4
Backbone-Backbone	3	0.6	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Sidechain	129	27.4	9	7.0	1.9	2	1.6	0.4
Sidechain-Sidechain	42	8.9	3	7.1	0.6	0	0.0	0.0
Medium range ($ i-j >1 \& i-j <5$)	8	1.7	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Backbone	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Sidechain	2	0.4	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Sidechain-Sidechain	6	1.3	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Long range ($ i-j \ge 5$)	75	16.0	4	5.3	0.9	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Backbone	1	0.2	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Sidechain	13	2.8	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Sidechain-Sidechain	61	13.0	4	6.6	0.9	0	0.0	0.0
Inter-chain	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Backbone	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Sidechain	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Sidechain-Sidechain	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Hydrogen bond	80	17.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Disulfide bond	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Total	470	100.0	16	3.4	3.4	2	0.4	0.4
Backbone-Backbone	4	0.9	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Sidechain	277	58.9	9	3.2	1.9	2	0.7	0.4
Sidechain-Sidechain	189	40.2	7	3.7	1.5	0	0.0	0.0

 $^{^1}$ percentage calculated with respect to the total number of distance restraints, 2 percentage calculated with respect to the number of restraints in a particular restraint category, 3 violated in at least one model, 4 violated in all the models



9.1.1 Bar chart: Distribution of distance restraints and violations (i)



Violated and consistently violated restraints are shown using different hatch patterns in their respective categories. The hydrogen bonds and disulfied bonds are counted in their appropriate category on the x-axis

9.2 Distance violation statistics for each model (i)

The following table provides the distance violation statistics for each model in the ensemble. Violations less than 0.1 Å are not included in the statistics.


Model ID	IR^1	Nun SQ ²	nber o	f viola	${f tions}$	Total	Mean (Å)	Max (Å)	$\mathrm{SD}^6 \ (\mathrm{\AA})$	Median (Å)
1	0	4	0	2	0	6	0.14	0.25	0.05	0.12
2	0	5	0	1	0	6	0.14	0.23	0.05	0.12
3	0	9	0	1	0	10	0.14	0.26	0.04	0.12
4	0	5	0	0	0	5	0.14	0.18	0.03	0.14
5	0	4	0	2	0	6	0.17	0.31	0.06	0.14
6	0	3	0	1	0	4	0.13	0.14	0.02	0.14
7	0	7	0	0	0	7	0.13	0.2	0.03	0.12
8	0	4	0	2	0	6	0.16	0.33	0.08	0.12
9	0	3	0	1	0	4	0.16	0.24	0.06	0.16
10	0	4	0	1	0	5	0.15	0.23	0.05	0.13

¹Intra-residue restraints, ²Sequential restraints, ³Medium range restraints, ⁴Long range restraints,



⁵Inter-chain restraints, ⁶Standard deviation

9.2.1 Bar graph: Distance Violation statistics for each model (i)



The mean(dot),median(x) and the standard deviation are shown in blue with respect to the y axis on the right

9.3 Distance violation statistics for the ensemble (i)

Violation analysis may find that some restraints are violated in few models and some are violated in most of models. The following table provides this information as number of violated restraints for a given fraction of the ensemble. In total, 374(IR:133, SQ:162, MR:8, LR:71, IC:0) restraints are not violated in the ensemble.

Nu	mber	of vio	lated	Fraction of the ensemble			
IR^1	SQ^2	$ m MR^3$	LR^4	IC^5	Total	Count ⁶	%
0	4	0	2	0	6	1	10.0
0	1	0	1	0	2	2	20.0
0	2	0	0	0	2	3	30.0
0	2	0	0	0	2	4	40.0

Continued on next page...




Continued	trom	mmoningala	maaa
COMBINE	THOTH.	memors	DULUE.

Nu	ımber	of vio	lated	Fraction	n of the ensemble		
IR^1	SQ^2	MR^3	LR^4	IC^5	Total	Count ⁶	%
0	0	0	0	0	0	5	50.0
0	0	0	0	0	0	6	60.0
0	0	0	1	0	1	7	70.0
0	1	0	0	0	1	8	80.0
0	0	0	0	0	0	9	90.0
0	2	0	0	0	2	10	100.0

 $^{^1{\}rm Intra-residue}$ restraints, $^2{\rm Sequential}$ restraints, $^3{\rm Medium}$ range restraints, $^4{\rm Long}$ range restraints, $^5{\rm Inter-chain}$ restraints, 6 Number of models with violations

