



Full wwPDB NMR Structure Validation Report ⓘ

Feb 28, 2022 – 12:30 AM EST

PDB ID : 2E4H
Title : Solution structure of cytoskeletal protein in complex with tubulin tail
Authors : Mishima, M.; Hakoshima, T.
Deposited on : 2006-12-08

This is a Full wwPDB NMR Structure Validation Report for a publicly released PDB entry.

We welcome your comments at validation@mail.wwpdb.org

A user guide is available at

<https://www.wwpdb.org/validation/2017/NMRValidationReportHelp>

with specific help available everywhere you see the ⓘ symbol.

The following versions of software and data (see [references ⓘ](#)) were used in the production of this report:

MolProbity : 4.02b-467
Percentile statistics : 20191225.v01 (using entries in the PDB archive December 25th 2019)
RCI : v_1n_11_5_13_A (Berjanski et al., 2005)
PANAV : Wang et al. (2010)
ShiftChecker : 2.27
Ideal geometry (proteins) : Engh & Huber (2001)
Ideal geometry (DNA, RNA) : Parkinson et al. (1996)
Validation Pipeline (wwPDB-VP) : 2.27


1 Overall quality at a glance

The following experimental techniques were used to determine the structure:

SOLUTION NMR

The overall completeness of chemical shifts assignment was not calculated.

Percentile scores (ranging between 0-100) for global validation metrics of the entry are shown in the following graphic. The table shows the number of entries on which the scores are based.



Metric	Whole archive (#Entries)	NMR archive (#Entries)
Clashscore	158937	12864
Ramachandran outliers	154571	11451
Sidechain outliers	154315	11428

The table below summarises the geometric issues observed across the polymeric chains and their fit to the experimental data. The red, orange, yellow and green segments indicate the fraction of residues that contain outliers for ≥ 3 , 2, 1 and 0 types of geometric quality criteria. A cyan segment indicates the fraction of residues that are not part of the well-defined cores, and a grey segment represents the fraction of residues that are not modelled. The numeric value for each fraction is indicated below the corresponding segment, with a dot representing fractions $\leq 5\%$

Mol	Chain	Length	Quality of chain
1	A	98	
2	B	36	

2 Ensemble composition and analysis

This entry contains 20 models. Model 8 is the overall representative, medoid model (most similar to other models). The authors have identified model 1 as representative, based on the following criterion: *lowest energy*.

The following residues are included in the computation of the global validation metrics.

Well-defined (core) protein residues			
Well-defined core	Residue range (total)	Backbone RMSD (Å)	Medoid model
1	A:214-A:281, B:449-B:451 (71)	0.08	8

Ill-defined regions of proteins are excluded from the global statistics.

Ligands and non-protein polymers are included in the analysis.

The models can be grouped into 3 clusters and 3 single-model clusters were found.

Cluster number	Models
1	1, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 10, 11, 12, 16, 18
2	3, 9, 14, 20
3	2, 19
Single-model clusters	13; 15; 17

3 Entry composition

There are 2 unique types of molecules in this entry. The entry contains 1248 atoms, of which 615 are hydrogens and 0 are deuteriums.


- Molecule 1 is a protein called Restin.

Mol	Chain	Residues	Atoms					Trace	
			Total	C	H	N	O		S
1	A	74	1138	365	571	99	101	2	0

- Molecule 2 is a protein called Tubulin alpha-ubiquitous chain.

