

# wwPDB NMR Structure Validation Summary Report (i)

Jun 5, 2023 – 07:25 AM EDT

PDB ID : 2MC2 BMRB ID : 19425

Title: X-ray crystallography-solution NMR hybrid structure of mouse RyR2 domain

Α

Authors: Amador, F.; Stathopulos, P.; Seabrook, G.; Ikura, M.

Deposited on : 2013-08-13

This is a wwPDB NMR Structure Validation Summary Report for a publicly released PDB entry.

We welcome your comments at validation@mail.wwpdb.org
A user guide is available at
https://www.wwpdb.org/validation/2017/NMRValidationReportHelp
with specific help available everywhere you see the (i) symbol.

The types of validation reports are described at http://www.wwpdb.org/validation/2017/FAQs#types.

The following versions of software and data (see references (1)) were used in the production of this report:

MolProbity: 4.02b-467

Percentile statistics : 20191225.v01 (using entries in the PDB archive December 25th 2019)

wwPDB-RCI : v 1n 11 5 13 A (Berjanski et al., 2005)

PANAV : Wang et al. (2010)

 $\begin{array}{ccc} wwPDB\text{-ShiftChecker} &:& v1.2\\ BMRB \ Restraints \ Analysis &:& v1.2 \end{array}$ 

Ideal geometry (proteins) : Engh & Huber (2001) Ideal geometry (DNA, RNA) : Parkinson et al. (1996)


Validation Pipeline (wwPDB-VP) : 2.33

# 1 Overall quality at a glance (i)

The following experimental techniques were used to determine the structure:  $SOLUTION\ NMR$ 

The overall completeness of chemical shifts assignment is 32%.

Percentile scores (ranging between 0-100) for global validation metrics of the entry are shown in the following graphic. The table shows the number of entries on which the scores are based.



Metric	Whole archive	NMR archive
Metric	$(\#  ext{Entries})$	$(\#  ext{Entries})$
Clashscore	158937	12864
Ramachandran outliers	154571	11451
Sidechain outliers	154315	11428

The table below summarises the geometric issues observed across the polymeric chains and their fit to the experimental data. The red, orange, yellow and green segments indicate the fraction of residues that contain outliers for >=3, 2, 1 and 0 types of geometric quality criteria. A cyan segment indicates the fraction of residues that are not part of the well-defined cores, and a grey segment represents the fraction of residues that are not modelled. The numeric value for each fraction is indicated below the corresponding segment, with a dot representing fractions <=5%

Mol	Chain	Length	Quality of chain			
1	A	219	63%	12%	18%	6%



# 2 Ensemble composition and analysis (i)

This entry contains 20 models. Model 17 is the overall representative, medoid model (most similar to other models). The authors have identified model 1 as representative, based on the following criterion: *lowest energy*.

The following residues are included in the computation of the global validation metrics.

Well-defined (core) protein residues						
Well-defined core	Residue range (total)	Backbone RMSD (Å)	Medoid model			
1	A:13-A:50, A:62-A:79,	0.13	17			
	A:111-A:136, A:144-A:217					
	(156)					
2	A:96-A:106 (11)	0.22	3			

Ill-defined regions of proteins are excluded from the global statistics.

Ligands and non-protein polymers are included in the analysis.

The models can be grouped into 4 clusters. No single-model clusters were found.

Cluster number	Models
1	1, 4, 6, 9, 16, 17, 19
2	2, 3, 7, 8, 11, 14, 15
3	12, 13, 20
4	5, 10, 18



# 3 Entry composition (i)

There is only 1 type of molecule in this entry. The entry contains 3192 atoms, of which 1578 are hydrogens and 0 are deuteriums.

• Molecule 1 is a protein called Ryanodine receptor 2.

Mol	Chain	Residues		Atoms			Trace		
1	Λ	206	Total	С	Н	N	О	S	0
1	A	206	3192	1012	1578	279	312	11	0

There are 4 discrepancies between the modelled and reference sequences:

Chain	Residue	Modelled	Actual	Comment	Reference
A	6	GLY	-	expression tag	UNP E9Q401
A	7	SER	-	expression tag	UNP E9Q401
A	8	GLY	-	expression tag	UNP E9Q401
A	9	SER	-	expression tag	UNP E9Q401




# 4 Residue-property plots (i)

#### 4.1 Average score per residue in the NMR ensemble

These plots are provided for all protein, RNA, DNA and oligosaccharide chains in the entry. The first graphic is the same as shown in the summary in section 1 of this report. The second graphic shows the sequence where residues are colour-coded according to the number of geometric quality criteria for which they contain at least one outlier: green = 0, yellow = 1, orange = 2 and red = 3 or more. Stretches of 2 or more consecutive residues without any outliers are shown as green connectors. Residues which are classified as ill-defined in the NMR ensemble, are shown in cyan with an underline colour-coded according to the previous scheme. Residues which were present in the experimental sample, but not modelled in the final structure are shown in grey.