9.3.1 Bar graph: Distance violation statistics for the ensemble (i)




9.4 Most violated distance restraints in the ensemble (i)

9.4.1 Histogram: Distribution of mean distance violations (i)

The following histogram shows the distribution of the average value of the violation. The average is calculated for each restraint that is violated in more than one model over all the violated models in the ensemble





9.4.2 Table: Most violated distance restraints (i)

The following table provides the mean and the standard deviation of the violations for the 10 worst performing restraints, sorted by number of violated models and the mean violation value. The Key (restraint list ID, restraint ID) is the unique identifier for a given restraint. Rows with same key represent combinatorial or ambiguous restraints and are counted as a single restraint.

Key	Atom-1	Atom-2	\mathbf{Models}^1	Mean (Å)	\mathbf{SD}^1 (Å)	Median (Å)
(1,372)	1:19:A:DG:H1	1:18:A:DG:H2"	10	0.24	0.05	0.24
(1,372)	1:19:A:DG:H1	1:18:A:DG:H2'	10	0.24	0.05	0.24
(1,144)	1:4:A:DC:H5	1:3:A:DG:H2"	10	0.14	0.02	0.14
(1,144)	1:4:A:DC:H5	1:3:A:DG:H2'	10	0.14	0.02	0.14
(1,328)	1:24:A:DA:H8	1:25:A:DG:H1	8	0.15	0.03	0.15
(1,353)	1:5:A:DG:H1	1:16:A:DG:H1	7	0.12	0.01	0.12
(1,235)	1:28:A:DT:H6	1:29:A:DT:C7	4	0.13	0.02	0.14
(1,236)	1:28:A:DT:H1'	1:29:A:DT:C7	4	0.12	0.01	0.12
(1,137)	1:3:A:DG:H8	1:2:A:DG:H2"	3	0.12	0.02	0.12
(1,137)	1:3:A:DG:H8	1:2:A:DG:H2'	3	0.12	0.02	0.12
(1,234)	1:27:A:DG:H2'	1:28:A:DT:C7	3	0.11	0.0	0.11
(1,234)	1:27:A:DG:H2"	1:28:A:DT:C7	3	0.11	0.0	0.11
(1,367)	1:19:A:DG:H1	1:28:A:DT:C7	2	0.12	0.01	0.12
(1,175)	1:10:A:DG:H8	1:11:A:DC:H5	2	0.12	0.0	0.12


¹Number of violated models, ²Standard deviation



9.5 All violated distance restraints (i)

9.5.1 Histogram: Distribution of distance violations (i)

The following histogram shows the distribution of the absolute value of the violation for all violated restraints in the ensemble.



9.5.2 Table : All distance violations (i)

The following table provides the 10 worst performing restraints, sorted by the violation value. The Key (restraint list ID, restraint ID) is the unique identifier for a given restraint. Rows with same key represent combinatorial or ambiguous restraints and are counted as a single restraint.

Key	Atom-1	Atom-2	Model ID	Violation (Å)
(1,372)	1:19:A:DG:H1	1:18:A:DG:H2"	8	0.33
(1,372)	1:19:A:DG:H1	1:18:A:DG:H2'	8	0.33
(1,372)	1:19:A:DG:H1	1:18:A:DG:H2"	5	0.31
(1,372)	1:19:A:DG:H1	1:18:A:DG:H2'	5	0.31
(1,372)	1:19:A:DG:H1	1:18:A:DG:H2"	3	0.26
(1,372)	1:19:A:DG:H1	1:18:A:DG:H2'	3	0.26
(1,372)	1:19:A:DG:H1	1:18:A:DG:H2"	1	0.25
(1,372)	1:19:A:DG:H1	1:18:A:DG:H2'	1	0.25
(1,372)	1:19:A:DG:H1	1:18:A:DG:H2"	9	0.24
(1,372)	1:19:A:DG:H1	1:18:A:DG:H2'	9	0.24
(1,372)	1:19:A:DG:H1	1:18:A:DG:H2"	2	0.23

Continued on next page...



Continued from previous page...

