

wwPDB NMR Structure Validation Summary Report (i)

May 29, 2024 – 08:12 PM EDT

PDB ID : 9BB7 BMRB ID : 31163

Title : Backbone Modification in the GA Module of Protein PAB: ACPC residues at

positions 5 and 39, beta3 residue at position 26

Authors : Lin, Y.; Horne, W.S.

Deposited on : 2024-04-05

This is a wwPDB NMR Structure Validation Summary Report for a publicly released PDB entry.

We welcome your comments at validation@mail.wwpdb.org
A user guide is available at
https://www.wwpdb.org/validation/2017/NMRValidationReportHelp
with specific help available everywhere you see the (i) symbol.

The types of validation reports are described at http://www.wwpdb.org/validation/2017/FAQs#types.

The following versions of software and data (see references (1)) were used in the production of this report:

MolProbity: 4.02b-467

Mogul : 1.8.5 (274361), CSD as541be (2020)

Percentile statistics : 20191225.v01 (using entries in the PDB archive December 25th 2019)

wwPDB-RCI : v 1n 11 5 13 A (Berjanski et al., 2005)

PANAV : Wang et al. (2010)

 $\begin{array}{ccc} wwPDB\text{-}ShiftChecker &: & v1.2 \\ BMRB \ Restraints \ Analysis &: & v1.2 \\ \end{array}$

Ideal geometry (proteins) : Engh & Huber (2001) Ideal geometry (DNA, RNA) : Parkinson et al. (1996)


Validation Pipeline (wwPDB-VP) : 2.36.2

1 Overall quality at a glance (i)

The following experimental techniques were used to determine the structure: $SOLUTION\ NMR$

The overall completeness of chemical shifts assignment is 54%.

Percentile scores (ranging between 0-100) for global validation metrics of the entry are shown in the following graphic. The table shows the number of entries on which the scores are based.



Metric	Whole archive	NMR archive
Metric	$(\# \mathrm{Entries})$	$(\# \mathrm{Entries})$
Clashscore	158937	12864
Ramachandran outliers	154571	11451
Sidechain outliers	154315	11428

The table below summarises the geometric issues observed across the polymeric chains and their fit to the experimental data. The red, orange, yellow and green segments indicate the fraction of residues that contain outliers for >=3, 2, 1 and 0 types of geometric quality criteria. A cyan segment indicates the fraction of residues that are not part of the well-defined cores, and a grey segment represents the fraction of residues that are not modelled. The numeric value for each fraction is indicated below the corresponding segment, with a dot representing fractions <=5%

Mol	Chain	Length	Quality of chain			
1	A	48	71%	•	٠	23%



2 Ensemble composition and analysis (i)

This entry contains 10 models. Model 9 is the overall representative, medoid model (most similar to other models). The authors have identified model 1 as representative, based on the following criterion: *lowest energy*.

The following residues are included in the computation of the global validation metrics.

Well-defined (core) protein residues							
Well-defined core Residue range (total) Backbone RMSD (Å) Medoid mode							
1	A:4-A:4, A:6-A:16, A:21-	0.49	9				
	A:25, A:27-A:38, A:40-A:47						
	(37)						

Ill-defined regions of proteins are excluded from the global statistics.

Ligands and non-protein polymers are included in the analysis.

The models can be grouped into 2 clusters and 4 single-model clusters were found.

Cluster number	Models
1	6, 8, 9, 10
2	5, 7
Single-model clusters	1; 2; 3; 4



3 Entry composition (i)

There is only 1 type of molecule in this entry. The entry contains 742 atoms, of which 378 are hydrogens and 0 are deuteriums.

• Molecule 1 is a protein called Peptostreptococcal albumin-binding protein.

Mol	Chain	Residues	Atoms			Trace		
1	Λ	10	Total	С	Н	N	О	1
1	1 A	48	742	233	378	61	70	1

There are 4 discrepancies between the modelled and reference sequences:

Chain	Residue	Modelled	Actual	Comment	Reference
Α	5	XCP	LYS	engineered mutation	UNP Q51911
A	26	BIL	ILE	engineered mutation	UNP Q51911
A	39	XCP	LYS	engineered mutation	UNP Q51911
A	48	NH2	-	amidation	UNP Q51911



4 Residue-property plots (i)

4.1 Average score per residue in the NMR ensemble

These plots are provided for all protein, RNA, DNA and oligosaccharide chains in the entry. The first graphic is the same as shown in the summary in section 1 of this report. The second graphic shows the sequence where residues are colour-coded according to the number of geometric quality criteria for which they contain at least one outlier: green = 0, yellow = 1, orange = 2 and red = 3 or more. Stretches of 2 or more consecutive residues without any outliers are shown as green connectors. Residues which are classified as ill-defined in the NMR ensemble, are shown in cyan with an underline colour-coded according to the previous scheme. Residues which were present in the experimental sample, but not modelled in the final structure are shown in grey.

• Molecule 1: Peptostreptococcal albumin-binding protein



4.2 Residue scores for the representative (medoid) model from the NMR ensemble

The representative model is number 9. Colouring as in section 4.1 above.

• Molecule 1: Peptostreptococcal albumin-binding protein





5 Refinement protocol and experimental data overview (i)



The models were refined using the following method: simulated annealing.

Of the 100 calculated structures, 10 were deposited, based on the following criterion: structures with the lowest energy.

The following table shows the software used for structure solution, optimisation and refinement.

Software name	Classification	Version
ARIA	refinement	
ARIA	structure calculation	

The following table shows chemical shift validation statistics as aggregates over all chemical shift files. Detailed validation can be found in section 7 of this report.

Chemical shift file(s)	working_cs.cif
Number of chemical shift lists	1
Total number of shifts	345
Number of shifts mapped to atoms	345
Number of unparsed shifts	0
Number of shifts with mapping errors	0
Number of shifts with mapping warnings	0
Assignment completeness (well-defined parts)	54%



6 Model quality (i)

6.1 Standard geometry (i)

Bond lengths and bond angles in the following residue types are not validated in this section: XCP, NH2, BIL

There are no covalent bond-length or bond-angle outliers.

Chiral center outliers are detected by calculating the chiral volume of a chiral center and verifying if the center is modelled as a planar moiety or with the opposite hand. A planarity outlier is detected by checking planarity of atoms in a peptide group, atoms in a mainchain group or atoms of a sidechain that are expected to be planar.

Mol	Chain	Chirality	Planarity
1	A	0.0 ± 0.0	3.0 ± 0.0
All	All	0	30

There are no bond-length outliers.

There are no bond-angle outliers.

There are no chirality outliers.

All unique planar outliers are listed below. They are sorted by the frequency of occurrence in the ensemble.

Mol	Chain	Res	Type	Group	Models (Total)
1	A	4	ALA	Peptide	10
1	A	25	ALA	Peptide	10
1	A	38	LEU	Peptide	10

6.2 Too-close contacts (i)

In the following table, the Non-H and H(model) columns list the number of non-hydrogen atoms and hydrogen atoms in each chain respectively. The H(added) column lists the number of hydrogen atoms added and optimized by MolProbity. The Clashes column lists the number of clashes averaged over the ensemble.

Mol	Chain	Non-H	H(model)	H(added)	Clashes
1	A	284	286	285	1±1
All	All	2840	2860	2850	9

The all-atom clashscore is defined as the number of clashes found per 1000 atoms (including hydrogen atoms). The all-atom clashscore for this structure is 2.

5 of 6 unique clashes are listed below, sorted by their clash magnitude.



Atom-1	Atom-2	Clash(Å)	$Distance(\mathring{A})$	${f Models}$	
Atom-1	Atom-2	Clash(A)	Distance(A)	Worst	Total
1:A:40:ASN:O	1:A:44:LYS:HG2	0.48	2.08	7	1
1:A:31:THR:O	1:A:35:VAL:HG23	0.47	2.10	9	1
1:A:38:LEU:O	1:A:42:ILE:HG13	0.45	2.12	4	4
1:A:25:ALA:HB1	1:A:38:LEU:HD21	0.44	1.89	2	1
1:A:10:ALA:O	1:A:14:LYS:HD3	0.41	2.14	1	1

6.3 Torsion angles (i)

6.3.1 Protein backbone (i)

In the following table, the Percentiles column shows the percent Ramachandran outliers of the chain as a percentile score with respect to all PDB entries followed by that with respect to all NMR entries. The Analysed column shows the number of residues for which the backbone conformation was analysed and the total number of residues.

Mol	Chain	Analysed	Favoured	Allowed	Outliers	Percei	ntiles
1	A	37/48 (77%)	36±1 (97±1%)	1±1 (3±1%)	0±0 (0±0%)	100	100
All	All	370/480 (77%)	359 (97%)	11 (3%)	0 (0%)	100	100

There are no Ramachandran outliers.

6.3.2 Protein sidechains (i)

In the following table, the Percentiles column shows the percent sidechain outliers of the chain as a percentile score with respect to all PDB entries followed by that with respect to all NMR entries. The Analysed column shows the number of residues for which the sidechain conformation was analysed and the total number of residues.

Mol	Chain	Analysed	Analysed Rotameric		Percentiles		
1	A	27/34 (79%)	26±0 (97±2%)	1±0 (3±2%)	49	91	
All	All	270/340 (79%)	263 (97%)	7 (3%)	49	91	

All 4 unique residues with a non-rotameric sidechain are listed below. They are sorted by the frequency of occurrence in the ensemble.

Mol	Chain	Res	Type	Models (Total)
1	A	36	ASN	3
1	A	40	ASN	2
1	A	28	LYS	1
1	A	7	ASP	1



6.3.3 RNA (i)

There are no RNA molecules in this entry.

6.4 Non-standard residues in protein, DNA, RNA chains (i)

3 non-standard protein/DNA/RNA residues are modelled in this entry.

In the following table, the Counts columns list the number of bonds for which Mogul statistics could be retrieved, the number of bonds that are observed in the model and the number of bonds that are defined in the chemical component dictionary. The Link column lists molecule types, if any, to which the group is linked. The Z score for a bond length is the number of standard deviations the observed value is removed from the expected value. A bond length with |Z| > 2 is considered an outlier worth inspection. RMSZ is the average root-mean-square of all Z scores of the bond lengths.

Mol	Trino	Chain	Res	Link		Bond len	gths
MIOI	Type	Chain	nes	LIIIK	Counts	RMSZ	#Z>2
1	XCP	A	39	1	8,8,9	1.71 ± 0.03	2±0 (22±5%)
1	XCP	A	5	1	8,8,9	1.46 ± 0.04	1±0 (12±0%)
1	BIL	A	26	1	7,8,9	$0.46 {\pm} 0.04$	0±0 (0±0%)

In the following table, the Counts columns list the number of angles for which Mogul statistics could be retrieved, the number of angles that are observed in the model and the number of angles that are defined in the chemical component dictionary. The Link column lists molecule types, if any, to which the group is linked. The Z score for a bond angle is the number of standard deviations the observed value is removed from the expected value. A bond angle with |Z| > 2 is considered an outlier worth inspection. RMSZ is the average root-mean-square of all Z scores of the bond angles.

Mal	Trino	Chain	Dec	Tiple		Bond an	gles
IVIOI	туре	Chain	nes	Lilik	Counts	RMSZ	#Z>2
1	XCP	A	39	1	4,10,12	1.45 ± 0.02	$1\pm0 \ (25\pm0\%)$
1	XCP	A	5	1	4,10,12	1.40 ± 0.04	1±0 (25±0%)
1	BIL	A	26	1	5,9,11	1.08 ± 0.05	0±0 (2±6%)

In the following table, the Chirals column lists the number of chiral outliers, the number of chiral centers analysed, the number of these observed in the model and the number defined in the chemical component dictionary. Similar counts are reported in the Torsion and Rings columns. '-' means no outliers of that kind were identified.

Mol	Type	Chain	Res	Link	Chirals	Torsions	${f Rings}$
1	XCP	A	39	1	-	$0\pm0,1,12,14$	$0\pm0,1,1,1$
1	BIL	A	26	1	-	$0\pm0,9,9,10$	-

Continued on next page...



Continued from previous page...

Mol	Type	Chain	Res	Link	Chirals	Torsions	Rings
1	XCP	A	5	1	-	$0\pm0,1,12,14$	$0\pm0,1,1,1$

All unique bond outliers are listed below. They are sorted according to the Z-score of the worst occurrence in the ensemble.

Mol	Chain	Dag	Tuno	Atoms	Z	Observed(Å)	Ideal(Å)	Mod	\mathbf{Models}	
MIOI	Chain	nes	туре	Atoms	L	Observed(A)	Ideal(A)	Worst	Total	
1	A	39	XCP	CA-CB	4.14	1.50	1.55	7	10	
1	A	5	XCP	CA-CB	3.39	1.51	1.55	3	10	
1	A	39	XCP	CE-CA	2.19	1.49	1.54	6	8	

All unique angle outliers are listed below. They are sorted according to the Z-score of the worst occurrence in the ensemble.

Mol	Chain	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		$Ideal(^{o})$	Mod	dels			
MIOI	Chain	nes	туре	Atoms	L	Observed(*)	ideai()	Worst	Total
1	A	39	XCP	O-C-CA	2.57	119.16	125.16	7	10
1	A	5	XCP	O-C-CA	2.52	119.27	125.16	6	10
1	A	26	BIL	CA-CB-CG	2.05	108.36	113.19	7	1

There are no chirality outliers.

There are no torsion outliers.

There are no ring outliers.

6.5 Carbohydrates (i)

There are no monosaccharides in this entry.

6.6 Ligand geometry (i)

There are no ligands in this entry.

6.7 Other polymers (i)

There are no such molecules in this entry.

6.8 Polymer linkage issues (i)

There are no chain breaks in this entry.



7 Chemical shift validation (i)

The completeness of assignment taking into account all chemical shift lists is 54% for the well-defined parts and 54% for the entire structure.

7.1 Chemical shift list 1

File name: working cs.cif

Chemical shift list name: starch_output

7.1.1 Bookkeeping (i)

The following table shows the results of parsing the chemical shift list and reports the number of nuclei with statistically unusual chemical shifts.

Total number of shifts	345
Number of shifts mapped to atoms	345
Number of unparsed shifts	0
Number of shifts with mapping errors	0
Number of shifts with mapping warnings	0
Number of shift outliers (ShiftChecker)	0

7.1.2 Chemical shift referencing (i)

No chemical shift referencing corrections were calculated (not enough data).

7.1.3 Completeness of resonance assignments (i)

The following table shows the completeness of the chemical shift assignments for the well-defined regions of the structure. The overall completeness is 54%, i.e. 267 atoms were assigned a chemical shift out of a possible 494. 0 out of 5 assigned methyl groups (LEU and VAL) were assigned stereospecifically.

	Total	$^{1}{ m H}$	$^{13}\mathbf{C}$	$^{15}{ m N}$
Backbone	75/186 (40%)	75/75~(100%)	0/74 (0%)	0/37~(0%)
Sidechain	176/271 (65%)	$176/176 \ (100\%)$	0/86~(0%)	0/9 (0%)
Aromatic	16/37 (43%)	16/18 (89%)	0/17 (0%)	0/2 (0%)
Overall	267/494 (54%)	$267/269 \ (99\%)$	0/177 (0%)	0/48 (0%)

7.1.4 Statistically unusual chemical shifts (i)


There are no statistically unusual chemical shifts.



7.1.5 Random Coil Index (RCI) plots (i)

The image below reports random coil index values for the protein chains in the structure. The height of each bar gives a probability of a given residue to be disordered, as predicted from the available chemical shifts and the amino acid sequence. A value above 0.2 is an indication of significant predicted disorder. The colour of the bar shows whether the residue is in the well-defined core (black) or in the ill-defined residue ranges (cyan), as described in section 2 on ensemble composition. If well-defined core and ill-defined regions are not identified then it is shown as gray bars.

Random coil index (RCI) for chain A:





8 NMR restraints analysis (i)

8.1 Conformationally restricting restraints (i)

The following table provides the summary of experimentally observed NMR restraints in different categories. Restraints are classified into different categories based on the sequence separation of the atoms involved.

Description	Value
Total distance restraints	947
Intra-residue ($ i-j =0$)	347
Sequential (i-j =1)	198
Medium range ($ i-j >1$ and $ i-j <5$)	210
Long range (i-j ≥5)	136
Inter-chain	0
Hydrogen bond restraints	56
Disulfide bond restraints	0
Total dihedral-angle restraints	0
Number of unmapped restraints	0
Number of restraints per residue	19.7
Number of long range restraints per residue ¹	2.8

¹Long range hydrogen bonds and disulfide bonds are counted as long range restraints while calculating the number of long range restraints per residue

8.2 Residual restraint violations (i)

This section provides the overview of the restraint violations analysis. The violations are binned as small, medium and large violations based on its absolute value. Average number of violations per model is calculated by dividing the total number of violations in each bin by the size of the ensemble.

8.2.1 Average number of distance violations per model (i)

Distance violations less than 0.1 Å are not included in the calculation.

Bins (Å)	Average number of violations per model	Max (Å)
0.1-0.2 (Small)	13.0	0.2
0.2-0.5 (Medium)	19.2	0.5
>0.5 (Large)	23.3	2.41



8.2.2 Average number of dihedral-angle violations per model (i)

Dihedral-angle violations less than 1° are not included in the calculation. There are no dihedral-angle violations



9 Distance violation analysis (i)

9.1 Summary of distance violations (i)


The following table shows the summary of distance violations in different restraint categories based on the sequence separation of the atoms involved. Each category is further sub-divided into three sub-categories based on the atoms involved. Violations less than 0.1~Å are not included in the statistics.

Doctroints type	Count	% ¹	${f Violated}^3$			Consis	tently	$\overline{ m Violated^4}$
Restraints type	Count	70-	Count	$\%^2$	$\%^{1}$	Count	$\%^2$	$\%^1$
Intra-residue (i-j =0)	347	36.6	14	4.0	1.5	6	1.7	0.6
Backbone-Backbone	39	4.1	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Sidechain	197	20.8	5	2.5	0.5	1	0.5	0.1
Sidechain-Sidechain	111	11.7	9	8.1	1.0	5	4.5	0.5
Sequential (i-j =1)	198	20.9	3	1.5	0.3	1	0.5	0.1
Backbone-Backbone	66	7.0	1	1.5	0.1	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Sidechain	115	12.1	1	0.9	0.1	0	0.0	0.0
Sidechain-Sidechain	17	1.8	1	5.9	0.1	1	5.9	0.1
Medium range ($ i-j >1 \& i-j <5$)	210	22.2	27	12.9	2.9	4	1.9	0.4
Backbone-Backbone	40	4.2	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Sidechain	110	11.6	11	10.0	1.2	1	0.9	0.1
Sidechain-Sidechain	60	6.3	16	26.7	1.7	3	5.0	0.3
Long range ($ i-j \ge 5$)	136	14.4	28	20.6	3.0	7	5.1	0.7
Backbone-Backbone	1	0.1	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Sidechain	50	5.3	7	14.0	0.7	1	2.0	0.1
Sidechain-Sidechain	85	9.0	21	24.7	2.2	6	7.1	0.6
Inter-chain	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Backbone	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Sidechain	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Sidechain-Sidechain	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Hydrogen bond	56	5.9	33	58.9	3.5	6	10.7	0.6
Disulfide bond	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Total	947	100.0	105	11.1	11.1	24	2.5	2.5
Backbone-Backbone	146	15.4	1	0.7	0.1	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Sidechain	528	55.8	57	10.8	6.0	9	1.7	1.0
Sidechain-Sidechain	273	28.8	47	17.2	5.0	15	5.5	1.6

¹ percentage calculated with respect to the total number of distance restraints, ² percentage calculated with respect to the number of restraints in a particular restraint category, ³ violated in at least one model, ⁴ violated in all the models



9.1.1 Bar chart: Distribution of distance restraints and violations (i)



Violated and consistently violated restraints are shown using different hatch patterns in their respective categories. The hydrogen bonds and disulfied bonds are counted in their appropriate category on the x-axis

9.2 Distance violation statistics for each model (i)


The following table provides the distance violation statistics for each model in the ensemble. Violations less than 0.1~Å are not included in the statistics.

Model ID	del ID		Number of violations					Max (Å)	${ m SD}^6$ (Å)	Median (Å)
Model 1D	IR^1	SQ^2	MR^3	LR^4	IC^5	Total	Mean (Å)	Max (A)	$SD^*(A)$	Median (A)
1	10	3	31	17	0	61	0.51	2.41	0.46	0.35
2	9	2	29	15	0	55	0.66	2.19	0.51	0.44
3	10	4	24	19	0	57	0.57	1.71	0.39	0.47
4	7	2	31	17	0	57	0.5	1.56	0.38	0.35
5	11	2	30	17	0	60	0.56	1.92	0.43	0.44
6	10	2	31	15	0	58	0.6	2.13	0.48	0.44
7	10	2	26	14	0	52	0.56	1.89	0.45	0.38
8	9	2	31	14	0	56	0.57	2.06	0.44	0.42
9	8	2	25	17	0	52	0.53	1.75	0.37	0.42
10	10	3	25	15	0	53	0.53	1.45	0.35	0.44



¹Intra-residue restraints, ²Sequential restraints, ³Medium range restraints, ⁴Long range restraints, ⁵Inter-chain restraints, ⁶Standard deviation

9.2.1 Bar graph: Distance Violation statistics for each model (i)



The mean(dot),median(x) and the standard deviation are shown in blue with respect to the y axis on the right

9.3 Distance violation statistics for the ensemble (i)

Violation analysis may find that some restraints are violated in few models and some are violated in most of models. The following table provides this information as number of violated restraints for a given fraction of the ensemble. In total, 819(IR:333, SQ:195, MR:183, LR:108, IC:0) restraints are not violated in the ensemble.

Nu	Number of violated restraints						Fraction of the ensemble		
IR^1	SQ^2	MR^3	LR^4	$ IC^5 $	Total	Count ⁶	%		
2	2	8	4	0	16	1	10.0		
1	0	3	3	0	7	2	20.0		
0	0	3	3	0	6	3	30.0		

Continued on next page...




Continued from previous page...

Nu	ımber	of vio	lated	Fraction of the ensemble			
IR^1	SQ^2	MR^3	LR^4	IC^5	Total	Count ⁶	%
1	0	1	3	0	5	4	40.0
1	0	2	1	0	4	5	50.0
0	0	2	1	0	3	6	60.0
0	0	2	1	0	3	7	70.0
2	0	2	2	0	6	8	80.0
1	0	0	3	0	4	9	90.0
6	1	4	7	0	18	10	100.0

¹Intra-residue restraints, ²Sequential restraints, ³Medium range restraints, ⁴Long range restraints, ⁵Inter-chain restraints, ⁶ Number of models with violations

9.3.1 Bar graph: Distance violation statistics for the ensemble (i)




9.4 Most violated distance restraints in the ensemble (i)

9.4.1 Histogram: Distribution of mean distance violations (i)

The following histogram shows the distribution of the average value of the violation. The average is calculated for each restraint that is violated in more than one model over all the violated models



in the ensemble



9.4.2 Table: Most violated distance restraints (i)

The following table provides the mean and the standard deviation of the violations for the 10 worst performing restraints, sorted by number of violated models and the mean violation value. The Key (restraint list ID, restraint ID) is the unique identifier for a given restraint. Rows with same key represent combinatorial or ambiguous restraints and are counted as a single restraint.

Key	Atom-1	Atom-2	\mathbf{Models}^1	Mean (Å)	SD^1 (Å)	Median (Å)
(3,231)	1:34:A:GLU:HG2	1:26:A:BIL:H2E1	10	1.53	0.39	1.62
(3,231)	1:34:A:GLU:HG2	1:26:A:BIL:H3E1	10	1.53	0.39	1.62
(3,29)	1:12:A:LEU:HD12	1:21:A:PHE:HZ	10	1.23	0.23	1.18
(3,29)	1:12:A:LEU:HD12	1:23:A:PHE:HE2	10	1.23	0.23	1.18
(3,29)	1:12:A:LEU:HD13	1:21:A:PHE:HZ	10	1.23	0.23	1.18
(3,29)	1:12:A:LEU:HD11	1:23:A:PHE:HE2	10	1.23	0.23	1.18
(4,27)	1:27:A:ASN:H	1:23:A:PHE:O	10	1.23	0.32	1.21
(4,55)	1:47:A:ALA:H	1:43:A:LEU:O	10	1.05	0.33	1.13
(1,60)	1:5:A:XCP:HDA	1:3:A:ASN:H	10	0.88	0.25	0.88
(4,28)	1:27:A:ASN:N	1:23:A:PHE:O	10	0.84	0.29	0.82
(3,183)	1:11:A:GLU:HB3	1:43:A:LEU:HB3	10	0.82	0.33	0.95
(3,185)	1:5:A:XCP:HGA	1:4:A:ALA:HB2	10	0.77	0.06	0.77
(3,185)	1:5:A:XCP:HGA	1:4:A:ALA:HB1	10	0.77	0.06	0.77
(3,185)	1:5:A:XCP:HGA	1:4:A:ALA:HB3	10	0.77	0.06	0.77
(4,29)	1:28:A:LYS:H	1:24:A:ASN:O	10	0.72	0.53	0.62

Continued on next page...



Continued from previous page...


Key	Atom-1	Atom-2	\mathbf{Models}^1	Mean (Å)	SD^1 (Å)	Median (Å)
(1,527)	1:39:A:XCP:HD	1:35:A:VAL:HG12	10	0.7	0.36	0.6

¹Number of violated models, ²Standard deviation

9.5 All violated distance restraints (i)

9.5.1 Histogram: Distribution of distance violations (i)

The following histogram shows the distribution of the absolute value of the violation for all violated restraints in the ensemble.



9.5.2 Table : All distance violations (i)

The following table provides the 10 worst performing restraints, sorted by the violation value. The Key (restraint list ID, restraint ID) is the unique identifier for a given restraint. Rows with same key represent combinatorial or ambiguous restraints and are counted as a single restraint.

Key	Atom-1	Atom-2	Model ID	Violation (Å)
(1,535)	1:25:A:ALA:HB1	1:38:A:LEU:HD13	1	2.41
(4,29)	1:28:A:LYS:H	1:24:A:ASN:O	2	2.19
(3,231)	1:34:A:GLU:HG2	1:26:A:BIL:H2E1	6	2.13
(3,77)	1:17:A:ILE:HG13	1:21:A:PHE:HE1	8	2.06

Continued on next page...



Continued from previous page...

Key	Atom-1	Atom-2	Model ID	Violation (Å)
(1,535)	1:25:A:ALA:HB3	1:38:A:LEU:HD13	6	2.06
(1,178)	1:45:A:ALA:HB1	1:21:A:PHE:HZ	1	2.02
(3,77)	1:17:A:ILE:HG13	1:21:A:PHE:HE1	5	1.92
(4,30)	1:28:A:LYS:N	1:24:A:ASN:O	2	1.9
(3,77)	1:17:A:ILE:HG13	1:21:A:PHE:HE1	7	1.89
(3,231)	1:34:A:GLU:HG2	1:26:A:BIL:H3E1	7	1.82



10 Dihedral-angle violation analysis (i)

No dihedral-angle restraints found

