

# wwPDB NMR Structure Validation Summary Report (i)

Apr 20, 2024 – 08:35 AM EDT

PDB ID : 2N26 BMRB ID : 25587

Title : Solution structure of Miz-1 zinc fingers 3 and 4

Authors : Bedard, M.; Lavigne, P.

Deposited on : 2015-04-28

This is a wwPDB NMR Structure Validation Summary Report for a publicly released PDB entry.

We welcome your comments at validation@mail.wwpdb.org
A user guide is available at
https://www.wwpdb.org/validation/2017/NMRValidationReportHelp
with specific help available everywhere you see the (i) symbol.

The types of validation reports are described at http://www.wwpdb.org/validation/2017/FAQs#types.

The following versions of software and data (see references (i)) were used in the production of this report:

MolProbity: 4.02b-467

Percentile statistics : 20191225.v01 (using entries in the PDB archive December 25th 2019)

wwPDB-RCI : v 1n 11 5 13 A (Berjanski et al., 2005)

PANAV : Wang et al. (2010)

wwPDB-ShiftChecker : v1.2

Ideal geometry (proteins) : Engh & Huber (2001) Ideal geometry (DNA, RNA) : Parkinson et al. (1996)


Validation Pipeline (wwPDB-VP) : 2.36.2

# 1 Overall quality at a glance (i)

The following experimental techniques were used to determine the structure:  $SOLUTION\ NMR$ 

The overall completeness of chemical shifts assignment is 87%.

Percentile scores (ranging between 0-100) for global validation metrics of the entry are shown in the following graphic. The table shows the number of entries on which the scores are based.



Metric	Whole archive $(\# \mathrm{Entries})$	${ m NMR~archive} \ (\#{ m Entries})$	
Clashscore	158937	12864	
Ramachandran outliers	154571	11451	
Sidechain outliers	154315	11428	

The table below summarises the geometric issues observed across the polymeric chains and their fit to the experimental data. The red, orange, yellow and green segments indicate the fraction of residues that contain outliers for >=3, 2, 1 and 0 types of geometric quality criteria. A cyan segment indicates the fraction of residues that are not part of the well-defined cores, and a grey segment represents the fraction of residues that are not modelled. The numeric value for each fraction is indicated below the corresponding segment, with a dot representing fractions <=5%

Mol	Chain	Length	Quality of chain					
1	A	112	21%	23%		51%		



# 2 Ensemble composition and analysis (i)

This entry contains 20 models. Model 8 is the overall representative, medoid model (most similar to other models). The authors have identified model 1 as representative, based on the following criterion: *lowest energy*.

The following residues are included in the computation of the global validation metrics.

Well-defined (core) protein residues						
Well-defined core Residue range (total) Backbone RMSD (Å) Medoid mo						
1	A:59-A:85 (27)	0.37	8			
2	A:87-A:110 (24)	0.21	13			

Ill-defined regions of proteins are excluded from the global statistics.

Ligands and non-protein polymers are included in the analysis.

The models can be grouped into 2 clusters. No single-model clusters were found.

Cluster number	Models			
1	1, 2, 3, 4, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20			
2	$5, \overline{13}$			



# 3 Entry composition (i)

There are 2 unique types of molecules in this entry. The entry contains 880 atoms, of which 437 are hydrogens and 0 are deuteriums.

• Molecule 1 is a protein called Zinc finger and BTB domain-containing protein 17.

Mol	Chain	Residues	Atoms				Trace		
1	Λ	55	Total	С	Н	N	О	S	0
$\begin{array}{c c} 1 & A \end{array}$	99	878	271	437	87	79	4	U	

There is a discrepancy between the modelled and reference sequences:

Chain	Residue	Modelled	Actual	Comment	Reference
Α	1	MET	-	initiating methionine	UNP Q13105

• Molecule 2 is ZINC ION (three-letter code: ZN) (formula: Zn).

Mol	Chain	Residues	Atoms		
9	۸	9	Total Zn		
	A	2	2   2		




# 4 Residue-property plots (i)

## 4.1 Average score per residue in the NMR ensemble

These plots are provided for all protein, RNA, DNA and oligosaccharide chains in the entry. The first graphic is the same as shown in the summary in section 1 of this report. The second graphic shows the sequence where residues are colour-coded according to the number of geometric quality criteria for which they contain at least one outlier: green = 0, yellow = 1, orange = 2 and red = 3 or more. Stretches of 2 or more consecutive residues without any outliers are shown as green connectors. Residues which are classified as ill-defined in the NMR ensemble, are shown in cyan with an underline colour-coded according to the previous scheme. Residues which were present in the experimental sample, but not modelled in the final structure are shown in grey.


