

# Full wwPDB NMR Structure Validation Report (i)

Mar 20, 2024 – 12:17 pm GMT

with acces-
V

This is a Full wwPDB NMR Structure Validation Report for a publicly released PDB entry.

We welcome your comments at *validation@mail.wwpdb.org* A user guide is available at https://www.wwpdb.org/validation/2017/NMRValidationReportHelp with specific help available everywhere you see the (i) symbol.

The types of validation reports are described at http://www.wwpdb.org/validation/2017/FAQs#types.

The following versions of software and data (see references (1)) were used in the production of this report:


Cyrange	:	Kirchner and Güntert (2011)
NmrClust	:	Kelley et al. (1996)
MolProbity	:	4.02b-467
Percentile statistics	:	20191225.v01 (using entries in the PDB archive December 25th 2019)
wwPDB-RCI	:	v_1n_11_5_13_A (Berjanski et al., 2005)
PANAV	:	Wang et al. $(2010)$
wwPDB-ShiftChecker	:	v1.2
Ideal geometry (proteins)	:	Engh & Huber (2001)
Ideal geometry (DNA, RNA)	:	Parkinson et al. (1996)
Validation Pipeline (wwPDB-VP)	:	2.36

# 1 Overall quality at a glance (i)

The following experimental techniques were used to determine the structure:  $SOLUTION\ NMR$ 

The overall completeness of chemical shifts assignment is 56%.

Percentile scores (ranging between 0-100) for global validation metrics of the entry are shown in the following graphic. The table shows the number of entries on which the scores are based.



Metric	$egin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	${f NMR} \ { m archive} \ (\#{ m Entries})$
Clashscore	158937	12864
Ramachandran outliers	154571	11451
Sidechain outliers	154315	11428

The table below summarises the geometric issues observed across the polymeric chains and their fit to the experimental data. The red, orange, yellow and green segments indicate the fraction of residues that contain outliers for >=3, 2, 1 and 0 types of geometric quality criteria. A cyan segment indicates the fraction of residues that are not part of the well-defined cores, and a grey segment represents the fraction of residues that are not modelled. The numeric value for each fraction is indicated below the corresponding segment, with a dot representing fractions <=5%

Mol	Chain	Length		Quality of chain
1	А	52	17%	83%



# 2 Ensemble composition and analysis (i)

This entry contains 10 models. Model 9 is the overall representative, medoid model (most similar to other models). The authors have identified model 1 as representative, based on the following criterion: *lowest energy*.

The following residues are included in the computation of the global validation metrics.

	Well-defined (core) p	orotein residues	
Well-defined core	ore Residue range (total) Backbone RMSD (Å) Medoi		Medoid model
1	A:36-A:44 (9)	0.39	9

Ill-defined regions of proteins are excluded from the global statistics.

Ligands and non-protein polymers are included in the analysis.

The models can be grouped into 2 clusters and 5 single-model clusters were found.

Cluster number	Models
1	2, 3, 5
2	6, 8
Single-model clusters	1; 4; 7; 9; 10



# 3 Entry composition (i)

There is only 1 type of molecule in this entry. The entry contains 801 atoms, of which 391 are hydrogens and 0 are deuteriums.

• Molecule 1 is a protein called Gag protein.

Mol	Chain	Residues		At	oms			Trace
1	٨	52	Total	С	Η	Ν	0	0
	A	52	801	255	391	67	88	0



# 4 Residue-property plots (i)

# 4.1 Average score per residue in the NMR ensemble

These plots are provided for all protein, RNA, DNA and oligosaccharide chains in the entry. The first graphic is the same as shown in the summary in section 1 of this report. The second graphic shows the sequence where residues are colour-coded according to the number of geometric quality criteria for which they contain at least one outlier: green = 0, yellow = 1, orange = 2 and red = 3 or more. Stretches of 2 or more consecutive residues without any outliers are shown as green connectors. Residues which are classified as ill-defined in the NMR ensemble, are shown in cyan with an underline colour-coded according to the previous scheme. Residues which were present in the experimental sample, but not modelled in the final structure are shown in grey.

• Molecule 1: Gag protein

Chain A:	17%	83%	
L1 Q2 P5 P5 F6 F7 F8 F8 F8 F8 F8 F8 F8 F8 F8 F8 F8 F8 F8	A9 P10 E112 E112 F115 F115 E13 E19 E20	T21 T22 723 724 724 725 726 726 726 726 726 726 726 727 751 751 751 751 751 751 751 751 751	

## 4.2 Scores per residue for each member of the ensemble

Colouring as in section 4.1 above.

#### 4.2.1 Score per residue for model 1

• Molecule 1: Gag protein

Chain A: 13% . 83%

### 4.2.2 Score per residue for model 2

• Molecule 1: Gag protein






#### 4.2.3 Score per residue for model 3

• Molecule 1: Gag protein



#### 4.2.4 Score per residue for model 4

• Molecule 1: Gag protein



### 4.2.5 Score per residue for model 5

• Molecule 1: Gag protein

Chain A:	13% •	83%	
Г 22 77 73 73 73 73 73 73 73 73 73 73 74 74 75 75 75 75 75 75 75 75 75 75 75 75 75	A9 P10 E12 E12 F15 F15 F17 G18 G18	E19 E20 T21 T22 T22 T22 T22 T22 T22 T22 T22 T23 T23	

### 4.2.6 Score per residue for model 6

• Molecule 1: Gag protein



## 4.2.7 Score per residue for model 7


• Molecule 1: Gag protein

Chain A:	17%	83%
L1 22 83 75 77	T8 P10 E112 E113 S114 F113	F 410 618 618 618 619 620 723 723 723 723 723 723 723 723 723 723



### 4.2.8 Score per residue for model 8

• Molecule 1: Gag protein



#### 4.2.9 Score per residue for model 9 (medoid)

• Molecule 1: Gag protein

Chain A:	12%	6%	83%	
L1 Q2 83 P5 P5 P7	T8 P10 F11 E12 E13	814 715 715 717 618 618 721 721 722 723	P24 825 426 428 428 428 731 131 131 131 131 131 133 133 133 141	F45 G46 D48 D48 S50 S51 Q52 Q52

#### 4.2.10 Score per residue for model 10

• Molecule 1: Gag protein

Chain A:	15% •	83%
L1 202 432 432 432 432 432 432 432 432 432 43	6 7 1 1 2 1 3 2 1 1 0 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	E 20 E 21 172 172 172 172 172 172 172 1



# 5 Refinement protocol and experimental data overview (i)

The models were refined using the following method: *simulated annealing*.

Of the 200 calculated structures, 10 were deposited, based on the following criterion: *structures with the lowest energy*.

The following table shows the software used for structure solution, optimisation and refinement.

Software name	Classification	Version
ARIA	structure calculation	

The following table shows chemical shift validation statistics as aggregates over all chemical shift files. Detailed validation can be found in section 7 of this report.

Chemical shift file(s)	working_cs.cif
Number of chemical shift lists	1
Total number of shifts	291
Number of shifts mapped to atoms	291
Number of unparsed shifts	0
Number of shifts with mapping errors	0
Number of shifts with mapping warnings	0
Assignment completeness (well-defined parts)	56%



# 6 Model quality (i)

# 6.1 Standard geometry (i)

There are no covalent bond-length or bond-angle outliers.

There are no bond-length outliers.

There are no bond-angle outliers.

There are no chirality outliers.

There are no planarity outliers.

# 6.2 Too-close contacts (i)

In the following table, the Non-H and H(model) columns list the number of non-hydrogen atoms and hydrogen atoms in each chain respectively. The H(added) column lists the number of hydrogen atoms added and optimized by MolProbity. The Clashes column lists the number of clashes averaged over the ensemble.

Mol	Chain	Non-H	H(model)	H(added)	Clashes
1	А	71	77	77	1±1
All	All	710	770	770	10

The all-atom clashscore is defined as the number of clashes found per 1000 atoms (including hydrogen atoms). The all-atom clashscore for this structure is 7.

Atom 1	Atom-2	Clash(Å)	Distance(Å)	Models	
Atom-1	Atom-2	Clash(A)	Distance(A)	Worst	Total
1:A:36:TYR:N	1:A:37:PRO:HD2	0.52	2.20	1	3
1:A:37:PRO:HA	1:A:40:SER:OG	0.49	2.06	4	1
1:A:41:LEU:O	1:A:44:LEU:HG	0.49	2.08	2	1
1:A:37:PRO:O	1:A:41:LEU:HG	0.46	2.11	5	3
1:A:38:LEU:O	1:A:42:ARG:HG2	0.42	2.14	6	1
1:A:36:TYR:N	1:A:37:PRO:CD	0.40	2.85	4	1

All unique clashes are listed below, sorted by their clash magnitude.

# 6.3 Torsion angles (i)

### 6.3.1 Protein backbone (i)

In the following table, the Percentiles column shows the percent Ramachandran outliers of the chain as a percentile score with respect to all PDB entries followed by that with respect to all NMR



Mol	Chain	Analysed	Favoured	Allowed	Outliers	Percentiles
1	А	9/52~(17%)	9±0 (100±0%)	0±0 (0±0%)	0±0 (0±0%)	100 100
All	All	90/520~(17%)	90 (100%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	100 100

entries. The Analysed column shows the number of residues for which the backbone conformation was analysed and the total number of residues.

There are no Ramachandran outliers.

#### 6.3.2 Protein sidechains (i)

In the following table, the Percentiles column shows the percent side chain outliers of the chain as a percentile score with respect to all PDB entries followed by that with respect to all NMR entries. The Analysed column shows the number of residues for which the side chain conformation was analysed and the total number of residues.

Mol	Chain	Analysed	Rotameric	Outliers	Perce	entiles
1	А	8/48~(17%)	8±0 (100±0%)	0±0 (0±0%)	100	100
All	All	80/480~(17%)	80 (100%)	0 (0%)	100	100

There are no protein residues with a non-rotameric sidechain to report.

### 6.3.3 RNA (i)

There are no RNA molecules in this entry.

## 6.4 Non-standard residues in protein, DNA, RNA chains (i)

There are no non-standard protein/DNA/RNA residues in this entry.

## 6.5 Carbohydrates (i)

There are no monosaccharides in this entry.

## 6.6 Ligand geometry (i)

There are no ligands in this entry.



# 6.7 Other polymers (i)

There are no such molecules in this entry.

# 6.8 Polymer linkage issues (i)

There are no chain breaks in this entry.



# 7 Chemical shift validation (i)

The completeness of assignment taking into account all chemical shift lists is 56% for the well-defined parts and 43% for the entire structure.

# 7.1 Chemical shift list 1

File name: working\_cs.cif

Chemical shift list name: *starch\_output* 

## 7.1.1 Bookkeeping (i)

The following table shows the results of parsing the chemical shift list and reports the number of nuclei with statistically unusual chemical shifts.

Total number of shifts	291
Number of shifts mapped to atoms	291
Number of unparsed shifts	0
Number of shifts with mapping errors	0
Number of shifts with mapping warnings	0
Number of shift outliers (ShiftChecker)	0

### 7.1.2 Chemical shift referencing (i)

No chemical shift referencing corrections were calculated (not enough data).

### 7.1.3 Completeness of resonance assignments (i)

The following table shows the completeness of the chemical shift assignments for the well-defined regions of the structure. The overall completeness is 56%, i.e. 73 atoms were assigned a chemical shift out of a possible 131. 0 out of 3 assigned methyl groups (LEU and VAL) were assigned stereospecifically.

	Total	$^{1}\mathbf{H}$	$^{13}\mathrm{C}$	$^{15}\mathbf{N}$
Backbone	20/43~(47%)	17/17~(100%)	0/18~(0%)	3/8~(38%)
Sidechain	49/79~(62%)	49/53~(92%)	0/23~(0%)	0/3~(0%)
Aromatic	4/9~(44%)	4/4 (100%)	0/5~(0%)	0/0 (%)
Overall	73/131~(56%)	70/74~(95%)	0/46~(0%)	3/11~(27%)

The following table shows the completeness of the chemical shift assignments for the full structure. The overall completeness is 43%, i.e. 291 atoms were assigned a chemical shift out of a possible 680. 0 out of 5 assigned methyl groups (LEU and VAL) were assigned stereospecifically.



	Total	$^{1}\mathrm{H}$	$^{13}\mathrm{C}$	$^{15}\mathbf{N}$
Backbone	97/246~(39%)	91/98~(93%)	0/104 (0%)	6/44~(14%)
Sidechain	180/395~(46%)	180/253~(71%)	0/127~(0%)	0/15~(0%)
Aromatic	14/39~(36%)	14/19~(74%)	0/20~(0%)	0/0 (%)
Overall	291/680~(43%)	285/370~(77%)	0/251~(0%)	6/59~(10%)

#### 7.1.4 Statistically unusual chemical shifts (i)

There are no statistically unusual chemical shifts.

### 7.1.5 Random Coil Index (RCI) plots (i)

The image below reports *random coil index* values for the protein chains in the structure. The height of each bar gives a probability of a given residue to be disordered, as predicted from the available chemical shifts and the amino acid sequence. A value above 0.2 is an indication of significant predicted disorder. The colour of the bar shows whether the residue is in the well-defined core (black) or in the ill-defined residue ranges (cyan), as described in section 2 on ensemble composition. If well-defined core and ill-defined regions are not identified then it is shown as gray bars.

Random coil index (RCI) for chain A:

