



# Full wwPDB NMR Structure Validation Report ⓘ

May 28, 2020 – 11:50 pm BST

PDB ID : 2MPN  
Title : 3D NMR structure of the transmembrane domain of the full-length inner membrane protein YgaP from Escherichia coli  
Authors : Eichmann, C.; Tzitzilonis, C.; Bordignon, E.; Maslennikov, I.; Choe, S.; Riek, R.  
Deposited on : 2014-05-29

This is a Full wwPDB NMR Structure Validation Report for a publicly released PDB entry.

We welcome your comments at [validation@mail.wwpdb.org](mailto:validation@mail.wwpdb.org)

A user guide is available at

<https://www.wwpdb.org/validation/2017/NMRValidationReportHelp>

with specific help available everywhere you see the ⓘ symbol.

---

The following versions of software and data (see [references ⓘ](#)) were used in the production of this report:

Cyrange : Kirchner and Güntert (2011)  
NmrClust : Kelley et al. (1996)  
MolProbity : 4.02b-467  
Percentile statistics : 20191225.v01 (using entries in the PDB archive December 25th 2019)  
RCI : v\_1n\_11\_5\_13\_A (Berjanski et al., 2005)  
PANAV : Wang et al. (2010)  
ShiftChecker : 2.11  
Ideal geometry (proteins) : Engh & Huber (2001)  
Ideal geometry (DNA, RNA) : Parkinson et al. (1996)  
Validation Pipeline (wwPDB-VP) : 2.11


# 1 Overall quality at a glance

The following experimental techniques were used to determine the structure:

*SOLUTION NMR*

The overall completeness of chemical shifts assignment is 16%.

Percentile scores (ranging between 0-100) for global validation metrics of the entry are shown in the following graphic. The table shows the number of entries on which the scores are based.



Metric	Whole archive (#Entries)	NMR archive (#Entries)
Clashscore	158937	12864
Ramachandran outliers	154571	11451
Sidechain outliers	154315	11428

The table below summarises the geometric issues observed across the polymeric chains and their fit to the experimental data. The red, orange, yellow and green segments indicate the fraction of residues that contain outliers for  $\geq 3$ , 2, 1 and 0 types of geometric quality criteria. A cyan segment indicates the fraction of residues that are not part of the well-defined cores, and a grey segment represents the fraction of residues that are not modelled. The numeric value for each fraction is indicated below the corresponding segment, with a dot representing fractions  $\leq 5\%$

Mol	Chain	Length	Quality of chain
1	A	68	
1	B	68	

## 2 Ensemble composition and analysis [i](#)

This entry contains 10 models. Model 3 is the overall representative, medoid model (most similar to other models). The authors have identified model 1 as representative, based on the following criterion: *fewest violations*.

The following residues are included in the computation of the global validation metrics.

Well-defined (core) protein residues			
Well-defined core	Residue range (total)	Backbone RMSD (Å)	Medoid model
1	A:112-A:166, B:112-B:166 (110)	0.17	3

Ill-defined regions of proteins are excluded from the global statistics.

Ligands and non-protein polymers are included in the analysis.

The models can be grouped into 3 clusters and 2 single-model clusters were found.

Cluster number	Models
1	3, 8, 9
2	1, 5, 7
3	2, 4
Single-model clusters	6; 10

### 3 Entry composition

There is only 1 type of molecule in this entry. The entry contains 1926 atoms, of which 920 are hydrogens and 0 are deuteriums.

- Molecule 1 is a protein called Inner membrane protein YgaP.

Mol	Chain	Residues	Atoms						Trace
			Total	C	H	N	O	S	
1	A	68	963	331	460	86	83	3	0
1	B	68	963	331	460	86	83	3	0

There are 2 discrepancies between the modelled and reference sequences:


Chain	Residue	Modelled	Actual	Comment	Reference
A	158	SER	CYS	CONFLICT	UNP P55734
B	158	SER	CYS	CONFLICT	UNP P55734

## 4 Residue-property plots


### 4.1 Average score per residue in the NMR ensemble

These plots are provided for all protein, RNA and DNA chains in the entry. The first graphic is the same as shown in the summary in section 1 of this report. The second graphic shows the sequence where residues are colour-coded according to the number of geometric quality criteria for which they contain at least one outlier: green = 0, yellow = 1, orange = 2 and red = 3 or more. Stretches of 2 or more consecutive residues without any outliers are shown as green connectors. Residues which are classified as ill-defined in the NMR ensemble, are shown in cyan with an underline colour-coded according to the previous scheme. Residues which were present in the experimental sample, but not modelled in the final structure are shown in grey.

