



# Full wwPDB NMR Structure Validation Report ⓘ

Jun 6, 2023 – 03:54 AM EDT

PDB ID : 2MBY  
BMRB ID : 19416  
Title : NMR Structure of Rrp7 C-terminal Domain  
Authors : Lin, J.; Feng, Y.; Ye, K.  
Deposited on : 2013-08-08

This is a Full wwPDB NMR Structure Validation Report for a publicly released PDB entry.

We welcome your comments at [validation@mail.wwpdb.org](mailto:validation@mail.wwpdb.org)

A user guide is available at

<https://www.wwpdb.org/validation/2017/NMRValidationReportHelp>

with specific help available everywhere you see the ⓘ symbol.

The types of validation reports are described at

<http://www.wwpdb.org/validation/2017/FAQs#types>.

---

The following versions of software and data (see [references ⓘ](#)) were used in the production of this report:

MolProbity : 4.02b-467  
Percentile statistics : 20191225.v01 (using entries in the PDB archive December 25th 2019)  
wwPDB-RCI : v\_1n\_11\_5\_13\_A (Berjanski et al., 2005)  
PANAV : Wang et al. (2010)  
wwPDB-ShiftChecker : v1.2  
BMRB Restraints Analysis : v1.2  
Ideal geometry (proteins) : Engh & Huber (2001)  
Ideal geometry (DNA, RNA) : Parkinson et al. (1996)  
Validation Pipeline (wwPDB-VP) : 2.33


# 1 Overall quality at a glance

The following experimental techniques were used to determine the structure:

*SOLUTION NMR*

The overall completeness of chemical shifts assignment is 87%.

Percentile scores (ranging between 0-100) for global validation metrics of the entry are shown in the following graphic. The table shows the number of entries on which the scores are based.



Metric	Whole archive (#Entries)	NMR archive (#Entries)
Clashscore	158937	12864
Ramachandran outliers	154571	11451
Sidechain outliers	154315	11428

The table below summarises the geometric issues observed across the polymeric chains and their fit to the experimental data. The red, orange, yellow and green segments indicate the fraction of residues that contain outliers for  $\geq 3$ , 2, 1 and 0 types of geometric quality criteria. A cyan segment indicates the fraction of residues that are not part of the well-defined cores, and a grey segment represents the fraction of residues that are not modelled. The numeric value for each fraction is indicated below the corresponding segment, with a dot representing fractions  $\leq 5\%$

Mol	Chain	Length	Quality of chain
1	A	46	

## 2 Ensemble composition and analysis

This entry contains 1 models. Identification of well-defined residues and clustering analysis are not possible.

### 3 Entry composition

There is only 1 type of molecule in this entry. The entry contains 821 atoms, of which 417 are hydrogens and 0 are deuteriums.

- Molecule 1 is a protein called Ribosomal RNA-processing protein 7.

Mol	Chain	Residues	Atoms						Trace
			Total	C	H	N	O	S	
1	A	46	821	257	417	75	71	1	0

There are 4 discrepancies between the modelled and reference sequences:


Chain	Residue	Modelled	Actual	Comment	Reference
A	252	GLY	-	expression tag	UNP P25368
A	253	PRO	-	expression tag	UNP P25368
A	254	GLU	-	expression tag	UNP P25368
A	255	ALA	-	expression tag	UNP P25368

## 4 Residue-property plots [i](#)

These plots are provided for all protein, RNA, DNA and oligosaccharide chains in the entry. The first graphic is the same as shown in the summary in section 1 of this report. The second graphic shows the sequence where residues are colour-coded according to the number of geometric quality criteria for which they contain at least one outlier: green = 0, yellow = 1, orange = 2 and red = 3 or more. Stretches of 2 or more consecutive residues without any outliers are shown as green connectors. Residues which are classified as ill-defined in the NMR ensemble, are shown in cyan with an underline colour-coded according to the previous scheme. Residues which were present in the experimental sample, but not modelled in the final structure are shown in grey.

- Molecule 1: Ribosomal RNA-processing protein 7

Chain A:  50% 46%



## 5 Refinement protocol and experimental data overview

The models were refined using the following method: *simulated annealing*.

Of the 100 calculated structures, 1 were deposited, based on the following criterion: *structures with the lowest energy*.

The following table shows the software used for structure solution, optimisation and refinement.

Software name	Classification	Version
CNS	refinement	
CYANA	structure solution	

The following table shows chemical shift validation statistics as aggregates over all chemical shift files. Detailed validation can be found in section 7 of this report.

