



Full wwPDB NMR Structure Validation Report ⓘ

Feb 17, 2018 – 12:21 am GMT

PDB ID : 1SMZ
Title : Structure of Transportan in phospholipid bicellar solution
Authors : Barany-Wallje, E.; Andersson, A.; Maler, L.; Graslund, A.
Deposited on : 2004-03-10

This is a Full wwPDB NMR Structure Validation Report for a publicly released PDB entry.

We welcome your comments at validation@mail.wwpdb.org
A user guide is available at
<https://www.wwpdb.org/validation/2017/NMRValidationReportHelp>
with specific help available everywhere you see the ⓘ symbol.

The following versions of software and data (see [references ⓘ](#)) were used in the production of this report:

Cyrange : Kirchner and Güntert (2011)
NmrClust : Kelley et al. (1996)
MolProbity : 4.02b-467
Percentile statistics : 20171227.v01 (using entries in the PDB archive December 27th 2017)
RCI : v_1n_11_5_13_A (Berjanski et al., 2005)
PANAV : Wang et al. (2010)
ShiftChecker : trunk30686
Ideal geometry (proteins) : Engh & Huber (2001)
Ideal geometry (DNA, RNA) : Parkinson et al. (1996)
Validation Pipeline (wwPDB-VP) : trunk30686


1 Overall quality at a glance

The following experimental techniques were used to determine the structure:

SOLUTION NMR

The overall completeness of chemical shifts assignment is 48%.

Percentile scores (ranging between 0-100) for global validation metrics of the entry are shown in the following graphic. The table shows the number of entries on which the scores are based.



Metric	Whole archive (#Entries)	NMR archive (#Entries)
Clashscore	136279	12091
Ramachandran outliers	132675	10835
Sidechain outliers	132484	10811

The table below summarises the geometric issues observed across the polymeric chains and their fit to the experimental data. The red, orange, yellow and green segments indicate the fraction of residues that contain outliers for ≥ 3 , 2, 1 and 0 types of geometric quality criteria. A cyan segment indicates the fraction of residues that are not part of the well-defined cores, and a grey segment represents the fraction of residues that are not modelled. The numeric value for each fraction is indicated below the corresponding segment, with a dot representing fractions $\leq 5\%$

Mol	Chain	Length	Quality of chain
1	A	27	

2 Ensemble composition and analysis

This entry contains 25 models. Model 14 is the overall representative, medoid model (most similar to other models). The authors have identified model 1 as representative, based on the following criterion: *lowest energy*.

The following residues are included in the computation of the global validation metrics.

Well-defined (core) protein residues			
Well-defined core	Residue range (total)	Backbone RMSD (Å)	Medoid model
1	A:16-A:26 (11)	0.08	14

Ill-defined regions of proteins are excluded from the global statistics.

Ligands and non-protein polymers are included in the analysis.

NmrClust was unable to cluster the ensemble.

Error message: Inconsistent models

3 Entry composition

There is only 1 type of molecule in this entry. The entry contains 428 atoms, of which 228 are hydrogens and 0 are deuteriums.

- Molecule 1 is a protein called Transportan in bicellar solution with [DMPC]/[DHPC]=0.33.


Mol	Chain	Residues	Atoms					Trace
			Total	C	H	N	O	
1	A	27	428	134	228	34	32	0

4 Residue-property plots

4.1 Average score per residue in the NMR ensemble

These plots are provided for all protein, RNA and DNA chains in the entry. The first graphic is the same as shown in the summary in section 1 of this report. The second graphic shows the sequence where residues are colour-coded according to the number of geometric quality criteria for which they contain at least one outlier: green = 0, yellow = 1, orange = 2 and red = 3 or more. Stretches of 2 or more consecutive residues without any outliers are shown as green connectors. Residues which are classified as ill-defined in the NMR ensemble, are shown in cyan with an underline colour-coded according to the previous scheme. Residues which were present in the experimental sample, but not modelled in the final structure are shown in grey.

- Molecule 1: Transportan in bicellar solution with [DMPC]/[DHPC]=0.33




4.2 Scores per residue for each member of the ensemble

Colouring as in section 4.1 above.


4.2.1 Score per residue for model 1

- Molecule 1: Transportan in bicellar solution with [DMPC]/[DHPC]=0.33




4.2.2 Score per residue for model 2

- Molecule 1: Transportan in bicellar solution with [DMPC]/[DHPC]=0.33




4.2.3 Score per residue for model 3

- Molecule 1: Transportan in bicellar solution with $[DMPC]/[DHPC]=0.33$




4.2.4 Score per residue for model 4

- Molecule 1: Transportan in bicellar solution with $[DMPC]/[DHPC]=0.33$




4.2.5 Score per residue for model 5

- Molecule 1: Transportan in bicellar solution with $[DMPC]/[DHPC]=0.33$




4.2.6 Score per residue for model 6

- Molecule 1: Transportan in bicellar solution with $[DMPC]/[DHPC]=0.33$




4.2.7 Score per residue for model 7

- Molecule 1: Transportan in bicellar solution with $[DMPC]/[DHPC]=0.33$




4.2.8 Score per residue for model 8

- Molecule 1: Transportan in bicellar solution with $[DMPC]/[DHPC]=0.33$




4.2.9 Score per residue for model 9

- Molecule 1: Transportan in bicellar solution with $[DMPC]/[DHPC]=0.33$




4.2.10 Score per residue for model 10

- Molecule 1: Transportan in bicellar solution with $[DMPC]/[DHPC]=0.33$




4.2.11 Score per residue for model 11

- Molecule 1: Transportan in bicellar solution with $[DMPC]/[DHPC]=0.33$




4.2.12 Score per residue for model 12

- Molecule 1: Transportan in bicellar solution with $[DMPC]/[DHPC]=0.33$




4.2.13 Score per residue for model 13

- Molecule 1: Transportan in bicellar solution with [DMPC]/[DHPC]=0.33




4.2.14 Score per residue for model 14 (medoid)

- Molecule 1: Transportan in bicellar solution with [DMPC]/[DHPC]=0.33




4.2.15 Score per residue for model 15

- Molecule 1: Transportan in bicellar solution with [DMPC]/[DHPC]=0.33




4.2.16 Score per residue for model 16

- Molecule 1: Transportan in bicellar solution with [DMPC]/[DHPC]=0.33




4.2.17 Score per residue for model 17

- Molecule 1: Transportan in bicellar solution with [DMPC]/[DHPC]=0.33




4.2.18 Score per residue for model 18

- Molecule 1: Transportan in bicellar solution with $[DMPC]/[DHPC]=0.33$




4.2.19 Score per residue for model 19

- Molecule 1: Transportan in bicellar solution with $[DMPC]/[DHPC]=0.33$




4.2.20 Score per residue for model 20

- Molecule 1: Transportan in bicellar solution with $[DMPC]/[DHPC]=0.33$




4.2.21 Score per residue for model 21

- Molecule 1: Transportan in bicellar solution with $[DMPC]/[DHPC]=0.33$




4.2.22 Score per residue for model 22

- Molecule 1: Transportan in bicellar solution with $[DMPC]/[DHPC]=0.33$




4.2.23 Score per residue for model 23

- Molecule 1: Transportan in bicellar solution with [DMPC]/[DHPC]=0.33




4.2.24 Score per residue for model 24

- Molecule 1: Transportan in bicellar solution with [DMPC]/[DHPC]=0.33



4.2.25 Score per residue for model 25

- Molecule 1: Transportan in bicellar solution with [DMPC]/[DHPC]=0.33



5 Refinement protocol and experimental data overview

The models were refined using the following method: *simulated annealing*.

Of the 60 calculated structures, 25 were deposited, based on the following criterion: *The submitted conformer models are the 25 structures with the lowest energy.*

The following table shows the software used for structure solution, optimisation and refinement.

Software name	Classification	Version
DYANA	structure solution	1.5
SUPPOSE	refinement	

The following table shows chemical shift validation statistics as aggregates over all chemical shift files. Detailed validation can be found in section 7 of this report.

Chemical shift file(s)	BMRB entry 6151
Number of chemical shift lists	1
Total number of shifts	201
Number of shifts mapped to atoms	195
Number of unparsed shifts	0
Number of shifts with mapping errors	6
Number of shifts with mapping warnings	0
Assignment completeness (well-defined parts)	48%

No validations of the models with respect to experimental NMR restraints is performed at this time.

6 Model quality i

6.1 Standard geometry i

There are no covalent bond-length or bond-angle outliers.

There are no bond-length outliers.

There are no bond-angle outliers.

There are no chirality outliers.

There are no planarity outliers.

6.2 Too-close contacts i

In the following table, the Non-H and H(model) columns list the number of non-hydrogen atoms and hydrogen atoms in each chain respectively. The H(added) column lists the number of hydrogen atoms added and optimized by MolProbity. The Clashes column lists the number of clashes averaged over the ensemble.

Mol	Chain	Non-H	H(model)	H(added)	Clashes
1	A	79	103	103	8±1
All	All	1975	2575	2575	207

The all-atom clashscore is defined as the number of clashes found per 1000 atoms (including hydrogen atoms). The all-atom clashscore for this structure is 45.

All unique clashes are listed below, sorted by their clash magnitude.

Atom-1	Atom-2	Clash(Å)	Distance(Å)	Models	
				Worst	Total
1:A:16:LEU:HD23	1:A:17:LYS:N	0.88	1.84	24	1
1:A:16:LEU:HD22	1:A:16:LEU:O	0.87	1.69	12	3
1:A:19:LEU:HD23	1:A:20:ALA:N	0.84	1.88	11	18
1:A:19:LEU:HD12	1:A:22:LEU:HD23	0.75	1.59	15	1
1:A:22:LEU:HD12	1:A:22:LEU:C	0.74	2.03	22	17
1:A:22:LEU:C	1:A:22:LEU:HD12	0.74	2.02	12	8
1:A:19:LEU:HD23	1:A:19:LEU:C	0.71	2.05	1	8
1:A:19:LEU:C	1:A:19:LEU:HD23	0.71	2.06	25	7
1:A:16:LEU:HD12	1:A:16:LEU:C	0.69	2.07	21	1
1:A:19:LEU:CD1	1:A:22:LEU:HD21	0.68	2.17	5	4
1:A:19:LEU:HD12	1:A:22:LEU:CD2	0.62	2.24	5	5
1:A:19:LEU:HD12	1:A:22:LEU:HD21	0.60	1.73	5	1
1:A:22:LEU:HD12	1:A:22:LEU:O	0.58	1.98	8	12
1:A:26:ILE:HD12	1:A:26:ILE:C	0.57	2.19	21	2

Continued on next page...

Continued from previous page...

Atom-1	Atom-2	Clash(Å)	Distance(Å)	Models	
				Worst	Total
1:A:26:ILE:C	1:A:26:ILE:HD12	0.56	2.21	4	3
1:A:16:LEU:HD22	1:A:16:LEU:C	0.56	2.21	12	3
1:A:22:LEU:O	1:A:26:ILE:HG23	0.54	2.03	18	2
1:A:22:LEU:CD1	1:A:22:LEU:C	0.53	2.77	6	13
1:A:22:LEU:C	1:A:22:LEU:CD1	0.51	2.79	24	11
1:A:19:LEU:CD2	1:A:19:LEU:C	0.50	2.80	9	5
1:A:22:LEU:O	1:A:26:ILE:CG1	0.50	2.60	20	6
1:A:25:LYS:CG	1:A:26:ILE:N	0.49	2.76	8	14
1:A:22:LEU:O	1:A:22:LEU:HD12	0.49	2.08	19	8
1:A:19:LEU:O	1:A:23:ALA:CB	0.49	2.60	8	1
1:A:19:LEU:CD2	1:A:20:ALA:N	0.48	2.72	11	2
1:A:20:ALA:O	1:A:24:LYS:CG	0.48	2.61	21	3
1:A:16:LEU:CD1	1:A:16:LEU:C	0.47	2.79	21	1
1:A:19:LEU:CD1	1:A:22:LEU:CD2	0.46	2.89	5	2
1:A:19:LEU:O	1:A:22:LEU:N	0.45	2.50	12	7
1:A:16:LEU:HD23	1:A:17:LYS:H	0.45	1.66	24	1
1:A:24:LYS:CG	1:A:25:LYS:N	0.45	2.80	11	2
1:A:19:LEU:O	1:A:23:ALA:N	0.44	2.47	10	16
1:A:19:LEU:C	1:A:19:LEU:CD2	0.44	2.79	25	10
1:A:19:LEU:HD13	1:A:22:LEU:HD21	0.43	1.90	8	1
1:A:19:LEU:HD23	1:A:20:ALA:CA	0.42	2.45	11	1
1:A:19:LEU:C	1:A:21:ALA:N	0.41	2.74	17	5
1:A:25:LYS:HG3	1:A:26:ILE:HG23	0.41	1.93	12	1
1:A:20:ALA:O	1:A:24:LYS:CD	0.40	2.69	21	1

6.3 Torsion angles [i](#)

6.3.1 Protein backbone [i](#)

In the following table, the Percentiles column shows the percent Ramachandran outliers of the chain as a percentile score with respect to all PDB entries followed by that with respect to all NMR entries. The Analysed column shows the number of residues for which the backbone conformation was analysed and the total number of residues.

Mol	Chain	Analysed	Favoured	Allowed	Outliers	Percentiles	
1	A	11/27 (41%)	11±0 (97±4%)	0±0 (3±4%)	0±0 (0±0%)	100	100
All	All	275/675 (41%)	268 (97%)	7 (3%)	0 (0%)	100	100

There are no Ramachandran outliers.

6.3.2 Protein sidechains [i](#)

In the following table, the Percentiles column shows the percent sidechain outliers of the chain as a percentile score with respect to all PDB entries followed by that with respect to all NMR entries. The Analysed column shows the number of residues for which the sidechain conformation was analysed and the total number of residues.

Mol	Chain	Analysed	Rotameric	Outliers	Percentiles
1	A	7/19 (37%)	3±1 (46±13%)	4±1 (54±13%)	0 1
All	All	175/475 (37%)	80 (46%)	95 (54%)	0 1

All 6 unique residues with a non-rotameric sidechain are listed below. They are sorted by the frequency of occurrence in the ensemble.

Mol	Chain	Res	Type	Models (Total)
1	A	22	LEU	25
1	A	16	LEU	24
1	A	19	LEU	23
1	A	25	LYS	12
1	A	24	LYS	6
1	A	17	LYS	5

6.3.3 RNA [i](#)

There are no RNA molecules in this entry.

6.4 Non-standard residues in protein, DNA, RNA chains [i](#)

There are no non-standard protein/DNA/RNA residues in this entry.

6.5 Carbohydrates [i](#)

There are no carbohydrates in this entry.

6.6 Ligand geometry [i](#)

There are no ligands in this entry.

6.7 Other polymers [i](#)

There are no such molecules in this entry.

6.8 Polymer linkage issues

There are no chain breaks in this entry.

7 Chemical shift validation i

The completeness of assignment taking into account all chemical shift lists is 48% for the well-defined parts and 46% for the entire structure.

7.1 Chemical shift list 1

File name: BMRB entry 6151

Chemical shift list name: *assigned_chem_shift_list_1*

7.1.1 Bookkeeping i

The following table shows the results of parsing the chemical shift list and reports the number of nuclei with statistically unusual chemical shifts.

Total number of shifts	201
Number of shifts mapped to atoms	195
Number of unparsed shifts	0
Number of shifts with mapping errors	6
Number of shifts with mapping warnings	0
Number of shift outliers (ShiftChecker)	0

The following assigned chemical shifts were not mapped to the molecules present in the coordinate file.

- Residue not found in structure. All 6 occurrences are reported below.

Chain	Res	Type	Atom	Shift Data		
				Value	Uncertainty	Ambiguity
A	27	LEU_NH2	H	7.79	0.02	1
A	27	LEU_NH2	HD1	0.88	0.02	2
A	27	LEU_NH2	HB2	1.82	0.02	2
A	27	LEU_NH2	HG	1.54	0.02	1
A	27	LEU_NH2	HD2	0.88	0.02	2
A	27	LEU_NH2	HA	4.0	0.02	1

7.1.2 Chemical shift referencing i

No chemical shift referencing corrections were calculated (not enough data).

7.1.3 Completeness of resonance assignments [i](#)

The following table shows the completeness of the chemical shift assignments for the well-defined regions of the structure. The overall completeness is 48%, i.e. 66 atoms were assigned a chemical shift out of a possible 138. 0 out of 3 assigned methyl groups (LEU and VAL) were assigned stereospecifically.

	Total	¹H	¹³C	¹⁵N
Backbone	22/55 (40%)	22/22 (100%)	0/22 (0%)	0/11 (0%)
Sidechain	44/83 (53%)	44/48 (92%)	0/32 (0%)	0/3 (0%)
Aromatic	0/0 (—%)	0/0 (—%)	0/0 (—%)	0/0 (—%)
Overall	66/138 (48%)	66/70 (94%)	0/54 (0%)	0/14 (0%)

The following table shows the completeness of the chemical shift assignments for the full structure. The overall completeness is 46%, i.e. 149 atoms were assigned a chemical shift out of a possible 325. 0 out of 7 assigned methyl groups (LEU and VAL) were assigned stereospecifically.

	Total	¹H	¹³C	¹⁵N
Backbone	51/135 (38%)	51/54 (94%)	0/54 (0%)	0/27 (0%)
Sidechain	88/170 (52%)	88/98 (90%)	0/66 (0%)	0/6 (0%)
Aromatic	10/20 (50%)	10/10 (100%)	0/9 (0%)	0/1 (0%)
Overall	149/325 (46%)	149/162 (92%)	0/129 (0%)	0/34 (0%)

7.1.4 Statistically unusual chemical shifts [i](#)

There are no statistically unusual chemical shifts.

7.1.5 Random Coil Index (RCI) plots [i](#)

The image below reports *random coil index* values for the protein chains in the structure. The height of each bar gives a probability of a given residue to be disordered, as predicted from the available chemical shifts and the amino acid sequence. A value above 0.2 is an indication of significant predicted disorder. The colour of the bar shows whether the residue is in the well-defined core (black) or in the ill-defined residue ranges (cyan), as described in section 2 on ensemble composition.

Random coil index (RCI) for chain A:

