

Integrative Structure Validation Report

October 09, 2025 - 04:51 PM PDT

The following software was used in the production of this report:

IHMValidation Version 3.0

Python-IHM Version 2.5

MolProbity Version 4.5.2

PDB ID	9A9Z pdb_00009a9z
Structure Title	Hypoxia Inducible Factor 1 complex bound to a TACC3 fragment (758-838)
Structure Authors	Closson, J.D.; Tiyani, T.T.; Gardner, K.H.
Deposited on	2025-05-19

This is a PDB-IHM Structure Validation Report.

We welcome your comments at helpdesk@pdb-ihm.org

A user guide is available at https://pdb-ihm.org/validation_help.html with specific help available everywhere you see the  symbol.

List of references used to build this report is available [here](#).

1. Overview

1.1. Summary


This entry consists of 1 model(s). A total of 3 dataset(s) were used to build this entry.

Name	Type	Count
3DEM volume	Experimental data	1
De Novo model	Starting model	1
Experimental model	Starting model	1

1.2. Overall quality ?

This validation report contains model quality assessments for all structures, data quality and fit to model assessments for SAS and crosslinking-MS datasets. Data quality and fit to model assessments for other datasets and model uncertainty are under development. Number of plots is limited to 256.

Model Quality: MolProbity Analysis ?



2. Model Details ?

2.1. Ensemble information ?

This entry consists of 0 distinct ensemble(s).

2.2. Representation ?

This entry has 1 representation(s).

ID	Model(s)	Entity ID	Molecule name	Chain(s) [auth]	Total residues	Rigid segments	Flexible segments	Model coverage/ Starting model coverage (%)	Scale
1	1	1	Aryl hydrocarbon receptor nuclear translocator	A	384	-	1-384	100.00 / 100.00	Atomic
		2	Hypoxia inducible factor 1-alpha	B	345	-	1-345	100.00 / 100.00	Atomic
		3	20 bp hypoxia response element (forward)	C	21	-	1-21	100.00 / 100.00	Atomic
		4	20 bp hypoxia response element (reverse)	D	21	-	1-21	100.00 / 100.00	Atomic
		5	Transforming acidic coiled-coil containing protein 3	E	46	-	1-46	100.00 / 100.00	Atomic
F									

2.3. Datasets used for modeling ?

There are 3 unique datasets used to build the models in this entry.

ID	Dataset type	Database name	Data access code
1	3DEM volume	Zenodo	10.5281/zenodo.15578993
3	Experimental model	PDB	pdb_00004zpr
4	De Novo model	AlphaFoldDB	AF-Q9Y6A5-F1

2.4. Methodology and software ?

This entry is a result of 1 distinct protocol(s).

Step number	Protocol ID	Method name	Method type	Method description	Number of computed models	Multi state modeling	Multi scale modeling
1	1	CTF correction/Particle picking	Not available	WARP was used for CTF correction of micrographs and neural-network based particle picking	Not available	False	False
2	1	Particle classification/EM map reconstruction/Refinement	Not available	CryoSPARC was used to sort picked particles through 2D classification and reconstruct 3D EM maps	Not available	False	False
3	1	Model building	Not available	ChimeraX was used to rigidly fit a HIF-1 structure (4ZPR) into the resulting EM map.	Not available	False	False
4	1	Rigid Docking	Not available	PHENIX dock-in-map was used to rigidly dock a TACC3 fragment against HIF-1 in unoccupied EM density	Not available	False	False

There are 4 software packages reported in this entry.

ID	Software name	Software version	Software classification	Software location
1	WARP	1.0.9	Particle Picking, CTF correction	https://github.com/warpem/warp
2	CryoSPARC	4.0.2	Data Processing	https://guide.cryosparc.com/
3	ChimeraX	1.90	Model Building	https://www.rbvi.ucsf.edu/chimerax/
4	PHENIX	1.20.1_4487	Validation, Docking	https://phenix-online.org/

3. Data quality ?

3.3. 3DEM ?

