

# Full wwPDB NMR Structure Validation Report (i)

### Dec 24, 2024 – 12:39 PM EST

PDB ID	:	2K4X
BMRB ID	:	15811
Title	:	Solution structure of 30S ribosomal protein S27A from Thermoplasma aci-
		dophilum
Authors	:	Wu, B.; Yee, A.; Fares, C.; Lemak, A.; Semest, A.; Arrowsmith, C.; Mon-
		telione, G.T.; Northeast Structural Genomics Consortium (NESG); Ontario
		Centre for Structural Proteomics (OCSP)
Deposited on	:	2008-06-20

This is a Full wwPDB NMR Structure Validation Report for a publicly released PDB entry.

We welcome your comments at *validation@mail.wwpdb.org* A user guide is available at https://www.wwpdb.org/validation/2017/NMRValidationReportHelp with specific help available everywhere you see the (i) symbol.

The types of validation reports are described at http://www.wwpdb.org/validation/2017/FAQs#types.

The following versions of software and data (see references (1)) were used in the production of this report:


MolProbity	:	4.02b-467
Percentile statistics	:	20231227.v01 (using entries in the PDB archive December 27th 2023)
wwPDB-RCI	:	v_1n_11_5_13_A (Berjanski et al., 2005)
PANAV	:	Wang et al. $(2010)$
wwPDB-ShiftChecker	:	v1.2
BMRB Restraints Analysis	:	v1.2
Ideal geometry (proteins)	:	Engh & Huber (2001)
Ideal geometry (DNA, RNA)	:	Parkinson et al. (1996)
Validation Pipeline (wwPDB-VP)	:	2.40

# 1 Overall quality at a glance (i)

The following experimental techniques were used to determine the structure:  $SOLUTION\ NMR$ 

The overall completeness of chemical shifts assignment is 84%.

Percentile scores (ranging between 0-100) for global validation metrics of the entry are shown in the following graphic. The table shows the number of entries on which the scores are based.



Metric	(# Entries)	(#Entries)
Clashscore	210492	14027
Ramachandran outliers	207382	12486
Sidechain outliers	206894	12463

The table below summarises the geometric issues observed across the polymeric chains and their fit to the experimental data. The red, orange, yellow and green segments indicate the fraction of residues that contain outliers for >=3, 2, 1 and 0 types of geometric quality criteria. A cyan segment indicates the fraction of residues that are not part of the well-defined cores, and a grey segment represents the fraction of residues that are not modelled. The numeric value for each fraction is indicated below the corresponding segment, with a dot representing fractions <=5%

Mol	Chain	Length	Quality of chain			
1	А	55	45%	7%	47%	



# 2 Ensemble composition and analysis (i)

This entry contains 20 models. Model 5 is the overall representative, medoid model (most similar to other models). The authors have identified model 1 as representative, based on the following criterion: *lowest energy*.

The following residues are included in the computation of the global validation metrics.

Well-defined (core) protein residues					
Well-defined core	Well-defined core Residue range (total) Backbone RMSD (Å) Medoid model				
1	A:20-A:48 (29)	0.46	5		

Ill-defined regions of proteins are excluded from the global statistics.

Ligands and non-protein polymers are included in the analysis.

The models can be grouped into 2 clusters and 1 single-model cluster was found.

Cluster number	Models
1	$\begin{array}{c}1,\ 2,\ 4,\ 5,\ 6,\ 7,\ 8,\ 9,\ 10,\ 11,\ 12,\ 13,\ 15,\ 16,\ 17,\ 18,\\20\end{array}$
2	3, 14
Single-model clusters	19



# 3 Entry composition (i)

There are 2 unique types of molecules in this entry. The entry contains 899 atoms, of which 452 are hydrogens and 0 are deuteriums.

• Molecule 1 is a protein called 30S ribosomal protein S27ae.

Mol	Chain	Residues	Atoms				Trace		
1	٨		Total	С	Η	Ν	0	S	0
	A	55	898	279	452	86	76	5	U

• Molecule 2 is ZINC ION (three-letter code: ZN) (formula: Zn).

Mol	Chain	Residues	Atoms
0	Δ	1	Total Zn
	A	1	1 1




# 4 Residue-property plots (i)

## 4.1 Average score per residue in the NMR ensemble

These plots are provided for all protein, RNA, DNA and oligosaccharide chains in the entry. The first graphic is the same as shown in the summary in section 1 of this report. The second graphic shows the sequence where residues are colour-coded according to the number of geometric quality criteria for which they contain at least one outlier: green = 0, yellow = 1, orange = 2 and red = 3 or more. Stretches of 2 or more consecutive residues without any outliers are shown as green connectors. Residues which are classified as ill-defined in the NMR ensemble, are shown in cyan with an underline colour-coded according to the previous scheme. Residues which were present in the experimental sample, but not modelled in the final structure are shown in grey.

• Molecule 1: 30S ribosomal protein S27ae




## 4.2 Scores per residue for each member of the ensemble

Colouring as in section 4.1 above.


### 4.2.1 Score per residue for model 1

• Molecule 1: 30S ribosomal protein S27ae



## 4.2.2 Score per residue for model 2

 $\bullet$  Molecule 1: 30S ribosomal protein S27ae






### 4.2.3 Score per residue for model 3

• Molecule 1: 30S ribosomal protein S27ae



#### 4.2.4 Score per residue for model 4

• Molecule 1: 30S ribosomal protein S27ae



## 4.2.5 Score per residue for model 5 (medoid)

 $\bullet$  Molecule 1: 30S ribosomal protein S27ae

Chain A:	49%		•	47%
м 402 465 432 146 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14	E A10 A10 G12 G12 F14 V15 F13 V15 F13 R16 R16 C39 G40 G40 G40	K49 K51 K51 S53 S55 S55		

### 4.2.6 Score per residue for model 6


• Molecule 1: 30S ribosomal protein S27ae

Chain A:	49%	•	47%	
M1 Q2 R4 R4 R4 E5 E5 E5 E1 19 011 11 011 12 012	K13 V15 V15 R16 R17 R19 R19 R41 R41	450 K51 K52 K52 K54 S55 S55		
4.2.7 Score p	er residue for n	nodel 7		
• Molecule 1: 30	S ribosomal prote	ein S27ae		
Chain A:	45%	7%	47%	

