

Full wwPDB NMR Structure Validation Report (i)

Feb 15, 2022 – 07:12 AM EST

PDB ID	:	1LIQ
Title	:	Non-native Solution Structure of a fragment of the CH1 domain of CBP
Authors	:	Sharpe, B.K.; Matthews, J.M.; Kwan, A.H.Y.; Newton, A.; Gell, D.A.; Cross-
		ley, M.; Mackay, J.P.
Deposited on	:	2002-04-18

This is a Full wwPDB NMR Structure Validation Report for a publicly released PDB entry.

We welcome your comments at *validation@mail.wwpdb.org* A user guide is available at https://www.wwpdb.org/validation/2017/NMRValidationReportHelp with specific help available everywhere you see the (i) symbol.

The following versions of software and data (see references (i)) were used in the production of this report:


MolProbity	:	4.02b-467
Percentile statistics	:	20191225.v01 (using entries in the PDB archive December 25th 2019)
RCI	:	v_1n_11_5_13_A (Berjanski et al., 2005)
PANAV	:	Wang et al. (2010)
ShiftChecker	:	2.26
Ideal geometry (proteins)	:	Engh & Huber (2001)
Ideal geometry (DNA, RNA)	:	Parkinson et al. (1996)
Validation Pipeline (wwPDB-VP)	:	2.26

1 Overall quality at a glance (i)

The following experimental techniques were used to determine the structure: $SOLUTION\ NMR$

The overall completeness of chemical shifts assignment was not calculated.

Percentile scores (ranging between 0-100) for global validation metrics of the entry are shown in the following graphic. The table shows the number of entries on which the scores are based.



Ramachandran outliers	154571	11451	
Sidechain outliers	154315	11428	
			_
The table below summers	and the mean stric ica	use sheering serves	the polymonic chains and their
	0		the polymeric chains and their
fit to the experimental da	ata. The red, orange	e, yellow and green	segments indicate the fraction
of residues that contain o	utliers for $>=3, 2, 1$	and 0 types of geor	metric quality criteria. A cyan
segment indicates the fract	tion of residues that a	re not part of the we	ll-defined cores, and a grey seg-
	0 1 1 1	1 11 1 (77)	

ment represents the fraction of residues that are not modelled. The numeric value for each fraction is indicated below the corresponding segment, with a dot representing fractions <=5%

Mol	Chain	Length		Quality of chain		
1	А	27	37%	44%	•	15%



2 Ensemble composition and analysis (i)

This entry contains 20 models. Model 1 is the overall representative, medoid model (most similar to other models).

The following residues are included in the computation of the global validation metrics.

Well-defined (core) protein residues							
Well-defined core	Residue range (total)	Backbone RMSD (Å)	Medoid model				
1	A:1-A:23 (23)	0.12	1				

Ill-defined regions of proteins are excluded from the global statistics.

Ligands and non-protein polymers are included in the analysis.

The models can be grouped into 4 clusters. No single-model clusters were found.

Cluster number	Models
1	1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 9, 10, 19, 20
2	8, 14, 16, 18
3	11, 12, 17
4	13, 15



3 Entry composition (i)

There are 2 unique types of molecules in this entry. The entry contains 423 atoms, of which 212 are hydrogens and 0 are deuteriums.

• Molecule 1 is a protein called CREB Binding Protein.

Mol	Chain	Residues		Atoms					Trace
1	٨	97	Total	С	Н	Ν	0	S	0
	А	21	422	125	212	44	36	5	0

• Molecule 2 is ZINC ION (three-letter code: ZN) (formula: Zn).

N	Mol	Chain	Residues	Atoms
	ი	۸	1	Total Zn
	Ζ	A	1	1 1



4 Residue-property plots (i)

4.1 Average score per residue in the NMR ensemble

These plots are provided for all protein, RNA, DNA and oligosaccharide chains in the entry. The first graphic is the same as shown in the summary in section 1 of this report. The second graphic shows the sequence where residues are colour-coded according to the number of geometric quality criteria for which they contain at least one outlier: green = 0, yellow = 1, orange = 2 and red = 3 or more. Stretches of 2 or more consecutive residues without any outliers are shown as green connectors. Residues which are classified as ill-defined in the NMR ensemble, are shown in cyan with an underline colour-coded according to the previous scheme. Residues which were present in the experimental sample, but not modelled in the final structure are shown in grey.

• Molecule 1: CREB Binding Protein



4.2 Scores per residue for each member of the ensemble

Colouring as in section 4.1 above.

4.2.1 Score per residue for model 1 (medoid)

• Molecule 1: CREB Binding Protein



4.2.2 Score per residue for model 2





4.2.3 Score per residue for model 3

• Molecule 1: CREB Binding Protein



4.2.4 Score per residue for model 4

• Molecule 1: CREB Binding Protein



4.2.5 Score per residue for model 5

• Molecule 1: CREB Binding Protein



4.2.6 Score per residue for model 6

• Molecule 1: CREB Binding Protein

Chain A: 41% 44% 15%


4.2.7 Score per residue for model 7

Molecule 1: CREB Binding Protein
Chain A: 52% 30% · 15%



4.2.8 Score per residue for model 8

• Molecule 1: CREB Binding Protein



4.2.9 Score per residue for model 9

• Molecule 1: CREB Binding Protein




4.2.10 Score per residue for model 10

• Molecule 1: CREB Binding Protein



4.2.11 Score per residue for model 11




4.2.12 Score per residue for model 12





4.2.13 Score per residue for model 13

• Molecule 1: CREB Binding Protein



4.2.14 Score per residue for model 14

• Molecule 1: CREB Binding Protein



4.2.15 Score per residue for model 15

• Molecule 1: CREB Binding Protein



4.2.16 Score per residue for model 16

• Molecule 1: CREB Binding Protein

Chain A: 41% • 15%

4.2.17 Score per residue for model 17





4.2.18 Score per residue for model 18

• Molecule 1: CREB Binding Protein



4.2.19 Score per residue for model 19

• Molecule 1: CREB Binding Protein



4.2.20 Score per residue for model 20

Chain A:		30%	52%	•	15%
E1 V2 C5 S6 L7	C10 M13 K14 N15 V16	N18 N18 N19 N20 N20 N20 C23 C23 C23 C26 C23 C26 C26 C26			



5 Refinement protocol and experimental data overview (i)

The models were refined using the following method: *distance geometry simulated annealing molecular dynamics.*

Of the 50 calculated structures, 20 were deposited, based on the following criterion: *structures with the lowest energy*.

The following table shows the software used for structure solution, optimisation and refinement.

Software name	Classification	Version
CNS	refinement	1.0

No chemical shift data was provided.



6 Model quality (i)

6.1 Standard geometry (i)

Bond lengths and bond angles in the following residue types are not validated in this section: ZN

There are no covalent bond-length or bond-angle outliers.

There are no bond-length outliers.

There are no bond-angle outliers.

There are no chirality outliers.

There are no planarity outliers.

6.2 Too-close contacts (i)

In the following table, the Non-H and H(model) columns list the number of non-hydrogen atoms and hydrogen atoms in each chain respectively. The H(added) column lists the number of hydrogen atoms added and optimized by MolProbity. The Clashes column lists the number of clashes averaged over the ensemble.

Mol	Chain	Non-H	H(model)	H(added)	Clashes
1	А	182	183	181	9±1
All	All	3660	3660	3620	172

The all-atom clashscore is defined as the number of clashes found per 1000 atoms (including hydrogen atoms). The all-atom clashscore for this structure is 24.

All unique clashes are listed below, sorted by their clash magnitude.

Atom-1	Atom-2	Clash(Å)	Distance(Å)	Mod	dels
Atom-1	Atom-2	Clash(A)	Distance(A)	Worst	Total
1:A:5:CYS:SG	1:A:7:LEU:HD12	0.75	2.22	11	20
1:A:18:ASN:O	1:A:21:THR:HG22	0.70	1.86	11	7
1:A:2:VAL:HG11	1:A:14:LYS:HA	0.69	1.63	2	11
1:A:12:THR:HG22	1:A:13:MET:HG3	0.67	1.65	16	2
1:A:2:VAL:HG23	1:A:10:CYS:HB3	0.67	1.66	9	11
1:A:2:VAL:HG23	1:A:10:CYS:CB	0.64	2.22	9	8
1:A:19:HIS:CE1	1:A:23:CYS:CB	0.59	2.86	3	20
1:A:1:GLU:HA	1:A:16:VAL:HG13	0.57	1.76	9	13
1:A:12:THR:HG22	1:A:13:MET:HG2	0.57	1.77	6	2
1:A:2:VAL:HG12	1:A:16:VAL:HG22	0.56	1.77	13	3
1:A:2:VAL:HG11	1:A:14:LYS:CA	0.55	2.31	6	3
1:A:2:VAL:HG21	1:A:10:CYS:O	0.53	2.04	9	10

Continued on next page...



1LIQ	
TDIQ	

Atom-1 Atom-2		Clash(Å)	Distance(Å)	Models		
Atom-1	Atom-2	Clash(A)	Distance(A)	Worst	Total	
1:A:19:HIS:O	1:A:22:HIS:CD2	0.50	2.65	1	16	
1:A:13:MET:O	1:A:14:LYS:CG	0.48	2.61	2	7	
1:A:19:HIS:CE1	1:A:23:CYS:HB3	0.47	2.45	4	20	
1:A:16:VAL:HG12	1:A:20:MET:HG3	0.47	1.87	14	1	
1:A:14:LYS:CG	1:A:14:LYS:O	0.44	2.65	1	3	
1:A:14:LYS:O	1:A:14:LYS:CG	0.44	2.66	7	11	
1:A:2:VAL:HG13	1:A:3:ARG:N	0.42	2.29	12	1	
1:A:2:VAL:CG2	1:A:10:CYS:O	0.40	2.69	13	2	
1:A:13:MET:O	1:A:14:LYS:CB	0.40	2.69	18	1	

Continued from previous page...

6.3 Torsion angles (i)

6.3.1 Protein backbone (i)

In the following table, the Percentiles column shows the percent Ramachandran outliers of the chain as a percentile score with respect to all PDB entries followed by that with respect to all NMR entries. The Analysed column shows the number of residues for which the backbone conformation was analysed and the total number of residues.

Mol	Chain	Analysed	Favoured	Allowed	Outliers	Percentiles
1	А	22/27~(81%)	$18\pm1~(82\pm5\%)$	$4\pm1~(18\pm5\%)$	0±0 (0±0%)	100 100
All	All	440/540 (81%)	359 (82%)	81 (18%)	0 (0%)	100 100

There are no Ramachandran outliers.

6.3.2 Protein sidechains (i)

In the following table, the Percentiles column shows the percent sidechain outliers of the chain as a percentile score with respect to all PDB entries followed by that with respect to all NMR entries. The Analysed column shows the number of residues for which the sidechain conformation was analysed and the total number of residues.

Mol	Chain	Analysed	Rotameric	Outliers	Pe	erce	entiles
1	А	22/24~(92%)	$19\pm1~(85\pm5\%)$	$3\pm1~(15\pm5\%)$		5	43
All	All	440/480 (92%)	372 (85%)	68 (15%)		5	43

All 7 unique residues with a non-rotameric sidechain are listed below. They are sorted by the frequency of occurrence in the ensemble.



Mol	Chain	Res	Type	Models (Total)
1	А	21	THR	20
1	А	14	LYS	16
1	А	18	ASN	11
1	А	11	ARG	8
1	А	3	ARG	7
1	А	20	MET	5
1	А	6	SER	1

6.3.3 RNA (i)

There are no RNA molecules in this entry.

6.4 Non-standard residues in protein, DNA, RNA chains (i)

There are no non-standard protein/DNA/RNA residues in this entry.

6.5 Carbohydrates (i)

There are no monosaccharides in this entry.

6.6 Ligand geometry (i)

Of 1 ligands modelled in this entry, 1 is monoatomic - leaving 0 for Mogul analysis.

6.7 Other polymers (i)

There are no such molecules in this entry.

6.8 Polymer linkage issues (i)

There are no chain breaks in this entry.



7 Chemical shift validation (i)

No chemical shift data were provided