Key	Atom-1	Atom-2	Model ID	Violation (Å)
(1,372)	1:19:A:DG:H1	1:18:A:DG:H2'	2	0.23
(1,372)	1:19:A:DG:H1	1:18:A:DG:H2"	10	0.23
(1,372)	1:19:A:DG:H1	1:18:A:DG:H2'	10	0.23
(1,372)	1:19:A:DG:H1	1:18:A:DG:H2"	7	0.2
(1,372)	1:19:A:DG:H1	1:18:A:DG:H2'	7	0.2
(1,328)	1:24:A:DA:H8	1:25:A:DG:H1	9	0.19
(1,372)	1:19:A:DG:H1	1:18:A:DG:H2"	4	0.18



10 Dihedral-angle violation analysis (i)


10.1 Summary of dihedral-angle violations (i)

The following table provides the summary of dihedral-angle violations in different dihedral-angle types. Violations less than 1° are not included in the calculation.

Angle true	Count	$\%^{1}$	Vie	olated	3	Consistently Violated ⁴		
Angle type	Count	70	Count	$\%^2$	$\%^1$	Count	$\%^2$	$\%^1$
NU2	28	8.4	5	17.9	1.5	0	0.0	0.0
NU3	28	8.4	8	28.6	2.4	0	0.0	0.0
CHI	31	9.3	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
UNNAMED	161	48.5	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
NU0	28	8.4	15	53.6	4.5	0	0.0	0.0
NU4	28	8.4	13	46.4	3.9	0	0.0	0.0
NU1	28	8.4	2	7.1	0.6	0	0.0	0.0
Total	332	100.0	43	13.0	13.0	0	0.0	0.0

 $^{^1}$ percentage calculated with respect to total number of dihedral-angle restraints, 2 percentage calculated with respect to number of restraints in a particular dihedral-angle type, 3 violated in at least one model, 4 violated in all the models

10.1.1 Bar chart: Distribution of dihedral-angles and violations (i)






Violated and consistently violated restraints are shown using different hatch patterns in their respective categories

Dihedral-angle violation statistics for each model (i) 10.2

The following table provides the dihedral-angle violation statistics for each model in the ensemble. Violations less than 1° are not included in the statistics.

Model ID				Number of vi	olations	3			Mean (°)	Max (°)	SD (°)	Median (°)
Model 1D	NU2	NU3	CHI	UNNAMED	NU0	NU4	NU1	Total	Mean ()	Max ()	SD ()	Median ()
1	2	5	0	0	8	5	1	21	12.03	32.71	9.35	9.11
2	0	0	0	0	4	5	1	10	7.4	15.35	4.65	7.57
3	0	3	0	0	7	8	1	19	4.65	8.94	2.15	4.74
4	2	3	0	0	6	4	1	16	7.45	15.23	4.59	7.32
5	3	5	0	0	5	7	0	20	8.12	25.07	6.54	7.08
6	1	3	0	0	5	6	0	15	8.69	22.43	6.27	8.07
7	0	4	0	0	9	8	1	22	6.07	15.19	3.23	6.0
8	0	4	0	0	5	5	0	14	5.12	10.35	2.74	5.64
9	2	5	0	0	7	7	0	21	8.21	23.37	6.3	7.94
10	0	2	0	0	8	8	1	19	4.3	12.59	2.79	2.82

10.2.1 Bar graph: Dihedral violation statistics for each model (i)



The mean(dot), median(x) and the standard deviation are shown in blue with respect to the y axis on the right

Dihedral-angle violation statistics for the ensemble (i) 10.3

Violation analysis may find that some restraints are violated in very few models and some are violated in most of models. The following table provides this information as number of violated




restraints for a given fraction of ensemble.

		Num	Fraction	n of the ensemble					
NU2	NU3	CHI	UNNAMED	NU0	NU4	NU1	Total	Count ¹	%
1	0	0	0	4	2	0	7	1	10.0
3	2	0	0	1	1	0	7	2	20.0
1	1	0	0	1	4	2	9	3	30.0
0	1	0	0	2	0	0	3	4	40.0
0	3	0	0	1	0	0	4	5	50.0
0	0	0	0	3	1	0	4	6	60.0
0	0	0	0	1	1	0	2	7	70.0
0	1	0	0	1	2	0	4	8	80.0
0	0	0	0	1	2	0	3	9	90.0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	10	100.0

¹ Number of models with violations

10.3.1 Bar graph: Dihedral-angle Violation statistics for the ensemble (i)




10.4 Most violated dihedral-angle restraints in the ensemble (i)

10.4.1 Histogram: Distribution of mean dihedral-angle violations (i)

The following histogram shows the distribution of the average value of the violation. The average is calculated for each restraint that is violated in more than one model over all the violated models



in the ensemble



10.4.2 Table: Most violated dihedral-angle restraints (i)

The following table provides the mean and the standard deviation of the violations for the 10 worst performing restraints, sorted by number of violated models and the mean violation value. The Key (restraint list ID, restraint ID) is the unique identifier for a given restraint.