G4 R4 


#### 4.2.8 Score per residue for model 8

• Molecule 1: 30S ribosomal protein S27ae



#### 4.2.9 Score per residue for model 9

• Molecule 1: 30S ribosomal protein S27ae




### 4.2.10 Score per residue for model 10

• Molecule 1: 30S ribosomal protein S27ae

Chain A:	38%	15%	47%
M1 Q2 F5 F5 F5 F10 D110 D110	K11 K15 K15 K17 K17 K17 K17 K19 K19 K19 C21 C21	C24 C24 C25 C25 C25 C26 C26 C39 C28 C39 C40 C40 C40 C40 C40 C40 C40 C40 C40 C40	853 855 855

### 4.2.11 Score per residue for model 11

• Molecule 1: 30S ribosomal protein S27ae



### 4.2.12 Score per residue for model 12


• Molecule 1: 30S ribosomal protein S27ae

Chain A:	49%		•	47%
M1 Q2 K3 K3 E5 E5 E8 E8 E8 E8	A10 D11 G12 G12 C14 K17 K15 K15 K15 C39 G40 G40	K49 A50 K51 K52 S53 K54 K54 S55 S55		




#### 4.2.13 Score per residue for model 13

• Molecule 1: 30S ribosomal protein S27ae



#### 4.2.14 Score per residue for model 14

• Molecule 1: 30S ribosomal protein S27ae




### 4.2.15 Score per residue for model 15

• Molecule 1: 30S ribosomal protein S27ae

Chain A:	44%	9%	47%	
M1 K3 R4 E5 L6 F3 C4 C6 F3 C4 C6 F3 C4 C6 F3 C7 C6 F3 C7 C7 C7 C7 C7 C7 C7 C7 C7 C7 C7 C7 C7	A10 D11 C12 C12 C12 C12 F13 F13 F13 F13 F13 F25 F25 F25 F25 F25 F25 F25 F25 F25 F25	A31 R41 K50 K51 K52 S53 S53 S55		

### 4.2.16 Score per residue for model 16

 $\bullet$  Molecule 1: 30S ribosomal protein S27ae



### 4.2.17 Score per residue for model 17


• Molecule 1: 30S ribosomal protein S27ae

Chain A:	44%	9%	47%
M1 Q2 R4 R4 E5 E5 E5 E8 F3 F10 A10	D11 D11 C12 C12 C14 C14 R15 R16 R16 R16 R19 C21 C21 C21 C21 C26 C26 C26 C26 C26 C26 C26 C26 C26 C26	V28 K48 K50 K51 K51 K52 K53 K55 K55	



### 4.2.18 Score per residue for model 18

• Molecule 1: 30S ribosomal protein S27ae



#### 4.2.19 Score per residue for model 19

• Molecule 1: 30S ribosomal protein S27ae

Chain A:	38%	15%	47%	
M1 K3 K3 K3 F5 F5 F5 F3 F3 F3 F3 F3 F3 F3 F3 F3 F3 F3 F3 F3	A10 D11 C12 C12 C14 V15 V15 V15 R19 R19 R19 C21 C21 C21	P26 A31 C39 C39 C39 C41 R41 R48 K48 K48 K49 K49 K49	K6 2 K 55 3 S 5 5 S 5	

#### 4.2.20 Score per residue for model 20

• Molecule 1: 30S ribosomal protein S27ae

Chain A:	44%	9%	47%
M1 Q2 K3 C2 K3 C4 E5 E5 E6 D11 D11 C12 C12 K13	L14 V15 K17 K17 K17 K17 K17 C39 G40 G40 C42 C42	744 744 K48 K50 K51 K52 S53 S53 S55	



# 5 Refinement protocol and experimental data overview (i)

The models were refined using the following method: *simulated annealing*.

Of the 100 calculated structures, 20 were deposited, based on the following criterion: *structures with the lowest energy*.

The following table shows the software used for structure solution, optimisation and refinement.

Software name	Classification	Version
CYANA	structure solution	2.1
CNS	refinement	1.0

The following table shows chemical shift validation statistics as aggregates over all chemical shift files. Detailed validation can be found in section 7 of this report.

Chemical shift file(s)	working_cs.cif
Number of chemical shift lists	1
Total number of shifts	628
Number of shifts mapped to atoms	628
Number of unparsed shifts	0
Number of shifts with mapping errors	0
Number of shifts with mapping warnings	0
Assignment completeness (well-defined parts)	84%



# 6 Model quality (i)

## 6.1 Standard geometry (i)

Bond lengths and bond angles in the following residue types are not validated in this section: ZN

There are no covalent bond-length or bond-angle outliers.

There are no bond-length outliers.

There are no bond-angle outliers.

There are no chirality outliers.

There are no planarity outliers.

## 6.2 Too-close contacts (i)

In the following table, the Non-H and H(model) columns list the number of non-hydrogen atoms and hydrogen atoms in each chain respectively. The H(added) column lists the number of hydrogen atoms added and optimized by MolProbity. The Clashes column lists the number of clashes averaged over the ensemble.

Mol	Chain	Non-H	H(model)	H(added)	Clashes
1	А	227	205	204	$2{\pm}1$
All	All	4560	4100	4080	41

The all-atom clashscore is defined as the number of clashes found per 1000 atoms (including hydrogen atoms). The all-atom clashscore for this structure is 5.

Atom-1	Atom-2	Clash(Å)	Distance(Å)	Mod	dels
Atom-1	Atom-2	Clash(A)	Distance(A)	Worst	Total
1:A:39:CYS:SG	1:A:40:GLY:O	0.71	2.48	9	8
1:A:30:LEU:HG	1:A:37:TYR:HB3	0.60	1.72	3	3
1:A:21:CYS:SG	1:A:22:PRO:HD2	0.55	2.41	19	1
1:A:36:ARG:HB2	1:A:46:GLU:O	0.55	2.01	9	2
1:A:42:CYS:SG	1:A:44:TYR:HB2	0.54	2.42	14	4
1:A:40:GLY:O	1:A:41:ARG:HB2	0.49	2.08	3	2
1:A:39:CYS:SG	1:A:41:ARG:HB3	0.48	2.48	4	1
1:A:21:CYS:O	1:A:25:GLY:N	0.47	2.47	7	10
1:A:23:ARG:HA	1:A:23:ARG:NE	0.47	2.25	16	1
1:A:24:CYS:SG	1:A:41:ARG:HD2	0.46	2.51	13	1
1:A:36:ARG:NH1	1:A:45:THR:HG21	0.44	2.27	11	1
1:A:24:CYS:HB3	1:A:28:VAL:HB	0.44	1.89	11	6

All unique clashes are listed below, sorted by their clash magnitude.



Continued from previous page...

Atom-1 Atom-2 Clash(Å		$Clach(\lambda)$	Distance(Å)	Models		
	Atom-1	Atom-2	Clash(A)	Distance(A)	Worst	Total
	1:A:25:GLY:O	1:A:27:GLY:N	0.40	2.55	14	1

## 6.3 Torsion angles (i)

### 6.3.1 Protein backbone (i)

In the following table, the Percentiles column shows the percent Ramachandran outliers of the chain as a percentile score with respect to all PDB entries followed by that with respect to all NMR entries. The Analysed column shows the number of residues for which the backbone conformation was analysed and the total number of residues.

Mol	Chain	Analysed	Favoured	Allowed	Outliers	Percentiles
1	А	29/55~(53%)	$22 \pm 1 (77 \pm 4\%)$	$5\pm1 (18\pm5\%)$	$2\pm1 (5\pm3\%)$	3 22
All	All	580/1100~(53%)	444 (77%)	105 (18%)	31 (5%)	3 22

All 6 unique Ramachandran outliers are listed below. They are sorted by the frequency of occurrence in the ensemble.

Mol	Chain	Res	Type	Models (Total)
1	А	26	PRO	10
1	А	41	ARG	9
1	А	31	ALA	5
1	А	48	LYS	4
1	А	40	GLY	2
1	А	22	PRO	1

#### 6.3.2 Protein sidechains (i)

In the following table, the Percentiles column shows the percent sidechain outliers of the chain as a percentile score with respect to all PDB entries followed by that with respect to all NMR entries. The Analysed column shows the number of residues for which the sidechain conformation was analysed and the total number of residues.

Mol	Chain	Analysed	Rotameric	Outliers	Percentiles
1	А	23/46~(50%)	23±0 (100±1%)	0±0 (0±1%)	91 98
All	All	460/920~(50%)	459 (100%)	1 (0%)	91 98

All 1 unique residues with a non-rotameric sidechain are listed below.



$\mathbf{Mol}$	Chain	$\operatorname{Res}$	Type	Models (Total)
1	А	41	ARG	1

#### 6.3.3 RNA (i)

There are no RNA molecules in this entry.

## 6.4 Non-standard residues in protein, DNA, RNA chains (i)

There are no non-standard protein/DNA/RNA residues in this entry.

## 6.5 Carbohydrates (i)

There are no oligosaccharides in this entry.

## 6.6 Ligand geometry (i)

Of 1 ligands modelled in this entry, 1 is monoatomic - leaving 0 for Mogul analysis.

## 6.7 Other polymers (i)

There are no such molecules in this entry.

## 6.8 Polymer linkage issues (i)

There are no chain breaks in this entry.



# 7 Chemical shift validation (i)

The completeness of assignment taking into account all chemical shift lists is 84% for the well-defined parts and 81% for the entire structure.

## 7.1 Chemical shift list 1

File name: working\_cs.cif

Chemical shift list name: assigned\_chem\_shift\_list\_1

## 7.1.1 Bookkeeping (i)

The following table shows the results of parsing the chemical shift list and reports the number of nuclei with statistically unusual chemical shifts.

Total number of shifts	628
Number of shifts mapped to atoms	628
Number of unparsed shifts	0
Number of shifts with mapping errors	0
Number of shifts with mapping warnings	0
Number of shift outliers (ShiftChecker)	0

### 7.1.2 Chemical shift referencing (i)

The following table shows the suggested chemical shift referencing corrections.

Nucleus	# values	${\rm Correction}\pm{\rm precision},ppm$	Suggested action
$^{13}C_{\alpha}$	55	$0.06 \pm 0.24$	None needed ( $< 0.5$ ppm)
$^{13}C_{\beta}$	50	$-0.20 \pm 0.09$	None needed ( $< 0.5$ ppm)
$^{13}C'$	49	$-0.08 \pm 0.17$	None needed ( $< 0.5$ ppm)
<sup>15</sup> N	51	$-1.71 \pm 0.55$	Should be applied

### 7.1.3 Completeness of resonance assignments (i)

The following table shows the completeness of the chemical shift assignments for the well-defined regions of the structure. The overall completeness is 84%, i.e. 315 atoms were assigned a chemical shift out of a possible 374. 0 out of 2 assigned methyl groups (LEU and VAL) were assigned stereospecifically.

	Total	$^{1}\mathrm{H}$	$^{13}\mathrm{C}$	$^{15}$ N
Backbone	140/145~(97%)	59/60~(98%)	55/58~(95%)	26/27~(96%)
Sidechain	142/173~(82%)	96/111~(86%)	46/52~(88%)	0/10~(0%)



	Total	$^{1}\mathrm{H}$	$^{13}\mathrm{C}$	$^{15}\mathbf{N}$
Aromatic	33/56~(59%)	21/27~(78%)	12/27~(44%)	0/2~(0%)
Overall	315/374~(84%)	176/198~(89%)	113/137~(82%)	26/39~(67%)

Continued from previous page...

The following table shows the completeness of the chemical shift assignments for the full structure. The overall completeness is 81%, i.e. 627 atoms were assigned a chemical shift out of a possible 774. 0 out of 5 assigned methyl groups (LEU and VAL) were assigned stereospecifically.

	Total	$^{1}\mathrm{H}$	$^{13}\mathrm{C}$	$^{15}$ N
Backbone	265/276~(96%)	110/113~(97%)	104/110~(95%)	51/53~(96%)
Sidechain	323/425~(76%)	217/271 (80%)	106/127~(83%)	0/27~(0%)
Aromatic	39/73~(53%)	25/35~(71%)	14/34~(41%)	0/4~(0%)
Overall	627/774~(81%)	352/419~(84%)	224/271~(83%)	51/84~(61%)


### 7.1.4 Statistically unusual chemical shifts (i)

There are no statistically unusual chemical shifts.

## 7.1.5 Random Coil Index (RCI) plots (i)

The image below reports *random coil index* values for the protein chains in the structure. The height of each bar gives a probability of a given residue to be disordered, as predicted from the available chemical shifts and the amino acid sequence. A value above 0.2 is an indication of significant predicted disorder. The colour of the bar shows whether the residue is in the well-defined core (black) or in the ill-defined residue ranges (cyan), as described in section 2 on ensemble composition. If well-defined core and ill-defined regions are not identified then it is shown as gray bars.

Random coil index (RCI) for chain A:





# 8 NMR restraints analysis (i)

## 8.1 Conformationally restricting restraints (i)

The following table provides the summary of experimentally observed NMR restraints in different categories. Restraints are classified into different categories based on the sequence separation of the atoms involved.

Description	Value
Total distance restraints	673
Intra-residue ( i-j =0)	225
Sequential ( i-j =1)	167
Medium range ( $ i-j >1$ and $ i-j <5$ )	59
Long range $( i-j  \ge 5)$	208
Inter-chain	0
Hydrogen bond restraints	14
Disulfide bond restraints	0
Total dihedral-angle restraints	40
Number of unmapped restraints	0
Number of restraints per residue	12.7
Number of long range restraints per residue <sup>1</sup>	3.9

<sup>1</sup>Long range hydrogen bonds and disulfide bonds are counted as long range restraints while calculating the number of long range restraints per residue

## 8.2 Residual restraint violations (i)

This section provides the overview of the restraint violations analysis. The violations are binned as small, medium and large violations based on its absolute value. Average number of violations per model is calculated by dividing the total number of violations in each bin by the size of the ensemble.

### 8.2.1 Average number of distance violations per model (i)

Distance violations less than 0.1 Å are not included in the calculation.

Bins (Å)	Average number of violations per model	Max (Å)
0.1-0.2 (Small)	2.5	0.19
0.2-0.5 (Medium)	0.5	0.28
>0.5 (Large)	None	None



## 8.2.2 Average number of dihedral-angle violations per model (i)

Dihedral-angle violations less than  $1^\circ$  are not included in the calculation.

Bins $(^{\circ})$	Average number of violations per model	Max ( $^{\circ}$ )
1.0-10.0 (Small)	1.4	3.41
10.0-20.0 (Medium)	None	None
>20.0 (Large)	None	None



# 9 Distance violation analysis (i)


## 9.1 Summary of distance violations (i)

The following table shows the summary of distance violations in different restraint categories based on the sequence separation of the atoms involved. Each category is further sub-divided into three sub-categories based on the atoms involved. Violations less than 0.1 Å are not included in the statistics.

Destructures torms	Count	$\%^1$	${f Violated^3}$			Consistently Violate		
Restraints type	Count	701	Count	$\%^2$	$\%^1$	Count	$\%^2$	$\%^1$
Intra-residue ( i-j =0)	225	33.4	3	1.3	0.4	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Backbone	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Sidechain	159	23.6	2	1.3	0.3	0	0.0	0.0
Sidechain-Sidechain	66	9.8	1	1.5	0.1	0	0.0	0.0
Sequential ( i-j =1)	167	24.8	4	2.4	0.6	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Backbone	45	6.7	1	2.2	0.1	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Sidechain	106	15.8	3	2.8	0.4	0	0.0	0.0
Sidechain-Sidechain	16	2.4	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Medium range ( $ i-j  > 1 \&  i-j  < 5$ )	59	8.8	3	5.1	0.4	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Backbone	11	1.6	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Sidechain	29	4.3	3	10.3	0.4	0	0.0	0.0
Sidechain-Sidechain	19	2.8	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Long range $( i-j  \ge 5)$	208	30.9	3	1.4	0.4	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Backbone	20	3.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Sidechain	90	13.4	3	3.3	0.4	0	0.0	0.0
Sidechain-Sidechain	98	14.6	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Inter-chain	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Backbone	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Sidechain	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Sidechain-Sidechain	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Hydrogen bond	14	2.1	2	14.3	0.3	0	0.0	0.0
Disulfide bond	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Total	673	100.0	15	2.2	2.2	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Backbone	76	11.3	1	1.3	0.1	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Sidechain	398	59.1	13	3.3	1.9	0	0.0	0.0
Sidechain-Sidechain	199	29.6	1	0.5	0.1	0	0.0	0.0

 $^1$  percentage calculated with respect to the total number of distance restraints,  $^2$  percentage calculated with respect to the number of restraints in a particular restraint category,  $^3$  violated in at least one model,  $^4$  violated in all the models





9.1.1 Bar chart : Distribution of distance restraints and violations (i)

Violated and consistently violated restraints are shown using different hatch patterns in their respective categories. The hydrogen bonds and disulfied bonds are counted in their appropriate category on the x-axis

## 9.2 Distance violation statistics for each model (i)

The following table provides the distance violation statistics for each model in the ensemble. Violations less than 0.1 Å

are not included in the statistics.


Model ID		Nun	nber o	f viola	ations	5	Mean (Å)	Max (Å)	$SD^{6}$ (Å)	Median (Å)
Model ID	$IR^1$	$SQ^2$	$MR^3$	LR <sup>4</sup>	IC <sup>5</sup>	Total	Mean (A)	Max (A)	$SD^{*}(A)$	Median (A)
1	0	1	0	0	0	1	0.15	0.15	0.0	0.15
2	0	1	0	0	0	1	0.11	0.11	0.0	0.11
3	0	1	1	1	0	3	0.17	0.24	0.05	0.15
4	0	1	0	1	0	2	0.14	0.14	0.01	0.14
5	0	2	1	0	0	3	0.16	0.21	0.04	0.15
6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
7	0	1	1	1	0	3	0.11	0.13	0.01	0.11
8	1	1	1	1	0	4	0.13	0.15	0.02	0.14
9	0	2	1	0	0	3	0.17	0.24	0.05	0.14
10	1	2	1	1	0	5	0.16	0.25	0.05	0.14




Model ID	Number of violations						Mean (Å)	Max (Å)	$SD^{6}$ (Å)	Median (Å)	
	$\mathrm{IR}^{1}$	$SQ^2$	$MR^3$	$LR^4$	$  IC^5  $	Total			~= (11)		
11	1	1	1	0	0	3	0.11	0.12	0.0	0.11	
12	0	2	1	1	0	4	0.16	0.22	0.04	0.16	
13	0	1	1	1	0	3	0.11	0.11	0.0	0.11	
14	0	2	1	0	0	3	0.17	0.19	0.02	0.18	
15	0	1	0	1	0	2	0.12	0.13	0.01	0.12	
16	2	1	1	2	0	6	0.17	0.28	0.05	0.16	
17	1	2	1	1	0	5	0.13	0.18	0.03	0.12	
18	2	1	1	1	0	5	0.14	0.27	0.07	0.11	
19	0	2	1	2	0	5	0.16	0.22	0.03	0.14	
20	0	2	2	1	0	5	0.14	0.22	0.04	0.12	

Continued from previous page...

 $^1$ Intra-residue restraints,  $^2$ Sequential restraints,  $^3$ Medium range restraints,  $^4$ Long range restraints,  $^5$ Inter-chain restraints,  $^6$ Standard deviation





The mean(dot), median(x) and the standard deviation are shown in blue with respect to the y axis on the right




## 9.3 Distance violation statistics for the ensemble (i)

Violation analysis may find that some restraints are violated in few models and some are violated in most of models. The following table provides this information as number of violated restraints for a given fraction of the ensemble. In total, 646(IR:222, SQ:163, MR:56, LR:205, IC:0) restraints are not violated in the ensemble.

Nu		of vio	lated	restra	aints	Fractio	n of the ensemble
$IR^1$	$SQ^2$	$MR^3$	LR <sup>4</sup>	IC <sup>5</sup>	Total	$\operatorname{Count}^6$	%
2	2	1	0	0	5	1	5.0
0	0	0	1	0	1	2	10.0
0	0	0	0	0	0	3	15.0
0	0	0	1	0	1	4	20.0
0	0	0	0	0	0	5	25.0
1	0	1	0	0	2	6	30.0
0	0	0	1	0	1	7	35.0
0	0	0	0	0	0	8	40.0
0	1	1	0	0	2	9	45.0
0	0	0	0	0	0	10	50.0
0	0	0	0	0	0	11	55.0
0	0	0	0	0	0	12	60.0
0	0	0	0	0	0	13	65.0
0	0	0	0	0	0	14	70.0
0	0	0	0	0	0	15	75.0
0	1	0	0	0	1	16	80.0
0	0	0	0	0	0	17	85.0
0	0	0	0	0	0	18	90.0
0	0	0	0	0	0	19	95.0
0	0	0	0	0	0	20	100.0

 $^{1}$ Intra-residue restraints,  $^{2}$ Sequential restraints,  $^{3}$ Medium range restraints,  $^{4}$ Long range restraints,  $^{5}$ Inter-chain restraints,  $^{6}$  Number of models with violations






### 9.3.1 Bar graph : Distance violation statistics for the ensemble (i)

## 9.4 Most violated distance restraints in the ensemble (i)

#### 9.4.1 Histogram : Distribution of mean distance violations (i)

The following histogram shows the distribution of the average value of the violation. The average is calculated for each restraint that is violated in more than one model over all the violated models in the ensemble





### 9.4.2 Table: Most violated distance restraints (i)

The following table provides the mean and the standard deviation of the violation for each restraint sorted by number of violated models and the mean value. The Key (restraint list ID, restraint ID) is the unique identifier for a given restraint. Rows with same key represent combinatorial or ambiguous restraints and are counted as a single restraint.

Key	Atom-1	Atom-2	$\mathbf{Models}^1$	Mean (Å)	$SD^1$ (Å)	Median (Å)
(1,57)	1:22:A:PRO:HG2	1:23:A:ARG:HA	16	0.12	0.01	0.12
(1,508)	1:39:A:CYS:HB2	1:42:A:CYS:H	9	0.23	0.02	0.22
(1,275)	1:41:A:ARG:H	1:42:A:CYS:HA	9	0.15	0.02	0.15
(1,155)	1:21:A:CYS:H	1:28:A:VAL:HB	7	0.13	0.02	0.13
(1,134)	1:51:A:LYS:HA	1:51:A:LYS:HE2	6	0.16	0.05	0.14
(1,134)	1:51:A:LYS:HA	1:51:A:LYS:HE3	6	0.16	0.05	0.14
(1,168)	1:39:A:CYS:HB2	1:43:A:GLY:H	6	0.12	0.01	0.12
(1,544)	1:28:A:VAL:HG11	1:41:A:ARG:H	4	0.12	0.01	0.12
(1,544)	1:28:A:VAL:HG12	1:41:A:ARG:H	4	0.12	0.01	0.12
(1,544)	1:28:A:VAL:HG13	1:41:A:ARG:H	4	0.12	0.01	0.12
(1,426)	1:36:A:ARG:HG2	1:48:A:LYS:H	2	0.14	0.02	0.14


<sup>1</sup>Number of violated models, <sup>2</sup>Standard deviation



## 9.5 All violated distance restraints (i)

## 9.5.1 Histogram : Distribution of distance violations (i)

The following histogram shows the distribution of the absolute value of the violation for all violated restraints in the ensemble.



### 9.5.2 Table : All distance violations (i)

The following table lists the absolute value of the violation for each restraint in the ensemble sorted by its value. The Key (restraint list ID, restraint ID) is the unique identifier for a given restraint. Rows with same key represent combinatorial or ambiguous restraints and are counted as a single restraint.

