

# Full wwPDB NMR Structure Validation Report (i)

#### Dec 25, 2024 – 05:28 PM EST

PDB ID	:	7DO1
BMRB ID	:	50625
Title	:	Solution structure of a heteromolecular telomeric (3+1) G-quadruplex contain-
		ing right loop progression
Authors	:	Fu, W.Q.; Jing, H.T.; Zhang, N.
Deposited on	:	2020-12-11

This is a Full wwPDB NMR Structure Validation Report for a publicly released PDB entry.

We welcome your comments at validation@mail.wwpdb.org A user guide is available at https://www.wwpdb.org/validation/2017/NMRValidationReportHelp with specific help available everywhere you see the (i) symbol.

The types of validation reports are described at http://www.wwpdb.org/validation/2017/FAQs#types.

The following versions of software and data (see references (1)) were used in the production of this report:

MolProbity	:	4.02b-467
Percentile statistics	:	20231227.v01 (using entries in the PDB archive December 27th 2023)
wwPDB-RCI	:	v_1n_11_5_13_A (Berjanski et al., 2005)
PANAV	:	Wang et al. (2010)
wwPDB-ShiftChecker	:	v1.2
BMRB Restraints Analysis	:	v1.2
Ideal geometry (proteins)	:	Engh & Huber (2001)
Ideal geometry (DNA, RNA)	:	Parkinson et al. (1996)
Validation Pipeline (wwPDB-VP)	:	2.40

Clashscore

# 1 Overall quality at a glance (i)

The following experimental techniques were used to determine the structure:  $SOLUTION\ NMR$ 

The overall completeness of chemical shifts assignment is 52%.

Percentile scores (ranging between 0-100) for global validation metrics of the entry are shown in the following graphic. The table shows the number of entries on which the scores are based.

Metric	;	Percent	ile Ranks	Value
Clashscore				1
	Worse			Better
	Percentile	e relative to all structures		
	Percentile	e relative to all NMR structures		
				-
Metri		Whole archive	NMR archive	

(# Entries)

210492

The table below summarises the geometric issues observed across the polymeric chains and their fit to the experimental data. The red, orange, yellow and green segments indicate the fraction of residues that contain outliers for >=3, 2, 1 and 0 types of geometric quality criteria. A cyan segment indicates the fraction of residues that are not part of the well-defined cores, and a grey segment represents the fraction of residues that are not modelled. The numeric value for each fraction is indicated below the corresponding segment, with a dot representing fractions <=5%

(#Entries)

14027

Mol	Chain	Length	Quality of chain
1	А	15	100%
2	В	6	100%



# 2 Ensemble composition and analysis (i)

This entry contains 10 models. This entry does not contain polypeptide chains, therefore identification of well-defined residues and clustering analysis are not possible. All residues are included in the validation scores.



# 3 Entry composition (i)

There are 2 unique types of molecules in this entry. The entry contains 682 atoms, of which 241 are hydrogens and 0 are deuteriums.

• Molecule 1 is a DNA chain called DNA (5'-D(\*GP\*GP\*GP\*TP\*TP\*AP\*GP\*GP\*GP\*TP\* TP\*AP\*GP\*GP\*G)-3').

Mol	Chain	Residues	Atoms						Trace
1	٨	15	Total	С	Η	Ν	0	Р	0
	A	10	488	150	171	63	90	14	0

• Molecule 2 is a DNA chain called DNA (5'-D(\*TP\*TP\*AP\*GP\*GP\*G)-3').

Mol	Chain	Residues	Atoms					Trace	
0	р	6	Total	С	Η	Ν	Ο	Р	0
	D	0	194	60	70	24	35	5	0



# 4 Residue-property plots (i)

## 4.1 Average score per residue in the NMR ensemble

These plots are provided for all protein, RNA, DNA and oligosaccharide chains in the entry. The first graphic is the same as shown in the summary in section 1 of this report. The second graphic shows the sequence where residues are colour-coded according to the number of geometric quality criteria for which they contain at least one outlier: green = 0, yellow = 1, orange = 2 and red = 3 or more. Stretches of 2 or more consecutive residues without any outliers are shown as green connectors. Residues which are classified as ill-defined in the NMR ensemble, are shown in cyan with an underline colour-coded according to the previous scheme. Residues which were present in the experimental sample, but not modelled in the final structure are shown in grey.

• Molecule 1: DNA (5'-D(\*GP\*GP\*GP\*TP\*TP\*AP\*GP\*GP\*GP\*TP\*TP\*AP\*GP\*GP\*G)-3')

Chain A:	100%
There are no outlier residues in this char	in.
• Molecule 2: DNA $(5'-D(*TP*TP*AP*$	*GP*GP*G)-3')
Chain B:	100%

There are no outlier residues in this chain.

## 4.2 Scores per residue for each member of the ensemble

Colouring as in section 4.1 above.

### 4.2.1 Score per residue for model 1

• Molecule 1: DNA (5'-D(\*GP\*GP\*GP\*TP\*TP\*AP\*GP\*GP\*GP\*TP\*TP\*AP\*GP\*GP\*G)-3')

Chain A:

100%

There are no outlier residues in this chain.

• Molecule 2: DNA (5'-D(\*TP\*TP\*AP\*GP\*GP\*G)-3')

Chain B:

100%



#### 4.2.2 Score per residue for model 2

• Molecule 1: DNA (5'-D(\*GP\*GP\*GP\*TP\*TP\*AP\*GP\*GP\*GP\*TP\*TP\*AP\*GP\*GP\*G)-3')

100%

Chain A:

There are no outlier residues in this chain.

• Molecule 2: DNA (5'-D(\*TP\*TP\*AP\*GP\*GP\*G)-3')

Chain B: 100%

There are no outlier residues in this chain.

#### 4.2.3 Score per residue for model 3

• Molecule 1: DNA (5'-D(\*GP\*GP\*GP\*TP\*TP\*AP\*GP\*GP\*GP\*TP\*TP\*AP\*GP\*GP\*G)-3')

Chain A:

100%

There are no outlier residues in this chain.

• Molecule 2: DNA (5'-D(\*TP\*TP\*AP\*GP\*GP\*G)-3')

Chain B:

100%

There are no outlier residues in this chain.

#### 4.2.4 Score per residue for model 4

• Molecule 1: DNA (5'-D(\*GP\*GP\*GP\*TP\*TP\*AP\*GP\*GP\*GP\*GP\*TP\*TP\*AP\*GP\*GP\*G)-3')

Chain A:

100%

There are no outlier residues in this chain.

• Molecule 2: DNA (5'-D(\*TP\*TP\*AP\*GP\*GP\*G)-3')

Chain B:

100%



Chain A:

#### 4.2.5 Score per residue for model 5

• Molecule 1: DNA (5'-D(\*GP\*GP\*GP\*TP\*TP\*AP\*GP\*GP\*GP\*GP\*TP\*TP\*AP\*GP\*GP\*G)-3')

100%

There are no outlier residues in this chain.
Molecule 2: DNA (5'-D(\*TP\*TP\*AP\*GP\*GP\*G)-3')

Chain B: 100%

There are no outlier residues in this chain.

#### 4.2.6 Score per residue for model 6

• Molecule 1: DNA (5'-D(\*GP\*GP\*GP\*TP\*TP\*AP\*GP\*GP\*GP\*TP\*TP\*AP\*GP\*GP\*G)-3')

Chain A:	93%	7%
<mark>61 8</mark>		
• Molecule 2: DNA (5'-D(*TP*TP	*AP*GP*GP*G)-3')	
Chain B:	83%	17%
171 122 0.08		
4.2.7 Score per residue for m	odel 7	

• Molecule 1: DNA (5'-D(\*GP\*GP\*GP\*TP\*TP\*AP\*GP\*GP\*GP\*GP\*TP\*TP\*AP\*GP\*GP\*G)-3')

Chain A:

100%

There are no outlier residues in this chain.

• Molecule 2: DNA (5'-D(\*TP\*TP\*AP\*GP\*GP\*G)-3')

Chain B:

100%



#### 4.2.8 Score per residue for model 8

• Molecule 1: DNA (5'-D(\*GP\*GP\*GP\*TP\*TP\*AP\*GP\*GP\*GP\*TP\*TP\*AP\*GP\*GP\*G)-3')

Chain A:	93%	7%
6 6 8		
• Molecule 2: DNA (	5'-D(*TP*TP*AP*GP*GP*G)-3')	
Chain B:	83%	17%
121 122 626		

#### 4.2.9 Score per residue for model 9

• Molecule 1: DNA (5'-D(\*GP\*GP\*GP\*TP\*TP\*AP\*GP\*GP\*GP\*GP\*TP\*TP\*AP\*GP\*GP\*G)-3')

Chain A: 100%

There are no outlier residues in this chain.

```
• Molecule 2: DNA (5'-D(*TP*TP*AP*GP*GP*G)-3')
```

Chain B:

100%

There are no outlier residues in this chain.