Chemical shift file(s)	working_cs.cif
Number of chemical shift lists	1
Total number of shifts	617
Number of shifts mapped to atoms	617
Number of unparsed shifts	0
Number of shifts with mapping errors	0
Number of shifts with mapping warnings	0
Assignment completeness (well-defined parts)	87%

## 6 Model quality

### 6.1 Standard geometry

There are no covalent bond-length or bond-angle outliers.

There are no bond-length outliers.

There are no bond-angle outliers.

There are no chirality outliers.

There are no planarity outliers.

### 6.2 Too-close contacts

In the following table, the Non-H and H(model) columns list the number of non-hydrogen atoms and hydrogen atoms in each chain respectively. The H(added) column lists the number of hydrogen atoms added and optimized by MolProbity. The Clashes column lists the number of clashes averaged over the ensemble.

Mol	Chain	Non-H	H(model)	H(added)	Clashes
1	A	404	417	414	7
All	All	404	417	414	7

The all-atom clashscore is defined as the number of clashes found per 1000 atoms (including hydrogen atoms). The all-atom clashscore for this structure is 9.

All clashes are listed below, sorted by their clash magnitude.

Atom-1	Atom-2	Clash(Å)	Distance(Å)
1:A:267:LYS:HB3	1:A:270:ILE:HD11	0.60	1.74
1:A:282:GLU:O	1:A:286:VAL:HG23	0.59	1.98
1:A:267:LYS:CB	1:A:270:ILE:HD11	0.53	2.33
1:A:258:TYR:O	1:A:262:VAL:HG23	0.44	2.12
1:A:283:ARG:O	1:A:287:MET:HG2	0.42	2.14
1:A:288:LYS:O	1:A:290:LYS:N	0.41	2.54
1:A:262:VAL:HG12	1:A:263:ARG:N	0.40	2.31

### 6.3 Torsion angles

#### 6.3.1 Protein backbone

In the following table, the Percentiles column shows the percent Ramachandran outliers of the chain as a percentile score with respect to all PDB entries followed by that with respect to all NMR

entries. The Analysed column shows the number of residues for which the backbone conformation was analysed and the total number of residues.

Mol	Chain	Analysed	Favoured	Allowed	Outliers	Percentiles	
1	A	44/46 (96%)	37 (84%)	5 (11%)	2 (5%)	4	28
All	All	44/46 (96%)	37 (84%)	5 (11%)	2 (5%)	4	28

All 2 Ramachandran outliers are listed below. They are sorted by the frequency of occurrence in the ensemble.

Mol	Chain	Res	Type
1	A	265	ARG
1	A	289	ALA

### 6.3.2 Protein sidechains [i](#)

In the following table, the Percentiles column shows the percent sidechain outliers of the chain as a percentile score with respect to all PDB entries followed by that with respect to all NMR entries. The Analysed column shows the number of residues for which the sidechain conformation was analysed and the total number of residues.

Mol	Chain	Analysed	Rotameric	Outliers	Percentiles	
1	A	43/43 (100%)	31 (72%)	12 (28%)	2	19
All	All	43/43 (100%)	31 (72%)	12 (28%)	2	19

All 12 residues with a non-rotameric sidechain are listed below. They are sorted by the frequency of occurrence in the ensemble.

Mol	Chain	Res	Type
1	A	258	TYR
1	A	259	ARG
1	A	261	GLN
1	A	264	GLU
1	A	266	LYS
1	A	267	LYS
1	A	271	ASN
1	A	276	LYS
1	A	277	PHE
1	A	279	GLU
1	A	291	ARG
1	A	292	LYS



### 6.3.3 RNA [i](#)

There are no RNA molecules in this entry.

### 6.4 Non-standard residues in protein, DNA, RNA chains [i](#)

There are no non-standard protein/DNA/RNA residues in this entry.

### 6.5 Carbohydrates [i](#)

There are no monosaccharides in this entry.

### 6.6 Ligand geometry [i](#)

There are no ligands in this entry.

### 6.7 Other polymers [i](#)

There are no such molecules in this entry.

### 6.8 Polymer linkage issues [i](#)

There are no chain breaks in this entry.

## 7 Chemical shift validation i

The completeness of assignment taking into account all chemical shift lists is 87% for the well-defined parts and 87% for the entire structure.