Key	Atom-1	Atom-2	Model ID	Violation (Å)
(1,508)	1:39:A:CYS:HB2	1:42:A:CYS:H	16	0.28
(1,134)	1:51:A:LYS:HA	1:51:A:LYS:HE2	18	0.27
(1,134)	1:51:A:LYS:HA	1:51:A:LYS:HE3	18	0.27
(1,508)	1:39:A:CYS:HB2	1:42:A:CYS:H	10	0.25
(1,508)	1:39:A:CYS:HB2	1:42:A:CYS:H	3	0.24
(1,508)	1:39:A:CYS:HB2	1:42:A:CYS:H	9	0.24
(1,508)	1:39:A:CYS:HB2	1:42:A:CYS:H	12	0.22
(1,508)	1:39:A:CYS:HB2	1:42:A:CYS:H	19	0.22
(1,508)	1:39:A:CYS:HB2	1:42:A:CYS:H	20	0.22
(1,508)	1:39:A:CYS:HB2	1:42:A:CYS:H	5	0.21



	ed from previous pag		1	0
Key	Atom-1	Atom-2	Model ID	Violation (Å)
(1,508)	1:39:A:CYS:HB2	1:42:A:CYS:H	14	0.19
(1,579)	1:8:A:GLU:HB2	1:9:A:ILE:H	17	0.18
(1,579)	1:8:A:GLU:HB3	1:9:A:ILE:H	17	0.18
(1,364)	1:17:A:LYS:H	1:17:A:LYS:HD2	16	0.18
(1,364)	1:17:A:LYS:H	1:17:A:LYS:HD3	16	0.18
(1,275)	1:41:A:ARG:H	1:42:A:CYS:HA	14	0.18
(1,275)	1:41:A:ARG:H	1:42:A:CYS:HA	10	0.17
(1,275)	1:41:A:ARG:H	1:42:A:CYS:HA	12	0.17
(1,426)	1:36:A:ARG:HG2	1:48:A:LYS:H	19	0.16
(1,155)	1:21:A:CYS:H	1:28:A:VAL:HB	12	0.16
(1,134)	1:51:A:LYS:HA	1:51:A:LYS:HE2	16	0.16
(1,134)	1:51:A:LYS:HA	1:51:A:LYS:HE3	16	0.16
(2,13)	1:35:A:ASP:O	1:48:A:LYS:H	8	0.15
(1,275)	1:41:A:ARG:H	1:42:A:CYS:HA	3	0.15
(1,275)	1:41:A:ARG:H	1:42:A:CYS:HA	5	0.15
(1,275)	1:41:A:ARG:H	1:42:A:CYS:HA	16	0.15
(1,57)	1:22:A:PRO:HG2	1:23:A:ARG:HA	1	0.15
(1,57)	1:22:A:PRO:HG2	1:23:A:ARG:HA	14	0.15
(1,275)	1:41:A:ARG:H	1:42:A:CYS:HA	19	0.14
(1,155)	1:21:A:CYS:H	1:28:A:VAL:HB	4	0.14
(1,155)	1:21:A:CYS:H	1:28:A:VAL:HB	19	0.14
(1,134)	1:51:A:LYS:HA	1:51:A:LYS:HE2	8	0.14
(1,134)	1:51:A:LYS:HA	1:51:A:LYS:HE3	8	0.14
(1,134)	1:51:A:LYS:HA	1:51:A:LYS:HE2	10	0.14
(1,134)	1:51:A:LYS:HA	1:51:A:LYS:HE3	10	0.14
(1,57)	1:22:A:PRO:HG2	1:23:A:ARG:HA	9	0.14
(1,544)	1:28:A:VAL:HG11	1:41:A:ARG:H	10	0.13
(1,544)	1:28:A:VAL:HG12	1:41:A:ARG:H	10	0.13
(1,544)	1:28:A:VAL:HG13	1:41:A:ARG:H	10	0.13
(1,544)	1:28:A:VAL:HG11	1:41:A:ARG:H	16	0.13
(1,544)	1:28:A:VAL:HG12	1:41:A:ARG:H	16	0.13
(1,544)	1:28:A:VAL:HG13	1:41:A:ARG:H	16	0.13
(1,496)	1:47:A:PHE:HD1	1:48:A:LYS:H	19	0.13
(1,496)	1:47:A:PHE:HD2	1:48:A:LYS:H	19	0.13
(1,168)	1:39:A:CYS:HB2	1:43:A:GLY:H	17	0.13
(1,155)	1:21:A:CYS:H	1:28:A:VAL:HB	15	0.13
(1,155)	1:21:A:CYS:H	1:28:A:VAL:HB	16	0.13
(1,57)	1:22:A:PRO:HG2	1:23:A:ARG:HA	4	0.13
(1,57)	1:22:A:PRO:HG2	1:23:A:ARG:HA	7	0.13
(1,57)	1:22:A:PRO:HG2	1:23:A:ARG:HA	8	0.13
(1,57)	1:22:A:PRO:HG2	1:23:A:ARG:HA	20	0.13
(1,275)	1:41:A:ARG:H	1:42:A:CYS:HA	9	0.12
			Continue	1 /

Continued from previous page...



Key	Atom-1	Atom-2	Model ID	Violation (Å)
(1,168)	1:39:A:CYS:HB2	1:43:A:GLY:H	18	0.12
(1,168)	1:39:A:CYS:HB2	1:43:A:GLY:H	20	0.12
(1,134)	1:51:A:LYS:HA	1:51:A:LYS:HE2	11	0.12
(1,134)	1:51:A:LYS:HA	1:51:A:LYS:HE3	11	0.12
(1,57)	1:22:A:PRO:HG2	1:23:A:ARG:HA	5	0.12
(1,57)	1:22:A:PRO:HG2	1:23:A:ARG:HA	17	0.12
(1,544)	1:28:A:VAL:HG11	1:41:A:ARG:H	3	0.11
(1,544)	1:28:A:VAL:HG12	1:41:A:ARG:H	3	0.11
(1,544)	1:28:A:VAL:HG13	1:41:A:ARG:H	3	0.11
(1,544)	1:28:A:VAL:HG11	1:41:A:ARG:H	13	0.11
(1,544)	1:28:A:VAL:HG12	1:41:A:ARG:H	13	0.11
(1,544)	1:28:A:VAL:HG13	1:41:A:ARG:H	13	0.11
(1,426)	1:36:A:ARG:HG2	1:48:A:LYS:H	20	0.11
(1,275)	1:41:A:ARG:H	1:42:A:CYS:HA	20	0.11
(1,234)	1:21:A:CYS:HB2	1:25:A:GLY:HA3	7	0.11
(1,168)	1:39:A:CYS:HB2	1:43:A:GLY:H	11	0.11
(1,168)	1:39:A:CYS:HB2	1:43:A:GLY:H	13	0.11
(1,138)	1:51:A:LYS:HB2	1:51:A:LYS:HE2	18	0.11
(1,138)	1:51:A:LYS:HB2	1:51:A:LYS:HE3	18	0.11
(1,138)	1:51:A:LYS:HB3	1:51:A:LYS:HE2	18	0.11
(1,138)	1:51:A:LYS:HB3	1:51:A:LYS:HE3	18	0.11
(1,57)	1:22:A:PRO:HG2	1:23:A:ARG:HA	2	0.11
(1,57)	1:22:A:PRO:HG2	1:23:A:ARG:HA	10	0.11
(1,57)	1:22:A:PRO:HG2	1:23:A:ARG:HA	11	0.11
(1,57)	1:22:A:PRO:HG2	1:23:A:ARG:HA	12	0.11
(1,57)	1:22:A:PRO:HG2	1:23:A:ARG:HA	13	0.11
(1,57)	1:22:A:PRO:HG2	1:23:A:ARG:HA	15	0.11
(2,1)	1:39:A:CYS:H	1:44:A:TYR:O	17	0.1
(1,168)	1:39:A:CYS:HB2	1:43:A:GLY:H	8	0.1
(1,155)	1:21:A:CYS:H	1:28:A:VAL:HB	7	0.1
(1,155)	1:21:A:CYS:H	1:28:A:VAL:HB	18	0.1
(1,134)	1:51:A:LYS:HA	1:51:A:LYS:HE2	17	0.1
(1,134)	1:51:A:LYS:HA	1:51:A:LYS:HE3	17	0.1
(1,57)	1:22:A:PRO:HG2	1:23:A:ARG:HA	18	0.1

Continued from previous page...



# 10 Dihedral-angle violation analysis (i)


## 10.1 Summary of dihedral-angle violations (i)

The following table provides the summary of dihedral-angle violations in different dihedral-angle types. Violations less than  $1^{\circ}$  are not included in the calculation.

Angle type	Count	$\%^1$				Consistently Violated <sup>4</sup>		
Angle type	Count	70	Count	$\%^2$	$\%^1$	Count	$\%^2$	$\%^1$
PSI	20	50.0	7	35.0	17.5	0	0.0	0.0
PHI	20	50.0	4	20.0	10.0	0	0.0	0.0
Total	40	100.0	11	27.5	27.5	0	0.0	0.0

 $^1$  percentage calculated with respect to total number of dihedral-angle restraints,  $^2$  percentage calculated with respect to number of restraints in a particular dihedral-angle type,  $^3$  violated in at least one model,  $^4$  violated in all the models

### 10.1.1 Bar chart : Distribution of dihedral-angles and violations (i)



Violated and consistently violated restraints are shown using different hatch patterns in their respective categories




## 10.2 Dihedral-angle violation statistics for each model (i)

The following table provides the dihedral-angle violation statistics for each model in the ensemble. Violations less than  $1^{\circ}$  are not included in the statistics.

Model ID	Nun	nber o	of violations	Moon (°)	$M_{ov}$ (°)	SD (°)	Median (°)
Model ID	PSI	PHI	Total	Mean ( $^{\circ}$ )	Max (°)		Median ()
1	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
2	3	0	3	1.25	1.49	0.2	1.24
3	3	0	3	1.47	1.76	0.31	1.6
4	1	1	2	1.08	1.11	0.04	1.08
5	3	0	3	1.5	2.22	0.52	1.21
6	0	1	1	2.21	2.21	0.0	2.21
7	0	2	2	1.14	1.26	0.12	1.14
8	0	2	2	2.54	3.41	0.87	2.54
9	1	0	1	1.04	1.04	0.0	1.04
10	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
11	0	1	1	1.51	1.51	0.0	1.51
12	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
13	1	0	1	1.27	1.27	0.0	1.27
14	0	1	1	1.5	1.5	0.0	1.5
15	2	2	4	1.33	1.51	0.2	1.39
16	1	0	1	1.94	1.94	0.0	1.94
17	1	0	1	1.13	1.13	0.0	1.13
18	1	0	1	1.13	1.13	0.0	1.13
19	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
20	1	0	1	1.49	1.49	0.0	1.49



#### 10.2.1 Bar graph : Dihedral violation statistics for each model (i)



The mean(dot), median(x) and the standard deviation are shown in blue with respect to the y axis on the right

## 10.3 Dihedral-angle violation statistics for the ensemble (i)

Violation analysis may find that some restraints are violated in very few models and some are violated in most of models. The following table provides this information as number of violated restraints for a given fraction of ensemble.

Nun	nber o	f violated restraints	Fractio	n of the ensemble
PSI	PHI	Total	$\operatorname{Count}^1$	%
4	3	7	1	5.0
1	0	1	2	10.0
0	0	0	3	15.0
0	0	0	4	20.0
1	0	1	5	25.0
0	0	0	6	30.0
1	1	2	7	35.0
0	0	0	8	40.0
0	0	0	9	45.0
0	0	0	10	50.0
0	0	0	11	55.0



PSI


PHI

00111	Continued from previous page								
Nun	nber o	of violated restraints	Fraction of the ensemble						
PSI	PHI	Total	$\operatorname{Count}^1$	%					
0	0	0	12	60.0					
0	0	0	13	65.0					
0	0	0	14	70.0					
0	0	0	15	75.0					
0	0	0	16	80.0					
0	0	0	17	85.0					
0	0	0	18	90.0					
0	0	0	19	95.0					
0	0	0	20	100.0					

Continued from previous page...

 $^{1}$  Number of models with violations







## 10.4 Most violated dihedral-angle restraints in the ensemble (i)

## 10.4.1 Histogram : Distribution of mean dihedral-angle violations (i)

The following histogram shows the distribution of the average value of the violation. The average is calculated for each restraint that is violated in more than one model over all the violated models







#### 10.4.2 Table: Most violated dihedral-angle restraints (i)

The following table provides the mean and the standard deviation of the violation for each restraint sorted by number of violated models and the mean value. The Key (restraint list ID, restraint ID) is the unique identifier for a given restraint.

Key	Atom-1	Atom-2	Atom-3	Atom-4	$\mathbf{Models}^1$	Mean	$SD^2$	Median
(1,27)	1:36:A:ARG:C	1:37:A:TYR:N	1:37:A:TYR:CA	1:37:A:TYR:C	7	1.78	0.75	1.5
(1,14)	1:27:A:GLY:N	1:27:A:GLY:CA	1:27:A:GLY:C	1:28:A:VAL:N	7	1.31	0.25	1.13
(1,10)	1:24:A:CYS:N	1:24:A:CYS:CA	1:24:A:CYS:C	1:25:A:GLY:N	5	1.45	0.28	1.27
(1,30)	1:38:A:SER:N	1:38:A:SER:CA	1:38:A:SER:C	1:39:A:CYS:N	2	1.02	0.02	1.02


<sup>1</sup> Number of violated models, <sup>2</sup>Standard deviation, All angle values are in degree (°)

## 10.5 All violated dihedral-angle restraints (i)

#### 10.5.1 Histogram : Distribution of violations (i)

The following histogram shows the distribution of the absolute value of the violation for all violated restraints in the ensemble.





### 10.5.2 Table: All violated dihedral-angle restraints (i)

The following table lists the absolute value of the violation for each restraint in the ensemble sorted by its value. The Key (restraint list ID, restraint ID) is the unique identifier for a given restraint.

Key	Atom-1	Atom-2	Atom-3	Atom-4	Model ID	Violation ( $^{\circ}$ )
(1,27)	1:36:A:ARG:C	1:37:A:TYR:N	1:37:A:TYR:CA	1:37:A:TYR:C	8	3.41
(1,24)	1:35:A:ASP:N	1:35:A:ASP:CA	1:35:A:ASP:C	1:36:A:ARG:N	5	2.22
(1,27)	1:36:A:ARG:C	1:37:A:TYR:N	1:37:A:TYR:CA	1:37:A:TYR:C	6	2.21
(1,10)	1:24:A:CYS:N	1:24:A:CYS:CA	1:24:A:CYS:C	1:25:A:GLY:N	16	1.94
(1,14)	1:27:A:GLY:N	1:27:A:GLY:CA	1:27:A:GLY:C	1:28:A:VAL:N	3	1.76
(1,25)	1:35:A:ASP:C	1:36:A:ARG:N	1:36:A:ARG:CA	1:36:A:ARG:C	8	1.68
(1,10)	1:24:A:CYS:N	1:24:A:CYS:CA	1:24:A:CYS:C	1:25:A:GLY:N	3	1.6
(1,27)	1:36:A:ARG:C	1:37:A:TYR:N	1:37:A:TYR:CA	1:37:A:TYR:C	11	1.51
(1,14)	1:27:A:GLY:N	1:27:A:GLY:CA	1:27:A:GLY:C	1:28:A:VAL:N	15	1.51
(1,27)	1:36:A:ARG:C	1:37:A:TYR:N	1:37:A:TYR:CA	1:37:A:TYR:C	14	1.5
(1,27)	1:36:A:ARG:C	1:37:A:TYR:N	1:37:A:TYR:CA	1:37:A:TYR:C	15	1.5
(1,40)	1:47:A:PHE:N	1:47:A:PHE:CA	1:47:A:PHE:C	1:48:A:LYS:N	2	1.49
(1,14)	1:27:A:GLY:N	1:27:A:GLY:CA	1:27:A:GLY:C	1:28:A:VAL:N	20	1.49
(1,18)	1:32:A:GLU:N	1:32:A:GLU:CA	1:32:A:GLU:C	1:33:A:HIS:N	15	1.28
(1,10)	1:24:A:CYS:N	1:24:A:CYS:CA	1:24:A:CYS:C	1:25:A:GLY:N	13	1.27
(1,27)	1:36:A:ARG:C	1:37:A:TYR:N	1:37:A:TYR:CA	1:37:A:TYR:C	7	1.26
(1,10)	1:24:A:CYS:N	1:24:A:CYS:CA	1:24:A:CYS:C	1:25:A:GLY:N	2	1.24
(1,10)	1:24:A:CYS:N	1:24:A:CYS:CA	1:24:A:CYS:C	1:25:A:GLY:N	5	1.21
(1,14)	1:27:A:GLY:N	1:27:A:GLY:CA	1:27:A:GLY:C	1:28:A:VAL:N	17	1.13
(1,14)	1:27:A:GLY:N	1:27:A:GLY:CA	1:27:A:GLY:C	1:28:A:VAL:N	18	1.13
(1,14)	1:27:A:GLY:N	1:27:A:GLY:CA	1:27:A:GLY:C	1:28:A:VAL:N	4	1.11



Key	Atom-1	Atom-2	Atom-3	Atom-4	Model ID	Violation $(^{\circ})$
(1,14)	1:27:A:GLY:N	1:27:A:GLY:CA	1:27:A:GLY:C	1:28:A:VAL:N	5	1.06
(1,36)	1:45:A:THR:N	1:45:A:THR:CA	1:45:A:THR:C	1:46:A:GLU:N	9	1.04
(1,30)	1:38:A:SER:N	1:38:A:SER:CA	1:38:A:SER:C	1:39:A:CYS:N	3	1.04
(1,27)	1:36:A:ARG:C	1:37:A:TYR:N	1:37:A:TYR:CA	1:37:A:TYR:C	4	1.04
(1,9)	1:23:A:ARG:C	1:24:A:CYS:N	1:24:A:CYS:CA	1:24:A:CYS:C	7	1.03
(1,15)	1:27:A:GLY:C	1:28:A:VAL:N	1:28:A:VAL:CA	1:28:A:VAL:C	15	1.02
(1,30)	1:38:A:SER:N	1:38:A:SER:CA	1:38:A:SER:C	1:39:A:CYS:N	2	1.01

Continued from previous page...