#### 4.2.10 Score per residue for model 10

• Molecule 1: DNA (5'-D(\*GP\*GP\*GP\*TP\*TP\*AP\*GP\*GP\*GP\*GP\*TP\*TP\*AP\*GP\*GP\*G)-3')

Chain A:

100%

There are no outlier residues in this chain.

• Molecule 2: DNA (5'-D(\*TP\*TP\*AP\*GP\*GP\*G)-3')

Chain B:

100%



# 5 Refinement protocol and experimental data overview (i)

The models were refined using the following method: *simulated annealing*.

Of the 200 calculated structures, 10 were deposited, based on the following criterion: *structures with the lowest energy*.

The following table shows the software used for structure solution, optimisation and refinement.

Software name	Classification	Version
X-PLOR NIH	geometry optimization	3.0.3
X-PLOR NIH	refinement	

The following table shows chemical shift validation statistics as aggregates over all chemical shift files. Detailed validation can be found in section 7 of this report.

Chemical shift file(s)	working_cs.cif
Number of chemical shift lists	2
Total number of shifts	237
Number of shifts mapped to atoms	237
Number of unparsed shifts	0
Number of shifts with mapping errors	0
Number of shifts with mapping warnings	0
Assignment completeness (well-defined parts)	52%



# 6 Model quality (i)

# 6.1 Standard geometry (i)

There are no covalent bond-length or bond-angle outliers.

There are no bond-length outliers.

There are no bond-angle outliers.

There are no chirality outliers.

There are no planarity outliers.

## 6.2 Too-close contacts (i)

In the following table, the Non-H and H(model) columns list the number of non-hydrogen atoms and hydrogen atoms in each chain respectively. The H(added) column lists the number of hydrogen atoms added and optimized by MolProbity. The Clashes column lists the number of clashes averaged over the ensemble.

Mol	Chain	Non-H	H(model)	H(added)	Clashes
1	А	317	171	171	0±1
2	В	124	70	70	0±1
All	All	4410	2410	2410	4

The all-atom clashscore is defined as the number of clashes found per 1000 atoms (including hydrogen atoms). The all-atom clashscore for this structure is 1.

All unique clashes are listed below, sorted by their clash magnitude.

Atom-1	Atom-2	Clash(Å)	Distance(Å)	Models		
Atom-1	Atom-2	Clash(A)	Distance(A)	Worst	Total	
1:A:1:DG:C6	2:B:22:DT:C2	0.53	2.97	6	2	
1:A:1:DG:C6	2:B:22:DT:N3	0.41	2.89	6	1	
1:A:1:DG:C6	2:B:22:DT:O2	0.40	2.74	6	1	

# 6.3 Torsion angles (i)

## 6.3.1 Protein backbone (i)

There are no protein molecules in this entry.



#### 6.3.2 Protein sidechains (i)

There are no protein molecules in this entry.

#### 6.3.3 RNA (i)

There are no RNA molecules in this entry.

## 6.4 Non-standard residues in protein, DNA, RNA chains (i)

There are no non-standard protein/DNA/RNA residues in this entry.

## 6.5 Carbohydrates (i)

There are no oligosaccharides in this entry.

## 6.6 Ligand geometry (i)

There are no ligands in this entry.

## 6.7 Other polymers (i)

There are no such molecules in this entry.

## 6.8 Polymer linkage issues (i)

There are no chain breaks in this entry.



# 7 Chemical shift validation (i)

The completeness of assignment taking into account all chemical shift lists is 52% for the well-defined parts and 52% for the entire structure.

## 7.1 Chemical shift list 1

File name: working\_cs.cif

Chemical shift list name: *starch\_output* 

## 7.1.1 Bookkeeping (i)

The following table shows the results of parsing the chemical shift list and reports the number of nuclei with statistically unusual chemical shifts.

Total number of shifts	201
Number of shifts mapped to atoms	201
Number of unparsed shifts	0
Number of shifts with mapping errors	0
Number of shifts with mapping warnings	0
Number of shift outliers (ShiftChecker)	2

## 7.1.2 Chemical shift referencing (i)

No chemical shift referencing corrections were calculated (not enough data).

### 7.1.3 Completeness of resonance assignments (i)

The following table shows the completeness of the chemical shift assignments for the well-defined regions of the structure. The overall completeness is 44%, i.e. 183 atoms were assigned a chemical shift out of a possible 420. 0 out of 0 assigned methyl groups (LEU and VAL) were assigned stereospecifically.

	Total	$^{1}\mathrm{H}$	$^{13}\mathrm{C}$	$^{15}$ N
Sugar	123/252~(49%)	123/147~(84%)	0/105~(0%)	$0/0 \ (\%)$
Base	60/168~(36%)	60/105~(57%)	0/30~(0%)	0/33~(0%)
Overall	183/420~(44%)	183/252~(73%)	0/135~(0%)	0/33~(0%)

The following table shows the completeness of the chemical shift assignments for the full structure. The overall completeness is 44%, i.e. 183 atoms were assigned a chemical shift out of a possible 420. 0 out of 0 assigned methyl groups (LEU and VAL) were assigned stereospecifically.



	Total	$^{1}\mathrm{H}$	$^{13}\mathrm{C}$	$^{15}\mathbf{N}$	
Sugar	123/252~(49%)	123/147~(84%)	0/105~(0%)	0/0 (%)	
Base	60/168~(36%)	60/105~(57%)	0/30~(0%)	0/33~(0%)	
Overall	183/420~(44%)	183/252~(73%)	0/135~(0%)	0/33~(0%)	

### 7.1.4 Statistically unusual chemical shifts (i)

The following table lists the statistically unusual chemical shifts. These are statistical measures, and large deviations from the mean do not necessarily imply incorrect assignments. Molecules containing paramagnetic centres or hemes are expected to give rise to anomalous chemical shifts.

List Id	Chain	Res	Type	Atom	Shift, ppm	Expected range, ppm	Z-score
1	А	6	DA	H5'	2.72	2.78 - 5.31	-5.2
1	В	23	DA	H5"	2.71	2.73 - 5.31	-5.1

## 7.1.5 Random Coil Index (RCI) plots (i)

No  $random \ coil \ index(RCI)$  plot could be generated from the current chemical shift list. RCI is only applicable to proteins

## 7.2 Chemical shift list 2

File name: working\_cs.cif

Chemical shift list name: D\_1300019810\_cs\_P1.str.V2\_starch\_output

## 7.2.1 Bookkeeping (i)

The following table shows the results of parsing the chemical shift list and reports the number of nuclei with statistically unusual chemical shifts.

Total number of shifts	36
Number of shifts mapped to atoms	36
Number of unparsed shifts	0
Number of shifts with mapping errors	0
Number of shifts with mapping warnings	0
Number of shift outliers (ShiftChecker)	0

## 7.2.2 Chemical shift referencing (i)

No chemical shift referencing corrections were calculated (not enough data).



#### 7.2.3 Completeness of resonance assignments (i)

The following table shows the completeness of the chemical shift assignments for the well-defined regions of the structure. The overall completeness is 9%, i.e. 36 atoms were assigned a chemical shift out of a possible 420. 0 out of 0 assigned methyl groups (LEU and VAL) were assigned stereospecifically.

	Total	$^{1}\mathbf{H}$	$^{13}\mathrm{C}$	$^{15}\mathbf{N}$
Sugar	0/252~(0%)	0/147~(0%)	0/105~(0%)	$0/0 \ (\%)$
Base	36/168~(21%)	0/105~(0%)	24/30~(80%)	12/33~(36%)
Overall	36/420~(9%)	0/252~(0%)	24/135~(18%)	12/33~(36%)

The following table shows the completeness of the chemical shift assignments for the full structure. The overall completeness is 9%, i.e. 36 atoms were assigned a chemical shift out of a possible 420. 0 out of 0 assigned methyl groups (LEU and VAL) were assigned stereospecifically.

	Total	$^{1}\mathbf{H}$	$^{13}\mathrm{C}$	$^{15}\mathbf{N}$	
Sugar	0/252~(0%)	0/147~(0%)	0/105~(0%)	0/0~(%)	
Base	36/168~(21%)	0/105~(0%)	24/30~(80%)	12/33~(36%)	
Overall	36/420~(9%)	0/252~(0%)	24/135~(18%)	12/33~(36%)	

#### 7.2.4 Statistically unusual chemical shifts (i)

There are no statistically unusual chemical shifts.

### 7.2.5 Random Coil Index (RCI) plots (i)

No random coil index(RCI) plot could be generated from the current chemical shift list. RCI is only applicable to proteins



# 8 NMR restraints analysis (i)

# 8.1 Conformationally restricting restraints (i)

The following table provides the summary of experimentally observed NMR restraints in different categories. Restraints are classified into different categories based on the sequence separation of the atoms involved.

Description	Value
Total distance restraints	405
Intra-residue ( i-j =0)	269
Sequential ( i-j =1)	84
Medium range ( $ i-j >1$ and $ i-j <5$ )	11
Long range $( i-j  \ge 5)$	18
Inter-chain	23
Hydrogen bond restraints	0
Disulfide bond restraints	0
Total dihedral-angle restraints	0
Number of unmapped restraints	0
Number of restraints per residue	19.3
Number of long range restraints per residue <sup>1</sup>	0.9

<sup>1</sup>Long range hydrogen bonds and disulfide bonds are counted as long range restraints while calculating the number of long range restraints per residue

# 8.2 Residual restraint violations (i)

This section provides the overview of the restraint violations analysis. The violations are binned as small, medium and large violations based on its absolute value. Average number of violations per model is calculated by dividing the total number of violations in each bin by the size of the ensemble.

### 8.2.1 Average number of distance violations per model (i)

Distance violations less than 0.1 Å are not included in the calculation.

Bins (Å)	Average number of violations per model	Max (Å)
0.1-0.2 (Small)	4.4	0.2
0.2-0.5 (Medium)	1.6	0.33
>0.5 (Large)	None	None



### 8.2.2 Average number of dihedral-angle violations per model (i)

Dihedral-angle violations less than  $1^\circ$  are not included in the calculation. There are no dihedral-angle violations



# 9 Distance violation analysis (i)


# 9.1 Summary of distance violations (i)

The following table shows the summary of distance violations in different restraint categories based on the sequence separation of the atoms involved. Each category is further sub-divided into three sub-categories based on the atoms involved. Violations less than 0.1 Å are not included in the statistics.

Destruction to the second	Count	$\%^1$	$Violated^3$			Consis	tentl	y Violated <sup>4</sup>
Restraints type	Count	701	Count	$\%^2$	$\%^1$	Count	$ \%^2 $	$\%^1$
Intra-residue ( i-j =0)	269	66.4	5	1.9	1.2	1	0.4	0.2
Backbone-Backbone	139	34.3	3	2.2	0.7	1	0.7	0.2
Backbone-Sidechain	101	24.9	2	2.0	0.5	0	0.0	0.0
Sidechain-Sidechain	29	7.2	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Sequential ( i-j =1)	84	20.7	8	9.5	2.0	1	1.2	0.2
Backbone-Backbone	12	3.0	3	25.0	0.7	1	8.3	0.2
Backbone-Sidechain	63	15.6	5	7.9	1.2	0	0.0	0.0
Sidechain-Sidechain	9	2.2	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Medium range ( $ i-j  > 1 \&  i-j  < 5$ )	11	2.7	2	18.2	0.5	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Backbone	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Sidechain	4	1.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Sidechain-Sidechain	7	1.7	2	28.6	0.5	0	0.0	0.0
Long range $( i-j  \ge 5)$	18	4.4	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Backbone	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Sidechain	4	1.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Sidechain-Sidechain	14	3.5	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Inter-chain	23	5.7	1	4.3	0.2	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Backbone	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Sidechain	4	1.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Sidechain-Sidechain	19	4.7	1	5.3	0.2	0	0.0	0.0
Hydrogen bond	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Disulfide bond	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Total	405	100.0	16	4.0	4.0	2	0.5	0.5
Backbone-Backbone	151	37.3	6	4.0	1.5	2	1.3	0.5
Backbone-Sidechain	176	43.5	7	4.0	1.7	0	0.0	0.0
Sidechain-Sidechain	78	19.3	3	3.8	0.7	0	0.0	0.0

 $^1$  percentage calculated with respect to the total number of distance restraints,  $^2$  percentage calculated with respect to the number of restraints in a particular restraint category,  $^3$  violated in at least one model,  $^4$  violated in all the models





#### 9.1.1 Bar chart : Distribution of distance restraints and violations (i)

Violated and consistently violated restraints are shown using different hatch patterns in their respective categories. The hydrogen bonds and disulfied bonds are counted in their appropriate category on the x-axis

## 9.2 Distance violation statistics for each model (i)


The following table provides the distance violation statistics for each model in the ensemble. Violations less than 0.1 Å

are not included in the statistics.

Model ID		Nun	nber o	f viola	ations	5	Mean (Å)	Max (Å)	$SD^6$ (Å)	Median (Å)
Model ID	$IR^1$	$SQ^2$	$MR^3$	LR <sup>4</sup>	$  IC^5  $	Total	Mean (A)	Max (A)	$SD^{*}(A)$	Median (A)
1	2	2	1	0	1	6	0.16	0.22	0.04	0.14
2	3	5	1	0	0	9	0.18	0.3	0.05	0.17
3	2	1	0	0	1	4	0.16	0.22	0.04	0.15
4	3	2	0	0	1	6	0.19	0.31	0.07	0.18
5	4	3	1	0	1	9	0.18	0.33	0.06	0.16
6	2	4	0	0	1	7	0.16	0.22	0.04	0.15
7	3	3	1	0	1	8	0.14	0.21	0.04	0.12
8	2	4	0	0	0	6	0.14	0.21	0.04	0.12
9	3	3	1	0	1	8	0.14	0.22	0.03	0.13
10	1	2	1	0	0	4	0.18	0.22	0.05	0.2



 $^1$ Intra-residue restraints,  $^2$ Sequential restraints,  $^3$ Medium range restraints,  $^4$ Long range restraints,  $^5$ Inter-chain restraints,  $^6$ Standard deviation



### 9.2.1 Bar graph : Distance Violation statistics for each model (i)

The mean(dot), median(x) and the standard deviation are shown in blue with respect to the y axis on the right

## 9.3 Distance violation statistics for the ensemble (i)

Violation analysis may find that some restraints are violated in few models and some are violated in most of models. The following table provides this information as number of violated restraints for a given fraction of the ensemble. In total, 389(IR:264, SQ:76, MR:9, LR:18, IC:22) restraints are not violated in the ensemble.

Number of violated restraints							
$IR^1$	$SQ^2$	$MR^3$	LR <sup>4</sup>	IC <sup>5</sup>	Total	$\operatorname{Count}^6$	%
2	4	1	0	0	7	1	10.0
0	0	0	0	0	0	2	20.0
0	1	0	0	0	1	3	30.0


Continued on next page...



	Number of violated restraints         Fraction of the ensemble							
$IR^1$	$SQ^2$	$MR^3$	$LR^4$	IC <sup>5</sup>	Total	$\operatorname{Count}^6$	%	
0	1	0	0	0	1	4	40.0	
0	0	1	0	0	1	5	50.0	
1	0	0	0	0	1	6	60.0	
1	0	0	0	1	2	7	70.0	
0	1	0	0	0	1	8	80.0	
0	0	0	0	0	0	9	90.0	
1	1	0	0	0	2	10	100.0	

Continued from previous page..

<sup>1</sup>Intra-residue restraints, <sup>2</sup>Sequential restraints, <sup>3</sup>Medium range restraints, <sup>4</sup>Long range restraints, <sup>5</sup>Inter-chain restraints, <sup>6</sup> Number of models with violations



#### 9.3.1 Bar graph : Distance violation statistics for the ensemble (i)


## 9.4 Most violated distance restraints in the ensemble (i)

### 9.4.1 Histogram : Distribution of mean distance violations (i)

The following histogram shows the distribution of the average value of the violation. The average is calculated for each restraint that is violated in more than one model over all the violated models



in the ensemble



#### 9.4.2 Table: Most violated distance restraints (i)

The following table provides the mean and the standard deviation of the violation for each restraint sorted by number of violated models and the mean value. The Key (restraint list ID, restraint ID) is the unique identifier for a given restraint. Rows with same key represent combinatorial or ambiguous restraints and are counted as a single restraint.

Key	Atom-1	Atom-2	$\mathbf{Models}^1$	Mean (Å)	$SD^1$ (Å)	Median (Å)
(1,400)	1:14:A:DG:H3'	1:14:A:DG:H2'	10	0.21	0.01	0.22
(1,326)	1:13:A:DG:H1'	1:14:A:DG:H5'	10	0.17	0.03	0.17
(1,80)	1:4:A:DT:H6	1:3:A:DG:H2"	8	0.18	0.07	0.16
(1,78)	1:4:A:DT:H6	1:4:A:DT:H2'	7	0.19	0.08	0.15
(1,41)	2:26:B:DG:H8	1:15:A:DG:H21	7	0.13	0.01	0.13
(1,254)	1:2:A:DG:H8	1:2:A:DG:H2'	6	0.14	0.03	0.15
(1,7)	1:6:A:DA:H8	1:3:A:DG:H1	5	0.12	0.02	0.12
(1,148)	1:12:A:DA:H8	1:11:A:DT:H2"	4	0.13	0.02	0.13
(1,170)	1:12:A:DA:H1'	1:13:A:DG:H4'	3	0.17	0.02	0.17


 $^1\mathrm{Number}$  of violated models,  $^2\mathrm{Standard}$  deviation



## 9.5 All violated distance restraints (i)

## 9.5.1 Histogram : Distribution of distance violations (i)

The following histogram shows the distribution of the absolute value of the violation for all violated restraints in the ensemble.



## 9.5.2 Table : All distance violations (i)

The following table lists the absolute value of the violation for each restraint in the ensemble sorted by its value. The Key (restraint list ID, restraint ID) is the unique identifier for a given restraint. Rows with same key represent combinatorial or ambiguous restraints and are counted as a single restraint.

Key	Atom-1	Atom-2	Model ID	Violation (Å)
(1,80)	1:4:A:DT:H6	1:3:A:DG:H2"	5	0.33
(1,78)	1:4:A:DT:H6	1:4:A:DT:H2'	4	0.31
(1,78)	1:4:A:DT:H6	1:4:A:DT:H2'	2	0.3
(1,80)	1:4:A:DT:H6	1:3:A:DG:H2"	4	0.23
(1,400)	1:14:A:DG:H3'	1:14:A:DG:H2'	1	0.22
(1,400)	1:14:A:DG:H3'	1:14:A:DG:H2'	3	0.22
(1,400)	1:14:A:DG:H3'	1:14:A:DG:H2'	5	0.22
(1,400)	1:14:A:DG:H3'	1:14:A:DG:H2'	6	0.22
(1,400)	1:14:A:DG:H3'	1:14:A:DG:H2'	9	0.22
(1,80)	1:4:A:DT:H6	1:3:A:DG:H2"	10	0.22

Continued on next page...



Continued from previous page						
Key	Atom-1	Atom-2	Model ID	Violation (Å)		
(1,400)	1:14:A:DG:H3'	1:14:A:DG:H2'	4	0.21		
(1,400)	1:14:A:DG:H3'	1:14:A:DG:H2'	7	0.21		
(1,400)	1:14:A:DG:H3'	1:14:A:DG:H2'	8	0.21		
(1,400)	1:14:A:DG:H3'	1:14:A:DG:H2'	10	0.21		
(1,326)	1:13:A:DG:H1'	1:14:A:DG:H5'	1	0.21		
(1,78)	1:4:A:DT:H6	1:4:A:DT:H2'	5	0.21		
(1,400)	1:14:A:DG:H3'	1:14:A:DG:H2'	2	0.2		
(1,326)	1:13:A:DG:H1'	1:14:A:DG:H5'	7	0.2		
(1,170)	1:12:A:DA:H1'	1:13:A:DG:H4'	6	0.2		
(1,326)	1:13:A:DG:H1'	1:14:A:DG:H5'	6	0.18		
(1,326)	1:13:A:DG:H1'	1:14:A:DG:H5'	8	0.18		
(1,326)	1:13:A:DG:H1'	1:14:A:DG:H5'	10	0.18		
(1,254)	1:2:A:DG:H8	1:2:A:DG:H2'	2	0.18		
(1,170)	1:12:A:DA:H1'	1:13:A:DG:H4'	2	0.17		
(1,80)	1:4:A:DT:H6	1:3:A:DG:H2"	2	0.17		
(1,75)	1:4:A:DT:H1'	1:4:A:DT:H4'	5	0.17		
(1,326)	1:13:A:DG:H1'	1:14:A:DG:H5'	2	0.16		
(1,326)	1:13:A:DG:H1'	1:14:A:DG:H5'	5	0.16		
(1,326)	1:13:A:DG:H1'	1:14:A:DG:H5'	9	0.16		
(1,254)	1:2:A:DG:H8	1:2:A:DG:H2'	5	0.16		
(1,7)	1:6:A:DA:H8	1:3:A:DG:H1	5	0.16		
(1,326)	1:13:A:DG:H1'	1:14:A:DG:H5'	3	0.15		
(1,254)	1:2:A:DG:H8	1:2:A:DG:H2'	4	0.15		
(1,254)	1:2:A:DG:H8	1:2:A:DG:H2'	6	0.15		
(1,149)	1:12:A:DA:H8	1:11:A:DT:H2'	9	0.15		
(1,148)	1:12:A:DA:H8	1:11:A:DT:H2"	2	0.15		
(1,148)	1:12:A:DA:H8	1:11:A:DT:H2"	6	0.15		
(1,80)	1:4:A:DT:H6	1:3:A:DG:H2"	1	0.15		
(1,80)	1:4:A:DT:H6	1:3:A:DG:H2"	6	0.15		
(1,78)	1:4:A:DT:H6	1:4:A:DT:H2'	3	0.15		
(1,41)	2:26:B:DG:H8	1:15:A:DG:H21	4	0.15		
(1,10)	1:12:A:DA:H2	1:9:A:DG:H1	2	0.15		
(1,170)	1:12:A:DA:H1'	1:13:A:DG:H4'	8	0.14		
(1,41)	2:26:B:DG:H8	1:15:A:DG:H21	9	0.14		
(1,41)	2:26:B:DG:H8	1:15:A:DG:H21	1	0.13		
(1,41)	2:26:B:DG:H8	1:15:A:DG:H21	5	0.13		
(1,166)	1:12:A:DA:H8	1:13:A:DG:H5"	2	0.12		
(1,78)	1:4:A:DT:H6	1:4:A:DT:H2'	9	0.12		
(1,41)	2:26:B:DG:H8	1:15:A:DG:H21	3	0.12		
(1,41)	2:26:B:DG:H8	1:15:A:DG:H21	7	0.12		
(1,7)	1:6:A:DA:H8	1:3:A:DG:H1	7	0.12		
(1,7)	1:6:A:DA:H8	1:3:A:DG:H1	9	0.12		
	1	1		1		

Continued from previous page...

Continued on next page...



Key	Atom-1	Atom-2	Model ID	Violation (Å)
(1,273)	1:3:A:DG:H8	1:2:A:DG:H2'	7	0.11
(1,254)	1:2:A:DG:H8	1:2:A:DG:H2'	9	0.11
(1,148)	1:12:A:DA:H8	1:11:A:DT:H2"	8	0.11
(1, 80)	1:4:A:DT:H6	1:3:A:DG:H2"	7	0.11
(1, 80)	1:4:A:DT:H6	1:3:A:DG:H2"	9	0.11
(1,78)	1:4:A:DT:H6	1:4:A:DT:H2'	1	0.11
(1,78)	1:4:A:DT:H6	1:4:A:DT:H2'	7	0.11
(1,7)	1:6:A:DA:H8	1:3:A:DG:H1	1	0.11
(1, 326)	1:13:A:DG:H1'	1:14:A:DG:H5'	4	0.1
(1,285)	1:7:A:DG:H1'	1:7:A:DG:H2"	7	0.1
(1,254)	1:2:A:DG:H8	1:2:A:DG:H2'	8	0.1
(1,150)	1:11:A:DT:H1'	1:12:A:DA:H5'	8	0.1
(1,148)	1:12:A:DA:H8	1:11:A:DT:H2"	5	0.1
(1,41)	2:26:B:DG:H8	1:15:A:DG:H21	6	0.1
(1,7)	1:6:A:DA:H8	1:3:A:DG:H1	10	0.1

Continued from previous page...



# 10 Dihedral-angle violation analysis (i)

No dihedral-angle restraints found

