

# wwPDB NMR Structure Validation Summary Report (i)

#### Jun 5, 2023 – 06:41 PM EDT

PDB ID	:	2M70
BMRB ID	:	19167
Title	:	Structural determination of the Citrus sinensis Poly(A)-Binding Protein CsPABP1
Authors Deposited on		Sforca, M.L.; Domingues, M.N.; Zeri, A.C.M.; Benedetti, C.E. 2013-04-16

This is a wwPDB NMR Structure Validation Summary Report for a publicly released PDB entry.

We welcome your comments at validation@mail.wwpdb.org A user guide is available at https://www.wwpdb.org/validation/2017/NMRValidationReportHelp with specific help available everywhere you see the (i) symbol.

The types of validation reports are described at http://www.wwpdb.org/validation/2017/FAQs#types.

The following versions of software and data (see references (1)) were used in the production of this report:


MolProbity	:	4.02b-467
Percentile statistics	:	20191225.v01 (using entries in the PDB archive December 25th 2019)
wwPDB-RCI	:	v_1n_11_5_13_A (Berjanski et al., 2005)
PANAV	:	Wang et al. (2010)
wwPDB-ShiftChecker	:	v1.2
BMRB Restraints Analysis	:	v1.2
Ideal geometry (proteins)	:	Engh & Huber (2001)
Ideal geometry (DNA, RNA)	:	Parkinson et al. (1996)
Validation Pipeline (wwPDB-VP)	:	2.33

# 1 Overall quality at a glance (i)

The following experimental techniques were used to determine the structure:  $SOLUTION\ NMR$ 

The overall completeness of chemical shifts assignment is 77%.

Percentile scores (ranging between 0-100) for global validation metrics of the entry are shown in the following graphic. The table shows the number of entries on which the scores are based.



Metric	$egin{array}{c} { m Whole \ archive} \ (\#{ m Entries}) \end{array}$	${f NMR}  { m archive} \ (\#{ m Entries})$
Clashscore	158937	12864
Ramachandran outliers	154571	11451
Sidechain outliers	154315	11428

The table below summarises the geometric issues observed across the polymeric chains and their fit to the experimental data. The red, orange, yellow and green segments indicate the fraction of residues that contain outliers for >=3, 2, 1 and 0 types of geometric quality criteria. A cyan segment indicates the fraction of residues that are not part of the well-defined cores, and a grey segment represents the fraction of residues that are not modelled. The numeric value for each fraction is indicated below the corresponding segment, with a dot representing fractions <=5%

Mol	Chain	Length	Quality of a	chain	
1	А	155	57%	9%	34%



# 2 Ensemble composition and analysis (i)

This entry contains 20 models. Model 19 is the overall representative, medoid model (most similar to other models). The authors have identified model 1 as representative, based on the following criterion: *fewest violations*.

The following residues are included in the computation of the global validation metrics.

	Well-defined (core) p	protein residues	
Well-defined core	Residue range (total)	Backbone RMSD (Å)	Medoid model
1	A:41-A:55 (15)	0.56	2
2	A:64-A:150 (87)	0.24	19

Ill-defined regions of proteins are excluded from the global statistics.

Ligands and non-protein polymers are included in the analysis.

The models can be grouped into 3 clusters and 1 single-model cluster was found.

Cluster number	Models
1	1, 3, 8, 9, 10, 14, 15, 17, 19, 20
2	2, 4, 5, 6, 11, 12, 13
3	16, 18
Single-model clusters	7



# 3 Entry composition (i)

There is only 1 type of molecule in this entry. The entry contains 2362 atoms, of which 1161 are hydrogens and 0 are deuteriums.

• Molecule 1 is a protein called Poly(A)-binding protein 1.

Mol	Chain	Residues			Atom	IS			Trace
1	Δ	155	Total	С	Η	Ν	0	S	0
	A	100	2362	734	1161	218	242	7	0

There are 23 discrepancies between the modelled and reference sequences:

Chain	Residue	Modelled	Actual	Comment	Reference
А	1	MET	-	expression tag	UNP G3LUH8
А	2	GLY	-	expression tag	UNP G3LUH8
А	3	SER	-	expression tag	UNP G3LUH8
А	4	SER	-	expression tag	UNP G3LUH8
А	5	HIS	-	expression tag	UNP G3LUH8
А	6	HIS	-	expression tag	UNP G3LUH8
А	7	HIS	-	expression tag	UNP G3LUH8
А	8	HIS	-	expression tag	UNP G3LUH8
A	9	HIS	-	expression tag	UNP G3LUH8
А	10	HIS	-	expression tag	UNP G3LUH8
А	11	SER	-	expression tag	UNP G3LUH8
А	12	SER	-	expression tag	UNP G3LUH8
А	13	GLY	-	expression tag	UNP G3LUH8
А	14	LEU	-	expression tag	UNP G3LUH8
A	15	VAL	-	expression tag	UNP G3LUH8
А	16	PRO	-	expression tag	UNP G3LUH8
А	17	ARG	-	expression tag	UNP G3LUH8
А	18	GLY	-	expression tag	UNP G3LUH8
А	19	SER	-	expression tag	UNP G3LUH8
А	20	HIS	-	expression tag	UNP G3LUH8
А	21	MET	-	expression tag	UNP G3LUH8
А	22	GLY	-	expression tag	UNP G3LUH8
А	23	SER	_	expression tag	UNP G3LUH8



# 4 Residue-property plots (i)

### 4.1 Average score per residue in the NMR ensemble

These plots are provided for all protein, RNA, DNA and oligosaccharide chains in the entry. The first graphic is the same as shown in the summary in section 1 of this report. The second graphic shows the sequence where residues are colour-coded according to the number of geometric quality criteria for which they contain at least one outlier: green = 0, yellow = 1, orange = 2 and red = 3 or more. Stretches of 2 or more consecutive residues without any outliers are shown as green connectors. Residues which are classified as ill-defined in the NMR ensemble, are shown in cyan with an underline colour-coded according to the previous scheme. Residues which were present in the experimental sample, but not modelled in the final structure are shown in grey.


• Molecule 1: Poly(A)-binding protein 1

С	h	ai	in	1.	A	:													57	%														9%	5					3	4%	6							
M1		n N v	S4	H5	HG	H7	H8 	н9 н10	2 1 1 2 1 1	S12	G13	L14	V15	P16	R17	618	S19	H20	M21	G22	S23	D24	L25	E.26	127 M78	071	K30	R31	1.32	L32 K33	E34	135	E36	E37	E38	A39 G40	V56	<b>q</b> 57	D58	000 190	162 T62	S63	CO.	T65	R75	S76	D83	<mark>C86</mark>	H94
F95	196 196		665	T100	_	T108	10	Q2 IN	1130		R142	0143	L144	-	T151	N152	1153 1153	P154	G155																														

# 4.2 Residue scores for the representative (medoid) model from the NMR ensemble

The representative model is number 19. Colouring as in section 4.1 above.

• Molecule 1: Poly(A)-binding protein 1





# 5 Refinement protocol and experimental data overview (i)

The models were refined using the following method: torsion angle dynamics, distance geometry.

Of the 400 calculated structures, 20 were deposited, based on the following criterion: structures with the least restraint violations.

The following table shows the software used for structure solution, optimisation and refinement.

Software name	Classification	Version
CYANA	geometry optimization	
CYANA	structure solution	
CNS	refinement	
CYANA	refinement	

The following table shows chemical shift validation statistics as aggregates over all chemical shift files. Detailed validation can be found in section 7 of this report.

Chemical shift file(s)	working_cs.cif
Number of chemical shift lists	1
Total number of shifts	1181
Number of shifts mapped to atoms	1181
Number of unparsed shifts	0
Number of shifts with mapping errors	0
Number of shifts with mapping warnings	0
Assignment completeness (well-defined parts)	77%



# 6 Model quality (i)

# 6.1 Standard geometry (i)

There are no covalent bond-length or bond-angle outliers.

There are no bond-length outliers.

There are no bond-angle outliers.

There are no chirality outliers.

There are no planarity outliers.

### 6.2 Too-close contacts (i)

In the following table, the Non-H and H(model) columns list the number of non-hydrogen atoms and hydrogen atoms in each chain respectively. The H(added) column lists the number of hydrogen atoms added and optimized by MolProbity. The Clashes column lists the number of clashes averaged over the ensemble.

Mol	Chain	Non-H	H(model)	H(added)	Clashes
1	А	801	790	790	4±1
All	All	16020	15800	15800	79

The all-atom clashscore is defined as the number of clashes found per 1000 atoms (including hydrogen atoms). The all-atom clashscore for this structure is 2.

Atom-1	Atom-2	Clash(Å)	Distance(Å)	Models		
Atom-1	Atom-2	Clash(A)				
1:A:83:ASP:OD2	1:A:142:ARG:HB2	0.62	1.95	20	3	
1:A:90:GLU:O	1:A:94:HIS:HB2	0.60	1.97	1	5	
1:A:43:ARG:HB3	1:A:45:MET:SD	0.56	2.40	19	1	
1:A:83:ASP:OD2	1:A:140:HIS:HB3	0.54	2.03	2	3	
1:A:96:GLN:HA	1:A:99:GLY:O	0.54	2.03	16	15	

5 of 38 unique clashes are listed below, sorted by their clash magnitude.

# 6.3 Torsion angles (i)

#### 6.3.1 Protein backbone (i)

In the following table, the Percentiles column shows the percent Ramachandran outliers of the chain as a percentile score with respect to all PDB entries followed by that with respect to all NMR entries. The Analysed column shows the number of residues for which the backbone conformation was analysed and the total number of residues.



Mol	Chain	Analysed	Favoured	Allowed	Outliers	Perce	ntiles
1	А	102/155~(66%)	$95 \pm 1 (93 \pm 1\%)$	$6{\pm}1~(6{\pm}1\%)$	$0\pm1~(0\pm1\%)$	44	80
All	All	2040/3100~(66%)	1905 (93%)	128 (6%)	7~(0%)	44	80

All 2 unique Ramachandran outliers are listed below. They are sorted by the frequency of occurrence in the ensemble.

Mol	Chain	Res	Type	Models (Total)
1	А	135	ASN	4
1	А	114	PRO	3

#### 6.3.2 Protein sidechains (i)

In the following table, the Percentiles column shows the percent sidechain outliers of the chain as a percentile score with respect to all PDB entries followed by that with respect to all NMR entries. The Analysed column shows the number of residues for which the sidechain conformation was analysed and the total number of residues.

Mol	Chain	Analysed Rotameric		Outliers	Percentiles		
1	А	86/132~(65%)	$72\pm3$ (83±3%)	$14\pm3~(17\pm3\%)$	5	41	
All	All	1720/2640~(65%)	1432 (83%)	288 (17%)	5	41	

5 of 47 unique residues with a non-rotameric side chain are listed below. They are sorted by the frequency of occurrence in the ensemble.

Mol	Chain	Res	Type	Models (Total)
1	А	108	THR	20
1	А	139	LEU	20
1	А	144	LEU	20
1	А	76	SER	19
1	А	86	CYS	18

#### 6.3.3 RNA (i)

There are no RNA molecules in this entry.

#### 6.4 Non-standard residues in protein, DNA, RNA chains (i)

There are no non-standard protein/DNA/RNA residues in this entry.



### 6.5 Carbohydrates (i)

There are no monosaccharides in this entry.

### 6.6 Ligand geometry (i)

There are no ligands in this entry.

### 6.7 Other polymers (i)

There are no such molecules in this entry.

### 6.8 Polymer linkage issues (i)

There are no chain breaks in this entry.



# 7 Chemical shift validation (i)

The completeness of assignment taking into account all chemical shift lists is 77% for the well-defined parts and 58% for the entire structure.

### 7.1 Chemical shift list 1

File name: working\_cs.cif

Chemical shift list name: assigned\_chem\_shift\_list\_1

### 7.1.1 Bookkeeping (i)

The following table shows the results of parsing the chemical shift list and reports the number of nuclei with statistically unusual chemical shifts.

Total number of shifts	1181
Number of shifts mapped to atoms	1181
Number of unparsed shifts	0
Number of shifts with mapping errors	0
Number of shifts with mapping warnings	0
Number of shift outliers (ShiftChecker)	0

#### 7.1.2 Chemical shift referencing (i)

The following table shows the suggested chemical shift referencing corrections.

Nucleus	# values	${\rm Correction}\pm{\rm precision},ppm$	Suggested action
$^{13}C_{\alpha}$	112	$-0.09 \pm 0.11$	None needed ( $< 0.5$ ppm)
$^{13}C_{\beta}$	106	$0.02 \pm 0.14$	None needed ( $< 0.5$ ppm)
$^{13}C'$	102	$-0.03 \pm 0.14$	None needed ( $< 0.5$ ppm)
$^{15}N$	108	$-0.22 \pm 0.47$	None needed ( $< 0.5$ ppm)

#### 7.1.3 Completeness of resonance assignments (i)

The following table shows the completeness of the chemical shift assignments for the well-defined regions of the structure. The overall completeness is 77%, i.e. 1068 atoms were assigned a chemical shift out of a possible 1381. 0 out of 18 assigned methyl groups (LEU and VAL) were assigned stereospecifically.

	Total <sup>1</sup> H		$^{13}\mathrm{C}$	$^{15}\mathbf{N}$
Backbone	492/512~(96%)	203/208~(98%)	192/204~(94%)	97/100~(97%)
Sidechain	548/788~(70%)	348/508~(69%)	200/246~(81%)	0/34~(0%)

Continued on next page...



Contentaca	Continueu from pretious page										
	Total	$^{1}\mathbf{H}$	$^{13}\mathrm{C}$	$^{15}$ N							
Aromatic	28/81~(35%)	14/40~(35%)	14/39~(36%)	0/2~(0%)							
Overall	1068/1381~(77%)	565/756~(75%)	406/489~(83%)	97/136~(71%)							

Continued from previous page...


#### 7.1.4 Statistically unusual chemical shifts (i)

There are no statistically unusual chemical shifts.

#### 7.1.5 Random Coil Index (RCI) plots (1)

The image below reports *random coil index* values for the protein chains in the structure. The height of each bar gives a probability of a given residue to be disordered, as predicted from the available chemical shifts and the amino acid sequence. A value above 0.2 is an indication of significant predicted disorder. The colour of the bar shows whether the residue is in the well-defined core (black) or in the ill-defined residue ranges (cyan), as described in section 2 on ensemble composition. If well-defined core and ill-defined regions are not identified then it is shown as gray bars.

Random coil index (RCI) for chain A:





# 8 NMR restraints analysis (i)

## 8.1 Conformationally restricting restraints (i)

The following table provides the summary of experimentally observed NMR restraints in different categories. Restraints are classified into different categories based on the sequence separation of the atoms involved.

Description	Value
Total distance restraints	2165
Intra-residue ( i-j =0)	503
Sequential ( i-j =1)	648
Medium range ( $ i-j >1$ and $ i-j <5$ )	502
Long range $( i-j  \ge 5)$	512
Inter-chain	0
Hydrogen bond restraints	0
Disulfide bond restraints	0
Total dihedral-angle restraints	0
Number of unmapped restraints	0
Number of restraints per residue	14.0
Number of long range restraints per residue <sup>1</sup>	3.3

<sup>1</sup>Long range hydrogen bonds and disulfide bonds are counted as long range restraints while calculating the number of long range restraints per residue

### 8.2 Residual restraint violations (i)

This section provides the overview of the restraint violations analysis. The violations are binned as small, medium and large violations based on its absolute value. Average number of violations per model is calculated by dividing the total number of violations in each bin by the size of the ensemble.

#### 8.2.1 Average number of distance violations per model (i)

Distance violations less than 0.1 Å are not included in the calculation.

Bins (Å)	Average number of violations per model	Max (Å)
0.1-0.2 (Small)	160.8	0.2
0.2-0.5 (Medium)	127.0	0.5
>0.5 (Large)	161.3	5.63



#### 8.2.2 Average number of dihedral-angle violations per model (i)

Dihedral-angle violations less than  $1^\circ$  are not included in the calculation. There are no dihedral-angle violations



# 9 Distance violation analysis (i)


# 9.1 Summary of distance violations (i)

The following table shows the summary of distance violations in different restraint categories based on the sequence separation of the atoms involved. Each category is further sub-divided into three sub-categories based on the atoms involved. Violations less than 0.1 Å are not included in the statistics.

Destroints type	Count	$\%^1$	Vi	olated	3	Consistently		V Violated <sup>4</sup>
Restraints type	Count	70-	Count	$\%^2$	$\%^1$	Count	$\%^2$	$\%^1$
Intra-residue ( i-j =0)	503	23.2	148	29.4	6.8	10	2.0	0.5
Backbone-Backbone	85	3.9	2	2.4	0.1	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Sidechain	310	14.3	107	34.5	4.9	6	1.9	0.3
Sidechain-Sidechain	108	5.0	39	36.1	1.8	4	3.7	0.2
Sequential ( i-j =1)	648	29.9	243	37.5	11.2	19	2.9	0.9
Backbone-Backbone	263	12.1	52	19.8	2.4	4	1.5	0.2
Backbone-Sidechain	321	14.8	156	48.6	7.2	13	4.0	0.6
Sidechain-Sidechain	64	3.0	35	54.7	1.6	2	3.1	0.1
Medium range ( $ i-j  > 1 \&  i-j  < 5$ )	502	23.2	370	73.7	17.1	24	4.8	1.1
Backbone-Backbone	161	7.4	117	72.7	5.4	5	3.1	0.2
Backbone-Sidechain	229	10.6	162	70.7	7.5	8	3.5	0.4
Sidechain-Sidechain	112	5.2	91	81.2	4.2	11	9.8	0.5
Long range $( i-j  \ge 5)$	512	23.6	365	71.3	16.9	37	7.2	1.7
Backbone-Backbone	96	4.4	80	83.3	3.7	3	3.1	0.1
Backbone-Sidechain	240	11.1	170	70.8	7.9	19	7.9	0.9
Sidechain-Sidechain	176	8.1	115	65.3	5.3	15	8.5	0.7
Inter-chain	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Backbone	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Sidechain	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Sidechain-Sidechain	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Hydrogen bond	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Disulfide bond	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Total	2165	100.0	1126	52.0	52.0	90	4.2	4.2
Backbone-Backbone	605	27.9	251	41.5	11.6	12	2.0	0.6
Backbone-Sidechain	1100	50.8	595	54.1	27.5	46	4.2	2.1
Sidechain-Sidechain	460	21.2	280	60.9	12.9	32	7.0	1.5

 $^1$  percentage calculated with respect to the total number of distance restraints,  $^2$  percentage calculated with respect to the number of restraints in a particular restraint category,  $^3$  violated in at least one model,  $^4$  violated in all the models





#### 9.1.1 Bar chart : Distribution of distance restraints and violations (i)

Violated and consistently violated restraints are shown using different hatch patterns in their respective categories. The hydrogen bonds and disulfied bonds are counted in their appropriate category on the x-axis

#### 9.2 Distance violation statistics for each model (i)

The following table provides the distance violation statistics for each model in the ensemble. Violations less than 0.1 Å are not included in the statistics.

Madal ID		Nun	nber o	f viola	ations	5	Mean (Å)	Max (Å)	$SD^6$ (Å)	Median (Å)
Model ID	$IR^1$	$SQ^2$	$Q^2 \mid MR^3 \mid LR^4 \mid IC^5 \mid Total \mid Mean (A) \mid Max (A)$		Max (A)	$SD^{*}(A)$	Median (A)			
1	45	84	117	155	0	401	0.49	3.65	0.53	0.22
2	49	81	129	174	0	433	0.48	3.74	0.54	0.22
3	43	90	124	154	0	411	0.49	3.08	0.53	0.22
4	46	84	119	142	0	391	0.52	3.27	0.53	0.23
5	43	85	127	154	0	409	0.5	3.76	0.56	0.22
6	50	86	125	154	0	415	0.49	3.31	0.54	0.22
7	42	88	117	151	0	398	0.49	3.57	0.56	0.22
8	59	98	128	148	0	433	0.46	3.48	0.51	0.21
9	41	85	120	161	0	407	0.48	3.29	0.52	0.22
10	74	118	196	220	0	608	0.62	5.19	0.58	0.4
11	71	130	232	230	0	663	0.79	5.18	0.73	0.52

Continued on next page...




Madal ID	Number of violations						Mean (Å)	Mar. (Å)	$SD^6$ (Å)	Madian (Å)
Model ID	$\mathrm{IR}^{1}$	$SQ^2$	$MR^3$	$LR^4$	$IC^5$	Total	Mean (A)	Max (Å)	$\mathbf{SD}^{6}$ (Å)	Median (Å)
12	55	126	218	211	0	610	0.65	5.63	0.62	0.41
13	53	87	145	147	0	432	0.55	3.35	0.58	0.22
14	56	85	127	142	0	410	0.56	3.15	0.54	0.24
15	52	85	132	143	0	412	0.56	3.41	0.58	0.24
16	53	85	143	142	0	423	0.56	3.29	0.57	0.23
17	51	91	141	158	0	441	0.54	3.08	0.55	0.23
18	56	95	140	145	0	436	0.54	3.28	0.56	0.24
19	53	92	130	155	0	430	0.54	3.45	0.56	0.24
20	52	89	126	154	0	421	0.57	3.1	0.58	0.24

Continued from previous page...

 $^1$ Intra-residue restraints,  $^2$ Sequential restraints,  $^3$ Medium range restraints,  $^4$ Long range restraints,  $^5$ Inter-chain restraints,  $^6$ Standard deviation





The mean(dot), median(x) and the standard deviation are shown in blue with respect to the y axis on the right



### 9.3 Distance violation statistics for the ensemble (i)

Violation analysis may find that some restraints are violated in few models and some are violated in most of models. The following table provides this information as number of violated restraints for a given fraction of the ensemble. In total, 1039(IR:355, SQ:405, MR:132, LR:147, IC:0) restraints are not violated in the ensemble.

Nu	mber	of vio	lated	restra	aints	Fractio	n of the ensemble
$IR^1$	$\mathrm{SQ}^2$	$MR^3$	$LR^4$	IC <sup>5</sup>	Total	$\operatorname{Count}^6$	%
38	50	60	59	0	207	1	5.0
22	34	44	38	0	138	2	10.0
13	21	37	29	0	100	3	15.0
10	16	23	29	0	78	4	20.0
3	9	21	17	0	50	5	25.0
3	8	14	10	0	35	6	30.0
1	6	11	8	0	26	7	35.0
6	13	15	8	0	42	8	40.0
6	3	22	15	0	46	9	45.0
6	3	13	12	0	34	10	50.0
3	7	11	10	0	31	11	55.0
2	6	13	9	0	30	12	60.0
2	5	6	5	0	18	13	65.0
2	5	6	9	0	22	14	70.0
2	8	8	8	0	26	15	75.0
2	5	14	6	0	27	16	80.0
6	3	11	14	0	34	17	85.0
3	10	2	19	0	34	18	90.0
8	12	15	23	0	58	19	95.0
10	19	24	37	0	90	20	100.0


 $^{1}$ Intra-residue restraints,  $^{2}$ Sequential restraints,  $^{3}$ Medium range restraints,  $^{4}$ Long range restraints,  $^{5}$ Inter-chain restraints,  $^{6}$  Number of models with violations



Intra-residue

Sequential MediumRange

LongRange InterChain




#### 9.3.1 Bar graph : Distance violation statistics for the ensemble (i)

### 9.4 Most violated distance restraints in the ensemble (i)

#### 9.4.1 Histogram : Distribution of mean distance violations (i)

The following histogram shows the distribution of the average value of the violation. The average is calculated for each restraint that is violated in more than one model over all the violated models in the ensemble





#### 9.4.2 Table: Most violated distance restraints (i)

The following table provides the mean and the standard deviation of the violations for the 10 worst performing restraints, sorted by number of violated models and the mean violation value. The Key (restraint list ID, restraint ID) is the unique identifier for a given restraint. Rows with same key represent combinatorial or ambiguous restraints and are counted as a single restraint.

Key	Atom-1	Atom-2	$Models^1$	Mean (Å)	$SD^1$ (Å)	Median (Å)
(1,1129)	1:A:91:VAL:HG21	1:A:106:ILE:HD11	20	3.16	0.41	3.16
(1,810)	1:A:78:TYR:HE1	1:A:145:LYS:HE2	20	2.98	1.15	3.08
(1,810)	1:A:78:TYR:HE1	1:A:145:LYS:HE3	20	2.98	1.15	3.08
(1,1405)	1:A:106:ILE:HD11	1:A:118:ALA:HB3	20	2.0	0.75	2.41
(1,1651)	1:A:124:GLU:HA	1:A:125:ILE:HD11	20	1.92	0.23	1.89
(1,2038)	1:A:144:LEU:HD21	1:A:146:VAL:HG21	20	1.89	0.36	1.96
(1,1125)	1:A:91:VAL:HB	1:A:106:ILE:HD11	20	1.83	0.23	1.83
(1,1144)	1:A:92:GLN:HA	1:A:104:VAL:HG21	20	1.77	0.24	1.8
(1,1128)	1:A:91:VAL:HG21	1:A:106:ILE:HB	20	1.77	0.24	1.82
(1,764)	1:A:77:ILE:HD11	1:A:79:VAL:HG11	20	1.73	0.89	1.86
(1,287)	1:A:56:VAL:HG21	1:A:57:GLN:HA	20	1.63	0.42	1.76


<sup>1</sup>Number of violated models, <sup>2</sup>Standard deviation



### 9.5 All violated distance restraints (i)

#### 9.5.1 Histogram : Distribution of distance violations (i)

The following histogram shows the distribution of the absolute value of the violation for all violated restraints in the ensemble.



#### 9.5.2 Table : All distance violations (i)

The following table provides the 10 worst performing restraints, sorted by the violation value. The Key (restraint list ID, restraint ID) is the unique identifier for a given restraint. Rows with same key represent combinatorial or ambiguous restraints and are counted as a single restraint.

Key	Atom-1	Atom-2	Model ID	Violation (Å)
(1,810)	1:A:78:TYR:HE1	1:A:145:LYS:HE2	12	5.63
(1,810)	1:A:78:TYR:HE1	1:A:145:LYS:HE3	12	5.63
(1,810)	1:A:78:TYR:HE1	1:A:145:LYS:HE2	10	5.19
(1,810)	1:A:78:TYR:HE1	1:A:145:LYS:HE3	10	5.19
(1,1826)	1:A:132:LEU:HD11	1:A:133:LEU:HG	11	5.18
(1,1129)	1:A:91:VAL:HG21	1:A:106:ILE:HD11	11	4.24
(1,1827)	1:A:132:LEU:HD11	1:A:133:LEU:HB2	11	4.17
(1,1827)	1:A:132:LEU:HD11	1:A:133:LEU:HB3	11	4.17
(1,1825)	1:A:132:LEU:HD11	1:A:133:LEU:HA	11	4.09
(1,764)	1:A:77:ILE:HD11	1:A:79:VAL:HG11	11	4.08
(1,1787)	1:A:130:ASN:HA	1:A:132:LEU:HD11	11	3.96

Continued on next page...



Key	Atom-1	Atom-2	Model ID	Violation (Å)
(1,810)	1:A:78:TYR:HE1	1:A:145:LYS:HE2	5	3.76
(1,810)	1:A:78:TYR:HE1	1:A:145:LYS:HE3	5	3.76
(1,810)	1:A:78:TYR:HE1	1:A:145:LYS:HE2	2	3.74

Continued from previous page...



# 10 Dihedral-angle violation analysis (i)

No dihedral-angle restraints found