This section describes quality of the 3DEM datasets

3DEM dataset is not available in the [EMDB](#) database.

4. Model quality ?

For models with atomic structures, MolProbity analysis is performed. For models with coarse-grained or multi-scale structures, excluded volume analysis is performed.

4.1b. MolProbity Analysis ?

Excluded volume satisfaction for the models in the entry are listed below. The Analysed column shows the number of particle-particle or particle-atom pairs for which excluded volume was analysed.

Standard geometry: bond outliers ?

There are 39 bond length outliers in this entry (0.65% of 5966 assessed bonds). A summary is provided below.

Chain	Res	Type	Atoms	Z	Observed (Å)	Ideal (Å)	Model ID (Worst)	Models (Total)
E	36	CYS	C-N	9.13	1.20	1.33	1	1
F	36	CYS	C-N	8.64	1.21	1.33	1	1
E	34	ARG	C-N	8.20	1.21	1.33	1	1
F	40	ILE	C-N	8.15	1.21	1.33	1	1
E	40	ILE	C-N	7.61	1.22	1.33	1	1
F	12	GLN	C-N	7.53	1.22	1.33	1	1
F	34	ARG	C-N	7.52	1.22	1.33	1	1
E	38	ASP	C-N	7.40	1.23	1.33	1	1
F	38	ASP	C-N	7.33	1.23	1.33	1	1
E	41	SER	C-N	7.08	1.23	1.33	1	1
B	293	TYR	C-N	7.04	1.23	1.33	1	1
E	12	GLN	C-N	6.81	1.23	1.33	1	1
F	44	GLU	C-N	6.81	1.23	1.33	1	1
F	41	SER	C-N	6.76	1.23	1.33	1	1
F	25	LYS	C-N	6.15	1.24	1.33	1	1
E	4	LEU	C-N	6.05	1.24	1.33	1	1
E	44	GLU	C-N	5.98	1.25	1.33	1	1
E	25	LYS	C-N	5.88	1.25	1.33	1	1
E	43	MET	C-N	5.82	1.25	1.33	1	1
F	4	LEU	C-N	5.67	1.25	1.33	1	1
E	13	MET	C-N	5.32	1.25	1.33	1	1
F	42	LYS	C-N	5.20	1.26	1.33	1	1
E	11	GLU	C-N	5.11	1.26	1.33	1	1
F	9	ARG	C-N	4.97	1.26	1.33	1	1
F	13	MET	C-N	4.91	1.26	1.33	1	1
E	42	LYS	C-N	4.91	1.26	1.33	1	1
F	39	LEU	C-N	4.88	1.26	1.33	1	1
F	11	GLU	C-N	4.76	1.26	1.33	1	1
E	31	GLU	C-N	4.74	1.26	1.33	1	1
E	9	ARG	C-N	4.68	1.26	1.33	1	1
F	37	ASP	C-N	4.58	1.26	1.33	1	1
F	32	LEU	C-N	4.52	1.27	1.33	1	1
F	43	MET	C-N	4.43	1.27	1.33	1	1
A	46	PRO	N-CD	4.37	1.53	1.47	1	1

Chain	Res	Type	Atoms	Z	Observed (Å)	Ideal (Å)	Model ID (Worst)	Models (Total)
F	31	GLU	C-N	4.35	1.27	1.33	1	1
E	39	LEU	C-N	4.31	1.27	1.33	1	1
E	37	ASP	C-N	4.30	1.27	1.33	1	1
F	35	ILE	C-N	4.15	1.27	1.33	1	1
E	8	LEU	C-N	4.02	1.27	1.33	1	1

Standard geometry: angle outliers ?

There are 6 bond angle outliers in this entry (0.07% of 8199 assessed bonds). A summary is provided below.

Chain	Res	Type	Atoms	Z	Observed (Å)	Ideal (Å)	Model ID (Worst)	Models (Total)
B	293	TYR	C-CA-CB	7.78	95.32	110.10	1	1
A	45	LYS	N-CA-C	6.79	130.02	111.00	1	1
A	125	PHE	N-CA-C	4.79	97.59	111.00	1	1
B	36	HIS	C-CA-CB	4.50	118.65	110.10	1	1
B	335	GLN	N-CA-C	4.23	122.84	111.00	1	1
B	293	TYR	N-CA-C	4.05	122.33	111.00	1	1

Too-close contacts ?

The following all-atom clashscore is based on a MolProbity analysis. All-atom clashscore is defined as the number of clashes found per 1000 atoms (including hydrogen atoms). The table below contains clashscores for all atomic models in this entry.

Model ID	Clash score	Number of clashes
1	16.90	189

There are 189 clashes. The table below contains the detailed list of all clashes based on a MolProbity analysis. Bad clashes are ≥ 0.4 Angstrom. The output is limited to 100 rows.

Atom 1	Atom 2	Clash(Å)	Model ID (Worst)	Models (Total)
A:134:HIS:CD2	A:135:PRO:HD2	1.36	1	1
A:25:MET:O	A:29:ILE:HG12	1.26	1	1
B:240:PHE:HB2	B:259:LEU:O	1.14	1	1
A:134:HIS:HD2	A:135:PRO:HD2	0.94	1	1
B:107:TYR:CE1	B:214:PRO:HG3	0.90	1	1
A:183:PHE:CE1	A:228:CYS:SG	0.89	1	1
A:134:HIS:CD2	A:135:PRO:CD	0.85	1	1
A:281:THR:HG21	E:46:ILE:O	0.85	1	1
A:29:ILE:HD13	B:48:MET:HE2	0.84	1	1
B:240:PHE:CB	B:259:LEU:O	0.83	1	1
A:183:PHE:CD1	A:228:CYS:SG	0.83	1	1

Atom 1	Atom 2	Clash(Å)	Model ID (Worst)	Models (Total)
A:346:MET:HG2	A:360:ARG:NH1	0.81	1	1
B:50:LEU:HA	B:53:SER:OG	0.80	1	1
B:287:GLN:O	B:288:VAL:HG23	0.77	1	1
B:18:ARG:NH2	D:13:DG:N7	0.74	1	1
B:293:TYR:HB2	B:305:VAL:HB	0.74	1	1
B:107:TYR:O	B:109:GLY:N	0.73	1	1
A:106:VAL:HG13	A:125:PHE:O	0.72	1	1
A:22:ARG:NH2	C:11:DG:O6	0.72	1	1
A:98:ILE:HG23	A:258:VAL:HG22	0.71	1	1
B:162:THR:O	B:162:THR:HG23	0.69	1	1
A:286:ARG:HB2	A:295:PHE:HB3	0.69	1	1
E:22:VAL:HG13	F:25:LYS:HE3	0.69	1	1
A:346:MET:HG2	A:360:ARG:CZ	0.69	1	1
B:287:GLN:O	B:288:VAL:CG2	0.68	1	1
A:134:HIS:HD2	A:135:PRO:CD	0.68	1	1
B:238:MET:SD	B:279:HIS:NE2	0.67	1	1
E:25:LYS:HE3	F:22:VAL:HG13	0.67	1	1
E:15:ILE:CD1	F:11:GLU:OE1	0.66	1	1
B:107:TYR:CD1	B:214:PRO:HG3	0.65	1	1
A:137:ASP:OD2	A:186:ARG:HD3	0.65	1	1
E:11:GLU:OE1	F:15:ILE:CD1	0.65	1	1
B:247:ILE:HD12	B:255:PRO:HG3	0.65	1	1
B:108:MET:HE1	B:178:LYS:HG2	0.65	1	1
A:106:VAL:CG1	A:125:PHE:O	0.64	1	1
A:284:ILE:HG22	A:297:ASP:HB2	0.64	1	1
B:53:SER:HB3	B:117:GLY:HA3	0.64	1	1
A:284:ILE:HD11	A:378:ILE:HG23	0.62	1	1
B:282:MET:HG2	B:288:VAL:HG21	0.62	1	1
C:19:DC:H2"	C:20:DG:H5"	0.62	1	1
A:342:VAL:HA	A:364:PHE:HB3	0.62	1	1
A:368:ASN:O	A:372:ASP:N	0.61	1	1
B:184:GLY:HA3	B:210:LEU:HD23	0.60	1	1
B:128:ASP:OD2	B:158:ARG:HB2	0.60	1	1
A:140:LYS:HD2	B:228:LYS:HB2	0.60	1	1
A:134:HIS:CG	A:135:PRO:HD2	0.60	1	1

Atom 1	Atom 2	Clash(Å)	Model ID (Worst)	Models (Total)
B:217:HIS:HD2	B:219:SER:HB3	0.59	1	1
B:153:ARG:HE	B:186:ILE:HD11	0.59	1	1
B:217:HIS:CD2	B:219:SER:CB	0.58	1	1
B:92:THR:OG1	B:94:ASP:OD1	0.58	1	1
B:97:MET:HE3	B:120:VAL:HA	0.58	1	1
B:217:HIS:CD2	B:219:SER:HB3	0.58	1	1
B:287:GLN:C	B:288:VAL:HG23	0.58	1	1
A:56:VAL:HG12	B:28:LEU:HD13	0.58	1	1
A:99:VAL:HG12	A:106:VAL:HG23	0.57	1	1
A:338:LEU:HB3	A:341:GLN:HG3	0.57	1	1
A:262:ARG:NH1	B:213:GLU:OE2	0.56	1	1
B:98:VAL:HA	B:117:GLY:HA2	0.56	1	1
B:274:HIS:HA	B:277:LYS:HD3	0.55	1	1
B:322:CYS:SG	B:323:ILE:N	0.55	1	1
A:25:MET:O	A:29:ILE:CG1	0.55	1	1
A:29:ILE:HD13	B:48:MET:CE	0.55	1	1
A:332:PHE:HA	A:335:VAL:HG22	0.55	1	1
B:238:MET:HE3	B:263:ILE:HB	0.55	1	1
B:260:GLY:O	B:261:ARG:HG2	0.55	1	1
C:1:DG:H2'	C:2:DG:C8	0.54	1	1
A:320:CYS:HA	A:347:PHE:CZ	0.54	1	1
B:233:ARG:HB3	B:242:TYR:HB3	0.54	1	1
A:29:ILE:O	A:33:SER:OG	0.53	1	1
C:12:DT:H2"	C:13:DG:C8	0.53	1	1
B:240:PHE:HB2	B:259:LEU:C	0.53	1	1
A:11:ARG:NH2	C:12:DT:OP1	0.53	1	1
C:8:DT:N3	D:15:DA:N1	0.53	1	1
A:283:PHE:HB2	A:297:ASP:HB3	0.52	1	1
A:29:ILE:CD1	B:48:MET:HE2	0.52	1	1
B:100:ILE:HG23	B:104:VAL:HG21	0.52	1	1
A:288:ASN:N	A:292:ILE:O	0.52	1	1
A:21:ARG:O	A:24:LYS:HG2	0.52	1	1
A:335:VAL:HG13	A:343:LEU:HD23	0.51	1	1
A:307:GLN:O	A:310:GLU:HG2	0.51	1	1
B:215:ILE:HD13	B:287:GLN:NE2	0.51	1	1

Atom 1	Atom 2	Clash(Å)	Model ID (Worst)	Models (Total)
A:84:LEU:HD21	B:81:LEU:HD22	0.51	1	1
A:367:GLN:HB2	A:372:ASP:C	0.51	1	1
A:346:MET:SD	A:360:ARG:NH2	0.51	1	1
A:288:ASN:OD1	A:289:ILE:N	0.51	1	1
B:97:MET:HE2	B:120:VAL:HG23	0.51	1	1
B:289:THR:HG22	B:308:GLN:HG2	0.50	1	1
A:336:VAL:HA	A:365:THR:HG21	0.50	1	1
A:346:MET:CG	A:360:ARG:CZ	0.50	1	1
A:105:ARG:HA	A:128:THR:HA	0.50	1	1
B:128:ASP:O	B:128:ASP:OD1	0.50	1	1
B:217:HIS:CD2	B:219:SER:HB2	0.50	1	1
A:84:LEU:O	A:88:ILE:HG12	0.49	1	1
B:244:ASP:H	B:247:ILE:HD11	0.49	1	1
A:8:ARG:O	A:12:GLU:HG2	0.49	1	1
A:331:SER:HB2	A:345:VAL:HG11	0.49	1	1
B:160:LYS:HG3	B:177:TRP:CE3	0.49	1	1
C:8:DT:H2"	C:9:DA:H8	0.49	1	1
A:22:ARG:O	A:26:THR:HG23	0.49	1	1
B:233:ARG:HD3	B:242:TYR:HB3	0.49	1	1

Torsion angles: Protein backbone ?

In the following table, Ramachandran outliers are listed. The Analysed column shows the number of residues for which the backbone conformation was analysed.

Model ID	Analysed	Favored	Allowed	Outliers
1	570	484	84	2

There are 2 unique backbone outliers. Detailed list of outliers are tabulated below.

Chain	Res	Type	Models (Total)
B	108	MET	1
B	259	LEU	1

Torsion angles : Protein sidechains ?

In the following table, sidechain rotameric outliers are listed. The Analysed column shows the number of residues for which the sidechain conformation was analysed.

Model ID	Analysed	Favored	Allowed	Outliers
1	561	497	53	11

There are 11 unique sidechain outliers. Detailed list of outliers are tabulated below.

Chain	Res	Type	Models (Total)
A	107	VAL	1
A	184	ILE	1
B	100	ILE	1
B	186	ILE	1
B	215	ILE	1
B	221	ILE	1
B	231	LEU	1
B	234	HIS	1
B	238	MET	1
B	263	ILE	1
B	279	HIS	1

5. Fit to Data Used for Modeling Assessment

5.3. 3DEM

This section describes fit of models to the 3DEM data. Only results for the representative model, selected as a first model with the largest number of asymmetric units.

3DEM dataset is not available in the [EMDB](#) database.

6. Fit to Data Used for Validation Assessment

Validation for this section is under development.

Acknowledgments

The development of integrative model validation metrics, implementation of a model validation pipeline, and creation of a validation report for integrative structures are funded by NSF awards to the [PDB-IHM team](#) (DBI-1756248, DBI-2112966, DBI-2112967, DBI-2112968, and DBI-1756250) and awards from NSF, NIH, and DOE to the [RCSB PDB](#) (DBI-2321666, R01GM157729, and DE-SC0019749). The PDB-IHM team and members of the [Sali lab](#) contributed model validation metrics and software packages.

Dr. Jill Trewhella, Dr. Dina Schneidman, and members of the [SASBDB](#) repository are acknowledged for their advice and support in implementing SAS validation methods. Team members from the labs of Dr. Juri Rappsilber; Dr. Alexander Leitner; Dr. Andrea Graziadei, and members of [PRIDE](#) database are acknowledged for their advice and support in implementing crosslinking-MS validation methods. We are grateful to Dr. Shruthi Viswanath for discussions about uncertainty assessment of integrative structural models.

Members of the wwPDB Integrative/Hybrid Methods Task Force provided recommendations and community support for the project.