- Molecule 1: Inner membrane protein YgaP



- Molecule 1: Inner membrane protein YgaP




### 4.2 Scores per residue for each member of the ensemble


Colouring as in section 4.1 above.

#### 4.2.1 Score per residue for model 1

- Molecule 1: Inner membrane protein YgaP




- Molecule 1: Inner membrane protein YgaP




### 4.2.2 Score per residue for model 2

- Molecule 1: Inner membrane protein YgaP




- Molecule 1: Inner membrane protein YgaP




### 4.2.3 Score per residue for model 3 (medoid)

- Molecule 1: Inner membrane protein YgaP




- Molecule 1: Inner membrane protein YgaP




### 4.2.4 Score per residue for model 4

- Molecule 1: Inner membrane protein YgaP




- Molecule 1: Inner membrane protein YgaP




### 4.2.5 Score per residue for model 5

- Molecule 1: Inner membrane protein YgaP




- Molecule 1: Inner membrane protein YgaP




### 4.2.6 Score per residue for model 6

- Molecule 1: Inner membrane protein YgaP




- Molecule 1: Inner membrane protein YgaP




### 4.2.7 Score per residue for model 7

- Molecule 1: Inner membrane protein YgaP




- Molecule 1: Inner membrane protein YgaP




### 4.2.8 Score per residue for model 8

- Molecule 1: Inner membrane protein YgaP




- Molecule 1: Inner membrane protein YgaP




### 4.2.9 Score per residue for model 9

- Molecule 1: Inner membrane protein YgaP




- Molecule 1: Inner membrane protein YgaP




### 4.2.10 Score per residue for model 10

- Molecule 1: Inner membrane protein YgaP



- Molecule 1: Inner membrane protein YgaP





## 5 Refinement protocol and experimental data overview

The models were refined using the following method: *simulated annealing*.

Of the 100 calculated structures, 10 were deposited, based on the following criterion: *target function*.

The following table shows the software used for structure solution, optimisation and refinement.

Software name	Classification	Version
CYANA	structure solution	
CYANA	refinement	

The following table shows chemical shift validation statistics as aggregates over all chemical shift files. Detailed validation can be found in section 7 of this report.

Chemical shift file(s)	input_cs.cif
Number of chemical shift lists	1
Total number of shifts	371
Number of shifts mapped to atoms	371
Number of unparsed shifts	0
Number of shifts with mapping errors	0
Number of shifts with mapping warnings	0
Assignment completeness (well-defined parts)	16%

No validations of the models with respect to experimental NMR restraints is performed at this time.

## 6 Model quality

### 6.1 Standard geometry

There are no covalent bond-length or bond-angle outliers.

There are no bond-length outliers.

There are no bond-angle outliers.

There are no chirality outliers.

There are no planarity outliers.

### 6.2 Too-close contacts

In the following table, the Non-H and H(model) columns list the number of non-hydrogen atoms and hydrogen atoms in each chain respectively. The H(added) column lists the number of hydrogen atoms added and optimized by MolProbity. The Clashes column lists the number of clashes averaged over the ensemble.

Mol	Chain	Non-H	H(model)	H(added)	Clashes
1	A	395	377	421	21±5
1	B	395	377	421	20±5
All	All	7900	7540	8420	286

The all-atom clashscore is defined as the number of clashes found per 1000 atoms (including hydrogen atoms). The all-atom clashscore for this structure is 18.

All unique clashes are listed below, sorted by their clash magnitude.