• Molecule 1: Zinc finger and BTB domain-containing protein 17



# 4.2 Residue scores for the representative (medoid) model from the NMR ensemble

The representative model is number 8. Colouring as in section 4.1 above.

• Molecule 1: Zinc finger and BTB domain-containing protein 17





#### 5 Refinement protocol and experimental data overview (i)



The models were refined using the following method: simulated annealing.

Of the 300 calculated structures, 20 were deposited, based on the following criterion: Lowest energy and restraint violations.

The following table shows the software used for structure solution, optimisation and refinement.

Software name	Classification	Version
ARIA	structure calculation	2.2
ARIA	refinement	2.2
CNS	structure calculation	1.21
CNS	refinement	1.21

The following table shows chemical shift validation statistics as aggregates over all chemical shift files. Detailed validation can be found in section 7 of this report.

Chemical shift file(s)	working_cs.cif
Number of chemical shift lists	1
Total number of shifts	1018
Number of shifts mapped to atoms	647
Number of unparsed shifts	0
Number of shifts with mapping errors	371
Number of shifts with mapping warnings	0
Assignment completeness (well-defined parts)	87%



# 6 Model quality (i)

## 6.1 Standard geometry (i)

Bond lengths and bond angles in the following residue types are not validated in this section: ZN

The Z score for a bond length (or angle) is the number of standard deviations the observed value is removed from the expected value. A bond length (or angle) with |Z| > 5 is considered an outlier worth inspection. RMSZ is the (average) root-mean-square of all Z scores of the bond lengths (or angles).

Mol Chain		В	Sond lengths	Bond angles		
MIOI	Chain	RMSZ	#Z>5	RMSZ	#Z>5	
1	A	$0.54 \pm 0.08$	$0\pm1/425~(~0.0\pm~0.1\%)$	$0.56 \pm 0.02$	$0\pm0/566~(~0.0\pm~0.0\%)$	
All	All	0.55	4/8500 ( 0.0%)	0.56	0/11320 ( 0.0%)	

All unique bond outliers are listed below. They are sorted according to the Z-score of the worst occurrence in the ensemble.

Mal	Chain	Dag	Trens	Type Atoms Z Observed(Å)		Ideal(Å)	Models		
MIOI	Chain	nes	туре	Atoms		$\mathbf{Z}    \; \mathbf{Observed}(\mathbf{\mathring{A}}) \;   \; $	ideal(A)	Worst	Total
1	A	60	TYR	CE2-CZ	-8.74	1.27	1.38	16	2
1	A	60	TYR	CE1-CZ	8.55	1.49	1.38	16	2

There are no bond-angle outliers.

There are no chirality outliers.

There are no planarity outliers.

## 6.2 Too-close contacts (i)

In the following table, the Non-H and H(model) columns list the number of non-hydrogen atoms and hydrogen atoms in each chain respectively. The H(added) column lists the number of hydrogen atoms added and optimized by MolProbity. The Clashes column lists the number of clashes averaged over the ensemble.

Mol	Chain	Non-H	H(model)	H(added)	Clashes
1	A	416	411	411	22±3
All	All	8360	8220	8220	444

The all-atom clashscore is defined as the number of clashes found per 1000 atoms (including hydrogen atoms). The all-atom clashscore for this structure is 27.

5 of 88 unique clashes are listed below, sorted by their clash magnitude.



Atom-1	Atom-2	Clash(Å)	Distance(Å)	$egin{aligned}  ext{Models} \end{aligned}$	
Atom-1	Atom-2	Clash(A)	Distance(A)	Worst	Total
1:A:80:LYS:HG3	1:A:85:GLU:HA	0.95	1.36	20	18
1:A:80:LYS:HG2	1:A:85:GLU:HA	0.90	1.42	18	1
1:A:85:GLU:HG3	1:A:87:ARG:HE	0.88	1.26	12	2
1:A:61:GLY:HA2	1:A:68:SER:HA	0.87	1.45	14	20
1:A:93:CYS:SG	1:A:95:LYS:HG3	0.83	2.14	16	13

## 6.3 Torsion angles (i)

#### 6.3.1 Protein backbone (i)

In the following table, the Percentiles column shows the percent Ramachandran outliers of the chain as a percentile score with respect to all PDB entries followed by that with respect to all NMR entries. The Analysed column shows the number of residues for which the backbone conformation was analysed and the total number of residues.

Mol	Chain	Analysed Favoure		Allowed	Outliers	Percentiles		
1	A	51/112 (46%)	49±0 (96±1%)	2±0 (4±1%)	0±0 (0±0%)	54	85	
All	All	1020/2240 (46%)	981 (96%)	38 (4%)	1 (0%)	54	85	

All 1 unique Ramachandran outliers are listed below.

Mol	Chain	Res	Type	Models (Total)
1	A	91	GLU	1

#### 6.3.2 Protein sidechains (i)

In the following table, the Percentiles column shows the percent sidechain outliers of the chain as a percentile score with respect to all PDB entries followed by that with respect to all NMR entries. The Analysed column shows the number of residues for which the sidechain conformation was analysed and the total number of residues.

Mol	Chain	Analysed Rotameric		Outliers	Percentiles		
1	A	46/98 (47%)	41±1 (88±3%)	5±1 (12±3%)		9	52
All	All	920/1960 (47%)	813 (88%)	107 (12%)		9	52

5 of 20 unique residues with a non-rotameric sidechain are listed below. They are sorted by the frequency of occurrence in the ensemble.

Mol	Chain	Res	Type	Models (Total)
1	A	68	SER	20



Continued from previous page...

Mol	Chain	Res	Type	Models (Total)
1	A	108	LEU	20
1	A	64	GLU	14
1	A	76	ASN	10
1	A	71	LEU	9

#### 6.3.3 RNA (i)

There are no RNA molecules in this entry.

## 6.4 Non-standard residues in protein, DNA, RNA chains (i)

There are no non-standard protein/DNA/RNA residues in this entry.

## 6.5 Carbohydrates (i)

There are no monosaccharides in this entry.

## 6.6 Ligand geometry (i)

Of 2 ligands modelled in this entry, 2 are monoatomic - leaving 0 for Mogul analysis.

# 6.7 Other polymers (i)

There are no such molecules in this entry.

## 6.8 Polymer linkage issues (i)

There are no chain breaks in this entry.



# 7 Chemical shift validation (i)

The completeness of assignment taking into account all chemical shift lists is 87% for the well-defined parts and 85% for the entire structure.

#### 7.1 Chemical shift list 1

File name: working cs.cif

Chemical shift list name: assigned\_chem\_shift\_list

#### 7.1.1 Bookkeeping (i)

The following table shows the results of parsing the chemical shift list and reports the number of nuclei with statistically unusual chemical shifts.

Total number of shifts	1018
Number of shifts mapped to atoms	647
Number of unparsed shifts	0
Number of shifts with mapping errors	371
Number of shifts with mapping warnings	0
Number of shift outliers (ShiftChecker)	0

The following assigned chemical shifts were not mapped to the molecules present in the coordinate file.

• No matching atom found in the structure. First 5 (of 371) occurrences are reported below.

T:-4 ID	Cl :	D	Т	A 4		Shift Data	l
List ID	Chain	Res	Type	Atom	Value	Uncertainty	Ambiguity
1	A	32	PHE	Н	7.675	0.006	1
1	A	32	PHE	CA	57.23		1
1	A	32	PHE	N	118.004	0.021	1
1	A	32	PHE	СВ	38.877	0.113	1
1	A	31	PRO	СВ	31.929	0.023	1
1	A	31	PRO	CA	63.355	0.02	1
1	A	33	SER	Н	8.495	0.006	1
1	A	33	SER	N	118.145	0.163	1
1	A	34	CYS	Н	8.948	0.005	1
1	A	34	CYS	N	127.056	0.043	1
1	A	35	ARG	СВ	30.167	0.002	1
1	A	36	GLU	Н	9.114	0.016	1
1	A	36	GLU	N	121.239	0.058	1
1	A	38	SER	N	113.959	0.023	1



 $Continued\ from\ previous\ page...$ 

	$\frac{a \text{ from } pr}{a}$			<b>A</b> .		Shift Data	<u> </u>
List ID	Chain	Res	Type	Atom	Value	Uncertainty	Ambiguity
1	A	38	SER	СВ	62.269	0.017	1
1	A	38	SER	CA	60.615	0.021	1
1	A	39	LYS	N	125.288	0.009	1
1	A	39	LYS	CA	58.688	0.035	1
1	A	39	LYS	Н	8.179	0.008	1
1	A	39	LYS	СВ	33.472	0.064	1
1	A	41	PHE	N	116.898	0.031	1
1	A	41	PHE	CA	57.185	•	1
1	A	41	PHE	Н	8.776	0.005	1
1	A	41	PHE	СВ	44.105	0.045	1
1	A	46	ALA	N	123.103	0.015	1
1	A	46	ALA	Н	7.709	0.003	1
1	A	45	ALA	N	122.735	0.16	1
1	A	45	ALA	Н	7.858	0.014	1
1	A	45	ALA	СВ	17.811	0.005	1
1	A	45	ALA	CA	54.755	0.006	1
1	A	46	ALA	CB	18.349	0.015	1
1	A	46	ALA	CA	54.091	0.016	1
1	A	44	PRO	CA	64.304	0.201	1
1	A	44	PRO	СВ	30.672	•	1
1	A	49	ALA	N	120.055	0.08	1
1	A	49	ALA	Н	7.702	0.004	1
1	A	49	ALA	СВ	17.65	0.062	1
1	A	49	ALA	CA	54.749	0.073	1
1	A	50	HIS	N	117.102	0.071	1
1	A	50	HIS	Н	7.437	0.006	1
1	A	50	HIS	СВ	28.235	0.049	1
1	A	50	HIS	CA	58.993	0.044	1
1	A	53	THR	N	109.1	0.058	1
1	A	53	THR	Н	7.596	0.013	1
1	A	53	THR	CA	63.341	0.016	1
1	A	53	THR	СВ	69.326	0.059	1
1	A	51	GLU	N	123.39	0.059	1
1	A	51	GLU	CA	60.395	0.068	1
1	A	51	GLU	Н	8.918	0.005	1
1	A	51	GLU	СВ	30.138	0.106	1
1	A	52	LYS	N	116.027	0.041	1
1	A	52	LYS	Н	7.116	0.007	1
1	A	52	LYS	СВ	32.23	0.02	1
1	A	52	LYS	CA	57.904	0.02	1
1	A	54	HIS	N	118.589	0.07	1



 $Continued\ from\ previous\ page...$ 

	$\frac{a \text{ from } pr}{a}$					Shift Data	<u> </u>
List ID	Chain	Res	Type	Atom	Value	Uncertainty	Ambiguity
1	A	54	HIS	Н	6.907	0.01	1
1	A	54	HIS	СВ	28.412	0.007	1
1	A	54	HIS	CA	55.915	•	1
1	A	42	SER	Н	9.025	0.006	1
1	A	42	SER	N	114.712	0.042	1
1	A	42	SER	CA	59.695	0.037	1
1	A	42	SER	СВ	63.663	•	1
1	A	43	ASP	Н	7.454	0.006	1
1	A	43	ASP	N	120.332	0.018	1
1	A	43	ASP	CA	50.72	0.045	1
1	A	43	ASP	СВ	43.58	0.034	1
1	A	57	LEU	Н	7.978	0.004	1
1	A	57	LEU	N	121.286	0.067	1
1	A	57	LEU	СВ	42.114	0.037	1
1	A	57	LEU	CA	55.503	0.041	1
1	A	56	PRO	CA	63.065	0.034	1
1	A	56	PRO	СВ	31.93	0.058	1
1	A	10	GLY	Н	8.072	0.005	1
1	A	10	GLY	N	113.426	0.055	1
1	A	10	GLY	CA	46.184	0.032	1
1	A	26	HIS	Н	6.914	0.003	1
1	A	26	HIS	N	117.035	0.077	1
1	A	26	HIS	CA	55.499		1
1	A	26	HIS	СВ	28.458	•	1
1	A	25	ILE	Н	7.653	0.005	1
1	A	25	ILE	N	117.012	0.039	1
1	A	25	ILE	CA	63.271		1
1	A	25	ILE	СВ	37.339	•	1
1	A	27	THR	N	111.784	0.029	1
1	A	27	THR	Н	7.578	0.005	1
1	A	27	THR	CA	62.461	0.018	1
1	A	27	THR	СВ	69.607	0.033	1
1	A	28	GLY	N	110.039	0.015	1
1	A	28	GLY	Н	8.017	0.003	1
1	A	28	GLY	CA	45.298	0.056	1
1	A	29	GLU	N	119.56	0.021	1
1	A	29	GLU	Н	7.842	0.003	1
1	A	29	GLU	СВ	30.371	0.005	1
1	A	29	GLU	CA	56.712	0.043	1
1	A	30	LYS	Н	8.045	0.003	1
1	A	30	LYS	N	121.107	0.02	1