Key	Atom-1	Atom-2	Atom-3	Atom-4	\mathbf{Models}^1	Mean	$\mathbf{S}\mathbf{D}^2$	Median
(1,117)	1:19:A:DG:C3'	1:19:A:DG:C4'	1:19:A:DG:O4'	1:19:A:DG:C1'	9	9.3	4.37	6.99
(1,113)	1:19:A:DG:C4'	1:19:A:DG:O4'	1:19:A:DG:C1'	1:19:A:DG:C2'	9	7.49	1.19	7.15
(1,42)	1:2:A:DG:C3'	1:2:A:DG:C4'	1:2:A:DG:O4'	1:2:A:DG:C1'	9	5.3	3.39	5.79
(1,92)	1:13:A:DT:C3'	1:13:A:DT:C4'	1:13:A:DT:O4'	1:13:A:DT:C1'	8	13.66	7.95	12.72
(1,122)	1:20:A:DG:C3'	1:20:A:DG:C4'	1:20:A:DG:O4'	1:20:A:DG:C1'	8	11.03	2.15	11.0
(1,118)	1:20:A:DG:C4'	1:20:A:DG:O4'	1:20:A:DG:C1'	1:20:A:DG:C2'	8	7.21	1.03	7.12
(1,121)	1:20:A:DG:C2'	1:20:A:DG:C3'	1:20:A:DG:C4'	1:20:A:DG:O4'	8	4.74	2.68	4.2
(1,83)	1:12:A:DA:C4'	1:12:A:DA:O4'	1:12:A:DA:C1'	1:12:A:DA:C2'	7	7.93	7.57	2.82
(1,82)	1:11:A:DC:C3'	1:11:A:DC:C4'	1:11:A:DC:O4'	1:11:A:DC:C1'	7	5.72	2.82	5.51
(1,87)	1:12:A:DA:C3'	1:12:A:DA:C4'	1:12:A:DA:O4'	1:12:A:DA:C1'	6	9.25	3.26	7.28


 $^{^1}$ Number of violated models, $^2\mathrm{Standard}$ deviation, All angle values are in degree (°)



10.5 All violated dihedral-angle restraints (i)

10.5.1 Histogram: Distribution of violations (i)

The following histogram shows the distribution of the absolute value of the violation for all violated restraints in the ensemble.



10.5.2 Table: All violated dihedral-angle restraints (i)

The following table provides the list of violations for the 10 worst performing restraints, sorted by the violation value. The Key (restraint list ID, restraint ID) is the unique identifier for a given restraint.

Key	Atom-1	Atom-2	Atom-3	Atom-4	Model ID	Violation (°)
(1,84)	1:12:A:DA:O4'	1:12:A:DA:C1'	1:12:A:DA:C2'	1:12:A:DA:C3'	1	32.71
(1,91)	1:13:A:DT:C2'	1:13:A:DT:C3'	1:13:A:DT:C4'	1:13:A:DT:O4'	1	28.53
(1,91)	1:13:A:DT:C2'	1:13:A:DT:C3'	1:13:A:DT:C4'	1:13:A:DT:O4'	5	25.07
(1,85)	1:12:A:DA:C1'	1:12:A:DA:C2'	1:12:A:DA:C3'	1:12:A:DA:C4'	1	25.06
(1,92)	1:13:A:DT:C3'	1:13:A:DT:C4'	1:13:A:DT:O4'	1:13:A:DT:C1'	1	24.73
(1,91)	1:13:A:DT:C2'	1:13:A:DT:C3'	1:13:A:DT:C4'	1:13:A:DT:O4'	9	23.37
(1,83)	1:12:A:DA:C4'	1:12:A:DA:O4'	1:12:A:DA:C1'	1:12:A:DA:C2'	1	22.56
(1,86)	1:12:A:DA:C2'	1:12:A:DA:C3'	1:12:A:DA:C4'	1:12:A:DA:O4'	6	22.43
(1,92)	1:13:A:DT:C3'	1:13:A:DT:C4'	1:13:A:DT:O4'	1:13:A:DT:C1'	5	22.09
(1,92)	1:13:A:DT:C3'	1:13:A:DT:C4'	1:13:A:DT:O4'	1:13:A:DT:C1'	9	21.57