Atom-1	Atom-2	Clash(Å)	Distance(Å)	Models	
				Worst	Total
1:A:128:VAL:HG12	1:B:128:VAL:HG12	0.92	1.39	10	2
1:A:128:VAL:HG11	1:B:129:VAL:HG22	0.73	1.59	8	6
1:A:129:VAL:HG22	1:B:128:VAL:HG11	0.73	1.60	6	6
1:B:125:LEU:HD13	1:B:154:ILE:HG13	0.65	1.68	6	2
1:A:124:ILE:HG23	1:B:150:LEU:HD22	0.64	1.69	6	5
1:A:150:LEU:HD22	1:B:124:ILE:HG23	0.64	1.68	6	4
1:A:164:LEU:HD22	1:B:113:MET:HB2	0.63	1.69	1	2
1:A:113:MET:HB2	1:B:164:LEU:HD22	0.63	1.71	10	4
1:A:157:PHE:CE1	1:B:116:VAL:HG11	0.62	2.30	2	3
1:B:133:THR:HG21	1:B:147:ALA:N	0.61	2.10	4	2
1:A:124:ILE:CG2	1:B:150:LEU:HD22	0.61	2.26	6	5
1:A:116:VAL:HG11	1:B:157:PHE:CE1	0.61	2.31	2	3
1:A:150:LEU:HD22	1:B:124:ILE:CG2	0.60	2.27	6	5

*Continued on next page...*

*Continued from previous page...*

Atom-1	Atom-2	Clash(Å)	Distance(Å)	Models	
				Worst	Total
1:A:157:PHE:CE1	1:B:116:VAL:HG21	0.60	2.30	8	4
1:A:113:MET:O	1:B:161:ALA:HB2	0.59	1.97	2	8
1:A:133:THR:HG21	1:A:147:ALA:N	0.59	2.11	4	2
1:A:164:LEU:HD22	1:B:113:MET:CG	0.59	2.27	7	2
1:A:133:THR:OG1	1:A:147:ALA:HB2	0.59	1.97	2	10
1:A:161:ALA:HB2	1:B:113:MET:O	0.58	1.97	2	9
1:B:133:THR:OG1	1:B:147:ALA:HB2	0.58	1.98	2	10
1:A:125:LEU:HD13	1:A:154:ILE:HG13	0.58	1.76	6	2
1:A:114:ARG:CZ	1:B:161:ALA:HB1	0.57	2.29	5	1
1:B:114:ARG:NH1	1:B:118:ILE:HG23	0.57	2.15	1	1
1:B:114:ARG:CZ	1:B:161:ALA:HB1	0.57	2.30	1	1
1:A:133:THR:CB	1:A:147:ALA:HB2	0.56	2.30	1	7
1:A:124:ILE:O	1:A:128:VAL:HG23	0.55	2.01	10	2
1:A:114:ARG:NH2	1:B:118:ILE:HG23	0.55	2.17	5	1
1:A:113:MET:CG	1:B:164:LEU:HD22	0.55	2.31	7	1
1:B:124:ILE:O	1:B:128:VAL:HG23	0.54	2.01	10	2
1:A:130:LEU:O	1:A:134:VAL:HG22	0.54	2.02	7	1
1:B:125:LEU:HD22	1:B:154:ILE:HG21	0.54	1.78	6	1
1:A:125:LEU:HD22	1:A:129:VAL:HG23	0.54	1.78	7	5
1:A:116:VAL:HG21	1:B:157:PHE:CE1	0.53	2.38	5	4
1:A:133:THR:HG21	1:A:147:ALA:HB2	0.53	1.81	5	1
1:A:164:LEU:HD23	1:B:114:ARG:NH1	0.52	2.18	5	1
1:A:125:LEU:HD22	1:A:154:ILE:HG21	0.52	1.79	6	1
1:B:118:ILE:HD13	1:B:162:ARG:HB3	0.52	1.81	5	4
1:B:121:GLY:O	1:B:125:LEU:HD23	0.52	2.04	7	2
1:A:118:ILE:HD13	1:A:162:ARG:HB3	0.52	1.81	5	4
1:B:125:LEU:HD22	1:B:154:ILE:CB	0.52	2.35	5	1
1:B:133:THR:CB	1:B:147:ALA:HB2	0.52	2.35	1	7
1:A:129:VAL:CG2	1:B:128:VAL:HG11	0.52	2.33	3	3
1:A:128:VAL:HG11	1:B:129:VAL:CG2	0.51	2.33	8	3
1:B:116:VAL:O	1:B:120:ALA:HB3	0.51	2.05	8	9
1:B:125:LEU:HD22	1:B:129:VAL:HG23	0.51	1.81	9	3
1:A:164:LEU:HD22	1:B:114:ARG:NH2	0.50	2.22	4	1
1:A:118:ILE:HD13	1:A:162:ARG:HB2	0.50	1.83	6	3
1:A:164:LEU:HD13	1:B:113:MET:HG3	0.50	1.83	8	1
1:A:113:MET:HG3	1:B:164:LEU:HD13	0.50	1.81	8	1
1:A:113:MET:CB	1:B:164:LEU:HD22	0.50	2.36	1	1
1:A:125:LEU:HD22	1:A:154:ILE:CB	0.49	2.37	5	1
1:B:118:ILE:HD13	1:B:162:ARG:CB	0.49	2.37	7	2
1:A:112:LEU:O	1:A:116:VAL:HG22	0.49	2.08	6	1
1:A:150:LEU:CD2	1:B:124:ILE:HD12	0.49	2.37	4	2