### 7.1 Chemical shift list 1

File name: working\_cs.cif

Chemical shift list name: *assigned\_chem\_shift\_list\_1*

#### 7.1.1 Bookkeeping i

The following table shows the results of parsing the chemical shift list and reports the number of nuclei with statistically unusual chemical shifts.

Total number of shifts	617
Number of shifts mapped to atoms	617
Number of unparsed shifts	0
Number of shifts with mapping errors	0
Number of shifts with mapping warnings	0
Number of shift outliers (ShiftChecker)	0

#### 7.1.2 Chemical shift referencing i

The following table shows the suggested chemical shift referencing corrections.

Nucleus	# values	Correction $\pm$ precision, ppm	Suggested action
$^{13}\text{C}_\alpha$	45	$-0.23 \pm 0.52$	None needed (< 0.5 ppm)
$^{13}\text{C}_\beta$	45	$0.19 \pm 0.17$	None needed (< 0.5 ppm)
$^{13}\text{C}'$	45	$0.16 \pm 0.39$	None needed (< 0.5 ppm)
$^{15}\text{N}$	43	$-0.93 \pm 0.25$	Should be applied

#### 7.1.3 Completeness of resonance assignments i

The following table shows the completeness of the chemical shift assignments for the well-defined regions of the structure. The overall completeness is 87%, i.e. 617 atoms were assigned a chemical shift out of a possible 711. 0 out of 4 assigned methyl groups (LEU and VAL) were assigned stereospecifically.

	Total	$^1\text{H}$	$^{13}\text{C}$	$^{15}\text{N}$
Backbone	221/227 (97%)	88/91 (97%)	90/92 (98%)	43/44 (98%)
Sidechain	360/426 (85%)	243/268 (91%)	111/129 (86%)	6/29 (21%)

*Continued on next page...*

Continued from previous page...

	Total	<sup>1</sup> H	<sup>13</sup> C	<sup>15</sup> N
Aromatic	36/58 (62%)	19/28 (68%)	17/30 (57%)	0/0 (—%)
Overall	617/711 (87%)	350/387 (90%)	218/251 (87%)	49/73 (67%)

The following table shows the completeness of the chemical shift assignments for the full structure. The overall completeness is 87%, i.e. 617 atoms were assigned a chemical shift out of a possible 711. 0 out of 4 assigned methyl groups (LEU and VAL) were assigned stereospecifically.

	Total	<sup>1</sup> H	<sup>13</sup> C	<sup>15</sup> N
Backbone	221/227 (97%)	88/91 (97%)	90/92 (98%)	43/44 (98%)
Sidechain	360/426 (85%)	243/268 (91%)	111/129 (86%)	6/29 (21%)
Aromatic	36/58 (62%)	19/28 (68%)	17/30 (57%)	0/0 (—%)
Overall	617/711 (87%)	350/387 (90%)	218/251 (87%)	49/73 (67%)


#### 7.1.4 Statistically unusual chemical shifts [i](#)

There are no statistically unusual chemical shifts.

#### 7.1.5 Random Coil Index (RCI) plots [i](#)

The image below reports *random coil index* values for the protein chains in the structure. The height of each bar gives a probability of a given residue to be disordered, as predicted from the available chemical shifts and the amino acid sequence. A value above 0.2 is an indication of significant predicted disorder. The colour of the bar shows whether the residue is in the well-defined core (black) or in the ill-defined residue ranges (cyan), as described in section 2 on ensemble composition. If well-defined core and ill-defined regions are not identified then it is shown as gray bars.

Random coil index (RCI) for chain A:



## 8 NMR restraints analysis

### 8.1 Conformationally restricting restraints

The following table provides the summary of experimentally observed NMR restraints in different categories. Restraints are classified into different categories based on the sequence separation of the atoms involved.

Description	Value
Total distance restraints	563
Intra-residue ( $ i-j =0$ )	297
Sequential ( $ i-j =1$ )	144
Medium range ( $ i-j >1$ and $ i-j <5$ )	82
Long range ( $ i-j \geq 5$ )	0
Inter-chain	0
Hydrogen bond restraints	40
Disulfide bond restraints	0
Total dihedral-angle restraints	68
Number of unmapped restraints	0
Number of restraints per residue	13.7
Number of long range restraints per residue <sup>1</sup>	0.0

<sup>1</sup>Long range hydrogen bonds and disulfide bonds are counted as long range restraints while calculating the number of long range restraints per residue

### 8.2 Residual restraint violations

This section provides the overview of the restraint violations analysis. The violations are binned as small, medium and large violations based on its absolute value. Average number of violations per model is calculated by dividing the total number of violations in each bin by the size of the ensemble.

#### 8.2.1 Average number of distance violations per model

Distance violations less than 0.1 Å are not included in the calculation. There are no distance violations

#### 8.2.2 Average number of dihedral-angle violations per model

Dihedral-angle violations less than 1° are not included in the calculation. There are no dihedral-angle violations

## 9 Distance violation analysis i


### 9.1 Summary of distance violations i

The following table shows the summary of distance violations in different restraint categories based on the sequence separation of the atoms involved. Each category is further sub-divided into three sub-categories based on the atoms involved. Violations less than 0.1 Å are not included in the statistics.

Restrains type	Count	% <sup>1</sup>	Violated <sup>3</sup>			Consistently Violated <sup>4</sup>		
			Count	% <sup>2</sup>	% <sup>1</sup>	Count	% <sup>2</sup>	% <sup>1</sup>
<b>Intra-residue ( i-j =0)</b>	<b>297</b>	<b>52.8</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>0.0</b>
Backbone-Backbone	47	8.3	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Sidechain	182	32.3	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Sidechain-Sidechain	68	12.1	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
<b>Sequential ( i-j =1)</b>	<b>144</b>	<b>25.6</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>0.0</b>
Backbone-Backbone	50	8.9	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Sidechain	87	15.5	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Sidechain-Sidechain	7	1.2	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
<b>Medium range ( i-j &gt;1 &amp;  i-j &lt;5)</b>	<b>82</b>	<b>14.6</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>0.0</b>
Backbone-Backbone	27	4.8	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Sidechain	46	8.2	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Sidechain-Sidechain	9	1.6	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
<b>Long range ( i-j ≥5)</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>0.0</b>
Backbone-Backbone	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Sidechain	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Sidechain-Sidechain	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
<b>Inter-chain</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>0.0</b>
Backbone-Backbone	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Sidechain	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Sidechain-Sidechain	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
<b>Hydrogen bond</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>7.1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>0.0</b>
<b>Disulfide bond</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>0.0</b>
<b>Total</b>	<b>563</b>	<b>100.0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>0.0</b>
Backbone-Backbone	164	29.1	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Sidechain	315	56.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Sidechain-Sidechain	84	14.9	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0

<sup>1</sup> percentage calculated with respect to the total number of distance restraints, <sup>2</sup> percentage calculated with respect to the number of restraints in a particular restraint category, <sup>3</sup> violated in at least one model, <sup>4</sup> violated in all the models

### 9.1.1 Bar chart : Distribution of distance restraints and violations [i](#)



Violated and consistently violated restraints are shown using different hatch patterns in their respective categories. The hydrogen bonds and disulfid bonds are counted in their appropriate category on the x-axis

### 9.2 Distance violation statistics for each model [i](#)

No violations found

### 9.3 Distance violation statistics for the ensemble [i](#)

No violations found

### 9.4 Most violated distance restraints in the ensemble [i](#)

No violations found

### 9.5 All violated distance restraints [i](#)

No violations found

## 10 Dihedral-angle violation analysis [i](#)


### 10.1 Summary of dihedral-angle violations [i](#)

The following table provides the summary of dihedral-angle violations in different dihedral-angle types. Violations less than 1° are not included in the calculation.

Angle type	Count	% <sup>1</sup>	Violated <sup>3</sup>			Consistently Violated <sup>4</sup>		
			Count	% <sup>2</sup>	% <sup>1</sup>	Count	% <sup>2</sup>	% <sup>1</sup>
PHI	34	50.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
PSI	34	50.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Total	68	100.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0

<sup>1</sup> percentage calculated with respect to total number of dihedral-angle restraints, <sup>2</sup> percentage calculated with respect to number of restraints in a particular dihedral-angle type, <sup>3</sup> violated in at least one model, <sup>4</sup> violated in all the models

#### 10.1.1 Bar chart : Distribution of dihedral-angles and violations [i](#)



Violated and consistently violated restraints are shown using different hatch patterns in their respective categories

## 10.2 Dihedral-angle violation statistics for each model [i](#)

No violations found

## 10.3 Dihedral-angle violation statistics for the ensemble [i](#)

No violations found

## 10.4 Most violated dihedral-angle restraints in the ensemble [i](#)

No violations found

## 10.5 All violated dihedral-angle restraints [i](#)

No violations found