Mol	Chain	Residues	Atoms					Trace
			Total	C	H	N	O	
2	B	8	110	38	44	8	20	0



Chain B: 6% . 14% 78%



4.2.2 Score per residue for model 2


- Molecule 1: Restin

Chain A: 43% 24% . 6% 24%



- Molecule 2: Tubulin alpha-ubiquitous chain


Chain B: 6% . 14% 78%



4.2.3 Score per residue for model 3


- Molecule 1: Restin

Chain A: 43% 26% . 6% 24%




- Molecule 2: Tubulin alpha-ubiquitous chain

Chain B: 6% . 14% 78%




4.2.4 Score per residue for model 4

- Molecule 1: Restin




- Molecule 2: Tubulin alpha-ubiquitous chain




4.2.5 Score per residue for model 5

- Molecule 1: Restin




- Molecule 2: Tubulin alpha-ubiquitous chain




4.2.6 Score per residue for model 6

- Molecule 1: Restin




- Molecule 2: Tubulin alpha-ubiquitous chain






4.2.7 Score per residue for model 7

- Molecule 1: Restin




- Molecule 2: Tubulin alpha-ubiquitous chain




4.2.8 Score per residue for model 8 (medoid)

- Molecule 1: Restin




- Molecule 2: Tubulin alpha-ubiquitous chain



4.2.9 Score per residue for model 9


- Molecule 1: Restin






4.2.12 Score per residue for model 12

- Molecule 1: Restin




- Molecule 2: Tubulin alpha-ubiquitous chain




4.2.13 Score per residue for model 13

- Molecule 1: Restin




- Molecule 2: Tubulin alpha-ubiquitous chain



4.2.14 Score per residue for model 14


- Molecule 1: Restin





- Molecule 2: Tubulin alpha-ubiquitous chain


Chain B: 8% 14% 78%



4.2.15 Score per residue for model 15


- Molecule 1: Restin

Chain A: 47% 20% 6% 24%



- Molecule 2: Tubulin alpha-ubiquitous chain


Chain B: 8% 14% 78%



4.2.16 Score per residue for model 16


- Molecule 1: Restin

Chain A: 43% 23% 6% 24%




- Molecule 2: Tubulin alpha-ubiquitous chain

Chain B: 8% 14% 78%




4.2.17 Score per residue for model 17

- Molecule 1: Restin





- Molecule 2: Tubulin alpha-ubiquitous chain




4.2.18 Score per residue for model 18

- Molecule 1: Restin




- Molecule 2: Tubulin alpha-ubiquitous chain




4.2.19 Score per residue for model 19

- Molecule 1: Restin







- Molecule 2: Tubulin alpha-ubiquitous chain




4.2.20 Score per residue for model 20

- Molecule 1: Restin



- Molecule 2: Tubulin alpha-ubiquitous chain



5 Refinement protocol and experimental data overview

The models were refined using the following method: *simulated annealing*.

Of the 100 calculated structures, 20 were deposited, based on the following criterion: *structures with the lowest energy*.

The following table shows the software used for structure solution, optimisation and refinement.

Software name	Classification	Version
CYANA	structure solution	2.1
CNS	refinement	1.1

No chemical shift data was provided.

6 Model quality i

6.1 Standard geometry i

There are no covalent bond-length or bond-angle outliers.

There are no bond-length outliers.

There are no bond-angle outliers.

There are no chirality outliers.

There are no planarity outliers.

6.2 Too-close contacts i

In the following table, the Non-H and H(model) columns list the number of non-hydrogen atoms and hydrogen atoms in each chain respectively. The H(added) column lists the number of hydrogen atoms added and optimized by MolProbity. The Clashes column lists the number of clashes averaged over the ensemble.

Mol	Chain	Non-H	H(model)	H(added)	Clashes
1	A	515	517	516	24±3
2	B	31	21	21	2±1
All	All	10920	10760	10740	486

The all-atom clashscore is defined as the number of clashes found per 1000 atoms (including hydrogen atoms). The all-atom clashscore for this structure is 22.

All unique clashes are listed below, sorted by their clash magnitude.

Atom-1	Atom-2	Clash(Å)	Distance(Å)	Models	
				Worst	Total
1:A:219:LEU:HD21	1:A:281:ILE:HD12	0.77	1.55	5	5
1:A:220:VAL:HG12	1:A:273:ALA:HB3	0.73	1.60	17	20
1:A:220:VAL:HB	1:A:271:LEU:HD23	0.72	1.62	11	20
1:A:251:GLY:HA2	1:A:271:LEU:HD13	0.68	1.66	1	20
1:A:234:THR:OG1	1:A:237:ALA:HB3	0.67	1.88	15	17
1:A:274:PRO:O	1:A:278:VAL:HG23	0.66	1.90	4	19
1:A:236:PHE:CD1	1:A:257:VAL:HG11	0.61	2.30	9	18
1:A:271:LEU:HD12	2:B:451:TYR:O	0.60	1.97	12	19
1:A:218:VAL:HG21	1:A:278:VAL:HG11	0.59	1.73	20	20
1:A:246:LEU:HD22	1:A:250:LEU:CD1	0.57	2.29	7	8
1:A:214:ILE:HD13	1:A:228:VAL:HG12	0.56	1.76	5	1
1:A:218:VAL:HG21	1:A:278:VAL:CG1	0.56	2.31	10	20
1:A:218:VAL:CG2	1:A:278:VAL:CG1	0.54	2.85	7	20

Continued on next page...

Continued from previous page...

Atom-1	Atom-2	Clash(Å)	Distance(Å)	Models	
				Worst	Total
1:A:231:LEU:HD23	1:A:242:CYS:SG	0.53	2.43	19	13
1:A:214:ILE:HG23	1:A:229:ARG:HA	0.53	1.79	20	6
1:A:217:ARG:HD3	1:A:227:VAL:HG22	0.52	1.81	8	8
1:A:220:VAL:HG12	1:A:273:ALA:CB	0.52	2.34	7	20
1:A:214:ILE:HD13	1:A:229:ARG:O	0.52	2.05	13	2
1:A:236:PHE:CE1	1:A:257:VAL:HG11	0.52	2.40	17	18
1:A:263:PHE:CZ	1:A:272:PHE:CE1	0.51	2.98	2	20
1:A:263:PHE:CE1	1:A:272:PHE:CZ	0.51	2.99	13	20
1:A:274:PRO:HB2	1:A:276:HIS:CD2	0.50	2.42	9	19
1:A:249:PRO:N	1:A:269:TYR:CE1	0.48	2.82	1	12
1:A:274:PRO:HD3	2:B:449:GLU:O	0.46	2.10	16	20
1:A:237:ALA:HB1	1:A:241:TRP:CZ2	0.46	2.45	17	12
1:A:236:PHE:CD1	1:A:257:VAL:CG1	0.45	3.00	10	14
1:A:246:LEU:HD22	1:A:250:LEU:HD11	0.44	1.88	7	2
1:A:263:PHE:CE1	1:A:272:PHE:CE1	0.44	3.05	2	1
1:A:246:LEU:HD22	1:A:250:LEU:HD12	0.44	1.87	6	2
1:A:214:ILE:CD1	1:A:228:VAL:HG12	0.44	2.43	5	1
1:A:228:VAL:HG13	1:A:242:CYS:SG	0.43	2.53	7	1
1:A:214:ILE:HD11	1:A:231:LEU:HD12	0.43	1.90	5	1
1:A:219:LEU:CD2	1:A:225:ALA:HB2	0.43	2.44	20	16
1:A:246:LEU:O	1:A:269:TYR:CD2	0.43	2.72	16	16
1:A:219:LEU:CD2	1:A:225:ALA:CB	0.42	2.98	8	18
1:A:274:PRO:CG	1:A:276:HIS:NE2	0.42	2.83	13	1
1:A:241:TRP:CZ3	2:B:449:GLU:O	0.42	2.72	8	2
1:A:224:LYS:HE2	1:A:250:LEU:HD13	0.42	1.91	9	3
1:A:226:GLY:CA	1:A:245:GLU:O	0.42	2.68	4	9
1:A:246:LEU:CD1	1:A:251:GLY:CA	0.41	2.98	1	4
1:A:220:VAL:HG13	1:A:244:VAL:CG2	0.41	2.45	11	1
1:A:246:LEU:HD12	1:A:251:GLY:CA	0.41	2.45	12	2
1:A:248:GLU:CB	1:A:250:LEU:CD2	0.41	2.98	12	3
1:A:246:LEU:HD13	1:A:250:LEU:HD12	0.41	1.93	13	1
1:A:272:PHE:O	2:B:450:GLU:HA	0.41	2.16	6	6
1:A:217:ARG:CG	1:A:281:ILE:HG21	0.40	2.46	12	1
1:A:217:ARG:CG	1:A:281:ILE:CG2	0.40	2.99	4	2
1:A:218:VAL:HB	1:A:278:VAL:HG12	0.40	1.93	4	1
1:A:248:GLU:O	1:A:250:LEU:N	0.40	2.55	11	1

6.3 Torsion angles [i](#)

6.3.1 Protein backbone [i](#)

In the following table, the Percentiles column shows the percent Ramachandran outliers of the chain as a percentile score with respect to all PDB entries followed by that with respect to all NMR entries. The Analysed column shows the number of residues for which the backbone conformation was analysed and the total number of residues.

Mol	Chain	Analysed	Favoured	Allowed	Outliers	Percentiles	
1	A	68/98 (69%)	65±0 (95±0%)	3±0 (5±0%)	0±0 (0±0%)	100	100
2	B	2/36 (6%)	2±0 (100±0%)	0±0 (0±0%)	0±0 (0±0%)	100	100
All	All	1400/2680 (52%)	1338 (96%)	62 (4%)	0 (0%)	100	100

There are no Ramachandran outliers.

6.3.2 Protein sidechains [i](#)

In the following table, the Percentiles column shows the percent sidechain outliers of the chain as a percentile score with respect to all PDB entries followed by that with respect to all NMR entries. The Analysed column shows the number of residues for which the sidechain conformation was analysed and the total number of residues.

Mol	Chain	Analysed	Rotameric	Outliers	Percentiles	
1	A	52/75 (69%)	44±2 (85±3%)	8±2 (15±3%)	6	44
2	B	3/28 (11%)	2±0 (77±15%)	1±0 (23±15%)	3	28
All	All	1100/2060 (53%)	932 (85%)	168 (15%)	6	43

All 20 unique residues with a non-rotameric sidechain are listed below. They are sorted by the frequency of occurrence in the ensemble.

Mol	Chain	Res	Type	Models (Total)
1	A	220	VAL	20
1	A	250	LEU	20
1	A	279	THR	20
1	A	280	LYS	18
1	A	277	LYS	14
2	B	450	GLU	12
1	A	248	GLU	10
1	A	224	LYS	9
1	A	231	LEU	7
1	A	238	LYS	7

Continued on next page...

Continued from previous page...

Mol	Chain	Res	Type	Models (Total)
1	A	235	ASP	6
1	A	276	HIS	5
1	A	217	ARG	4
1	A	275	VAL	4
1	A	233	GLU	3
1	A	268	LYS	2
2	B	449	GLU	2
1	A	264	GLN	2
1	A	216	ASP	2
1	A	236	PHE	1

6.3.3 RNA [i](#)

There are no RNA molecules in this entry.

6.4 Non-standard residues in protein, DNA, RNA chains [i](#)

There are no non-standard protein/DNA/RNA residues in this entry.

6.5 Carbohydrates [i](#)

There are no monosaccharides in this entry.

6.6 Ligand geometry [i](#)

There are no ligands in this entry.

6.7 Other polymers [i](#)

There are no such molecules in this entry.

6.8 Polymer linkage issues [i](#)

There are no chain breaks in this entry.

7 Chemical shift validation

No chemical shift data were provided