

**Summary of integrative structure determination of Integrative model of the wild type yeast nuclear pore complex (PDB ID: 9A0F | pdb\_00009a0f, PDB-Dev ID: PDBDEV\_0000051 )**

<b>1. Model Composition</b>	
<a href="#">1.1. Entry composition</a>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Dyn2: chain(s) 91, 92 (92 residues)</li> <li>- Nic96: chain(s) A1, A2, A3, A4 (839 residues)</li> <li>- Nup188: chain(s) B1, B2 (1655 residues)</li> <li>- Nup192: chain(s) C1, C2 (1683 residues)</li> <li>- Nup157: chain(s) D1, D2 (1391 residues)</li> <li>- Nup57: chain(s) H1, H2, H3, H4 (541 residues)</li> <li>- Nup49: chain(s) I1, I2, I3, I4 (472 residues)</li> <li>- Nsp1: chain(s) J1, J2, J3, J4, J5, J6 (823 residues)</li> <li>- Nup133: chain(s) K1, K2 (1157 residues)</li> <li>- Nup84: chain(s) L1, L2 (726 residues)</li> <li>- Nup145c: chain(s) M1, M2 (712 residues)</li> <li>- Sec13: chain(s) N1, N2 (297 residues)</li> <li>- Seh1: chain(s) O1, O2 (349 residues)</li> <li>- Nup85: chain(s) P1, P2 (744 residues)</li> <li>- Nup120: chain(s) R1, R2 (1037 residues)</li> <li>- Nup159: chain(s) V1, V2 (1460 residues)</li> <li>- Nup82: chain(s) W1, W2 (713 residues)</li> <li>- Nup170: chain(s) d1, d2 (1502 residues)</li> </ul>
<a href="#">1.2. Datasets used for modeling</a>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- 3DEM volume, EMDB: <a href="#">EMD-10198</a></li> <li>- 3DEM volume, Zenodo: <a href="#">10.5281/zenodo.3820319</a></li> <li>- 3DEM volume, Zenodo: <a href="#">10.5281/zenodo.3820319</a></li> <li>- Experimental model, PDB: <a href="#">pdb_00004xmm</a></li> <li>- Comparative model, Zenodo: <a href="#">10.5281/zenodo.1194547</a></li> <li>- Comparative model, Zenodo: <a href="#">10.5281/zenodo.1194547</a></li> <li>- Integrative model, PDB: <a href="#">pdb_00008zza</a></li> <li>- Integrative model, PDB: <a href="#">pdb_00008zza</a></li> <li>- Integrative model, PDB: <a href="#">pdb_00008zza</a></li> <li>- Other, Not available: <a href="https://doi.org/10.1038/nsmb1194">https://doi.org/10.1038/nsmb1194</a></li> </ul>
<b>2. Representation</b>	
<a href="#">2.1. Number of representations</a>	1
<a href="#">2.2. Scale</a>	Atomic
<a href="#">2.3. Number of rigid and flexible segments</a>	0, 46
<b>3. Restraints</b>	
<a href="#">3.1. Physical principles</a>	Information about physical principles was not provided
<a href="#">3.2. Experimental data</a>	- 1 unique InnerSurfaceGeometricRestraint: None
<b>4. Validation</b>	
<a href="#">4.2. Number of ensembles</a>	0
<a href="#">4.3. Number of models in ensembles</a>	Not applicable
<a href="#">4.4. Number of deposited models</a>	1

<a href="#">4.5. Model precision</a>	Not available
<a href="#">4.6. Data quality</a>	EMD-10198: resolution is 25.00 Å
<a href="#">4.7. Model quality: assessment of atomic segments</a>	- Clashscore: 0.00 - Ramachandran outliers: 1068 - Sidechain outliers: 1409
<a href="#">4.8. Fit to data used for modeling</a>	Fit of model to information used to compute it has not been determined
<a href="#">4.9. Fit to data used for validation</a>	Fit of model to information not used to compute it has not been determined
<b>5. Methodology and Software</b>	
1. <a href="#">5.1. Method name</a>	Systematic fitting to EM maps with Global search from UCSF Chimera
<a href="#">5.2. Method type</a>	Systematic fitting of CR Y-complex, NR Y-complex, IR asymmetric unit and P-complex rigid bodies
2. <a href="#">5.1. Method name</a>	Monte Carlo simulated annealing optimization of multiple rigid bodies with IMP
<a href="#">5.2. Method type</a>	Monte Carlo simulated annealing optimization for CR Y-complex, NR Y-complex and IR asymmetric unit
<a href="#">5.5. Software</a>	- <a href="#">Integrative Modeling Platform (IMP)</a> (version 2.9.0) - <a href="#">UCSF Chimera</a> (version 1.14)