• Molecule 1: Ryanodine receptor 2



# 4.2 Residue scores for the representative (medoid) model from the NMR ensemble

The representative model is number 17. Colouring as in section 4.1 above.

• Molecule 1: Ryanodine receptor 2





#### 5 Refinement protocol and experimental data overview (i)



The models were refined using the following method: simulated annealing.

Of the 200 calculated structures, 20 were deposited, based on the following criterion: structures with the lowest energy.

The following table shows the software used for structure solution, optimisation and refinement.

Software name	Classification	Version
TALOS	geometry optimization	
CNS	refinement	
CNS	structure solution	

The following table shows chemical shift validation statistics as aggregates over all chemical shift files. Detailed validation can be found in section 7 of this report.

Chemical shift file(s)	working_cs.cif
Number of chemical shift lists	1
Total number of shifts	911
Number of shifts mapped to atoms	873
Number of unparsed shifts	0
Number of shifts with mapping errors	38
Number of shifts with mapping warnings	0
Assignment completeness (well-defined parts)	32%



# 6 Model quality (i)

### 6.1 Standard geometry (i)

There are no covalent bond-length or bond-angle outliers.

There are no bond-length outliers.

There are no bond-angle outliers.

There are no chirality outliers.

There are no planarity outliers.

### 6.2 Too-close contacts (i)

In the following table, the Non-H and H(model) columns list the number of non-hydrogen atoms and hydrogen atoms in each chain respectively. The H(added) column lists the number of hydrogen atoms added and optimized by MolProbity. The Clashes column lists the number of clashes averaged over the ensemble.

Mol	Chain	Non-H	H(model)	H(added)	Clashes
1	A	1329	1306	1306	15±2
All	All	26580	26120	26120	301

The all-atom clashscore is defined as the number of clashes found per 1000 atoms (including hydrogen atoms). The all-atom clashscore for this structure is 6.

5 of 56 unique clashes are listed below, sorted by their clash magnitude.

Atom-1	Atom-2	Clash(Å)	$Distance(\mathring{A})$	${f Models}$	
Atom-1	Atom-2	Clash(A)	Distance(A)	Worst	Total
1:A:96:GLU:N	1:A:97:LYS:HA	0.74	1.98	20	20
1:A:96:GLU:HB2	1:A:100:PHE:H	0.65	1.52	8	16
1:A:21:VAL:HB	1:A:65:CYS:SG	0.61	2.35	5	10
1:A:164:PRO:HB3	1:A:169:ARG:HB2	0.60	1.74	9	20
1:A:22:LEU:HG	1:A:214:VAL:HG22	0.58	1.76	5	20

# 6.3 Torsion angles (i)

#### 6.3.1 Protein backbone (i)

In the following table, the Percentiles column shows the percent Ramachandran outliers of the chain as a percentile score with respect to all PDB entries followed by that with respect to all NMR entries. The Analysed column shows the number of residues for which the backbone conformation was analysed and the total number of residues.



Mo	l Chain	Analysed	Analysed Favoured Allowed		Outliers	Perce	ntiles
1	A	166/219 (76%)	151±2 (91±1%)	14±2 (8±1%)	1±0 (1±0%)	29	74
All	All	3320/4380 (76%)	3019 (91%)	281 (8%)	20 (1%)	29	74

All 1 unique Ramachandran outliers are listed below.

Mol	Chain	Res	Type	Models (Total)
1	A	198	ASN	20

#### 6.3.2 Protein sidechains (i)

In the following table, the Percentiles column shows the percent sidechain outliers of the chain as a percentile score with respect to all PDB entries followed by that with respect to all NMR entries. The Analysed column shows the number of residues for which the sidechain conformation was analysed and the total number of residues.

Mol	Chain	Analysed	Rotameric	Outliers	Percentiles			
1	A	146/188 (78%)	137±2 (94±1%)	9±2 (6±1%)	23 72			
All	All	2920/3760 (78%)	2745 (94%)	175 (6%)	23 72			

5 of 23 unique residues with a non-rotameric sidechain are listed below. They are sorted by the frequency of occurrence in the ensemble.

Mol	Chain	Res	Type	Models (Total)
1	A	40	GLU	20
1	A	45	ARG	20
1	A	117	HIS	20
1	A	189	GLU	20
1	A	171	GLU	13

#### 6.3.3 RNA (i)

There are no RNA molecules in this entry.

# 6.4 Non-standard residues in protein, DNA, RNA chains (i)

There are no non-standard protein/DNA/RNA residues in this entry.



# 6.5 Carbohydrates (i)

There are no monosaccharides in this entry.

# 6.6 Ligand geometry (i)

There are no ligands in this entry.

# 6.7 Other polymers (i)

There are no such molecules in this entry.

# 6.8 Polymer linkage issues (i)

There are no chain breaks in this entry.



# 7 Chemical shift validation (i)

The completeness of assignment taking into account all chemical shift lists is 32% for the well-defined parts and 32% for the entire structure.

#### 7.1 Chemical shift list 1

File name: working cs.cif

Chemical shift list name: assigned\_chem\_shift\_list\_1

#### 7.1.1 Bookkeeping (i)

The following table shows the results of parsing the chemical shift list and reports the number of nuclei with statistically unusual chemical shifts.

Total number of shifts	911
Number of shifts mapped to atoms	873
Number of unparsed shifts	0
Number of shifts with mapping errors	38
Number of shifts with mapping warnings	0
Number of shift outliers (ShiftChecker)	0

The following assigned chemical shifts were not mapped to the molecules present in the coordinate file.

• No matching atom found in the structure. First 5 (of 38) occurrences are reported below.

T:a4 ID	Clasica	Dag	Т	A 4		Shift Data	l
List ID	Chain	Res	Type	Atom	Value	Uncertainty	Ambiguity
1	A	11	ILE	Н	8.077	•	
1	A	11	ILE	С	176.317	•	•
1	A	11	ILE	CA	61.496	•	
1	A	11	ILE	СВ	37.763	•	•
1	A	11	ILE	N	123.119	•	
1	A	218	SER	Н	8.334	•	•
1	A	218	SER	С	176.311	•	
1	A	218	SER	CA	57.979	•	•
1	A	218	SER	СВ	64.049	•	•
1	A	218	SER	N	119.001	•	•
1	A	219	SER	Н	8.501	•	•
1	A	219	SER	С	174.443	•	
1	A	219	SER	CA	58.625	•	•
1	A	219	SER	СВ	64.728		•

Continued on next page...



Continued from previous page...

T:-4 ID				<b>A</b> 4		Shift Data	ı
List ID	Chain	Res	Type	Atom	Value	Uncertainty	Ambiguity
1	A	219	SER	N	119.135		
1	A	220	GLY	Н	8.497	•	•
1	A	220	GLY	С	175.111	•	•
1	A	220	GLY	CA	45.588	•	
1	A	220	GLY	N	111.634	•	•
1	A	221	SER	Н	8.247	•	•
1	A	221	SER	С	174.313	•	•
1	A	221	SER	CA	58.641	•	
1	A	221	SER	СВ	63.988	•	•
1	A	221	SER	N	116.146	•	•
1	A	222	GLU	Н	8.516	•	
1	A	222	GLU	С	174.573	•	•
1	A	222	GLU	CA	56.679	•	
1	A	222	GLU	СВ	30.233	•	•
1	A	222	GLU	N	123.229	•	
1	A	223	ALA	Н	8.242	•	•
1	A	223	ALA	С	176.038	•	•
1	A	223	ALA	CA	52.456	•	
1	A	223	ALA	СВ	19.106	•	•
1	A	223	ALA	N	125.991	•	•
1	A	224	ALA	Н	7.914	•	•
1	A	224	ALA	С	176.391	•	•
1	A	224	ALA	CA	54.007	•	•
1	A	224	ALA	N	130.099		

### 7.1.2 Chemical shift referencing (i)

The following table shows the suggested chemical shift referencing corrections.

Nucleus	# values	Correction $\pm$ precision, $ppm$	Suggested action
$^{13}\mathrm{C}_{\alpha}$	185	$-0.38 \pm 0.07$	None needed ( $< 0.5 \text{ ppm}$ )
$^{13}C_{\beta}$	171	$0.41 \pm 0.13$	None needed ( $< 0.5 \text{ ppm}$ )
<sup>13</sup> C′	185	$-0.09 \pm 0.13$	None needed ( $< 0.5 \text{ ppm}$ )
$^{15}N$	185	$-0.04 \pm 0.20$	None needed (< 0.5 ppm)

# 7.1.3 Completeness of resonance assignments (i)

The following table shows the completeness of the chemical shift assignments for the well-defined regions of the structure. The overall completeness is 32%, i.e. 732 atoms were assigned a chemical shift out of a possible 2285. 0 out of 33 assigned methyl groups (LEU and VAL) were assigned stereospecifically.



	Total	$^{1}\mathrm{H}$	$^{13}\mathbf{C}$	$^{15}{ m N}$
Backbone	592/840 (70%)	148/341~(43%)	296/334~(89%)	148/165 (90%)
Sidechain	140/1228 (11%)	0/804 (0%)	140/381 (37%)	0/43 (0%)
Aromatic	0/217~(0%)	0/109~(0%)	0/97~(0%)	0/11 (0%)
Overall	732/2285 (32%)	148/1254 (12%)	436/812 (54%)	148/219 (68%)


#### 7.1.4 Statistically unusual chemical shifts (i)

There are no statistically unusual chemical shifts.

#### 7.1.5 Random Coil Index (RCI) plots (i)

The image below reports random coil index values for the protein chains in the structure. The height of each bar gives a probability of a given residue to be disordered, as predicted from the available chemical shifts and the amino acid sequence. A value above 0.2 is an indication of significant predicted disorder. The colour of the bar shows whether the residue is in the well-defined core (black) or in the ill-defined residue ranges (cyan), as described in section 2 on ensemble composition. If well-defined core and ill-defined regions are not identified then it is shown as gray bars.

Random coil index (RCI) for chain A:





# 8 NMR restraints analysis (i)

# 8.1 Conformationally restricting restraints (i)

The following table provides the summary of experimentally observed NMR restraints in different categories. Restraints are classified into different categories based on the sequence separation of the atoms involved.

Description	Value
Total distance restraints	4577
Intra-residue ( $ i-j =0$ )	549
Sequential ( $ i-j =1$ )	1619
Medium range ( $ i-j >1$ and $ i-j <5$ )	409
Long range ( i-j ≥5)	1875
Inter-chain	0
Hydrogen bond restraints	125
Disulfide bond restraints	0
Total dihedral-angle restraints	364
Number of unmapped restraints	0
Number of restraints per residue	22.6
Number of long range restraints per residue <sup>1</sup>	8.9

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Long range hydrogen bonds and disulfide bonds are counted as long range restraints while calculating the number of long range restraints per residue

# 8.2 Residual restraint violations (i)

This section provides the overview of the restraint violations analysis. The violations are binned as small, medium and large violations based on its absolute value. Average number of violations per model is calculated by dividing the total number of violations in each bin by the size of the ensemble.

# 8.2.1 Average number of distance violations per model (i)

Distance violations less than 0.1 Å are not included in the calculation.

Bins (Å)	Average number of violations per model	Max (Å)
0.1-0.2 (Small)	43.8	0.2
0.2-0.5 (Medium)	13.7	0.5
>0.5 (Large)	88.1	5.56



### 8.2.2 Average number of dihedral-angle violations per model (i)

Dihedral-angle violations less than  $1^{\circ}$  are not included in the calculation.

$\mathbf{Bins}\;(^{\circ})$	Average number of violations per model	$\mathbf{Max}$ (°)
1.0-10.0 (Small)	3.5	9.8
10.0-20.0 (Medium)	0.4	16.3
>20.0 (Large)	1.5	72.4



# 9 Distance violation analysis (i)

# 9.1 Summary of distance violations (i)


The following table shows the summary of distance violations in different restraint categories based on the sequence separation of the atoms involved. Each category is further sub-divided into three sub-categories based on the atoms involved. Violations less than 0.1 Å are not included in the statistics.

Doctors into topo o	Count	<b>%</b> <sup>1</sup>	${f Violated}^3$			Consistently Violated <sup>4</sup>		
Restraints type	Count	%0°	Count	$\%^2$	$\%^1$	Count	$ \%^2 $	$\%^1$
Intra-residue ( i-j =0)	549	12.0	25	4.6	0.5	5	0.9	0.1
Backbone-Backbone	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Sidechain	440	9.6	20	4.5	0.4	5	1.1	0.1
Sidechain-Sidechain	109	2.4	5	4.6	0.1	0	0.0	0.0
Sequential ( i-j =1)	1619	35.4	80	4.9	1.7	29	1.8	0.6
Backbone-Backbone	676	14.8	15	2.2	0.3	6	0.9	0.1
Backbone-Sidechain	908	19.8	61	6.7	1.3	22	2.4	0.5
Sidechain-Sidechain	35	0.8	4	11.4	0.1	1	2.9	0.0
Medium range ( $ i-j >1 \&  i-j <5$ )	409	8.9	13	3.2	0.3	4	1.0	0.1
Backbone-Backbone	129	2.8	1	0.8	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Sidechain	173	3.8	8	4.6	0.2	3	1.7	0.1
Sidechain-Sidechain	107	2.3	4	3.7	0.1	1	0.9	0.0
Long range ( $ i-j  \ge 5$ )	1875	41.0	110	5.9	2.4	39	2.1	0.9
Backbone-Backbone	260	5.7	6	2.3	0.1	3	1.2	0.1
Backbone-Sidechain	673	14.7	40	5.9	0.9	15	2.2	0.3
Sidechain-Sidechain	942	20.6	64	6.8	1.4	21	2.2	0.5
Inter-chain	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Backbone	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Sidechain	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Sidechain-Sidechain	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Hydrogen bond	125	2.7	9	7.2	0.2	6	4.8	0.1
Disulfide bond	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Total	4577	100.0	237	5.2	5.2	83	1.8	1.8
Backbone-Backbone	1190	26.0	31	2.6	0.7	15	1.3	0.3
Backbone-Sidechain	2194	47.9	129	5.9	2.8	45	2.1	1.0
Sidechain-Sidechain	1193	26.1	77	6.5	1.7	23	1.9	0.5

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> percentage calculated with respect to the total number of distance restraints, <sup>2</sup> percentage calculated with respect to the number of restraints in a particular restraint category, <sup>3</sup> violated in at least one model, <sup>4</sup> violated in all the models



#### 9.1.1 Bar chart: Distribution of distance restraints and violations (i)



Violated and consistently violated restraints are shown using different hatch patterns in their respective categories. The hydrogen bonds and disulfied bonds are counted in their appropriate category on the x-axis

### 9.2 Distance violation statistics for each model (i)

The following table provides the distance violation statistics for each model in the ensemble. Violations less than 0.1 Å are not included in the statistics.

MadalID	Number of violations						M (Å)	M (Å)	$SD^6$ (Å)	Madian (Å)
Model ID	$IR^1$	$SQ^2$	$MR^3$	$LR^4$	$IC^5$	Total	Mean (Å)	Max (Å)	$\mathbf{SD}^6$ (Å)	Median (Å)
1	14	47	15	89	0	165	1.11	4.4	0.87	1.07
2	12	47	16	91	0	166	1.04	4.21	0.84	0.97
3	12	47	15	87	0	161	1.12	4.68	0.9	1.04
4	12	41	10	58	0	121	1.08	4.74	1.05	0.75
5	12	49	16	87	0	164	1.02	4.33	0.83	0.92
6	11	44	12	55	0	122	0.94	4.4	0.89	0.62
7	14	44	14	89	0	161	1.13	4.44	0.93	1.07
8	9	44	11	57	0	121	0.96	4.3	0.93	0.63
9	11	47	16	83	0	157	1.16	5.56	0.96	1.1
10	13	42	13	59	0	127	0.92	4.16	0.86	0.63
11	17	46	14	87	0	164	1.08	4.45	0.87	1.0

Continued on next page...




Continued from previous page...

Model ID	Number of violations						Mean (Å)	Max (Å)	$SD^6$ (Å)	Median (Å)
Model 1D	$IR^1$	$SQ^2$	$ m MR^3$	$LR^4$	$IC^5$	Total	Mean (A)	Max (A)	$SD^*(A)$	Median (A)
12	10	45	17	87	0	159	1.01	4.11	0.81	0.94
13	13	47	16	77	0	153	1.05	4.25	0.85	0.99
14	12	37	12	57	0	118	0.92	4.3	0.88	0.57
15	11	48	14	85	0	158	1.05	4.13	0.82	1.0
16	13	46	15	90	0	164	1.09	5.2	0.96	0.98
17	12	42	13	60	0	127	1.04	5.52	1.04	0.72
18	12	44	12	54	0	122	0.9	4.29	0.88	0.54
19	10	41	12	62	0	125	0.9	4.35	0.89	0.5
20	13	46	15	82	0	156	1.02	4.15	0.83	0.93

 $<sup>^1</sup>$ Intra-residue restraints,  $^2$ Sequential restraints,  $^3$ Medium range restraints,  $^4$ Long range restraints,  $^5$ Inter-chain restraints,  $^6$ Standard deviation

#### 9.2.1 Bar graph: Distance Violation statistics for each model (i)



The mean(dot),median(x) and the standard deviation are shown in blue with respect to the y axis on the right



### 9.3 Distance violation statistics for the ensemble (i)


Violation analysis may find that some restraints are violated in few models and some are violated in most of models. The following table provides this information as number of violated restraints for a given fraction of the ensemble. In total, 4224(IR:524, SQ:1539, MR:396, LR:1765, IC:0) restraints are not violated in the ensemble.

Nu	$\overline{\mathbf{mber}}$	of vio	lated	restra	aints	Fraction	n of the ensemble
$IR^1$	$SQ^2$	$MR^3$	$LR^4$	$IC^5$	Total	Count <sup>6</sup>	%
3	14	4	10	0	31	1	5.0
2	14	0	3	0	19	2	10.0
2	1	0	0	0	3	3	15.0
3	3	0	5	0	11	4	20.0
0	1	0	0	0	1	5	25.0
3	0	0	2	0	5	6	30.0
0	2	0	0	0	2	7	35.0
1	1	0	1	0	3	8	40.0
1	0	0	0	0	1	9	45.0
0	1	0	3	0	4	10	50.0
0	1	0	2	0	3	11	55.0
1	3	4	29	0	37	12	60.0
0	0	1	1	0	2	13	65.0
0	1	0	3	0	4	14	70.0
0	1	0	4	0	5	15	75.0
1	2	0	1	0	4	16	80.0
1	0	0	1	0	2	17	85.0
0	2	0	2	0	4	18	90.0
2	4	0	4	0	10	19	95.0
5	29	4	39	0	77	20	100.0

 $<sup>^1</sup>$ Intra-residue restraints,  $^2$ Sequential restraints,  $^3$ Medium range restraints,  $^4$ Long range restraints,  $^5$ Inter-chain restraints,  $^6$  Number of models with violations



#### 9.3.1 Bar graph: Distance violation statistics for the ensemble (i)




### 9.4 Most violated distance restraints in the ensemble (i)

# 9.4.1 Histogram: Distribution of mean distance violations (i)

The following histogram shows the distribution of the average value of the violation. The average is calculated for each restraint that is violated in more than one model over all the violated models in the ensemble





#### 9.4.2 Table: Most violated distance restraints (i)

The following table provides the mean and the standard deviation of the violations for the 10 worst performing restraints, sorted by number of violated models and the mean violation value. The Key (restraint list ID, restraint ID) is the unique identifier for a given restraint. Rows with same key represent combinatorial or ambiguous restraints and are counted as a single restraint.

Key	Atom-1	Atom-2	$Models^1$	Mean (Å)	$SD^1$ (Å)	Median (Å)
(1,106)	1:A:95:VAL:O	1:A:99:LYS:H	20	4.25	0.11	4.24
(1,107)	1:A:95:VAL:O	1:A:99:LYS:N	20	3.98	0.06	3.96
(1,104)	1:A:94:ASP:O	1:A:98:TRP:H	20	3.19	0.31	3.11
(1,108)	1:A:96:GLU:O	1:A:100:PHE:H	20	2.92	0.3	2.99
(1,109)	1:A:96:GLU:O	1:A:100:PHE:N	20	2.8	0.3	2.84
(2,2247)	1:A:120:LEU:C	1:A:129:TYR:CD1	20	2.23	0.02	2.24
(2,928)	1:A:40:GLU:CD	1:A:48:PHE:CE2	20	2.2	0.02	2.2
(2,1042)	1:A:46:LEU:C	1:A:48:PHE:CD2	20	2.18	0.03	2.18
(2,2195)	1:A:120:LEU:CB	1:A:129:TYR:CD1	20	2.17	0.03	2.17
(2,2196)	1:A:120:LEU:CB	1:A:129:TYR:CE1	20	2.16	0.02	2.17


<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Number of violated models, <sup>2</sup>Standard deviation



### 9.5 All violated distance restraints (i)

#### 9.5.1 Histogram: Distribution of distance violations (i)

The following histogram shows the distribution of the absolute value of the violation for all violated restraints in the ensemble.



#### 9.5.2 Table : All distance violations (i)

The following table provides the 10 worst performing restraints, sorted by the violation value. The Key (restraint list ID, restraint ID) is the unique identifier for a given restraint. Rows with same key represent combinatorial or ambiguous restraints and are counted as a single restraint.

Key	Atom-1	Atom-2	Model ID	Violation (Å)
(2,250)	1:A:17:ASP:CB	1:A:110:HIS:CE1	9	5.56
(2,250)	1:A:17:ASP:CB	1:A:110:HIS:CE1	17	5.52
(2,250)	1:A:17:ASP:CB	1:A:110:HIS:CE1	16	5.2
(2,252)	1:A:17:ASP:CG	1:A:110:HIS:CE1	9	4.99
(2,252)	1:A:17:ASP:CG	1:A:110:HIS:CE1	4	4.74
(2,250)	1:A:17:ASP:CB	1:A:110:HIS:CE1	3	4.68
(2,250)	1:A:17:ASP:CB	1:A:110:HIS:CE1	4	4.68
(2,252)	1:A:17:ASP:CG	1:A:110:HIS:CE1	16	4.52
(1,106)	1:A:95:VAL:O	1:A:99:LYS:H	11	4.45
(1,106)	1:A:95:VAL:O	1:A:99:LYS:H	7	4.44



# 10 Dihedral-angle violation analysis (i)


# 10.1 Summary of dihedral-angle violations (i)

The following table provides the summary of dihedral-angle violations in different dihedral-angle types. Violations less than 1° are not included in the calculation.

Angle tree	Count	$\%^{1}$	Vio	lated	3	Consis	tent	${f Violated^4}$
Angle type	Count	70	Count	$\%^2$	$\%^1$	Count	$\%^2$	<b>%</b> ¹
PSI	182	50.0	7	3.8	1.9	0	0.0	0.0
PHI	182	50.0	6	3.3	1.6	1	0.5	0.3
Total	364	100.0	13	3.6	3.6	1	0.3	0.3

 $<sup>^1</sup>$  percentage calculated with respect to total number of dihedral-angle restraints,  $^2$  percentage calculated with respect to number of restraints in a particular dihedral-angle type,  $^3$  violated in at least one model,  $^4$  violated in all the models

#### 10.1.1 Bar chart: Distribution of dihedral-angles and violations (i)



Violated and consistently violated restraints are shown using different hatch patterns in their respective categories




# 10.2 Dihedral-angle violation statistics for each model (i)

The following table provides the dihedral-angle violation statistics for each model in the ensemble. Violations less than 1° are not included in the statistics.

Model ID	Nun	nber o	f violations	Moon (°)	Max (°)	SD (°)	Modian (°)
Model 1D	PSI	PHI	Total	$  \text{Mean } (^{\circ})  $	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		$\mid$ Median (°) $\mid$
1	1	4	5	6.7	24.4	8.86	2.4
2	1	3	4	3.82	9.2	3.12	2.3
3	2	4	6	15.57	43.9	16.61	6.6
4	1	2	3	4.93	10.2	3.81	3.3
5	2	4	6	13.73	41.3	15.33	5.5
6	2	3	5	11.3	30.9	10.93	8.1
7	3	4	7	17.8	62.7	21.77	5.2
8	2	3	5	20.76	68.8	25.3	8.6
9	5	5	10	12.08	43.3	14.5	5.75
10	2	3	5	20.62	58.3	21.85	9.1
11	1	4	5	17.6	42.1	17.47	8.6
12	4	5	9	12.16	52.7	15.0	7.3
13	2	3	5	19.02	58.5	21.45	8.7
14	2	2	4	21.58	62.2	23.76	10.85
15	2	3	5	22.72	72.4	26.58	9.6
16	2	3	5	18.14	43.5	17.64	8.4
17	2	4	6	12.87	30.9	12.33	6.9
18	2	3	5	20.82	64.8	23.75	8.4
19	1	2	3	3.53	8.3	3.37	1.2
20	2	3	5	23.84	71.9	26.56	9.5



#### 10.2.1 Bar graph: Dihedral violation statistics for each model (i)



The mean(dot), median(x) and the standard deviation are shown in blue with respect to the y axis on the right

# 10.3 Dihedral-angle violation statistics for the ensemble (i)

Violation analysis may find that some restraints are violated in very few models and some are violated in most of models. The following table provides this information as number of violated restraints for a given fraction of ensemble.

Nun	nber o	of violated restraints	Fractio	n of the ensemble
PSI	PHI	Total	Count <sup>1</sup>	%
2	0	2	1	5.0
3	0	3	2	10.0
0	0	0	3	15.0
0	2	2	4	20.0
0	1	1	5	25.0
0	0	0	6	30.0
0	0	0	7	35.0
0	0	0	8	40.0
0	0	0	9	45.0
0	0	0	10	50.0
0	0	0	11	55.0

Continued on next page...




Continued from previous page...

Nun	nber o	of violated restraints	Fractio	n of the ensemble
PSI	PHI	Total	Count <sup>1</sup>	%
0	0	0	12	60.0
0	0	0	13	65.0
0	0	0	14	70.0
0	0	0	15	75.0
1	0	1	16	80.0
1	2	3	17	85.0
0	0	0	18	90.0
0	0	0	19	95.0
0	1	1	20	100.0

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Number of models with violations

#### 10.3.1 Bar graph: Dihedral-angle Violation statistics for the ensemble (i)




## 10.4 Most violated dihedral-angle restraints in the ensemble (i)

### 10.4.1 Histogram: Distribution of mean dihedral-angle violations (i)

The following histogram shows the distribution of the average value of the violation. The average is calculated for each restraint that is violated in more than one model over all the violated models



in the ensemble



#### 10.4.2 Table: Most violated dihedral-angle restraints (i)

The following table provides the mean and the standard deviation of the violations for the 10 worst performing restraints, sorted by number of violated models and the mean violation value. The Key (restraint list ID, restraint ID) is the unique identifier for a given restraint.

Key	Atom-1	Atom-2	Atom-3	Atom-4	$\mathbf{Models}^1$	Mean	$\mathbf{SD}^2$	Median
(1,347)	1:A:96:GLU:C	1:A:97:LYS:N	1:A:97:LYS:CA	1:A:97:LYS:C	20	9.06	1.78	8.9
(1,140)	1:A:110:HIS:C	1:A:111:ARG:N	1:A:111:ARG:CA	1:A:111:ARG:C	17	29.35	9.06	30.9
(1,348)	1:A:97:LYS:N	1:A:97:LYS:CA	1:A:97:LYS:C	1:A:98:TRP:N	17	3.03	1.95	2.4
(1,353)	1:A:99:LYS:C	1:A:100:PHE:N	1:A:100:PHE:CA	1:A:100:PHE:C	17	1.76	1.05	1.5
(1,139)	1:A:110:HIS:N	1:A:110:HIS:CA	1:A:110:HIS:C	1:A:111:ARG:N	16	51.19	15.38	55.5
(1,82)	1:A:52:THR:C	1:A:53:SER:N	1:A:53:SER:CA	1:A:53:SER:C	5	2.26	0.24	2.2
(1,349)	1:A:97:LYS:C	1:A:98:TRP:N	1:A:98:TRP:CA	1:A:98:TRP:C	4	3.02	1.32	3.15
(1,345)	1:A:95:VAL:C	1:A:96:GLU:N	1:A:96:GLU:CA	1:A:96:GLU:C	4	2.33	0.8	2.15
(1,352)	1:A:99:LYS:N	1:A:99:LYS:CA	1:A:99:LYS:C	1:A:100:PHE:N	2	7.1	0.2	7.1
(1,346)	1:A:96:GLU:N	1:A:96:GLU:CA	1:A:96:GLU:C	1:A:97:LYS:N	2	2.8	0.8	2.8


<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Number of violated models, <sup>2</sup>Standard deviation, All angle values are in degree (°)



### 10.5 All violated dihedral-angle restraints (i)

#### 10.5.1 Histogram : Distribution of violations (i)

The following histogram shows the distribution of the absolute value of the violation for all violated restraints in the ensemble.



### 10.5.2 Table: All violated dihedral-angle restraints (i)

The following table provides the list of violations for the 10 worst performing restraints, sorted by the violation value. The Key (restraint list ID, restraint ID) is the unique identifier for a given restraint.

Key	Atom-1	Atom-2	Atom-3	Atom-4	Model ID	Violation (°)
(1,139)	1:A:110:HIS:N	1:A:110:HIS:CA	1:A:110:HIS:C	1:A:111:ARG:N	15	72.4
(1,139)	1:A:110:HIS:N	1:A:110:HIS:CA	1:A:110:HIS:C	1:A:111:ARG:N	20	71.9
(1,139)	1:A:110:HIS:N	1:A:110:HIS:CA	1:A:110:HIS:C	1:A:111:ARG:N	8	68.8
(1,139)	1:A:110:HIS:N	1:A:110:HIS:CA	1:A:110:HIS:C	1:A:111:ARG:N	18	64.8
(1,139)	1:A:110:HIS:N	1:A:110:HIS:CA	1:A:110:HIS:C	1:A:111:ARG:N	7	62.7
(1,139)	1:A:110:HIS:N	1:A:110:HIS:CA	1:A:110:HIS:C	1:A:111:ARG:N	14	62.2
(1,139)	1:A:110:HIS:N	1:A:110:HIS:CA	1:A:110:HIS:C	1:A:111:ARG:N	13	58.5
(1,139)	1:A:110:HIS:N	1:A:110:HIS:CA	1:A:110:HIS:C	1:A:111:ARG:N	10	58.3
(1,139)	1:A:110:HIS:N	1:A:110:HIS:CA	1:A:110:HIS:C	1:A:111:ARG:N	12	52.7
(1,139)	1:A:110:HIS:N	1:A:110:HIS:CA	1:A:110:HIS:C	1:A:111:ARG:N	3	43.9