*Continued on next page...*

*Continued from previous page...*

Atom-1	Atom-2	Clash(Å)	Distance(Å)	Models	
				Worst	Total
1:A:149:LEU:HD13	1:A:149:LEU:O	0.49	2.08	8	2
1:A:164:LEU:HD22	1:B:113:MET:CB	0.49	2.38	1	1
1:A:125:LEU:HD11	1:B:124:ILE:CG2	0.49	2.38	5	3
1:A:130:LEU:O	1:A:134:VAL:HG13	0.49	2.08	7	1
1:A:118:ILE:HD13	1:A:162:ARG:CB	0.48	2.38	7	4
1:B:133:THR:HG21	1:B:147:ALA:H	0.48	1.68	4	1
1:B:118:ILE:HD13	1:B:162:ARG:HB2	0.48	1.84	6	2
1:A:124:ILE:HD12	1:B:150:LEU:CD2	0.48	2.39	4	2
1:A:124:ILE:CG2	1:B:125:LEU:HD11	0.48	2.38	5	3
1:A:118:ILE:HG22	1:B:117:GLN:HG3	0.48	1.85	2	1
1:A:117:GLN:HG3	1:B:118:ILE:HG22	0.47	1.85	2	1
1:A:134:VAL:HG12	1:A:134:VAL:O	0.47	2.08	9	1
1:B:131:GLY:HA2	1:B:134:VAL:HG12	0.47	1.85	10	10
1:B:149:LEU:HD13	1:B:149:LEU:O	0.47	2.08	3	1
1:B:149:LEU:O	1:B:149:LEU:HD13	0.47	2.08	8	1
1:A:149:LEU:HD23	1:A:149:LEU:O	0.47	2.09	9	1
1:A:131:GLY:HA2	1:A:134:VAL:HG12	0.47	1.86	3	8
1:A:128:VAL:HG21	1:B:125:LEU:CD2	0.47	2.40	8	3
1:A:161:ALA:HB1	1:B:114:ARG:NH2	0.47	2.25	7	1
1:B:149:LEU:HD23	1:B:149:LEU:O	0.47	2.10	9	1
1:A:125:LEU:CD2	1:B:128:VAL:HG21	0.47	2.40	8	3
1:A:157:PHE:CZ	1:B:116:VAL:HG11	0.46	2.46	2	1
1:A:129:VAL:HG22	1:A:150:LEU:HD13	0.46	1.86	5	2
1:A:125:LEU:HD11	1:B:124:ILE:HG21	0.46	1.86	5	1
1:B:159:GLY:O	1:B:163:LEU:HD12	0.46	2.09	3	1
1:B:112:LEU:O	1:B:116:VAL:HG22	0.46	2.10	6	1
1:B:133:THR:HG21	1:B:147:ALA:HB2	0.46	1.88	5	1
1:B:129:VAL:HG13	1:B:147:ALA:HA	0.45	1.88	1	3
1:A:161:ALA:HB1	1:B:114:ARG:CZ	0.45	2.41	7	1
1:B:129:VAL:HG22	1:B:150:LEU:HD13	0.45	1.88	5	1
1:A:133:THR:HG21	1:A:147:ALA:H	0.45	1.69	4	1
1:A:129:VAL:HG13	1:A:147:ALA:HA	0.45	1.88	1	3
1:A:125:LEU:HD22	1:A:154:ILE:HB	0.45	1.89	5	1
1:B:125:LEU:HD22	1:B:154:ILE:HB	0.44	1.89	5	1
1:A:116:VAL:O	1:A:120:ALA:HB3	0.44	2.12	1	9
1:A:133:THR:CG2	1:A:147:ALA:HB2	0.44	2.43	5	1
1:B:114:ARG:NE	1:B:161:ALA:HB1	0.44	2.27	1	1
1:B:125:LEU:HD22	1:B:154:ILE:HG13	0.44	1.89	5	1
1:A:159:GLY:O	1:A:163:LEU:HD12	0.44	2.12	3	1
1:A:164:LEU:HD22	1:B:113:MET:HG3	0.44	1.88	7	1
1:A:164:LEU:HD13	1:B:113:MET:SD	0.44	2.52	1	1

*Continued on next page...*

Continued from previous page...

Atom-1	Atom-2	Clash(Å)	Distance(Å)	Models	
				Worst	Total
1:A:112:LEU:O	1:A:116:VAL:HG13	0.44	2.13	6	1
1:A:121:GLY:HA2	1:B:154:ILE:HD11	0.44	1.90	2	1
1:B:125:LEU:HD22	1:B:154:ILE:CG1	0.43	2.43	5	1
1:A:128:VAL:HG12	1:B:132:TYR:CD2	0.43	2.48	4	1
1:A:124:ILE:HG21	1:B:125:LEU:HD11	0.43	1.89	5	1
1:A:125:LEU:C	1:A:125:LEU:HD13	0.43	2.34	1	1
1:A:125:LEU:HD13	1:A:125:LEU:C	0.42	2.35	10	2
1:A:133:THR:HG21	1:A:147:ALA:CB	0.42	2.45	5	1
1:A:134:VAL:CG1	1:A:134:VAL:O	0.42	2.67	9	1
1:A:154:ILE:HD11	1:B:121:GLY:HA2	0.42	1.92	2	1
1:A:128:VAL:HG23	1:B:128:VAL:CG2	0.42	2.45	4	2
1:A:128:VAL:HG23	1:B:132:TYR:CD2	0.42	2.49	7	1
1:A:128:VAL:HG23	1:B:128:VAL:HG23	0.41	1.92	4	2
1:B:125:LEU:C	1:B:125:LEU:HD13	0.41	2.35	10	1
1:B:129:VAL:HG12	1:B:130:LEU:N	0.41	2.29	9	2
1:A:128:VAL:CG2	1:B:128:VAL:HG23	0.41	2.45	4	2
1:B:141:LEU:N	1:B:141:LEU:HD12	0.41	2.30	6	1
1:A:141:LEU:N	1:A:141:LEU:HD12	0.41	2.31	6	1
1:B:147:ALA:HA	1:B:150:LEU:HD12	0.41	1.91	9	1
1:A:128:VAL:HG13	1:B:132:TYR:HB3	0.41	1.93	10	1
1:A:133:THR:HG21	1:A:147:ALA:CA	0.40	2.46	5	1
1:A:118:ILE:HG22	1:B:117:GLN:HG2	0.40	1.92	8	1

## 6.3 Torsion angles [i](#)

### 6.3.1 Protein backbone [i](#)

In the following table, the Percentiles column shows the percent Ramachandran outliers of the chain as a percentile score with respect to all PDB entries followed by that with respect to all NMR entries. The Analysed column shows the number of residues for which the backbone conformation was analysed and the total number of residues.

Mol	Chain	Analysed	Favoured	Allowed	Outliers	Percentiles	
1	A	55/68 (81%)	50±1 (90±2%)	4±1 (8±2%)	1±0 (2±1%)	11	52
1	B	55/68 (81%)	49±1 (90±2%)	5±1 (8±1%)	1±0 (2±1%)	11	52
All	All	1100/1360 (81%)	990 (90%)	88 (8%)	22 (2%)	11	52

All 4 unique Ramachandran outliers are listed below. They are sorted by the frequency of occurrence in the ensemble.

Mol	Chain	Res	Type	Models (Total)
1	A	140	LEU	10
1	B	140	LEU	10
1	A	141	LEU	1
1	B	141	LEU	1

### 6.3.2 Protein sidechains [i](#)

In the following table, the Percentiles column shows the percent sidechain outliers of the chain as a percentile score with respect to all PDB entries followed by that with respect to all NMR entries. The Analysed column shows the number of residues for which the sidechain conformation was analysed and the total number of residues.

Mol	Chain	Analysed	Rotameric	Outliers	Percentiles	
1	A	39/51 (76%)	28±1 (73±4%)	11±1 (27±4%)	2	21
1	B	39/51 (76%)	28±1 (73±3%)	11±1 (27±3%)	2	21
All	All	780/1020 (76%)	566 (73%)	214 (27%)	2	21

All 48 unique residues with a non-rotameric sidechain are listed below. They are sorted by the frequency of occurrence in the ensemble.

Mol	Chain	Res	Type	Models (Total)
1	A	136	SER	10
1	B	164	LEU	10
1	B	141	LEU	10
1	A	141	LEU	10
1	B	136	SER	10
1	A	164	LEU	9
1	A	113	MET	7
1	A	160	MET	7
1	B	114	ARG	7
1	B	160	MET	7
1	B	113	MET	7
1	A	135	ASN	6
1	B	135	ASN	6
1	A	114	ARG	6
1	A	142	SER	5
1	A	149	LEU	5
1	B	142	SER	5
1	A	166	LYS	5
1	B	149	LEU	5
1	B	166	LYS	5
1	A	154	ILE	4

*Continued on next page...*

*Continued from previous page...*

Mol	Chain	Res	Type	Models (Total)
1	B	163	LEU	4
1	B	115	GLN	4
1	A	115	GLN	4
1	A	163	LEU	4
1	B	123	LEU	3
1	B	162	ARG	3
1	B	117	GLN	3
1	A	138	PHE	3
1	A	123	LEU	3
1	A	162	ARG	3
1	B	130	LEU	3
1	A	117	GLN	3
1	B	138	PHE	3
1	A	130	LEU	3
1	B	154	ILE	2
1	B	112	LEU	2
1	A	155	SER	2
1	A	112	LEU	2
1	A	144	PHE	2
1	B	144	PHE	2
1	B	155	SER	2
1	B	139	PHE	2
1	A	139	PHE	2
1	B	118	ILE	1
1	B	125	LEU	1
1	A	118	ILE	1
1	A	132	TYR	1

### 6.3.3 RNA [i](#)

There are no RNA molecules in this entry.

### 6.4 Non-standard residues in protein, DNA, RNA chains [i](#)

There are no non-standard protein/DNA/RNA residues in this entry.

### 6.5 Carbohydrates [i](#)

There are no carbohydrates in this entry.

## 6.6 Ligand geometry [i](#)

There are no ligands in this entry.

## 6.7 Other polymers [i](#)

There are no such molecules in this entry.

## 6.8 Polymer linkage issues [i](#)

There are no chain breaks in this entry.



## 7 Chemical shift validation [i](#)

The completeness of assignment taking into account all chemical shift lists is 16% for the well-defined parts and 18% for the entire structure.

### 7.1 Chemical shift list 1

File name: input\_cs.cif

Chemical shift list name: *assigned\_chem\_shift\_list\_1*

#### 7.1.1 Bookkeeping [i](#)

The following table shows the results of parsing the chemical shift list and reports the number of nuclei with statistically unusual chemical shifts.

Total number of shifts	371
Number of shifts mapped to atoms	371
Number of unparsed shifts	0
Number of shifts with mapping errors	0
Number of shifts with mapping warnings	0
Number of shift outliers (ShiftChecker)	11

#### 7.1.2 Chemical shift referencing [i](#)

The following table shows the suggested chemical shift referencing corrections.

Nucleus	# values	Correction $\pm$ precision, ppm	Suggested action
$^{13}\text{C}_\alpha$	0	—	None (insufficient data)
$^{13}\text{C}_\beta$	1	—	None (insufficient data)
$^{13}\text{C}'$	0	—	None (insufficient data)
$^{15}\text{N}$	58	0.40 $\pm$ 0.53	None needed (< 0.5 ppm)

#### 7.1.3 Completeness of resonance assignments [i](#)

The following table shows the completeness of the chemical shift assignments for the well-defined regions of the structure. The overall completeness is 16%, i.e. 205 atoms were assigned a chemical shift out of a possible 1248. 0 out of 30 assigned methyl groups (LEU and VAL) were assigned stereospecifically.

	Total	$^1\text{H}$	$^{13}\text{C}$	$^{15}\text{N}$
Backbone	126/550 (23%)	78/220 (35%)	0/220 (0%)	48/110 (44%)
Sidechain	76/592 (13%)	72/340 (21%)	4/232 (2%)	0/20 (0%)

*Continued on next page...*

Continued from previous page...

	Total	<sup>1</sup> H	<sup>13</sup> C	<sup>15</sup> N
Aromatic	3/106 (3%)	3/58 (5%)	0/48 (0%)	0/0 (—%)
Overall	205/1248 (16%)	153/618 (25%)	4/500 (1%)	48/130 (37%)

The following table shows the completeness of the chemical shift assignments for the full structure. The overall completeness is 18%, i.e. 292 atoms were assigned a chemical shift out of a possible 1610. 0 out of 32 assigned methyl groups (LEU and VAL) were assigned stereospecifically.

	Total	<sup>1</sup> H	<sup>13</sup> C	<sup>15</sup> N
Backbone	157/668 (24%)	99/266 (37%)	0/272 (0%)	58/130 (45%)
Sidechain	120/812 (15%)	111/476 (23%)	7/302 (2%)	2/34 (6%)
Aromatic	15/130 (12%)	9/70 (13%)	5/58 (9%)	1/2 (50%)
Overall	292/1610 (18%)	219/812 (27%)	12/632 (2%)	61/166 (37%)

#### 7.1.4 Statistically unusual chemical shifts [i](#)

The following table lists the statistically unusual chemical shifts. These are statistical measures, and large deviations from the mean do not necessarily imply incorrect assignments. Molecules containing paramagnetic centres or hemes are expected to give rise to anomalous chemical shifts.

Mol	Chain	Res	Type	Atom	Shift, ppm	Expected range, ppm	Z-score
1	A	169	TRP	CH2	33.77	133.06 – 114.56	-48.7
1	A	169	TRP	CD1	37.73	136.18 – 116.78	-45.7
1	A	169	TRP	CZ2	54.04	121.76 – 106.66	-39.8
1	A	169	TRP	CZ3	59.39	129.20 – 113.60	-39.7
1	A	169	TRP	NE1	58.93	139.19 – 119.59	-35.9
1	A	169	TRP	CE3	59.39	129.06 – 111.96	-35.7
1	A	113	MET	CG	61.49	38.33 – 25.73	23.4
1	A	110	LEU	CD2	54.74	32.60 – 15.60	18.0
1	A	167	MET	CE	47.52	26.97 – 7.37	15.5
1	A	160	MET	CE	46.35	26.97 – 7.37	14.9
1	A	113	MET	CE	46.35	26.97 – 7.37	14.9

#### 7.1.5 Random Coil Index (RCI) plots [i](#)

No *random coil index* (RCI) plot could be generated from the current chemical shift list (assigned\_chem\_shift\_list\_1). RCI is only applicable to proteins.