 $Continued\ from\ previous\ page...$ 

		evious		A 4		Shift Data	1
List ID	Chain	Res	Type	Atom	Value	Uncertainty	Ambiguity
1	A	30	LYS	CA	53.508	0.053	1
1	A	30	LYS	СВ	33.216	0.029	1
1	A	24	ARG	Н	6.697	0.007	1
1	A	24	ARG	N	118.44	0.029	1
1	A	24	ARG	СВ	29.707	•	1
1	A	24	ARG	CA	57.78	•	1
1	A	23	ILE	Н	7.605	0.004	1
1	A	23	ILE	N	111.694	0.039	1
1	A	23	ILE	CA	64.98	•	1
1	A	23	ILE	CB	36.77	•	1
1	A	22	HIS	Н	7.546	0.005	1
1	A	22	HIS	N	118.837	0.047	1
1	A	22	HIS	СВ	28.45	0.01	1
1	A	22	HIS	CA	59.445	•	1
1	A	21	ARG	Н	7.438	0.007	1
1	A	21	ARG	N	117.022	0.051	1
1	A	21	ARG	СВ	30.474	•	1
1	A	21	ARG	CA	58.98	•	1
1	A	20	LYS	Н	8.249	0.007	1
1	A	20	LYS	N	117.574	0.054	1
1	A	20	LYS	СВ	31.55	0.1	1
1	A	20	LYS	CA	59.281		1
1	A	19	PHE	Н	7.912	0.027	1
1	A	19	PHE	N	122.914	0.161	1
1	A	19	PHE	CA	60.486		1
1	A	19	PHE	СВ	39.212		1
1	A	4	HIS	Н	8.489	0.005	1
1	A	4	HIS	N	125.506	0.191	1
1	A	4	HIS	CA	54.233	•	1
1	A	4	HIS	СВ	30.216	0.027	1
1	A	5	LYS	N	124.514	0.074	1
1	A	5	LYS	Н	8.472	0.004	1
1	A	5	LYS	СВ	35.314	•	1
1	A	5	LYS	CA	54.572	•	1
1	A	6	CYS	Н	9.165	0.007	1
1	A	6	CYS	N	128.563	0.044	1
1	A	6	CYS	СВ	29.642	0.007	1
1	A	6	CYS	CA	59.537	•	1
1	A	7	GLU	N	132.438	0.018	1
1	A	7	GLU	Н	9.7	0.005	1
1	A	7	GLU	CA	58.94	•	1



 $Continued\ from\ previous\ page...$ 

			page	A 4		Shift Data	
List ID	Chain	Res	Type	Atom	Value	Uncertainty	Ambiguity
1	A	7	GLU	СВ	29.785	•	1
1	A	38	SER	Н	7.8	0.01	1
1	A	9	CYS	N	115.054	0.047	1
1	A	9	CYS	Н	7.905	0.006	1
1	A	9	CYS	CA	58.495	•	1
1	A	9	CYS	СВ	32.163	0.03	1
1	A	8	ASP	Н	8.348	0.015	1
1	A	8	ASP	N	119.728	0.01	1
1	A	34	CYS	CA	59.287	0.0	1
1	A	33	SER	CA	56.878	0.07	1
1	A	33	SER	СВ	65.16	0.02	1
1	A	34	CYS	CB	30.579	0.074	1
1	A	35	ARG	Н	9.454	0.013	1
1	A	35	ARG	N	130.957	0.043	1
1	A	35	ARG	CA	58.591	0.002	1
1	A	36	GLU	СВ	30.349	0.008	1
1	A	36	GLU	CA	57.236	0.047	1
1	A	37	CYS	Н	8.362	0.008	1
1	A	37	CYS	N	118.587	0.025	1
1	A	37	CYS	СВ	31.879	0.078	1
1	A	37	CYS	CA	58.396	0.018	1
1	A	40	ALA	Н	7.743	0.009	1
1	A	40	ALA	N	126.361	0.047	1
1	A	40	ALA	CA	51.107	0.024	1
1	A	40	ALA	СВ	21.618	0.018	1
1	A	47	CYS	Н	6.98	0.009	1
1	A	47	CYS	N	118.694	0.03	1
1	A	47	CYS	СВ	26.05	0.085	1
1	A	47	CYS	CA	61.379		1
1	A	48	LYS	Н	7.729	0.005	1
1	A	48	LYS	N	119.076	0.039	1
1	A	48	LYS	CA	59.013	0.005	1
1	A	48	LYS	СВ	32.01	0.008	1
1	A	3	ILE	С	174.793		1
1	A	4	HIS	С	173.614		1
1	A	5	LYS	С	175.057		1
1	A	6	CYS	С	177.449		1
1	A	7	GLU	С	176.609		1
1	A	8	ASP	С	176.809		1
1	A	9	CYS	С	176.131		1
1	A	20	LYS	С	180.33		1



Continued from previous page...

	a from pr			A 4		Shift Data	l
List ID	Chain	Res	Type	Atom	Value	Uncertainty	Ambiguity
1	A	19	PHE	С	175.66	•	1
1	A	21	ARG	С	178.038	•	1
1	A	22	HIS	С	176.764	•	1
1	A	23	ILE	С	177.744		1
1	A	24	ARG	С	178.454		1
1	A	25	ILE	С	177.463		1
1	A	26	HIS	С	175.839	•	1
1	A	27	THR	С	175.349		1
1	A	28	GLY	С	173.981		1
1	A	29	GLU	С	176.284	•	1
1	A	31	PRO	С	176.006		1
1	A	32	PHE	С	174.591	•	1
1	A	33	SER	С	173.751		1
1	A	34	CYS	С	177.572		1
1	A	35	ARG	С	176.312		1
1	A	36	GLU	С	176.766		1
1	A	37	CYS	С	175.531		1
1	A	38	SER	С	173.194		1
1	A	39	LYS	С	174.047		1
1	A	40	ALA	С	176.589		1
1	A	41	PHE	С	175.13		1
1	A	42	SER	С	173.184		1
1	A	45	ALA	С	180.75		1
1	A	46	ALA	С	179.895		1
1	A	47	CYS	С	175.509		1
1	A	48	LYS	С	178.908	•	1
1	A	49	ALA	С	178.953		1
1	A	50	HIS	С	178.647		1
1	A	51	GLU	С	179.013		1
1	A	52	LYS	С	177.746		1
1	A	53	THR	С	175.377		1
1	A	56	PRO	С	176.565		1
1	A	3	ILE	CA	60.139	0.127	1
1	A	3	ILE	СВ	39.322		1
1	A	8	ASP	СВ	41.101	0.007	1
1	A	8	ASP	CA	56.93		1
1	A	18	ASN	СВ	37.195	0.012	1
1	A	18	ASN	CA	55.408		1
1	A	20	LYS	CD	28.627		1
1	A	20	LYS	CG	26.01		1
1	A	29	GLU	CG	36.103	0.013	1



Continued from previous page...

List ID	Chain		Type	Atom	Shift Data		
LIST ID	Chain	nes	Туре		Value	Uncertainty	Ambiguity
1	A	31	PRO	CG	26.64	•	1
1	A	35	ARG	CD	43.193	•	1
1	A	35	ARG	CG	27.11	0.003	1
1	A	36	GLU	CG	35.038	0.038	1
1	A	39	LYS	CD	29.036	•	1
1	A	39	LYS	CG	25.465	0.013	1
1	A	48	LYS	CD	28.716	•	1
1	A	48	LYS	CG	25.346		1
1	A	51	GLU	CG	38.249	0.032	1
1	A	52	LYS	CD	28.944	•	1
1	A	52	LYS	CG	25.257	0.022	1
1	A	56	PRO	CG	27.131	0.047	1
1	A	57	LEU	HA	4.041	0.007	1
1	A	54	HIS	HA	4.46	0.026	1
1	A	53	THR	HA	3.998	0.002	1
1	A	52	LYS	HA	4.006	0.003	1
1	A	50	HIS	HA	4.156	0.01	1
1	A	49	ALA	HA	3.866	0.009	1
1	A	46	ALA	HA	3.871	0.009	1
1	A	45	ALA	HA	3.876	0.007	1
1	A	43	ASP	HA	4.983	0.007	1
1	A	41	PHE	HA	4.58	0.008	1
1	A	40	ALA	HA	4.91	0.011	1
1	A	38	SER	HA	4.207	0.007	1
1	A	37	CYS	HA	5.0	0.007	1
1	A	36	GLU	HA	4.26	0.005	1
1	A	33	SER	HA	5.236	0.005	1
1	A	32	PHE	HA	4.64	0.009	1
1	A	30	LYS	HA	4.322	0.003	1
1	A	29	GLU	HA	4.012	0.005	1
1	A	26	HIS	HA	4.644	•	1
1	A	25	ILE	HA	3.724		1
1	A	21	ARG	HA	3.742		1
1	A	10	GLY	HA3	4.116		2
1	A	10	GLY	HA2	3.639		2
1	A	9	CYS	HA	5.021		1
1	A	8	ASP	HA	4.367		1
1	A	7	GLU	HA	3.973		1
1	A	6	CYS	HA	4.462		1
1	A	5	LYS	HA	4.848		1
1	A	4	HIS	HA	4.288	Continued on	1



 $Continued\ from\ previous\ page...$ 

			page	<b>A</b> 4		Shift Data	
List ID	Chain	Res	Type	Atom	Value	Uncertainty	Ambiguity
1	A	57	LEU	HB3	1.445	0.013	2
1	A	57	LEU	HB2	1.347	0.011	2
1	A	56	PRO	HA	4.278	0.014	1
1	A	56	PRO	HD3	3.637	0.011	2
1	A	56	PRO	HD2	3.56	0.005	2
1	A	56	PRO	HB3	2.109	0.004	2
1	A	56	PRO	HB2	1.681	0.007	2
1	A	56	PRO	HG3	1.85	0.003	2
1	A	56	PRO	HG2	1.802	0.004	2
1	A	56	PRO	CD	50.53	0.012	1
1	A	57	LEU	CD2	24.549	0.008	2
1	A	57	LEU	CD1	23.539	0.027	2
1	A	57	LEU	HD11	0.706	0.009	2
1	A	57	LEU	HD12	0.706	0.009	2
1	A	57	LEU	HD13	0.706	0.009	2
1	A	57	LEU	HD21	0.745	0.002	2
1	A	57	LEU	HD22	0.745	0.002	2
1	A	57	LEU	HD23	0.745	0.002	2
1	A	54	HIS	HB3	3.072	0.012	2
1	A	54	HIS	HB2	2.905	0.008	2
1	A	27	THR	CG2	21.598		1
1	A	27	THR	HG21	1.058	0.003	1
1	A	27	THR	HG22	1.058	0.003	1
1	A	27	THR	HG23	1.058	0.003	1
1	A	27	THR	HA	4.109	0.004	1
1	A	27	THR	НВ	4.117	0.003	1
1	A	28	GLY	HA3	3.786	0.022	1
1	A	28	GLY	HA2	3.786	0.022	1
1	A	29	GLU	HB3	1.832	0.005	2
1	A	29	GLU	HB2	1.801	0.006	2
1	A	29	GLU	HG3	2.045	0.012	2
1	A	29	GLU	HG2	2.104	0.003	2
1	A	33	SER	HB2	3.492	0.005	1
1	A	33	SER	НВ3	3.491	0.005	1
1	A	30	LYS	НВ3	1.431	0.008	1
1	A	30	LYS	HB2	1.431	0.008	1
1	A	30	LYS	HE3	2.748	0.0	1
1	A	30	LYS	HE2	2.748	0.0	1
1	A	47	CYS	НВ3	2.837	0.004	2
1	A	47	CYS	HB2	2.187	0.013	2
1	A	34	CYS	HB3	2.991	0.006	2



 $Continued\ from\ previous\ page...$ 

	a from pr			<b>A</b> .	Shift Data		
List ID	Chain	Res	Type	Atom	Value	Uncertainty	Ambiguity
1	A	34	CYS	HB2	3.307	0.003	2
1	A	37	CYS	HB3	3.296	0.005	2
1	A	37	CYS	HB2	2.645	0.006	2
1	A	40	ALA	HB1	1.145	0.007	1
1	A	40	ALA	HB2	1.145	0.007	1
1	A	40	ALA	HB3	1.145	0.007	1
1	A	41	PHE	HB3	2.66	0.009	2
1	A	41	PHE	HB2	2.617	0.012	2
1	A	45	ALA	HB1	1.247	0.005	1
1	A	45	ALA	HB2	1.247	0.005	1
1	A	45	ALA	HB3	1.247	0.005	1
1	A	46	ALA	HB1	1.404	0.004	1
1	A	46	ALA	HB2	1.404	0.004	1
1	A	46	ALA	HB3	1.404	0.004	1
1	A	49	ALA	HB1	1.216	0.011	1
1	A	49	ALA	HB2	1.216	0.011	1
1	A	49	ALA	HB3	1.216	0.011	1
1	A	43	ASP	HB3	2.657	0.005	2
1	A	43	ASP	HB2	2.609	0.003	2
1	A	50	HIS	HB3	2.987	0.005	2
1	A	50	HIS	HB2	2.899	0.008	2
1	A	53	THR	CG2	21.086	0.005	1
1	A	53	THR	HG21	1.117	0.004	1
1	A	53	THR	HG22	1.117	0.004	1
1	A	53	THR	HG23	1.117	0.004	1
1	A	36	GLU	HB3	1.262	0.008	2
1	A	36	GLU	HB2	1.077	0.005	2
1	A	36	GLU	HG3	1.684	0.003	2
1	A	36	GLU	HG2	1.649	0.005	2
1	A	51	GLU	HA	3.834	0.004	1
1	A	51	GLU	HB3	2.27	0.003	2
1	A	51	GLU	HB2	1.96	0.003	2
1	A	51	GLU	HG3	3.044	0.008	2
1	A	51	GLU	HG2	2.862	0.006	2
1	A	35	ARG	HG3	1.621	0.005	1
1	A	35	ARG	HG2	1.621	0.005	1
1	A	39	LYS	HA	3.788	0.005	1
1	A	35	ARG	HA	4.029	0.008	1
1	A	39	LYS	HB3	1.516	0.004	2
1	A	39	LYS	HB2	1.034	0.003	2
1	A	39	LYS	HG3	1.094	0.0	1



 $Continued\ from\ previous\ page...$ 

	Clasica			A 4		ı	
List ID	Chain	Res	Type	Atom	Value	Uncertainty	Ambiguity
1	A	39	LYS	HG2	1.094	0.0	1
1	A	48	LYS	HB3	1.649	0.002	1
1	A	48	LYS	HB2	1.649	0.002	1
1	A	52	LYS	HB3	1.758	0.003	1
1	A	52	LYS	HB2	1.758	0.003	1
1	A	52	LYS	HG3	1.511	0.008	2
1	A	52	LYS	HG2	1.41	0.008	2
1	A	32	PHE	HB3	2.837	0.005	2
1	A	32	PHE	HB2	2.593	0.011	2
1	A	34	CYS	HA	4.291	0.007	1
1	A	38	SER	HB3	4.049	0.004	2
1	A	38	SER	HB2	3.884	0.006	2
1	A	48	LYS	HA	3.921	0.007	1
1	A	47	CYS	HA	3.894	0.001	1
1	A	53	THR	HB	3.997	0.001	1
1	A	44	PRO	HA	3.378	0.004	1
1	A	50	HIS	HE1	7.864	•	1
1	A	50	HIS	HD2	6.611	0.003	1
1	A	41	PHE	HE1	6.81	0.002	3
1	A	41	PHE	HE2	6.81	0.002	3
1	A	41	PHE	HD1	7.178	0.007	3
1	A	41	PHE	HD2	7.178	0.007	3
1	A	41	PHE	CD1	135.295	•	3
1	A	41	PHE	CD2	135.295	•	3
1	A	41	PHE	CE1	133.42	•	3
1	A	41	PHE	CE2	133.42	•	3
1	A	50	HIS	CD2	129.58	•	1
1	A	54	HIS	HE1	7.847	0.002	1
1	A	54	HIS	CE1	141.888		1

## 7.1.2 Chemical shift referencing (i)

The following table shows the suggested chemical shift referencing corrections.

Nucleus	# values	Correction $\pm$ precision, $ppm$	Suggested action
$^{13}\mathrm{C}_{\alpha}$	102	$0.09 \pm 0.15$	None needed ( $< 0.5 \text{ ppm}$ )
$^{13}C_{\beta}$	94	$0.41 \pm 0.24$	None needed ( $< 0.5 \text{ ppm}$ )
<sup>13</sup> C′	91	$0.12 \pm 0.21$	None needed (< 0.5 ppm)
$^{15}N$	96	$0.21 \pm 0.59$	None needed (< 0.5 ppm)



#### 7.1.3 Completeness of resonance assignments (i)

The following table shows the completeness of the chemical shift assignments for the well-defined regions of the structure. The overall completeness is 87%, i.e. 622 atoms were assigned a chemical shift out of a possible 717. 0 out of 8 assigned methyl groups (LEU and VAL) were assigned stereospecifically.

	Total	$^{1}\mathrm{H}$	$^{13}\mathbf{C}$	$^{15}{ m N}$
Backbone	$256/258 \ (99\%)$	106/106 (100%)	100/102 (98%)	50/50 (100%)
Sidechain	320/394 (81%)	212/252 (84%)	105/119 (88%)	3/23 (13%)
Aromatic	$46/65 \ (71\%)$	23/33~(70%)	23/28 (82%)	0/4 (0%)
Overall	622/717 (87%)	341/391 (87%)	228/249 (92%)	53/77 (69%)

#### 7.1.4 Statistically unusual chemical shifts (i)

There are no statistically unusual chemical shifts.

#### 7.1.5 Random Coil Index (RCI) plots (i)

The image below reports random coil index values for the protein chains in the structure. The height of each bar gives a probability of a given residue to be disordered, as predicted from the available chemical shifts and the amino acid sequence. A value above 0.2 is an indication of significant predicted disorder. The colour of the bar shows whether the residue is in the well-defined core (black) or in the ill-defined residue ranges (cyan), as described in section 2 on ensemble composition. If well-defined core and ill-defined regions are not identified then it is shown as gray bars.

Random coil index (RCI) for chain A:

